

0001

1 MAUI ISLAND
2 GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3 MARCH 21, 2007

4
5
6
7
8
9
10 REGULAR MEETING

11
12
13
14
15 Held at the Kaunoa Senior Citizens Center Cafeteria, 401
16 Alakapa Place, Paia, Maui, Hawaii, commencing at 5:00 p.m.
17 on March 21, 2007.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 REPORTED BY: JEANNETTE W. IWADO, RPR/CSR #135

0002

1 ATTENDANCE
2 MAUI ISLAND GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3 REGULAR MEETING
4 MARCH 21, 2007

5
6 DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING: Jeff Hunt
7 John F. Summers
8 Kathleen Aoki
9 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Michael Hopper

10
11 GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

12 Tom Cannon, Chair
13 John Arthur Blumer-Buell
14 Lesley A. Bruce
Lisa Hamilton

Hans Michel

15 Carl Lindquist

Joel Bertram III

16 Lawrence Stanley Franco

Susan A. Moikeha

17 Frank W. Sylva

L. Douglas MacCleur

18 Dick Mayer

Warren S. Shibuya

19 Warren Watanabe

Thomas M. Cook

20 Antoinette Lucienne deNaie

Mercer "Chubby" Vicens

21 Renee-May Kehau Filimoeatu

Walette Garcia Pellegrino

22 Warren Suzuki

Jeanne Skog

23 Stacie Thorlakson

Trevor N. Tokishi

24 Kennard Kekona

Hinano Rodrigues

25

0003

1

MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION

MAUI ISLAND GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

2

MARCH 21, 2007

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

3

4

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Okay, if everybody is ready we

6 will get started here. I'll call the meeting to order and

7 ask if there's any public testimony at this point. Is there

8 a list?

9 MS. AOKI: John Cartey followed by David Dantes.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: You will have three minutes, and

11 if you could state your name for the record, please.

12 MR. CARTEY: Aloha. My name is John Cartey and I

13 live on the west side. I'm a board member of the Save

14 Honolua Coalition. Thank you for this opportunity to

15 participate in government. First of all, I want to urge you

16 not to rush into drawing up this document. Take all the

17 extensions that you can. As you draw up this most important

18 document please consider the following: There are special

19 places on this island that need to be set aside and left
20 free of development for us and for future generations.
21 There are only a few of them left and they're enjoyed by so
22 many. Those places would attract visitors to Maui. Not
23 only are they beautiful, but they're places that make Maui
24 special. They're sacred to many residents, Hawaiian and
25 non-Hawaiian.

0004

1 I've spoken with many Hawaiians who consider
2 Honolua to be one of the most spiritually significant areas
3 in Hawaii, and now we have developers proposing to put
4 roads, parking lots, luxury homes, and a golf course there.
5 Once these special places are developed they're gone
6 forever. Has anyone seen the horribly large mansion on the
7 point at Honolua Bay? It's such an eyesore. How did we let
8 this happen? It's like graffiti on nature's Mona Lisa. The
9 ecological effects of this development have turned out to be
10 terrible.

11 I live in the Honolua area and I remember when
12 they were building Honolua Ridge, and the runoff turned the
13 area's coastline into a mud ball. And guess what, the reef
14 is a marine preserve. Development kills the reef. A few
15 years ago I used to swim at Airport Beach nearly every day
16 for exercise. I got to know the reef so intimately I
17 recognized and named the turtles. I became one of the
18 underwater residents of the reef. And after all these years
19 of swimming there, every day there you would see something
20 new and diverse. I cannot swim there now and see the dead
21 and dying reef and the underwater ghost town. The
22 development of Airport Beach killed the reef. This is one
23 of the many stories.

24 We have a chance to do something incredible, and
25 that's to set aside and protect these important places from

0005

1 development. It's time to stop sacrificing our special and
2 sacred places, such as Makena and Honolua, to cater to the
3 tiny minority of developers and elite investors. We have
4 enough luxury homes and golf courses for mainland investors.
5 Preservation has to happen now. There's no more time,
6 there's hardly any left. Every person in this circle has a
7 responsibility to do what is right for future generations.
8 Mahalo.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, John. The next

10 testifier is David Dantes, please.

11 MR. DANTES: Good afternoon. David Dantes
12 representing the Maui Vacation Rental Association, and
13 thanks for this chance to appear and testify. We want to
14 urge you to continue an existing policy in the general plan
15 and to amplify it. When it comes to deciding where vacation
16 rentals and bed and breakfasts might fit into communities
17 and where they might not, to quote Council Member Michelle
18 Anderson, one size does not fit all. And what she meant by
19 this is there are different types of TVR's that require
20 different conditions and standards, and there are different
21 types of communities. And some of them TVR's and B&B's may
22 fit, may nurture the economy, the type of visitor may fit in
23 well with the culture and the ecology, and other communities
24 that isn't so.

25 So right now the general plan leaves it up to each

0006

1 community plan to decide whether there will be visitor
2 accommodations in that community. We urge you to continue
3 that practice and not to make the mistake of trying to
4 dictate either way, like communities shall or communities
5 shall not. Just as the state stays out of dictating to
6 counties what their home rule should govern.

7 Here is a report that I've passed out. It's a
8 press release of a Haiku Community Association broad-based
9 community survey, it's about four years old. 160 local
10 residents filled out forms at the Haiku Flower Festival, and
11 91 percent of them approved having vacation rentals in their
12 community. You could find another survey in a different
13 community where 91 percent may disapprove.

14 The thing the general plan needs to do that it
15 hasn't done is to require each community to make up its
16 mind. So we're recommending that you include specific
17 language in the plan, in the tourism section or wherever
18 it's appropriate, to the effect that each community plan
19 shall specify whether and under what conditions visitors may
20 be accommodated in single family homes. This takes the
21 discussion right to the street. Each community is going to
22 have to learn about the issues and weigh them in the light
23 of its own needs and express itself. That's our request,
24 and thank you for your attention.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, David. The next

0007

1 testifier is Brian Garcia.

2 MR. GARCIA: Aloha. This is my first time
3 attending these meetings. My name is Brian Garcia. I live
4 on Napili the west side of Maui, born and raised my whole
5 life. I recently began to educate myself with this GPAC
6 process through working with the Save Honolua Coalition. I
7 realize how important this is for the future of Maui, my
8 future, and future generations to come. I think that you
9 guys have been done a very excellent job so far, but there's
10 just some high-end developers and a lot of developers that
11 have been pretty sneaky with just getting what they want
12 with no intentions of benefiting the community.

13 Part of your agenda today is to discuss the
14 promotion of sustainable land use planning and development.
15 In section G.2.e of your policy plan it states, "Encourage
16 land owners and the development community to collaborate
17 with government agencies, non-profits and land trusts to
18 preserve lands of importance and develop housing to meet the
19 demands of local residents." That Lipoa Point at Honolua
20 Bay, including Punalau, which is windmills, are very
21 important places that need to be preserved. It's all that's
22 left on the west side of Maui. They're both world class
23 surf spots, and not to mention the extreme sacredness and
24 spiritualness of these lands. Developing 40 luxury homes
25 does by no means meet the demands of local residents.

0008

1 Also in section G.3 it states, "Ensure that all
2 developments are designed to be in harmony with their
3 surroundings and enhance each community's sense of place."
4 I don't think a 18 hole, \$110,000 membership golf course is
5 in harmony with it is surroundings. Not only is Lipoa Point
6 conservation land, but below is a marine preserve. A golf
7 course will do nothing but cause harm to these fragile
8 environments.

9 I understand that any proposed development must be
10 designated in the regional community plan. I humbly ask
11 that Maui Land and Pine's Lipoa Point master plan never be
12 designated in the regional community plan. I also
13 understand that the new general plan will establish urban
14 boundaries for all regions that will strictly restrict urban
15 type developments to areas within the boundaries. I
16 understand this discussion will not take place until July or
17 somewhere around there, but again, I humbly ask that you do

18 not include Lipoa Point and Punalau in these urban
19 boundaries.

20 Maui Land and Pine seems to be disrespecting the
21 community by trying to slide this master plan into your GPAC
22 process, and it has no positive benefits but only negative
23 impacts on the community. I also suggest that you do take
24 your time in making these decisions and take all the
25 extensions as well. Thank you.

0009
1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you. Any others? Hank
2 Cline.

3 MR. CLINE: Thank you. My name is Hank Cline. I
4 live in Haiku. I wanted to talk to you about vacation
5 rentals on agricultural land. I live on agricultural land
6 and have a vacation rental. My hobby is agriculture. I
7 love it. I have hundreds of banana trees, dozens of citrus
8 trees, I try to grow all my own food organically, and it
9 keeps me pretty busy. And even though I have this extra
10 fruit and extra food it does not pay for me to pay someone
11 to pick it up, to wash it, to pack it, to take it to market,
12 and then find out that they don't want the local food
13 because they need a steady supply from Honolulu or wherever,
14 from a bigger supplier. I need my vacation rental to help
15 me pay to keep my ag land going.

16 I want to read to you from the Kauai Draft Tourism
17 Strategic Plan. It advocates allowing alternative visitor
18 accommodations within agricultural lands as one part of a
19 strategy for supporting agricultural-based tourism. Guests
20 in my cottage right now, I take them out to my garden. They
21 love to pick up the oranges that fall. They love it, all my
22 guests love it. Ag land is probably the best place for
23 vacation rentals. You've got lots of room, it works
24 wonderfully. It says the concept is that visitors can enjoy
25 an agricultural setting providing revenue to enable the

0010
1 landowner to maintain large land areas in less profitable
2 agriculture. Agriculture isn't profitable here too much. I
3 hate to say that, because I love agriculture. It's my love
4 of life is agriculture, and it just isn't profitable. You
5 can look and see what's happening with sugar and pine and
6 it's hard to make money in agriculture, and these vacation
7 rentals can help support that. It's what I'm doing, and I
8 think other people are doing it too. I just wanted people

9 to have an open mind to that and to consider it when you are
10 deliberating vacation rentals. Thank you very much.

11 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Hank. David
12 Motolewski, please.

13 MR. MOTOLEWSKI: This is not my first meeting. In
14 fact, I'm one of your greatest fans. It looks like either
15 tonight or sometime soon you are going to come to the part
16 of the draft policy plan entitled Promote Sustainable Land
17 Use Planning and Development. I think it's probably the
18 part that's of most interest to the people in the county and
19 to all of you, perhaps the most important part. Anyway, the
20 first thing you are going to come to is the goal, reference
21 letter G, number 149. And I am going to ask that you make a
22 definitive statement here when you reach this G-149 about
23 preservation versus development on Maui. A simple, clear
24 statement something like this, "Preservation of Maui shall
25 take precedence over development to the greatest extent

0011

1 possible with development projects limited to those filling
2 a demonstrated public need."

3 I think it's a little bit bold, but I think it's
4 what the people of this county, at least the vast majority
5 of them, would want to hear from you. I certainly urge you
6 to consider this and take the bull by the horns and make
7 this statement. I've written my wording out on copies that
8 I can give to everyone for when you reach this point. Thank
9 you.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, David, and thanks for
11 writing that out for us. Any other public testimony? Yes.
12 Please state your name for the record.

13 MS. LIVINGSTON: My name is Beverly Livingston,
14 and I hadn't planned on testifying so this is kind of off
15 the cuff. But one of the things I think that is important
16 is I am going to be 65 years old this year, so I remember
17 back then. When I grew up the idea of mixed use was the
18 only way it was. I mean in my neighborhood where I lived,
19 across the street kiddy-corner on the corner across the way
20 was a service station where the guy did some shop work. My
21 next door neighbor, who was a French lady, grew her own
22 grapes and made her own wine, but in the back of her
23 property was a machine shop. My dad fixed cars in the
24 garage and he also fixed refrigerators. The lady two doors
25 down took in boarders. Across the street over there was a

0012

1 mom and pop grocery store, and farther down there was
2 another grocery store. Then down on the next block there
3 was an orange packing plant, and they were two blocks from
4 the railroad. And this happened not because it was planned
5 but because people wanted to live that way. And we all got
6 along, we had this wonderful neighborhood. I could skate up
7 and down the street, and if I fell down a neighbor would
8 come down and pick me up and wash off my knee.

9 Then somewhere in I'm going to say the late '50's,
10 '60's, post-World War II the idea of suburbs and this
11 keeping one type of thing together and putting something
12 else in place, and there was no intermingling of any kind.
13 It's like everybody in the track had kids the same age, but
14 you couldn't run to the convenience store or anything like
15 that. And I see remnants of that same thing when it's like
16 trying to have all homes in one area or trying to have all
17 businesses in one area.

18 To me, that isn't natural. Like people know what
19 they want to do because they're doing it already. Like
20 everybody I know has some kind of little business or
21 something to make it, and it's probably in their garage or
22 something like that. And as long as it's not bothering the
23 neighbors, what's the problem? It's mixed use. Why make a
24 rule that they can't do it when they're already doing it and
25 it's already working? Except in some instances they're

0013

1 bothering the neighbors, so let's have some kind of rule
2 that they can't bother their neighbors while they're doing
3 it. Let's lighten up, let people do what they're already
4 doing. Let's go back to the way it was before we started
5 trying to do it better, you know, trying to make it work
6 better. Well, I think we goofed, I really do. I think we
7 goofed. I think if somebody wants to repair cars in their
8 driveway, as long as they don't dump oil in the yard, or do
9 it at 11:00 at night and the neighbors don't mind, so what.
10 Let them do it.

11 So I would suggest that you look at what you can
12 do to enhance the quality of living, letting people do what
13 they normally do or normally would do if it wasn't too
14 restricted, and that's my request.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you. Is there any other
16 public testimony?

17 MR. RODRIGUES: Thank you very much. My name is
18 Tom Blackburn Rodrigues. I've been before you before about
19 the Hawaii 2050 Sustainability. I want to thank all of you
20 who took the time to fill out a form. I was very happy to
21 get a stack of them from Jeanne Skog when I came in. I'd
22 like to tell you that I have forms here that you can fill
23 out on the break if you like, and also members of the
24 audience as well.

25 And of course, I want to announce there will be
0014

1 two community meetings that are going to be held in order to
2 gain further community input based on the surveys and other
3 activities that are going on. Those two meetings are going
4 to be on May 3rd at the Cameron Center from about 5 to 8 in
5 the evening. The second meeting will be on May 4th,
6 Saturday, co-hosted by Maui Economic Opportunity, and that
7 will be from 9 am until noon. So members of the GPAC and
8 others who would like to participate in helping shape the
9 future of Hawaii from 2050 are welcome to attend. The
10 meetings are open to the public, Mr. Chairman. And also I
11 have forms in the back if anyone would like to fill them out
12 later on during the meeting. Thank you very much.

13 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Tom. Was there
14 another testifier? Come forward, please.

15 MS. WILKINS: Aloha, and good evening. My name is
16 Lesley Wilkins and this is my first opportunity to appear
17 before this board. I am talking about what is before you, I
18 believe, this evening and the coming meetings of this group,
19 and that is the important piece, education, the policy
20 document and the element of education. I am here from the
21 reference of having the great honor of facilitating about 40
22 Focus Maui Nui individual group sessions over the summer of
23 2003. And when I think back, the number one consensus item
24 that's clearly written in the visioning document is the
25 citizens of our community cross-sectionally, whether they

0015
1 were on Moloka'i, Lana'i, in Hana or Central Kahului, were
2 talking about education as being the number one strategy,
3 the number one infrastructural item to achieve our preferred
4 economic future, a sustainable economic future for our
5 community.

6 So as you impart upon these very significant
7 deliberations on the educational policy document, I'm urging

8 this group because I commend you all for giving so
9 generously of your time toward this very important process,
10 I know it's long and tedious and after work -- and thank you
11 very much for giving to your community -- to think very
12 futuristically, to think about preparing our students to
13 compete globally even though we're trying very hard to
14 create living wage jobs at home to allow them the choice to
15 stay home and live their full lives with rewarding career
16 pathways at home. We have to prepare them for an economic
17 base that's very different from the traditional anchors that
18 we see today.

19 So although in your document you will see
20 traditional education infrastructure elements, like schools
21 and number of students per teacher ratios, and the physical
22 plant of what we see in traditional education, this plan
23 document must take us to the future. So please think about
24 progressive teaching and educational environments that
25 prepare our students and our adults for life-long learning

0016

1 skills that allow them to be adaptable for the changing,
2 clearly knowledge-based economy that is our future. Please
3 also look at making sure that those that educate have the
4 built to live and work here and educate our students,
5 because being able to retain our teachers is very, very
6 important.

7 My three minutes is coming to a close, so what I
8 would like to ask is the opportunity to provide some written
9 commentary on some of the elements that are in the policy
10 documents, the line by line, and offer some of that language
11 that will allow you to look at planning for truly an
12 educational future.

13 How lucky you are to have among you as a colleague
14 our CEO from the Maui Economic Development Board, Jeanne
15 Skog, who I believe has proposed thinking very broadly about
16 the number one goal for improving education, that residents
17 will have the skills needed to succeed in a knowledge-based
18 economy and earn a living wage. So that will be what I
19 would offer as a starting goal for the education policy
20 document. Thank you very much.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Lesley. Is there any
22 more, any other public testimony?

23 Seeing none, we will move on to the approval of
24 our minutes. Do I hear any corrections to the minutes?

25 MR. RODRIGUES: Mr. Chair.

0017

1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Hinano.

2 MR. RODRIGUES: I have a request. I make a motion
3 to amend the minutes to reflect that I was in attendance at
4 both days of the minutes. It is not reflected.

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for that one. Any
6 other corrections? Just so you know, at that meeting, at
7 the meeting that we got our minutes from I think there's
8 just one set we're dealing with tonight, I made some opening
9 remarks, and if you weren't here you will find those in the
10 first five or six pages of this, and you can read those if
11 you are interested. Do I hear a motion to accept the
12 minutes? Stan.

13 MR. FRANCO: Stan Franco. Remember at one of our
14 meetings we were talking about minutes being different from
15 the verbatim minutes we're receiving as a shorter version.
16 Is that going to happen or are we going to continue to just
17 get verbatim minutes?

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: The staff tells me that it will
19 be verbatim minutes. Any other questions? John.

20 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: John Blumer-Buell. Like Stan,
21 my recollection is that we discussed this and decided that
22 we should be getting some minutes which is just a summary
23 thing. So I have no objection, but what I got in the mail
24 was a transcript, which is distinct from minutes. Thanks.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Walette.

0018

1 MS. PELLEGRINO: Aloha, Walette Pellegrino.
2 Thank you so much. You do a wonderful job of listening to
3 us carefully. First of all, I notice one minor error,
4 although I think Kaipo would be very interested in making
5 sure he's Mister. I think it says Miss, if I'm not
6 mistaken. Thank you. And I understand what both Stan and
7 John are saying regarding transcription versus minutes. If
8 we are going to continue to get a complete transcription of
9 the proceedings, I wonder if it would be possible to either
10 bold, highlight, underline any motions that are made for
11 which votes are taken, so that we don't have to search
12 through to see what kind of formal action was taken.

13 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of
14 the committee. We can certainly do an index search on the
15 word "motion" and try to achieve that. The reason we are

16 getting transcribed minutes is we're under contract with
17 Iwado to do our minutes. We don't have the staffing
18 in house to do summary minutes, and the transcription is
19 very valuable for staff in terms of preparing our reports
20 and the like. So we are required to do minutes for these
21 meetings, and this is the approach that's the most efficient
22 for the department. But we will look into bolding or
23 underlining motions to make it easier for the members.
24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Dick Mayer.

0019

1 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. I'd like to suggest that
2 up to now, since we have been making suggestions of things
3 that should be included, we haven't really been taken action
4 on these things, but that there will come a time in the next
5 several weeks where we'll be taking lots of action. I think
6 it will be very helpful for us to have a record of all the
7 motions and the vote as a supplement to this transcript. In
8 other words, a list just of the motions, not even the
9 discussion. That would be in the transcript. But if we
10 make a motion that's been made, and even only the ones made
11 perhaps that were accepted. Ideally we would have all the
12 motions that didn't make it, but at least all the ones that
13 have been passed would be as a single page or five pages,
14 whatever it's going to be, with the vote totals. I think
15 that would be very helpful to extract out, even if you don't
16 have all the details of the discussion back and forth. Just
17 a suggestion.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is that doable?

19 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. One of the
20 advantages of having the transcriber do our minutes is it's
21 all in digital form. There are a lot of things you can do
22 with it. I'd like to speak with her on this particular
23 issue and see whether or not it's possible, but it seems
24 like it may be possible. I don't want to make a commitment
25 at this point that I can't keep. So if the Chair would

0020

1 allow me to do this research and get back to him at our
2 setting of the agenda meeting we can give you a definitive
3 answer on that.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: That sounds like an excellent
5 idea, Dick. And we will get an answer at our next meeting
6 on that. Yes, John Blumer-Buell.

7 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I just want to say I agree with
8 Dick, and I think part of the importance I think is making a
9 list of the GPAC members, to make the space to write in the
10 motion and who voted yes or no. I think this will be very
11 helpful for both the Planning Commission and the County
12 Council. They may wonder well, how close was that
13 particular vote, and they shouldn't have to go through the
14 transcript to dig that up. So I would support it for future
15 use by the Planning Commission and Council.

16 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. Chubby.

17 MR. VICENS: Chubby Vicens. I agree with Dick,
18 but I think my preference would be to show the ones that
19 made it and the ones that were also defeated, because if you
20 remember, there was a major one that did not make it, and we
21 should have them reflected on the record. But I appreciate
22 your effort.

23 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, I agree that both those
24 that failed and those that passed should both be listed.
25 That seems to be general agreement from what I'm seeing from
0021

1 the nodding heads. So John will look into that and we will
2 get an answer soon. Yes, Kehau.

3 MS. FILIMOEATU: Just another comment. I really
4 want to kind to push for this. Rather than having the
5 transcript for myself, I really would like to see what we've
6 decided on as we go. In other words, we started with this
7 matrix and I'd like to see what's happened so far from the
8 beginning. That, to me, is more important as we're working
9 through this document, rather than trying to read a
10 transcript, which I understand is verbatim. Is that a
11 possibility?

12 I know staff is going to have to work with that.
13 That was one of the things, that if we delay and keep
14 putting that off, by the time we come back to see what we
15 said, a lot of us may have forgotten. That keeps us up to
16 speed. And for me, that's what the minutes are. If you are
17 not in attendance that's what you want to see, is exactly
18 how far we got. This is actually what we're working on
19 right now, and I think it's important that we know what we
20 did the first day. I know it's pushing, but I would have to
21 push that if we want to come up with an overall document.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, do you want to respond to
23 that?

24 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We do have
25 about 70 percent of the matrix completed, so that comments
0022

1 from Moloka'i, Lana'i and Maui have been dropped in. We're
2 now taking all of those comments and working on the new
3 policy statements. We're close to finishing the first two
4 sections for distribution back to the GPAC's. We've made
5 significant progress on the matrix, and we'd like to discuss
6 that in more detail also when we talk about the meeting
7 schedule and future meeting dates.

8 MS. FILIMOEATU: Just another follow-up to that.
9 Really what is important for us here on Maui is what we
10 said. I don't care about you dropping the Moloka'i and
11 Lana'i stuff in. At this point we are not looking at that
12 part. I know overall we will have to deal with the entire
13 thing, but what's important for us on Maui is what did Maui
14 say with 149, 182, whatever it is.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. I've been asking
16 for the same thing, and it appears that we're about to get
17 this section soon, and then hopefully sections will continue
18 after that.

19 MS. FILIMOEATU: So, you know, we don't need the
20 Lana'i and Moloka'i side by side, we need to know what we
21 did. That's all.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. Any other comments?
23 Lesley.

24 MR. BRUCE: On page 22, line 22 incites should be
25 i-n-s-i-g-h-t-s. And on page 64, line 13 hopped should be
0023

1 hoped, h-o-p-e-d. On page 80, may on line 4 should be May,
2 M-a-y. On page 89 uh-huh on line 9 should be aha, a-h-a,
3 capital A-h-a. On page 92, line 11, the should be they,
4 t-h-e-y. Thank you.

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Lesley for reading it
6 that closely. We appreciate it. Other corrections? Dick.

7 MR. MAYER: I'd like to make a motion that we
8 accept the minutes with the corrections.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is there a second?

10 MR. BERTRAM: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe Bertram second. Is there
12 any discussion? All in favor say "aye."

13 (A chorus of ayes)

14 Opposed say "nay."

15 (None).

16 The minutes are accepted as corrected. Thank you
17 very much.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Warren.

19 MR. SUZUKI: Can someone clarify for me exactly
20 what we will now be getting relative to the minutes and the
21 transcript, because I know we talked about different things.
22 I just wanted for the record in terms of what will we be
23 receiving.

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Correct me if I am wrong, staff,
25 but we are going to be receiving transcripts. Is that

0024

1 correct?

2 MR. SUZUKI: We also talked about the matrix
3 showing the motions and all that, and this is where I think
4 the verbatim minutes may cover various items that are talked
5 about. But I think in the end it's important to confirm
6 what exactly we're going to be provided with in the portion
7 of the minutes. So I'm just asking the question so someone
8 can just confirm for us what exactly are we going to be
9 receiving based upon the discussion that just occurred.

10 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You will
11 continue to receive transcripts. We will be researching and
12 providing you with a confirmation as to whether we can also
13 provide a summary. So we will be reporting back to you at
14 the next meeting as to whether or not, in addition to the
15 transcripts, we can provide you with a summary of the
16 motions that failed and passed. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

17 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I believe there was also a
18 request to acknowledge how much they failed or passed by,
19 and the vote of each member. Any other questions at this
20 point? Kehau.

21 MS. FILIMOEATU: Actually, I really was asking for
22 an updated matrix of what we've already gone over.

23 HEARING OFFICER: I understand that, and I think
24 they did also, yes. That's what we need, you're exactly
25 right, we need to get our corrections and comments that we

0025

1 have given back so we can look at them again. Anything else
2 before we move forward? Is there any other public testimony
3 at this point? Then we will move into the -- that was the
4 January 10th minutes. Excuse me just a second.

5 What we just were looking at was the January

6 minutes which we were given at our last meeting. That's not
7 what Lesley was talking about. We were talking about the
8 February minutes. I was confused, because I only got one
9 set and that's all I was dealing with, which was the
10 February minutes. But evidently we got the January minutes
11 at our last meeting. So we have already approved the
12 February minutes just now. Do I hear a motion or do I hear
13 any corrections for the January minutes?

14 MR. VICENS: Move to accept.

15 MR. BERTRAM: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Moved to accept by Chubby, with
17 a second by Joe Bertram. Any further discussion? All in
18 favor say "aye."

19 (A chorus of ayes).

20 Opposed say "nay."

21 (None).

22 Motion carries. Thank you.

23 MS. FILIMOEATU: Point of information. So what
24 happened to January 25? We got them but they're out of
25 order.

0026

1 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Joy is
2 still working on those minutes.

3 MS. FILIMOEATU: But we got the transcript, right?

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: We do not have that one yet.
5 You got it, January 25th? Magic. So I guess we did get
6 them.

7 MR. SUMMERS: Let me discuss with Joy that issue
8 tomorrow. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: It would be of course best if we
10 got these in order so that we could keep track of
11 everything. I guess we haven't approved the January 25th
12 minutes yet, and they're not on our agenda, so we can't
13 approve them tonight but we will be doing that at a
14 subsequent meeting. Any more on that?

15 Okay, as we move into our workshop here, the first
16 item we are going to be dealing with is transportation. In
17 that Maui has a history of trains in the old days going back
18 to the 19 century, I believe, I have a DVD that's going to
19 take about eight minutes to show, which is about trains but
20 it's about modern trains. It's about the state of the art
21 in trains. I'd like to show this because I want to get a
22 discussion going about the differences between highways and

23 other modes of transportation that move people around in
24 bigger groups than just one by one and two by two. So if
25 you don't mind, we will show that. It takes about eight
0027

1 minutes. Yes, Susan.

2 MS. MOIKEHA: Just for clarity, I don't believe
3 we've discussed how we would handle -- I'm assuming this is
4 from a resource. I don't know if it's directly connected to
5 you or if someone has approached you.

6 CHAIRMAN CANNON: It's not connected to me. It's
7 from a company that sent it to me, but it doesn't deal with
8 their brand so much as it deals with the technology and
9 explains how it works.

10 MS. MOIKEHA: I guess I'm putting this in the
11 category of additional resource information, and I don't
12 believe we've discussed how we handle proposals to the
13 committee with additional resources. I'm not objecting to
14 what you want to do, and I think it would be helpful, it's
15 just that we haven't set any criteria for that. I'm
16 concerned about other organizations or people that would
17 like to introduce things, and what is the proper procedure
18 for that. So I don't know if this is the time to discuss
19 that and set the criteria first and then look at accepting
20 proposals for this, or if we just proceed ahead. It's just
21 a question.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Any other comments on that?

23 MR. SUZUKI: I share the same concern that Susan
24 had with regards to the appropriateness of showing the DVD.
25 Nothing against you, Tom. I'm looking for a perspective.

0028

1 We're looking at policy statements. The DVD is going to
2 show an option as far as providing transportation. I don't
3 think we're there yet, I think we're just kind of talking
4 about the general policy statement. And for me, I would
5 prefer not seeing it because it may cause me to feel a
6 certain way based upon what I've seen. So if we are going
7 to be shown videos of different transportation options, it
8 needs to be somewhat broad-based and not specific to a
9 single transportation option.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: This is no more specific than
11 automobiles being specific. It's a non-automobile method
12 called Mag-Lev Technology which levitates a train by
13 magnetism, by using light poles repelling each other to

14 levitate the train about three eighths of an inch above the
15 track, making for no friction whatsoever. There are no
16 moving parts, it's three times more efficient than cars. I
17 thought it would be worthwhile looking at this for eight
18 minutes. It's not promoting any particular brand and I have
19 no monetary interest in this whatsoever, but I thought it
20 would be worthwhile seeing this just so we have a little bit
21 of knowledge about what other options there are besides
22 automobiles and buses and things that relate to highways.
23 How do the rest of you feel about this? Joe.

24 MR. BERTRAM: I think it would be fine. You know,
25 whatever moves the discussion forward I'm in favor of. I

0029

1 know that for myself I will be speaking about walking, and I
2 will be very specific about that and wanting to put
3 something in here that says that walking should be
4 fundamental. I don't know if that's too specific, but I
5 don't think it is. If people want to say that they think
6 that the rail needs to be an important component of this
7 transportation option, when you talk about diversifying, if
8 that moves the discussion forward, so be it.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Doug.

10 MR. MacCLEUR: I don't think it hurts us to look
11 at this, it's educational. And if the Chair wants to
12 entertain that, that's fine with me.

13 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Doug. Lucienne, did
14 you have any comment?

15 MS. DeNAIE: Have you done your slide show yet of
16 showing us your dreams for Maui, Tom?

17 CHAIRMAN CANNON: No.

18 MS. DeNAIE: I think we could consider this a
19 portion of your slide show of your dreams for Maui.

20 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you. I kind of considered
21 it that.

22 MS. DeNAIE: I'm actually quite interested in
23 learning about it. I don't know anything about it other
24 than there's a concept that there's some different kinds of
25 train systems out there. So I think in terms of where we

0030

1 could put corridors and so forth, it would be nice to know a
2 little bit about how these things operate, even if this
3 isn't the system that Maui ends up with.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I agree. Dick.

5 MR. MAYER: I'm thinking this is very appropriate,
6 but really more appropriate for the Maui Island Plan as
7 opposed to countywide. We're at the general level talking
8 about we need alternative transportation systems. But when
9 we get to the island plan I would love to look at buses on
10 Maui, trains, light rail, all the various alternatives. At
11 that point I think it would be very appropriate. I can't
12 believe that this train would be on Moloka'i and Lana'i,
13 it's Maui-only specific technology probably. That's just my
14 thought.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: One of the reasons I thought it
16 would be appropriate now is if you look in the news we see
17 that Oahu is trying to implement some type of a train system
18 at this point. And it's very difficult there because they
19 have an urban density that makes the price of the land
20 underneath the system extremely high, whereas on Maui we
21 don't have that situation yet. And if we're going to be
22 talking in the policy document about setting aside corridors
23 for a non-automobile system, then I think we need to have a
24 little bit of education about what a system might look like,
25 what it might entail. Trevor.

0031

1 MR. TOKISHI: Thank you. I think the question,
2 though, the original question was about procedure as far as
3 how we are going to introduce new material, not so much the
4 content. So getting back to the procedure, what do we want
5 to do as far as introducing new things?

6 CHAIRMAN CANNON: As far as procedure let's have a
7 vote and see how many people want to see this and how many
8 don't, and I would live with that vote. Hans?

9 MS. MOIKEHA: I think you guys we should watch 'em
10 because it doesn't take no time and it might help.

11 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Susan.

12 MS. MOIKEHA: Again, I want to clarify exactly
13 what Trevor said, this is not about the content or even your
14 proposal, and I'm very much interested in it as well. But
15 you're opening up something that could be a problem down the
16 line because we've never discussed can each one of us bring
17 some CD in. It's never been discussed yet. I don't want to
18 take the time away from what we're supposed to be doing in
19 item D. So I'm asking if it's the decision of the committee
20 to go ahead with this tonight, that's fine. But I would ask
21 that you put this on the agenda and discuss a proper

22 procedure. Because it's not only the members here, but
23 you're talking about the public too. I'm sure the public
24 would like to introduce resource material from their
25 expertise and their experience. So that's the only thing
0032

1 I'm looking at here. It's not a discussion against the
2 subject matter, it's merely we should be clear about what
3 our procedures are.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: That's a very good point. Shall
5 we have that on our next agenda, please, that we discuss
6 methodologies for accepting resource materials. Yes,
7 Warren.

8 MR. SUZUKI: I'll just kind of support what Susan
9 said. Again, it's not against the viewing itself. Maybe as
10 a goal Susan did bring up the issue of having resources
11 available as we go through the policy document. She talked
12 about educational components. Are we going to have
13 Department of Education representatives? And this is where
14 I think we should have the minutes, because we do a lot of
15 talking and I don't know if we reach any kind of resolution.
16 Things just kind of get forgotten and nothing ever comes of
17 it.

18 So this was an issue that was brought up many
19 meetings ago. We're dealing with the same thing again in
20 terms of what sort of procedure we are going to follow to
21 allow for these types of resources to be provided to the
22 General Plan Advisory Committee. Maybe this needs to be on
23 the agenda also. Legally does it have to be on the agenda?

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I believe it is on the agenda as
25 a transportation workshop already. But I agree with what
0033

1 Susan is saying and I understand your point. We need to
2 come up with a policy about how we are going to allow
3 resource material to come before us. In that we don't have
4 a policy at this point, I would entertain a motion regarding
5 this particular DVD of about eight minutes long, to either
6 show it or not at this point. Yes, Jeanne.

7 MS. SKOG: If we're going to go along that way I
8 want to make sure that tonight is not setting a precedent,
9 which is kind of a weird thing to say because it sort of is,
10 so that when we do discuss it in the next meeting it is with
11 a clean slate in terms of what's gone on before or not.

12 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, I believe the record will

13 show that we have clearly discussed this and that it is not
14 setting a precedent that we are going to be discussing a
15 policy to allow or not allow resource materials at our next
16 meeting. Yes, Doug.

17 MR. MacCLEUR: I move that we watch this
18 presentation and that it has no precedent on future resource
19 material.

20 MS. DeNAIE: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: There's a move by Doug, seconded
22 by Lucienne. Any further discussion? All in favor say
23 "aye."

24 (A chorus of ayes)

25 Three nays. A show of hands for ayes, please.

0034

1 (A show of hands)

2 I see 14 ayes. Okay, one more time, all the ayes,
3 please.

4 (A show of hands).

5 16 ayes. Those saying nay, please. Five. Okay,
6 the ayes win, and we will show the video. The DVD, excuse
7 me. Thank you.

8 (A video presentation was made)

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you. Now we will move on
10 to the transportation section, and Erin will, I believe, or
11 John will help us with the changes, suggested changes to
12 this section. Erin, what number are we starting with?

13 MS. WADE: 126.

14 CHAIRMAN CANNON: 126.

15 MS. WADE: Page 7, goal F, statement number 126,
16 we will just do this like normally. I'll read the goal, the
17 three objectives, and then we will head through the
18 policies.

19 126 the goal states, "Maui County's transportation
20 system will be diverse, efficient, aesthetically and
21 dimensionally compatible with land use patterns and designed
22 in a way to provide residents and visitors with comfortable,
23 safe and convenient transport."

24 The first objective, 127, states, "Build capacity
25 into today's roadway system through multi-modal

0035

1 transportation facilities."

2 133, the second objective, "Require high-quality
3 and attractive design in all transportation infrastructure."

4 And 139, "Support the development of efficient,
5 economical and environmentally sensitive means of moving
6 goods and people throughout the county in between islands."
7 Is there any comment on the goal? Joe.

8 MR. BERTRAM: Yes. My comment is I really want
9 and I really liked actually in our current general plan the
10 use and reuse and emphasis on pedestrian orientation. I
11 think in our goal we really need to emphasize that our
12 transportation system be based around people and people
13 walking. The way the National Governor's Association put
14 it, walking and biking will be fundamental to
15 transportation, so that you basically start with people
16 walking and then you start building around that. Then you
17 get your cars and you get your transit, whether it's trains
18 or buses or whatever, but first you start with walking.

19 So I think in our goal that has to be made very
20 clear, that on Maui, in Hawaii, where our traditional form
21 of transportation is walking, when everything we're talking
22 about is smart growth, and sustainability has to do with
23 walkable communities and creating a walkable type of
24 development. It has to be the clearest thing in our
25 transportation goal, that it is walking that we start with

0036
1 and then everything else is added to that. So my suggestion
2 would be Maui County's transportation system will be
3 diverse, efficient, aesthetically and dimensionally
4 compatible with land use patterns and designed to be
5 pedestrian oriented based on walking and biking. So if we
6 start with that, then we can see how we add in the rest.

7 Then I would like to see that we have a separate
8 objective that is dedicated only to walking and biking, and
9 how we are going to implement that, whether it's create a
10 system of walking and biking trails, streets that are wide
11 enough and separated enough from the cars, and that we also
12 include auto-free zones in every community. Stan Franco had
13 that on one of his goals on the affordable housing thing.
14 We really, really need to make a very strong statement that
15 we are moving away from the auto dominated land use patterns
16 and development that we did up to this point.

17 If we don't do it in our transportation objective
18 then we are not going to do it, because we have very strong
19 policies in place with the Department of Transportation and
20 with Public Works that consider only the auto, and it's been

21 a very big struggle even in the legislature just trying to
22 get them to consider two percent of what they spend on all
23 total spending to consider walking and biking. So it is a
24 real struggle, and if we don't make that a strong statement
25 in our transportation planning then we are not going to see
0037

1 the walking communities that we'd like.

2 MS. WADE: Thank you. Hans.

3 MR. MICHEL: I think all what we have seen over
4 here I think we need to have a mass transit corridor. Set
5 the land aside from one end of the island to the next, let's
6 say from the airport all the way to Lahaina, and maybe from
7 Ma'alaea to Kihei. If we don't set the land aside now,
8 despite all we have here we lose it, because everybody wants
9 to build something and if the land is not there where we can
10 put a speed train or a slow train, we need the land and that
11 has to be done by the county. The county has to acquire
12 that or if the state can help, I don't know how it's going
13 to be done, but that's the first priority of the whole
14 thing, otherwise you never see a descent mass transit.

15 MS. WADE: Land acquisition is an extremely
16 important piece of that, so we will be sure that's
17 incorporated. Lucienne.

18 MS. DeNAIE: Thank you, Lucienne deNaie. I
19 support what Joe is saying, I think that we need to
20 transition to a planning in our urban areas and in our
21 suburban areas that really looks at walking first. But in
22 our extremely rural areas it's just not practical, the way
23 that we have things structured right now, to walk. Like my
24 nearest post office is 10 miles away and it's uphill and
25 downhill with a bike and in a very rainy climate. So yes,

0038

1 it would be great to have a trail, but it's not likely that
2 most of us are going to use that to get to the post office.
3 So I think we need to figure out how we can give the
4 emphasis to the pedestrian-oriented towns capes and
5 developments, because I think that's where energy could go.
6 These are places that are going to be relatively near other
7 things, and right now it is difficult to walk down along
8 Dairy Road. I try to walk as much as I can, and it's really
9 daunting.

10 Many of our shopping centers and large malls are
11 not set up, so maybe we need something about the commercial

12 and industrial areas as well to provide some walking options
13 as new ones are developed, or even retrofit the old ones.
14 Because it would be nice just to park in a central area and
15 then walk to a variety of places. This is what we do in
16 Pa'ia, you park once and you walk everywhere.

17 MS. WADE: Dick.

18 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. I would like to
19 restructure this whole section, and that we separate the
20 air, ground and sea transportation and make statements
21 talking about our ground transportation system, everything
22 from the walkways, bikeways, whether it be trains or
23 whatever. The second section on air transportation, which
24 would include things like the helicopters, medical
25 evacuations and things of that sort. And finally sea,

0039

1 talking about cargo transport and people transport, et
2 cetera, by sea. So I'd like to see us organize it that way,
3 then we can talk more specifically and give something that
4 would be useful when we start talking about the island plan.
5 Many of these statements, provide adequate funding for
6 improved transportation facilities, and that to me is a
7 given, those kinds of statements. But we need specific
8 statements that talk about policies that deal with ground,
9 air and sea transportation.

10 MS. WADE: Joe.

11 MR. BERTRAM: Just to kind of respond a little,
12 the sense that of course today you are not going to walk
13 because we are not designed for that. Even in Haiku and
14 those areas it's so unsafe to walk along the road because
15 there isn't any shoulder. People traditionally not only
16 used to walk but they took their horses, and right now we're
17 losing that. Again, we're talking about the future, we're
18 talking about a vision for our county for 20 years. So if
19 in 20 years we still want to be walking along the edge of a
20 road taking our life in our own hands, or not being able to
21 take a horse, or any of the kind of transportation because
22 the roads are only big enough for a car, then that's what we
23 design for, that what we put in our policy here. But if we
24 are looking at a community that encourages and actually
25 emphasizes walking, biking, horses, anything that is not a

0040

1 car, then we are going to see those types of facilities
2 built.

3 So I agree also with Dick in the sense that this
4 does need to be really organized in a much better way, and
5 separate out the various different areas of transportation
6 you are talking about. But I think within the goal if we
7 make people -- people, not cars, not airplanes, not even
8 trains, but people first, because this is Hawaii, this is
9 the way Hawaiians got around. If we're really talking about
10 the cultural aspects of the Hawaiian way of life we're
11 really talking about walking. So I'm thinking it's real,
12 real important that in this goal of transportation that has
13 to be dominant.

14 MS. WADE: Tom Cannon.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I'd like to suggest a rewording
16 of this goal such that it would read, Maui County shall have
17 a multi-modal transportation system which minimizes reliance
18 on fossil fuels and the automobile while providing residents
19 and visitors with comfortable, safe and convenient
20 transport.

21 MR. BERTRAM: It's a good start. Again, I think
22 we really have to say walking. I mean you can say minimizes
23 fossil fuels, but what if they go to hydrogen fuel cells or
24 if they go to whatever. If you make it very clear that this
25 is going to be based around people, people walking, that
0041

1 they can walk to a bus stop or they can walk to their car or
2 they can walk to a trail and get around that way, but the
3 whole thing is based around walking, then you start to look
4 at your transportation facilities, the way that you build
5 your communities are all going to be looking at how did
6 people get around, not how did cars get around, not trains
7 or any of these other things. They all have to get in
8 there, but we start with people and how are they
9 accommodated, how are the spaces made in our community so
10 it's people oriented. That we're actually not looking at
11 parking lots, but plazas, because the parking lot is going
12 to be behind, it's going to be hidden. We're going to make
13 our common areas, not parking lots, but where people are.

14 And again, if we don't make that a strong
15 statement in here, that it's people that are number one,
16 then I think we are going to lose this opportunity because
17 it's going to be real tough. I can tell you right now DOT
18 will look at that and say we're using ethanol or we're using
19 biodiesel, so this is fine. We really have to say number

20 one is this form here, this carbon-based form, that's what's
21 number one, then everything is built around that.

22 MS. WADE: Warren Suzuki.

23 MR. SUZUKI: Joe, I agree with you that we need to
24 encourage more pedestrian types of transportation and
25 bicycles, but at the same time Lucienne raised a good point,
0042

1 those types of uses probably are appropriate in certain
2 areas, but to put it in a general statement where somehow
3 you might be communicating that throughout the county of
4 Maui pedestrian or walking and bikeways shall be the
5 fundamental means of transportation throughout the island of
6 Maui may not be practical.

7 As I say, we probably need to look at it maybe in
8 an objective that's different, that specifically addresses
9 that, but to try and be that specific in a goal may not be
10 appropriate.

11 MS. WADE: Jeanne.

12 MS. SKOG: I was thinking that a rewording of the
13 objective listed in 139 actually could be the goal of this
14 whole section. I like the idea of emphasizing that this is
15 about people, it's not about facilities or what kind of
16 modes you are using, but it's fundamentally about people.
17 So something along the lines of Maui County will develop
18 diverse, efficient, economical and environmentally sensitive
19 modes or systems of people moving and goods being moved
20 throughout the County of Maui.

21 I like the sense that there's people right in the
22 goals statement so we remember that that's what it's all
23 about. And I like the idea of being sensitive and giving
24 priority to pedestrians while being realistic about we all
25 want to remain rural in character, and as long as we uphold
0043

1 that as a value we are going to be spread across our island.
2 So the reality is that I think we should support walking
3 wherever we can, but there will be practical limits to that.

4 I can see that Dick's idea about breaking this
5 down into air, ground, sea, and then perhaps there would be
6 a fourth that focuses on the pedestrian somehow in all the
7 things that go around to support the pedestrian moving
8 through our community or the person moving through our
9 community. I do like how Dick has suggested breaking this
10 down, it makes a lot more sense.

11 MS. WADE: Susan, then Hans, then Chubby.

12 MS. MOIKEHA: Actually, I was going to attempt to
13 reword number 126, but as Jeanne has pointed out, I think
14 that does cover everything. And again, it emphasizes
15 people, which is something that Joe had mentioned. Yes, I
16 could go with rewording that as the goal.

17 MS. WADE: Basically what we will do, if we do
18 restructure like Dick is proposing, what is identified as
19 the objectives now, we could reformat them to become policy
20 statements, mostly underneath the ground transportation, and
21 we will create new ones for air and water. I think we had
22 Hans, then Chubby.

23 MR. MICHEL: Lahaina has wonderful sidewalks, but
24 there is a problem from the county coming into Lahaina that
25 sidewalk never got fixed since 1963. And I don't know what

0044
1 the county's waiting for. So we have to put in a bill if we
2 do make sidewalks, please repair them as they go along. You
3 know, some places you bend your toes if you wear slippers.
4 So I mean building something is one thing, but maintaining
5 it in the proper fashion for nobody gets hurt, I mean that's
6 almost as important as you building them.

7 MS. WADE: Maintenance, thank you. Chubby.

8 MR. VICENS: Chubby Vicens. I like the idea of a
9 couple of thoughts. I like the idea of splitting up the
10 transportation modes, I think that's great. Joe has always
11 been an advocate of walking, and there's nothing wrong with
12 that. What I have failed to hear, and I haven't heard it
13 yet, is what are we going to do about our seniors, those
14 that can't walk? And remember that this island, especially
15 Maui, in the next 10 or 15 years is going to be driven by
16 the senior population. So what do we do with them or how do
17 we plan for them -- let me rephrase that, that's our job,
18 let's plan for them.

19 MS. WADE: Good. Stan, and then Lucienne.

20 MR. FRANCO: Stan Franco. I think Chubby is
21 talking for himself and me. (Laughter) Thank you, Chubby.
22 But again, I suggested this to Dick, I talked to him today
23 about air, sea and ground. I said it a little bit
24 differently. I would like for the Planning Department to
25 consider breaking it down this way, and when we go through

0045
1 the different goals, objectives and policies, that it be put

2 into the composition of air, sea and ground so that we have
3 that formation for this part of our discussion.

4 MS. WADE: Great, we've got that. Next Lucienne,
5 then Joe, then Tom.

6 MS. DeNAIE: Lucienne deNaie. I very much support
7 what Chubby and Stan are talking about. I do think though
8 that if we put into place a good, safe pedestrian-oriented
9 system that seniors who are able to walk will use it,
10 because you don't want to take your life in your hands
11 trying to cross in front of trucks and things like that.
12 Also, those who use the little scooters will have a place to
13 go. Some of our elders right now are trying to cross
14 streets on their little scooters.

15 So if any of you have ever been to any place that
16 has like an off road system for bikes and walkers and
17 everything, it's like you have died and gone to heaven
18 because you don't have to deal with all these cars. I don't
19 know if it's too late for us, but it would sure be nice,
20 especially in these big areas of South Maui and West Maui
21 that aren't developed, and Haiku, just to make sure that
22 something like that is set into our planning matrix.

23 We do need to also think that as we make larger
24 and larger roads it's intimidating for seniors to drive on
25 them. Your attention span sort of narrows and it's hard to
0046

1 keep track of all these lanes of traffic. I know seniors
2 that don't want to drive the big Haleakala Highway. So we
3 need to look at road systems that are friendly to people who
4 choose to drive where they don't feel like they're in some
5 sort of a computer game.

6 MS. WADE: Before we move on I just want to
7 double-check, because I think you have reached a pretty good
8 consensus about reworking the goal statement and reworking
9 the objectives, and you're starting to come up with policy
10 ideas actually. Should we start going through the policies
11 or do you still have comments on the goal? Joe.

12 MR. BERTRAM: It was interesting today at the
13 Legislature we honored Epu (phonetic), both in the Senate
14 and the House of Representatives. This is the fellow who
15 has been walking around the island every year on his
16 birthday. It takes about two weeks each time, but he walks
17 the entire coast of the island, 211 miles. He's 77 now, and
18 he did it because his ancestors came to him in a dream and

19 said, "You've got to come back and walk those trails because
20 you have got to keep them alive."

21 This is the way that we got around, and we are
22 losing it to development, we're losing it to a lifestyle
23 that's based around the automobile, and you need to come
24 back and bring it alive. And he came back and he's been
25 doing it all this time unheralded, by himself, just on his
0047

1 own. He's only been recently recognized by the work that he
2 did in keeping walking alive. The American Cancer Society
3 was there with pedometers that they were handing out to get
4 people to walk. They were saying this is the best way to
5 prevent cancer, this is the best way for you to stay
6 healthy, it's the best way for people to get their five
7 minutes of exercise every day, is walking.

8 So when we're talking about the practicality of
9 putting a shoulder or even a trail next to a road when we're
10 putting in a new road, when we're putting in new
11 subdivisions, anywhere, whether it's in the country or in
12 the city, we're not accommodating and actually basing it
13 around people walking, and even specifically just trails.
14 Not even sidewalks, but trails so people have that
15 experience of actually connecting with the ground, which is
16 what it does.

17 We are taking ourselves out of the loop as far as
18 maintaining our culture, as well as maintaining our
19 connection with the land that we all seem to love so much,
20 but we don't want to touch it with our bare feet. We don't
21 want to create the type of system that actually puts that in
22 every neighborhood, whether you are in the county, in the
23 city, on the mountain or along the ocean. This has to be
24 everywhere. And it's in our current general plan, that
25 there will be an interconnected system of bike/pedestrian
0048

1 trails. End of story. I don't know why it didn't translate
2 over to this one, maybe because it never happened. I don't
3 think we should just give up hope. I don't think we should
4 look at the practical parts of it, because we are not
5 talking about practicality, we're talking about a vision,
6 then we decide how we are going to make that happen. If
7 that's our vision, we can make it happen. Places all over
8 the world have done this. New Jersey has a great off-road
9 system of trails. Why can't Maui? That's all I'm

10 encouraging.

11 MS. WADE: Tom Cannon.

12 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I have a number of objectives
13 and policies that deal with walking and pedestrian friendly
14 environments. But as a practical matter, if we look 20
15 years ahead from now do we envision people walk from Haiku
16 to Lahaina when they want to go visit Lahaina? No. I mean
17 what the state has tried to emphasize in their overall
18 transportation planning that they've been doing recently is
19 multi-modal systems. In other words, a variety of different
20 ways you can get around, either an automobile, a train, even
21 a ferry maybe that might take off from Ma'alea and go to
22 Lahaina. But I don't think it really helps us to divide
23 those into land-based, air-based or ocean-based, because we
24 are talking multi-modal.

25 If in 20 years I can foresee myself taking my car

0049

1 down to a parking lot or a parking structure down in Haiku,
2 jumping on the train, being in Lahaina in 20 minutes,
3 getting off of the train in Lahaina, and if I brought my
4 bike I'll jump on that, if I'm going to walk, I'll walk.
5 There will be a variety of different methods that I can get
6 from the train station to Lahaina town, either by rickshaw,
7 by local taxi, by renting a bike or having a free bike, the
8 way they have in Portland now, and then you just leave it at
9 the place you are going to and then somebody else uses it
10 coming back.

11 Multi-modal is really what we need to be moving
12 towards, and not separating things as we've done in zoning
13 into air, sea and land. I'm not sure what a mag-lev train
14 would be, is that air, is that land? I'm not sure. It's
15 not the sea, I'll say that. It's land, it's still floating.
16 I want to hopefully bring us back to reality in the sense of
17 what do you really envision in 20 years. Not really
18 somebody walking all the way from Lahaina or Hana to
19 Lahaina. Doing it today so that he can get back in order to
20 be at work tomorrow.

21 I mean not that that's not a thing that we might
22 do, but you are not going to do it every day. I don't think
23 you're saying that. It's just that when you get to where
24 you're going, however you get there, by the train or other
25 method, that you have a pedestrian friendly environment

0050

1 there when you get there. That walking around Lahaina is a
2 comfortable, fun thing to do. Walking around Wailuku is
3 comfortable and fun to do. You have a method to go home
4 when you want to, which is fast and efficient and friendly
5 and comfortable.

6 MS. WADE: We structured the objectives originally
7 to be multi-modal in perspective, and that's kind of why
8 they were in there the way they are now, and I can see
9 benefits to both sides. Is there any more discussion about
10 breaking it out? Kaipo.

11 MR. KEKONA: My name is Kaipo Kekona. I'm just
12 trying to get something clear. Tom is talking about like if
13 you are going to walk you can walk around in the little
14 community that's there, provide walkways for us there. And
15 then I guess Joe is talking about having a walkway going
16 from every community to the next community, and so on and so
17 forth, to go wide with his walking the mile, and he's
18 talking about walking a quarter mile.

19 So to me, probably I will offend a lot of you in
20 the room, but 20 years down the road if you are talking
21 practical, practically nobody in this room here will be here
22 in about 20 years. If so, you won't be walking (laughter),
23 but I'll be walking. I walked today. I have three
24 vehicles, but I still walk. I mean I ain't walking from
25 Lahaina to Kaanapali or from Napili to Lahaina or anything
0051

1 like that, but I am walking, and I hope when the future
2 comes along we will have walkways provided as well. So as
3 far as my concern or goal or comment, you could put that we
4 should implement some walkways because, to me, it also
5 provides peace and serenity. You can get away from all the
6 mess. I like to go on walks.

7 MS. WADE: Lucienne, then Doug.

8 MS. DeNAIE: I support breaking this down into the
9 three sections because I think what we want to do is define
10 what we want in each of these types of travel and then make
11 sure that our policies connect them. So that our freight
12 service is the most efficient and you don't have to like
13 break your neck to pick up something that's delivered to
14 you. That it's easy to get to the airport, you don't have
15 to drive down there and beat out the traffic. That there's
16 a seamless set of choices that we have.

17 I also want to suggest, maybe this was already

18 recorded under our cultural section, I have forgotten
19 already, but it would be very good if in each traditional
20 district that we had a walking pathway throughout the moku.
21 This could be something that was used by school children and
22 others so that you actually get to see the place where you
23 are living and you see it by foot, which is the only real
24 way to see it. I'm a big hiker myself. That would allow
25 anyone who wants to walk around the whole island to just use
0052

1 these trails, but also it would allow people within each
2 district just the opportunity to explore their district and
3 not have that option lost.

4 I'm with Joe, we're losing that option with all
5 the fences and cutoffs and high speed roads and things like
6 that. We're hard-put to find a way to actually see the
7 places where he live sometimes.

8 MS. WADE: After Doug's comment I would like to
9 head through the policy statements, because I think some of
10 them you are going to see refer to transportation as a
11 whole. What I'd like you to do then is evaluate whether
12 those are still important for us to keep in, and if we need
13 to create an objective for that. In fact, what we might
14 want to do is head through the policies and then determine
15 if you want to re-prioritize, because some of them are
16 specific to one use and some of them are multi-modal. So if
17 that's agreeable with everyone, we will hear Doug and then
18 we will go through the policies.

19 MR. MacCLEUR: As one who's walked most of this
20 island that now has bad knees, I agree with Chubby that we
21 need to deal with communities and senior citizens. And I
22 don't think it really makes a whole lot of difference how we
23 lay this out, except that we need to cover all of those
24 areas. I don't think there's any disagreement that we need
25 more walking places, and we need more bike trails, and we
0053

1 need to be efficient in our air travel, and that we need to
2 have public transportation that works and is cheap. So I
3 don't think it's all that important exactly how we word it,
4 however we need to cover all of those bases.

5 MS. WADE: Real good. Okay, I'll start reading at
6 128 and head through the whole thing, because we're kind of
7 talking about it all at once, and then we can just head down
8 through the page.

9 128 states, "Plan for additional transportation
10 connections to improve circulation."

11 129, "Create incentives for pedestrian-scaled,
12 transit-oriented development."

13 130, "Work with transit agencies and operators to
14 prioritize efficient public transit routes between
15 employment centers and primary work-force residential
16 areas."

17 131, "Reprioritize the road right-of-way to
18 accommodate safe access for pedestrians and bicycles."

19 132, "Ensure that transportation facilities are
20 programmed for construction in advance of or concurrent with
21 planned development."

22 134, "Ensure the roadway systems of the county are
23 in good repair."

24 135, "Utilize the county's street tree planting
25 plan and fund landscape planting, irrigation and maintenance

0054

1 programs along all public rights-of-way."

2 136, "Develop attractive and unique public
3 transportation for visitors between the airport, visitor
4 accommodations and visitor attractions."

5 137, "Plan for the establishment of appropriately
6 located and beautifully developed park-and-ride and
7 ride-share facilities in the county."

8 138, "Create incentives to reduce carbon
9 emissions."

10 140, "Encourage upgrading and modernizing of air
11 and water transportation facilities."

12 141, "Develop an efficient and economic means of
13 transporting local agricultural products and goods to major
14 off-island markets."

15 142, "Provide adequate funding to improve
16 transportation facilities."

17 143, "Make safe and easy alternative
18 transportation options, (examples, walking, bicycling, et
19 cetera), between and within communities a prominent
20 component of long-term transportation planning, and a top
21 priority for improvements to existing roadways."

22 Perhaps we can talk about the policy statements we
23 have first and then move on to new policy statements. We
24 will go to Tom, Warren, Hans, Lucienne and Dick.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I would like to suggest, I'd

0055

1 like to read that goal that I suggested once more and then
2 give you an objective beyond that, because without the goal
3 the objective is lost kind of. So Maui County shall have a
4 multi-modal transportation system which minimizes reliance
5 on fossil fuels and the automobile while providing residents
6 and visitors with comfortable, safe and convenient
7 transport.

8 And then adding a new objective under that that
9 says, Immediately set aside inter-community corridors on
10 Maui between our distant towns for a modern, efficient,
11 non-automobile mass transit system to be built within the
12 next 10 to 20 years that utilizes modern people-moving
13 technologies and is not necessarily tied to roads and
14 highways except at the stations.

15 And then under that policy, Corridors shall be of
16 ample size and located to allow for the possibility of using
17 a mag-lev, magnetic levitation train system, between our
18 distant communities.

19 The next policy, Schematic routes for this modern
20 inter-community transit shall be provided in the Maui Island
21 General Plan.

22 The next policy, Accommodation for shuttle buses
23 shall be provided between all major hubs of the
24 inter-community transit lines and the neighborhoods
25 surrounding the hub.

0056

1 Then under the objective of 127, I suggest
2 rewording that such that it reads, A multiplicity of routes
3 and modes shall be the objective of roadway and
4 transportation planning.

5 Number 128 I would like to reword that to say,
6 Provide for multiple routes for automobiles and local
7 mini-buses from neighborhoods to major transit centers and
8 ample parking and public amenities at these transit centers.

9 And then 131 I suggest a rewording such that it
10 reads, Re-prioritize the road right-of-way to accommodate
11 safe access for pedestrians and bicycles to the greatest
12 extent possible and practical. Bicycle paths shall be
13 separated from automobile roadways to increase safety and
14 enhance the experience of bike riding.

15 132, I suggest a rewording such that it reads,
16 Ensure that transportation facilities are constructed in

17 advance or concurrent with planned development.

18 134 I suggest rewording such that it reads, Ensure
19 the roadway systems of the county are continually repaired
20 and kept in good condition.

21 135 I suggest a rewording to read, Utilize the
22 county street tree planting plan and fund landscape planting
23 irrigation and maintenance programs along all public
24 right-of-ways, planting native Hawaiian plants for the most
25 part, with no aggressive non-natives, and poisoning existing
0057

1 noxious non-natives.

2 MS. WADE: Can I stop you just real quick? I
3 think it might be a little more helpful if we can dialogue
4 about some of the comments. So if we can start back up at
5 128 and work down, because you had some reworkings and I
6 think that's where the rest of the group was headed as well.
7 So thank you for that. Warren Suzuki was next.

8 MR. SUZUKI: Thank you. This is where I had a
9 concern about a specific presentation in regards to -- it's
10 a great concept, Tom, don't get me wrong, but here we are
11 now in one of our policies making specific reference to a
12 specific type of transportation, which I don't think is
13 appropriate.

14 I'm very confused right now. We spent about 45
15 minutes to an hour just talking about the goal, the
16 objective, the policies and all that. And similar to prior
17 discussions that we had, we just had all these discussions
18 and all that was just put off to the side and we go back to
19 what we have in front of us. I mean why did we have a
20 discussion? That discussion should have been had, we should
21 have come to some kind of consensus in terms of what our
22 position was going to be, and then based upon that position
23 go forward. My impression is that the discussion we had for
24 the past 45 minutes to an hour was just to blow air into the
25 wind, which I think is a waste of time.

0058

1 MS. WADE: Well, I'll tell you, the only reason I
2 brought you back to taking a look at the whole document is
3 because I began reading through the policies as you were
4 talking about the discussion of breaking it out into three
5 separate categories. I saw that a lot of them were framed
6 as a transportation system, and the group as a whole hadn't
7 necessarily read through all of those, and I wanted to be

8 sure that we were able to address them in an informed way
9 before we moved on.

10 We definitely heard what you said about breaking
11 it out, I just think perhaps that's a definitive decision we
12 should wait for until you have had a chance to discuss each
13 of the policies. Is that all right? Susan.

14 MS. MOIKEHA: I think the discussion up to now has
15 been helpful, and from it I've gained different perspectives
16 of values, values of different types of uses of
17 transportation systems. I'm not even certain we should
18 break it out, I haven't come to that decision yet. So I
19 agree with your perspective to take one at a time, and let's
20 just address it as we go through it and have discussion on
21 each item as necessary.

22 I'm having trouble kind of trying to keep in the
23 back of my mind what the real goal is here, yet I still have
24 to discuss what the policy is to support that goal. So I'm
25 kind of a little confused as well. Did we decide on a goal
0059

1 yet? There was a discussion of rewording of 126 and
2 discussion of adopting 129 with some rewording. So I'm
3 having a problem with that. And then I'm looking at 127,
4 the objective, before we get to the policy and what exactly
5 do you mean by transportation facilities? When I hear the
6 word "facility" in my mind I think of a structure. Now
7 that's different from a transportation system, which could
8 be walking, biking, trains, buses, cars, whatever. So I'm
9 not certain why facilities all of a sudden is a main
10 objective. So I'm having trouble connecting some of these
11 objectives to the goal, which is still uncertain, and I'm
12 uncertain about whether these policies really address that.
13 So that's kind of where I'm at.

14 MS. WADE: Let's jump back to the goal. The 139
15 rewrite was the one that I saw kind of the most consensus
16 about, and the body language of a lot of nodding. And what
17 I figured was we'd take a look at that as a department and
18 see what we can do to incorporate a lot of the other value
19 statements that were being suggested. So that's kind of
20 what I was working off of was 139 as a rewrite for the goal
21 statement.

22 And then for 127, facilities, I think we can
23 easily ad "system and facilities" or "systems and
24 facilities" and make it more comprehensive. Facilities can

25 refer to roads, bike paths, transit stations, all of that,
0060

1 but the system is a much more comprehensive way to address
2 that, so maybe say both.

3 All right. And then I had Lucienne, Doug, and
4 then we will go with Hans. I'm sorry, Chubby do you want to
5 get in there first?

6 MR. VICENS: Yes, just kind of to support. What I
7 thought you said earlier was that we would look at all the
8 different systems and then evaluate a means to incorporate
9 all of these things. So in the first three comments,
10 Warren, Susan and now myself, we've got three different
11 perspectives, which I view as being healthy. So I don't
12 think the time was wasted because we talked about a lot of
13 things. But I think we've set that aside and now we are
14 hopefully going to move through the rest of the matrix and
15 put the meat of the matter where it belongs. Thank you.

16 MS. WADE: Great, thank you. Let's go back to
17 Lucienne, Doug, and then Hans.

18 MS. DeNAIE: Thank you. Lucienne deNaie. I
19 support just for the record moving 139 up as our overall
20 goal with the revisions, some of the revisions in the
21 language that we've been discussing. I have some questions
22 about some of the language in the policies as they're now
23 written, like plan for additional transportation connections
24 to improve circulation. I mean, what does this mean? It's
25 so vague. So I don't think it gives us much guidance. If

0061
1 we are going to use that we need to know what the intention
2 was there.

3 And then in 131, talking about re-prioritize, this
4 is good. Are we going to do anything in rural areas about
5 horses? There is a whole Upcountry transportation plan that
6 includes an equestrian thing. I don't know if people think
7 about this, but people in rural areas do have horses and do
8 ride, and their kids do too.

9 And then talking about 132, the transportation
10 facilities, a program for construction, I'd like to see that
11 be more specific like if they're not, what happens? Because
12 I think we've had wording like this in our community plans
13 and things all along, that there should be concurrency, and
14 it's always sort of interpreted away, and then we're stuck
15 with traffic. So maybe I should suggest some language, but

16 I'd like to see that be far more specific.

17 And 134, the roadway systems in the county in good
18 repair. We might want to include rural roads. I'm sure
19 Lisa might have some comments about this, about her road
20 being in good repair.

21 MS. WADE: Lucienne, I'm going to stop you again,
22 too, because I think it's difficult for us to talk about any
23 of the policies if each of us just head all the way through,
24 like I did, to kick it off. But I thought it needed to be
25 read first. Why don't we talk about 128 and the comment
0062

1 that was what do we mean, what are we trying to accomplish
2 with this. I think what staff was probably envisioning is
3 if the Upcountry bypass is warranted let's create an ability
4 to do that. Or if another connection around the pali is
5 warranted, let's be sure that we've invited that opportunity
6 within the plan. Perhaps there's a better way to state
7 that. Dick, and then Stan.

8 MR. MAYER: That's different from what I thought.
9 I thought what you meant was that when two different systems
10 came together, for example, you have a bus stop and then
11 maybe connect on to a bicycle. That type of connection.
12 What you're really talking about is inter-community or
13 inter-regional, at least the way you described it now.
14 That's where the confusion is. We don't know what we're
15 connecting.

16 MS. WADE: Stan.

17 MR. FRANCO: Stan Franco. I agree with what you
18 just said Erin. I would hope that we can work together as a
19 body taking one at a time so we can move through these
20 policies. And I agree with Lucienne, we need to be clear on
21 128. When I read this my comment to 128 is what is this?

22 MS. WADE: What do you want it to mean? Should it
23 mean the connections with additional like roadway
24 connections, should it mean multi-modal, or should we
25 separate those two out?
0063

1 MR. FRANCO: I would think if we don't know what
2 it means we should just take it out.

3 MS. WADE: Take it out, okay. Susan.

4 MS. MOIKEHA: I think it's helpful for you folks
5 first to give us the intent. That makes it much clearer. I
6 understand your intent and I totally support it, but I don't

7 think this addresses it. So what I would say, and this has
8 just been my experience on the Planning Commission, and I
9 mentioned this before, when we look at approving projects,
10 all projects take care of their immediate infrastructure
11 connections. The problem is regional. So maybe what we
12 want to say here is, Prioritize regional connections to
13 improve circulation, prioritize a bypass in Pa'ia,
14 prioritize Lahaina bypass, for instance. Those are examples
15 that I'm thinking of.

16 I think that's really important, because a lot of
17 what we're seeing as infrastructural problems on roadways is
18 regional types of issues. So I think it needs to be a priority
19 that when you are planning for your little project, you are
20 not just taking care of your little project, you are
21 envisioning that these people in this area, whether it's
22 commercial or residential, are going to be moving from one
23 community to another. So that has to be a priority.

24 MS. WADE: Real good, thanks. Dick, Joe, Hans,
25 Jeanne.

0064

1 MR. MAYER: Picking up from that last idea, I'd
2 like to see something like, Establish a priority list of
3 needed transportation corridors within transportation, a
4 priority list. In other words, is it more necessary to have
5 a four lane highway to Lahaina, is it necessary to go from
6 Kaunakakai to the east end of Moloka'i? Countywide there
7 should be a priority list of all the road types of projects.

8 MS. WADE: New projects, you're saying.

9 MR. MAYER: And upgrades. In some cases a two
10 lane to a four lane, in some cases it might be a new bus
11 route. But there really should be an established priority
12 list of needed transportation routes, and in some cases
13 there may actually be the necessity of establishing a whole
14 new transportation corridor. The idea of prioritizing I
15 think is critical. I think the policy should have such a
16 priority. That way we can go to the state and say we need
17 the Paia bypass or the Lahaina bypass, otherwise both
18 communities argue and neither of them get built.

19 MS. WADE: We will do a new policy related to
20 that. Joe.

21 MR. BERTRAM: I think the connections you are
22 talking about, this was brought up during the Lahaina Bypass
23 Now meetings about the idea of parallel redundancy. You

24 actually connect everything at least two or three times.
25 One thing they pointed out, the congestion in the Lahaina
0065

1 area really came from the fact that everything that was
2 built, everything was connected only by Honoapiilani
3 Highway. So everything had to -- you had to get out on the
4 highway. So no matter how many were coming over the pali,
5 they ran into this mass of cars that were actually your
6 local traffic. So we have to get that parallel redundancy
7 in everything so that people can move between developments,
8 hopefully neighborhoods. We wouldn't have any more
9 developments or shopping centers, but we'll actually have
10 neighborhoods, and those neighborhoods will be connected
11 outside of the main roads. So we will have secondary roads
12 or thirdary roads, I guess you might say, that would
13 actually connect maybe two or three times.

14 MS. WADE: We will incorporate that idea in 128.
15 Hans is next.

16 MS. MOIKEHA: Over here in 135, every time we are
17 talking about planting trees along the road, Lahaina Bypass
18 Now they have more trees than pavement the way I see the
19 picture. It's okay to have trees, but I would like to see
20 them a little bit away from the road or put them alongside
21 the sidewalk inland or the bike path. But in the middle of
22 the road when they make planter box or if they make a
23 boulevard we would not like to have big trees. Maybe we can
24 plant some hibiscus or something like that, like a shrub
25 that's easier for maintain, and when we have a storm it will

0066
1 not block the road. Because we have to watch for the
2 future. A tree grows and it causes damage. In Olowalu we
3 have a lot of monkey pod trees and when we have a big wind
4 you can't even drive home. We have to look what we plan for
5 the future so it's low maintenance.

6 MS. WADE: When that tree planting plan gets
7 updated we will definitely call you. Jeanne, then Warren
8 Shibuya, and then Warren Suzuki.

9 MS. SKOG: I have two questions. So we're going
10 to go through this, bear with me, and we've got this other
11 possible structure up there. So we're going to go through
12 this with this objective in mind -- and I have a comment on
13 the objective -- but then you go back and see if these
14 things will fit into the air, sea and ground?

15 MS. WADE: Right. Essentially in my thought
16 process I figured that if we use the structure that was
17 suggested tonight, neither of these objectives apply. The
18 top two do not apply any more.

19 MS. SKOG: Actually, it would seem to, because it
20 says build capacity in today's roadway systems. So that's
21 automatically ground.

22 MS. WADE: Right. Okay, multi-modal, so we can
23 call that ground.

24 MS. SKOG: I think one of the challenges is that
25 some of the wording in these policies, I don't think the

0067

1 objective is crisp enough, so you end up wondering, okay,
2 what objective is this policy supporting really. So for
3 instance, is it about expanding multi-modal transportation
4 facilities and systems to increase capacity, is that what it
5 is?

6 MS. WADE: Yes.

7 MS. SKOG: So the emphasis is on expanding the
8 number of facilities.

9 MS. WADE: 127 is about capacity. 133 is about
10 quality. And then 139 is really more about goods and people
11 and using air, sea and land. That's where we get into the
12 air, sea and land.

13 MS. SKOG: So at what point will we go back and
14 revisit the possible other structure?

15 MS. WADE: My suggestion is that we get through
16 the policies, decide whether or not they're good policies,
17 and then go back and address the structure.

18 MS. SKOG: The challenge is going to be good
19 policies based on the objectives we have got here. They may
20 not be the ideal policies if we restructure the objectives.
21 It's got to be anchored in the objectives. The objectives
22 have to be anchored in the goal. So I think it would be a
23 mistake to assume that at some point these are just going to
24 translate over to whole new objective statements. That's
25 why I'm getting confused about the process we're following.

0068

1 MS. WADE: Okay. Does anyone else want to make a
2 suggestion related to the process, or should I just address
3 it? Go ahead, Carl.

4 MR. LINDQUIST: Mr. Chair, I wonder if we might
5 have a show of hands as to how many of us feel it should be

6 broken down into various sections or whether we want to go
7 ahead and continue on a more general basis.

8 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Have we had enough discussion on
9 this to decide that, or do we need to discuss it a little
10 further? Susan.

11 MS. MOIKEHA: I can't even make that decision at
12 this time, because I think some of these things can be
13 worded, like number 128 when it says "transportation
14 connections," although I gave an example of roadways it
15 could apply to sea transportation. I mean it could apply to
16 air. So I don't know, I'm in the middle on this.

17 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I agree with you in that sense,
18 that if we divide it into those categories it might be nice
19 and neat, but it doesn't lend itself to multi-modal
20 transportation. Lucienne. Oh, I'm sorry, Warren was ahead
21 of you.

22 MR. SHIBUYA: I have taken the view that 139 was
23 our number one goal and objective there, and with that in
24 mind I had multi-modal in mind. And I'm now back to number
25 128, and I thought I'd bring in the concept of efficiency

0069
1 and effectiveness, and not so much as just having
2 circulation or improving circulation. I mean we can have
3 bike paths and we have walking paths, we can have vehicles
4 and moving cargo as well as mass transit all being more
5 efficient and effective at the intersections. We don't need
6 stop lights, we don't need traffic cops. If we design these
7 things correctly we don't need four lane highways. Thank
8 you.

9 MS. WADE: Before we move on to more policy
10 discussion, I think we have got to lay this structure issue
11 to rest. Are we going to move on as we discussed, or are we
12 going to restructure and then move on? Lucienne, are you
13 going to comment on that?

14 MS. DeNAIE: Lucienne deNaie. My comment is on
15 structure. I would suggest that one way of looking at this
16 is we have an objective, we have an overall goal. Our first
17 objective is about multi-modal systems, and in there
18 policies that cover all of that are covered. Then we have a
19 couple of other objectives that just refer to other systems.
20 I mean what you do at your air systems is a little different
21 than -- I mean you don't need alien species interdiction on
22 your highways very often, but you do at your airports and

23 harbors. Just to put things where they belong so they're
24 not confusing. That would be my suggestion.

25 I think it would be helpful if you went over the
0070

1 policies and actually took a straw pole. Is everybody
2 comfortable with this policy, do you want to reword it? I
3 agree with Warren, come to some consensus and move on so we
4 know what the score card is here. That would be my
5 suggestion.

6 MS. WADE: Structure. Okay, go ahead, Joe.

7 MR. BERTRAM: I agree with that in the sense that
8 as long as we can structuralize it within frameworks of
9 these systems. And I would also like to add in that we have
10 one just dedicated to walking and biking, because then we're
11 focusing in on that. We can talk about all the things that
12 need to go into such a thing. I think it's very appropriate
13 that we look at the auto basically and then add the transit
14 and then we look at the air. I mean then we can kind of say
15 this is what we want in a transit system, and this is what
16 we want in our auto system, so each one then does become
17 multi-modal. But you do need to look at each one separately
18 because they each have their unique aspects that have to be
19 addressed.

20 MS. WADE: Any more comments on the structure?

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I'm sorry, one more. The reason
22 I brought up trains as a possibility is because if you look
23 at Oahu's experience, we have an advantage here on Maui
24 because we can see what our future is in a sense. If we do
25 nothing then we will become Oahu. And it seems to me that
0071

1 if we come up with another system which is not based on
2 automobiles, which provides an alternate means of getting
3 around -- especially between our distant communities,
4 because buses aren't as convenient between our distant
5 communities -- that we might be able to avoid ever having to
6 have freeways or ever having to have a debate about whether
7 we put a tunnel through Iao Valley or not, like they did for
8 H3. That was a virgin valley they went through with H3, and
9 it cost billions and billions and billions of dollars. It
10 was the most expensive stretch of highway in the entire
11 United States because of having to move the heiau to the
12 other side and having to rearrange things as change them as
13 they went. Maybe we can avoid that. We don't have to go

14 there, we don't have to rely only on the automobile.

15 If we set aside corridors now, that sets our land
16 prices and it helps us in terms of the setting aside of the
17 land, because that's one of the most important and most
18 costly portions of putting up an alternate system. So
19 that's all I have to say on structure.

20 MS. WADE: My suggestion then maybe for structure
21 is how about if we consider 127 and 133, combining those two
22 to make it essentially one objective statement and making
23 that your ground statement. Then we can take that group of
24 policies together and review it related to ground, because
25 those are both essentially about ground. Create a new

0072

1 objective following that related to pedestrian oriented
2 design and facilities. Then do the water and air objective
3 after that. Warren Suzuki.

4 MR. SUZUKI: I apologize for my ignorance. So
5 have we agreed on a goal?

6 MS. WADE: I think so, I think it was 139
7 rewritten.

8 MR. SUZUKI: So what is it, how does it read? She
9 said it's 139 rewritten, so how does it currently read?

10 MS. WADE: We haven't been wordsmithing, we
11 haven't been rewriting the statement. What I have been
12 doing is collecting the ideas and comments from the group,
13 and then when you get your draft back you'll see both direct
14 comments and you will see a rewrite of the statement. But
15 because the actual rewrite has to include the input from
16 Lana'i and Moloka'i as well, the group kind of decided let's
17 not worry about a full rewrite because we are just going to
18 go back and redo it again anyway. Jeanne.

19 MS. SKOG: It is something like number 139
20 revisited on developing diverse efficient and economical and
21 environmentally sensitive modes of people moving and goods
22 being moved throughout the County of Maui. Something like
23 that.

24 MR. SUZUKI: Thank you.

25 MS. WADE: Joe.

0073

1 MR. BERTRAM: I think it is good to divvy out the
2 land thing, but then within the land thing to then divvy it
3 out to mass transit, auto, and then the walking and biking.
4 In that way we can look at the land thing in the sense of

5 there are three. They say you need to look at all three and
6 you need to look at them equally. I think if you look at
7 the land transportation and break it out, we can really make
8 determinations on our roadway system, our transit system,
9 and our walking and biking systems. There will be some
10 overlap, but there are some very specific things here. If
11 we want to have small roads then we need to state that. We
12 don't want 4 lane or 10 lane or how many lanes they can fit.

13 So if that's what we do, then we really are
14 talking about the roads and how we move cars, about transit
15 and where we want to put it, and we really are talking about
16 walking and biking and how that's to be accommodated. So I
17 think the structure is good, but we should probably break
18 those out into three different modes of land transportation.

19 CHAIRMAN CANNON: All right, we need to take a
20 break. We're going to take a 10 minute recess.

21 (Whereupon a brief recess was taken).

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Okay, call the meeting back to
23 order. We'll just briefly discuss when we might end our
24 meeting tonight. I want to leave enough time to get to
25 announcements and discussion of when our next meeting might

0074

1 be. Shall we stop discussing the policies at 8:00, 8:15?

2 What's the desire of the committee, do you have a choice?

3 8:00? Okay, stop at 8:00 and then we will go to 8:15

4 perhaps to discuss announcements and our next meeting. Is

5 that okay with everyone? Any dissent? Okay, we will do

6 that. Yes, Dick.

7 MR. MAYER: I'd like to make a suggestion. We
8 have talked a lot about these things in a general way and
9 we've jumped around. I think Erin and staff probably has a
10 good idea about 128 to 138, several of those could be
11 combined together, and I would urge you to look at them.
12 But what I think is critical is there's some dimensions of
13 transportation that aren't discussed here at all.

14 For example, small boat harbors aren't mentioned.
15 The internationalization, potential internationalization of
16 Kahului airport, does the county want to have international
17 flights. I think another issue is the helicopters, the
18 medical helicopters. Lots of transportation issues. Also
19 it's mentioned here about a public transportation system
20 working with companies. Should bus systems, for example, be
21 publicly owned by the COUNTY or do we want to encourage

22 private developers to put in the bus system? So there are a
23 lot of issues.

24 I think what I'd like to hear from the group, are
25 there some transportation issues that aren't discussed on
0075

1 this page that we need to incorporate into transportation
2 policies and goals. So I don't want to wordsmith and try to
3 go through all these things, but I think we have a general
4 sense of where we're at there.

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Anyone else? Stan.

6 MR. FRANCO: Stan Franco. It was suggested by
7 someone in the audience that maybe because we have so much
8 confusion with this issue that maybe it would be an
9 opportune time to do an investigative group or small group
10 to redo this, and then come back to this larger group with
11 their recommendations as to the different wording that we
12 would need for us to agree upon. I don't know if that makes
13 any sense. But I'm not sure at this point in time with all
14 the discussion we've had that we can by 8:00 finish this
15 transportation section, and we have so much else to do to
16 meet our objective on May 24th. It might be something to
17 consider.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, John.

19 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Yes, this is for Erin. I'm a
20 bit confused. There's some basic things that I'd like to
21 see covered probably in the policies, and that is, plan and
22 acquire transportation corridors and easements for all types
23 of transportation. That's a very general statement. The
24 other one I'd like to see, transportation plans for the
25 physically challenged and seniors. Very specifically let's
0076

1 call for a plan for the senior citizens and the physically
2 challenged. And Dick said children. That's fine.

3 The other thing that's come up is I'd like to
4 identify and clarify the traditional access routes to the
5 coast and the trails and traditional easements. Those are
6 just three very basic statements.

7 The other thing which is I think Hana specific,
8 and others from Hana might want to comment on it, I look at
9 this and I think within our neighborhoods and our
10 communities we really can make the type of progress that
11 we'd like to see. For example, in Hana we have the old
12 sugar company railroad that runs all the way from above the

13 Hana Airport and runs above the road a bit, goes past the
14 new school, goes all the way through town, goes mauka of the
15 road, goes all the way into Makaalae. I think Lisa Hamilton
16 may have some laws regarding those old railroad accesses.
17 But that's something that I think might be a very achievable
18 access in Hana.

19 The other thing just generally speaking is if you
20 imagine parts of Hana we have I think enough clear pastures
21 at this point where we really can identify parallel but
22 separate pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle paths for people
23 all the way from Hana town going out. So I don't know what
24 we need to do to get this in the language, but I think about
25 it locally, and we can achieve these things I think in Hana.

0077

1 Mahalo.

2 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Warren Suzuki, and then
3 Lucienne.

4 MR. SUZUKI: Just to kind of carry on with what
5 John said and what Dick Mayer said earlier, I had raised
6 this question at a prior meeting. One of the charges that
7 we have as a GPAC is to look at what infrastructure
8 improvements are going to be required to accommodate what we
9 ultimately come up with as far as a general plan. And as
10 part of the requirements we need to figure out what the
11 costs are going to be, the timing of the improvements, and
12 how the cost are going to be borne.

13 So I guess my question is that if we put it in the
14 policy when do we get into the discussion relative to the
15 infrastructure needed to support what we come up with
16 relative to the general plan, and when are we going to get
17 into discussions about participation, cost, and timing?

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Lucienne.

19 MS. DeNAIE: Shouldn't someone answer Warren's
20 question?

21 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As part of
22 the Maui Island Plan Update we're looking at future land use
23 and transportation that's needed to support that land use.
24 We are required per Bill 84 to prepare a specific capital
25 improvement program and estimate financial costs as part of

0078

1 that work. So we are working on that specifically as it
2 relates to the island plan.

3 At the Countywide Policy Plan level by its very

4 nature it's a much broader policy document, so we don't have
5 specific budgets developed for that piece of the planning
6 puzzle, if you will. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

7 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Lucienne.

8 MS. DeNAIE: Thank you. It's always nice to
9 follow through. I'd just like to say that I think I agree
10 with what John just said, as a rural resident, that we
11 should have some language as a policy statement that where
12 appropriate we identify and set aside parallel access routes
13 for non-motorized use as well as for an access corridor for
14 public transportation that would be in the more urban areas.

15 But in our rural areas, and even in some of the
16 undeveloped areas, Hali'imaile and so forth and so on, it
17 would really make sense that people wouldn't have to walk,
18 bike, ride their horses, use their electric carts
19 immediately adjacent to a busy roadway. So if we could get
20 that concept in I think that would be a real step forward to
21 Maui.

22 I'd also just like to add in number 143, and this
23 kind of addresses that Warren was saying, make safe and easy
24 alternative transport, et cetera. I would like to see it
25 say, identify funding sources for these, because once again
0079

1 these things have been promised in our community plans and
2 in our various philosophical documents for years, but they
3 don't seem to get funded in the same way as fixing potholes.
4 I can understand that. But we need to figure out a way, and
5 maybe there's different sources of funding that can address
6 the need for the non-motorized infrastructure, the green
7 infrastructure basically. Thank you.

8 Oh, yeah, number 136, what does "unique" mean?
9 And harbors, if you are going to be public transportation
10 you need public transportation from the harbor too, because
11 you have people handing all the time that need to get
12 somewhere from the cruise ships.

13 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe.

14 MR. BERTRAM: Just to follow up on what Warren was
15 asking, I think what Dick brought up was that in our policy
16 statement we could say that we encourage public/private
17 partnerships. I think that's what we really want to say.
18 That that's the way we'd like to see these things built
19 because we know the government does not have the money.
20 This is my mantra now, we don't have it, we don't have it.

21 So we have to put in our plan that this is the way we have
22 to go. We have to go on a PPP, as they're called, and that
23 can be our policy statement.

24 The second thing, just following up on that
25 discussion, somebody brought along our current plan. The
0080

1 transportation objective there is to support and advance an
2 environmentally sensitive transportation system which will
3 enable people and goods to move safely, efficiently and
4 economically. Like I say, we might go back to this
5 particular plan. It is very succinct, it actually does what
6 we said we wanted to do.

7 And I support what Mr. Franco was talking about,
8 that this is such a major part of the plan, the
9 transportation part of it, that it probably does behoove us
10 to take a look at maybe this is our opportunity to actually
11 have a committee. It could be anybody, but it would be
12 people who are really interested in transportation planning.
13 And we can get some good information, whether it's about how
14 well systems work, how well bike/pedestrian systems work,
15 and these various things so we can have a good basic
16 revision of what we'd like to see. So I would support
17 something like that.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Trevor.

19 MR. TOKISHI: Trevor Tokishi. I've got a question
20 for the Planning Department. It might be very obvious, but
21 is there a reason why, like under education we said that we
22 are going to encourage the state, work with the state, but
23 under transportation we don't have anything addressing
24 working with the state, because all our county roads feed to
25 state highways. And I know that the state is updating their
0081

1 DOT 2030 plan. Joe might know more about that. But
2 something to the effect that insuring the county will have a
3 say in the 2030 plan or something like that. Is there a
4 reason why that's not in there?

5 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think a
6 statement related to inter-governmental coordination would
7 be very appropriate in this section. I would think that
8 that might be something we'd want to add as a new policy.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Lucienne.

10 MS. DeNAIE: Lucienne deNaie. On that same theme,
11 it seems that we had a statement under good governance I

12 think that we were looking for more independence in some of
13 our decision-making on roads and some other areas. And
14 maybe there should be something complementary in this
15 section as well to tie into that. We should find out what
16 that language is and tie in a similar policy. I know many
17 people are looking towards a future where perhaps some of
18 the roads that are now -- where the state has the say, the
19 county would resume its own say, and we'd just leave the
20 very biggest federally-funded projects for the state.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Dick Mayer.

22 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. Let me give an example.
23 I would really like to hear from the group about other
24 policies that aren't here. And I agree that we should have
25 a small working group or a large working group that would
0082

1 investigate, write some things down, work with Erin, and be
2 able to present it at a future time back to the group. I
3 don't think we are going to get the wordsmithing done today.

4 For example, what Lucienne just said, consolidate
5 state and county highway operations by integrating the
6 planning and design and base yards on each island. So the
7 state and county highway things would be integrated
8 together, planning the highways, the base yard, repair, all
9 those things. We shouldn't have two separate departments
10 operate. And that's the sort of policy thing that I think
11 -- I'm not saying everybody will endorse it, but that's
12 something I would suggest. I would urge the Chairman to
13 perhaps put together a group that can come back at a future
14 date with some recommendations.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Chubby.

16 MR. VICENS: Chubby Vicens. Let me remind the
17 group how important the state and the federal people are.
18 You are talking about 80 cents to 90 cents on the dollar for
19 any highway, and as I found out over the years, there are
20 many, many different ways to skin a cat. The state and the
21 federal government have been willing to work with Maui on
22 projects that are quote-unquote marginal, and yet they've
23 given us the money because we can perform. So we cannot
24 forget about that. I mean if it's a defense highway such as
25 the north-south corridor, you make it 90 percent funding.

0083

1 So let's keep that. Whatever good will it takes to get them
2 into the game, let's keep them there.

3 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe.

4 MR. BERTRAM: This goes back to what Dick was
5 saying. One of the things we may be missing, one of the
6 things I'd like to see is a complete inventory of all the
7 roads that we've actually had. If you take a look at the
8 1957 map of Maui you will see roads criss-crossing from
9 Kihei, Omaopio, I mean they're everywhere, and yet now
10 they're not being used and they've been taken over. So we
11 really need to establish are those our roads and how can we
12 use those. We might have all the roadways in place right
13 now that we have to just repave, and not have to build
14 anything more. I think if we can establish the ownership of
15 all the roads everywhere that are on Maui we can make
16 decisions on what we want to do with those as a community,
17 rather than leaving it to the powers that be.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Stan.

19 MR. FRANCO: Stan Franco. I want to make a
20 motion. I move that an ad hoc investigative committee of
21 five members meet and discuss the transportation goal and
22 create objectives and policies for the review of Maui GPAC
23 at our next meeting.

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is there a second?

25 MR. BERTRAM: I'll second.

0084

1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe second. Discussion? Susan
2 Moikeha.

3 MS. MOIKEHA: I have a concern. I know the
4 purpose of limiting it is to be more efficient, but I think
5 you should open it up to whoever is interested.

6 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Do you agree or how do you feel
7 about that? Stan.

8 MR. FRANCO: I think a smaller group would work
9 better than a larger group. And I would urge the members,
10 because we have a lot of discussion and many good ideas,
11 that they write those information pieces and send it to the
12 Planning Department so that the group will have that
13 information when they meet. I think there's many good ideas
14 that have been expressed.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes.

16 MR. HOPPER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Michael
17 Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel. I just wanted to point
18 out, and I don't think this is new news to anyone, but the
19 Sunshine Law provisions on committees does specify that the

20 scope of the investigation and the scope of each member's
21 authority have to be defined at this meeting. I would
22 recommend a very precise definition of the task. Not simply
23 to just go over the transportation goals in general, but
24 perhaps maybe a reference to the sections that you would
25 want to deal with, or as precise a definition as you could
0085

1 possibly work out. And also to remind you that those rules
2 have to be outlined at this particular meeting. At the next
3 meeting they need to be presented to the group as a whole.
4 And it's not until the subsequent meeting after that that
5 there can be action taken on those goals. So you have to
6 have those three separate meetings before you can finalize
7 that language.

8 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Lets keep it down.

9 MR. HOPPER: In addition to that, the
10 recommendations of the committee of course, unless they're
11 adopted by a majority vote, which would be a vote of 13
12 members, would not have any effect. So there still needs to
13 be that consensus and a vote by 13 members. In addition,
14 the group can't be bigger than the amount necessary for a
15 quorum. So I believe you would need to have at most 12
16 members of the committee, and those are the sunshine
17 requirements.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for clarifying that,
19 appreciate it. Stan, you mentioned having one group, but
20 Dick mentioned earlier and it seems like it was achieving
21 consensus, that there was also the issue of harbors. In
22 other words, transportation by water, and there's also the
23 issue of air transportation, whether the airport should be
24 international or not. Perhaps there should be three groups,
25 one dealing with land transportation, one dealing with water
0086

1 transportation, one dealing with issues related to air
2 transportation, and I just throw that out for your
3 consideration.

4 MR. FRANCO: I think the question is do we
5 continue in the process we are right now with the openended
6 discussion and not really getting to the various policies
7 here, or because we have so many different things do we
8 break up into a group. I'm amenable to making three groups
9 if that's the wish of the GPAC. I'm bringing a motion here
10 to hopefully move this particular item into a mode of

11 getting it accomplished.

12 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Jeanne.

13 MS. SKOG: I had a question. When the group
14 reports back are we allowed to discuss what they report at
15 that meeting?

16 MR. HOPPER: Yes. The Sunshine Law reads that the
17 resulting findings and recommendations are presented to the
18 board at the meeting of the board, and it does say then that
19 deliberation and decision-making on the matter investigated,
20 if any, occurs only at a duly noticed meeting of the board
21 held subsequent to the meeting in which the findings and
22 recommendations of the investigation were presented to the
23 board. So I would interpret that that you would need to
24 have the deliberation and decision-making take place at a
25 subsequent meeting. That's the way that the law reads, and
0087

1 that's why you need to have those extra meetings.

2 MS. SKOG: If we did break into the sub groups I
3 would support it would be one group just because of the
4 integration possibilities that you've got everyone there
5 looking at all of the modes of transportation and looking
6 for where they integrate and overlap.

7 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Except that the Corp Counsel
8 just pointed out that we can't have more than 12 people on
9 any of these investigative committees.

10 MS. SKOG: Right.

11 MR. MAYER: I'd like to amend the motion to say
12 that, just to make it specific, that an investigative
13 committee be established with no more than 12 members to
14 develop objectives and policies for air, sea, and ground
15 transportation and pedestrian, if they see fit to break that
16 out as a separate group, and to make those recommendations
17 and come back to us at a subsequent meeting.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Are you talking about one group
19 or three?

20 MR. MAYER: One group to do that. And I would
21 easily be able to get a room at MCC to get a group together
22 in the next week and a half to do that.

23 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Are you including goals? You
24 mentioned objectives and policies.

25 MR. MAYER: I think we all agreed that 139 would
0088

1 be the overall goal. So what I'm saying is that this would

2 be an effort to break it down, creating logical sequencing,
3 avoiding some of the overlap and tying some of these
4 together, and making whatever recommendations the
5 investigative group feels would be best.

6 I think everybody has heard things, but I still
7 would like subsequently to see if there are some areas of
8 transportation that aren't mentioned here that the
9 investigative group should integrate into the discussion.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Corp Counsel has a point.

11 MR. HOPPER: Mr. Summers actually just pointed out
12 something. On the agenda we're still on item D, workshop.
13 If we're going to have a motion and continue discussion,
14 there is an agendaed item for this, which is future meeting
15 methodology. I think it would be appropriate to close up,
16 if that's the will of the GPAC at this point, to close up
17 the workshop at least for today and/or continue until such
18 time as you feel that that's finished, and then begin
19 discussing item E and then do the discussion and vote at
20 that point.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: What's the will of the
22 committee, should we cease discussion of the policies and
23 move to a discussion of our methodology or not?

24 MR. MAYER: Can we reopen the discussion after we
25 go to methodology, have a vote? Can we go back to workshop?

0089
1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: It's almost 8:00 anyway, so it's
2 a moot point probably.

3 MR. MAYER: I just wanted to make sure if there
4 were some other areas of transportation that should be
5 integrated, let's leave the workshop open for a few minutes
6 and hold the motion, since we can't make a motion anyway.
7 We'll have to make that motion during the session. Let's
8 finish off and see if there are some areas that haven't been
9 covered here, and then cease the workshop.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Okay, is that agreeable with
11 everyone? Yes, Susan.

12 MS. MOIKEHA: Wouldn't multi-modal transportation
13 cover exactly what you're talking about?

14 MR. MAYER: I would cover it except there may be a
15 particular thing that doesn't come to mind that we may want
16 a separate policy on, like small harbors or helicopter
17 transportation.

18 MS. MOIKEHA: But it's going to fall into one of

19 those three categories, air, ground and sea.

20 MR. MAYER: I wanted to make sure that if somebody
21 said there was some burning issue on transportation that
22 hasn't been listed here, that if we think there should be a
23 policy that we make sure we get one on it.

24 MS. MOIKEHA: I'm not sure I follow it. If we are
25 going to be discussing another approach to this, I don't
0090

1 understand why that would be important to have a decision
2 now. It could come from discussion from within the group,
3 and then when they report, and then in further discussion
4 with the committee. So I don't know.

5 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. The assumption was that
6 there may be somebody here that may not be able to make that
7 group of 12, that may want to make sure that that thing gets
8 included. That's what I was thinking of.

9 MS. MOIKEHA: Does the Sunshine Law allow the
10 group to interact through mail or email to the investigative
11 group to present information? None at small? But it could
12 be done at the time we discuss it.

13 MR. HOPPER: I think you're getting to my point,
14 that you are going to need a consensus built by at least 13
15 members anyway, and there's specific reporting requirements
16 that have to be made. So the Sunshine Law contemplates that
17 you are going to have this committee be formed, the
18 committee will deliberate and determine its recommendations,
19 and then will only present those recommendations to the full
20 board at the next meeting. That's why it has to be at the
21 next meeting and agendaed.

22 The whole point of the Sunshine Law is that the
23 deliberation doesn't occur entirely off the record. So
24 that's why eventually you are going to need to have everyone
25 come to the consensus. So that maybe if you do all that
0091

1 wordsmithing and all that work in the end everyone is still
2 going to have to agree on a final document.

3 So what you are talking about could be done at
4 full meetings, but could not be done in communication. Once
5 the group is established they basically have to make their
6 findings independently and then present them at a full
7 meeting.

8 CHAIRMAN CANNON: So Dick's point was if you have
9 any items that you can think of now that aren't included in

10 here that you want the investigative committee to consider,
11 bring them up now. Lucienne.

12 MS. DeNAIE: I know in Hana and areas that have
13 rural road standards that we are interested in seeing that
14 those can be maintained to the appropriate levels of the
15 community, whether it's the old bridges in Haiku and Hana,
16 or whether it's how wide the roads are and so forth and so
17 on. So I don't know if this is the place to have some sort
18 of broad policy that rural areas shall have the opportunity
19 to consult on road standards for publicly financed roads in
20 their area. Maybe John can help me out on this, because you
21 have been through all the huhu on the bridges and stuff. I
22 didn't see anything that actually addressed that. Rural
23 areas just have their own set of circumstances sometimes.

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: True. So you're suggesting
25 rural areas have rural standards?

0092

1 MS. DeNAIE: Yes. I believe that we have some
2 semblance of rural standards in some of our more specific
3 planning rules, but a general policy that just says that we
4 adhere to those. Having them and adhering to them is
5 sometimes two different things.

6 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Hans first.

7 MR. MICHEL: We've been talking so much about
8 roads and whatnot in the state, I got news for you, they
9 have what they call SKIP. Did you ever hear of that, Tom,
10 SKIP? Those guys they decide what they're going to do. So
11 no matter what we're talking about here or what we put in
12 the book, I went to their meeting and by golly they mess
13 things up. Because like I say, they will do what the state
14 wants to do. So no sense we fight about anything here on
15 this particular one, even because we no going no where.

16 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe.

17 MR. BERTRAM: I'd also like to talk about in this
18 committee advanced roadway designs relating to round-about
19 as well as to traffic counting. Lucienne brought up about
20 smaller roads in rural areas, but we want smaller roads in
21 urban areas too. We've been fighting in Kihei to keep it to
22 two lanes, but they want to make it four lanes. It's county
23 policy to make South Kihei Road a four lane road. It's
24 completely divided in the community. So these are issues
25 that we need to create in our policy plan about the size and

0093

1 the width of these roads, and that's everywhere.

2 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Trevor.

3 MR. TOKISHI: Trevor Tokishi. It's probably
4 covered somewhere in here, but I would like the committee to
5 specifically address expanding the bus service for locals.
6 I think that the bus service the numbers are improving
7 really well, and I think that should be addressed
8 specifically as a policy.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Anyone else? Jeanne.

10 MS. SKOG: I think it's woven in here, but I just
11 want to make sure, perhaps it's in the pedestrian area, the
12 walking area, but the sense of transportation systems that
13 are developed supporting our quality of life. That needs to
14 be a priority so we're not simply building systems that
15 actually destroy our quality of life.

16 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Anyone else? Yes, Kehau.

17 MS. FILIMOEATU: Kehau from Kahului. I'd just
18 like to reiterate what Jeanne just said. God, I don't want
19 to see that one hundred mile an hour train on this island.
20 Perhaps speed is not what we want to keep the quality of
21 life. It would be great to slow down.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Anyone else? Shall we move into
23 our next agenda item? Oh, I'm sorry, Chubby.

24 MR. VICENS: Chubby Vicens. Can somebody clarify
25 for me the forming of the groups, the decision-making

0094

1 process, and then finally the voting on it. In terms of
2 hours, how many hours will we lose? Will it just take up a
3 portion of each meeting or will the whole meeting be
4 dedicated to it? I'm not clear on that.

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Dick, do you want to respond to
6 that?

7 MR. MAYER: As I visualize it, Stan was actually
8 the maker of the original motion, the group would come back
9 with some recommendations that would be no different than
10 the sorts of recommendation that were already done in all of
11 the other areas. In other words, they're first cut through,
12 and at some point we're going to have to go back and revisit
13 all the ones we've already done on local government and good
14 governance and all those things, in conjunction with what
15 Moloka'i and Lana'i are doing. They will be just one of the
16 10 areas or maybe more eventually of areas we're looking at
17 when we do take a final action.

18 So I see this as just an effort to get that down,
19 because we didn't do that today. I don't think we have to
20 have a separate set of votes on it and then go ahead and
21 vote at the end again, I think that would be something we
22 didn't do with any other group.

23 MR. VICENS: I might have misinterpreted what
24 Corporation Counsel said. Can you walk me through the
25 formation of the group, when they can make the first

0095

1 decision, do they have to come back to us for a third and
2 final, or what is the sequence of events and how much time
3 will it take?

4 MR. HOPPER: It may be helpful to point out that
5 there's actually been no recommendations made by this
6 committee until there is a vote by at least 13 members of
7 the committee to adopt a particular language. What the
8 department has been doing so far is taking the language that
9 you have recommended, or your comments, planning on putting
10 them together, giving the document back to you, and then you
11 would have to agree on a final language for the document.
12 That's true of all of the comments, not just with the
13 transportation section.

14 But as it relates to the transportation section,
15 if that's the entire scope of this committee, you would need
16 to have a meeting, you would have to assign a committee at
17 this meeting and their specific duties, and also the scope
18 of each member's authority. That's another aspect of what
19 needs to be assigned, the scope of the investigation and the
20 scope of each member's authority.

21 And then the resulting findings and
22 recommendations need be presented to the board at another
23 meeting of the board. So that would take at least six days
24 from today. You would need to notice that at another
25 meeting and have a separate agenda for those findings to be

0096

1 presented, and those findings are presented at that meeting.
2 Then the deliberation and decision making would have to
3 occur six days at least after that. So you have to go two
4 meetings, so it would take at least two meetings in addition
5 to the committee's recommendations. You would still then
6 have to go through and iron out, if the language is okay
7 with you, you could simply vote as a group to adopt that as
8 your recommendation. But if it's not and there's other

9 controversies, you would still have to work those out prior
10 to agreeing on something in the end.

11 MR. VICENS: Okay. So I'm with you up to three
12 meetings. How much time will it take? We know that the
13 investigative group will meet for a period of time. That's
14 a given. But when we come back and make recommendations, do
15 we intend to take a full meeting to discuss those or will it
16 just be an item on the agenda and then that's where the
17 deliberation takes place?

18 MR. HOPPER: The time is under your control.

19 MR. VICENS: Then the following meeting is where a
20 decision is made, is that correct?

21 MR. HOPPER: There's a presentation at the next
22 meeting, which can occur at the earliest six days from the
23 time the committee is established, and then the deliberation
24 and the decision making would have to occur at another
25 meeting six days after that. The amount of time that that

0097

1 decision meeting and deliberation takes at that meeting
2 depends on the comments that the group has.

3 MR. VICENS: Thank you.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Any other questions?

5 MS. MOIKEHA: Is item number D closed now? We
6 just had a whole discussion on item E. So are we officially
7 closed?

8 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Are we closing the workshop at
9 this point?

10 MS. DeNAIE: I so move, Mr. Chair.

11 MR. BERTRAM: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Seconded by Joe. Further
13 discussion? The motion is to close item D on the workshop.
14 All those in favor indicate by saying "aye."

15 (A chorus of ayes).

16 Opposed say "nay."

17 (None)

18 Motion carries.

19 Technically I have to ask for public testimony at
20 this point, so I shall. Is there any public testimony?

21 Okay, then seeing none, we will move into future meeting
22 methodology. Dick.

23 MR. MAYER: I'm going to remake the motion again.

24 I guess do you have it down? Do you want to read it back?

25 The motion is that a committee of no more than 12 be

0098

1 established to investigate the transportation area, and
2 specifically to look at the three main areas of ground, land
3 and sea transportation. And potentially also, if the group
4 decides, pedestrian transportation, and make a
5 recommendation back to us at a meeting at least, well, at
6 our next meeting on the 11th of April. That's three weeks
7 from now.

8 And then each member of the committee will have an
9 opportunity to make contributions to the thing, to what each
10 member's assignment is, can bring in ideas that they've
11 heard today and new ideas that they feel will make a
12 contribution to getting transportation goals, objectives and
13 policies.

14 CHAIRMAN CANNON: One correction I want to mention
15 is you said sea, land and ground.

16 MR. MAYER: Air, land and sea. Thank you.

17 CHAIRMAN CANNON: And you also mentioned the date
18 of our next meeting, but we haven't decided when that might
19 be. We are scheduled but we haven't really decided on that
20 yet. So whenever the next meeting is.

21 MR. MAYER: Well, if the next meeting is close
22 then it would have to be more than six days.

23 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Exactly, understood. Yes, Mike.

24 MR. HOPPER: I'd just like to say that the motion,
25 I understand the air, land and sea, but you said if the

0099

1 committee so chooses to investigate pedestrian issues. I'd
2 like the statement to be a bit more definite than that in
3 order to outline clearly the scope of the investigation and
4 the scope of each member's authority. "If they so choose"
5 is a bit vague of a statement. I would recommend rephrasing
6 of that.

7 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Doug MacCleur.

8 MR. MacCLEUR: I'm kind of opposed to the
9 committee, because I think that the problem with it is it's
10 going to bring back new ideas and the whole group is going
11 to have to hash the darn thing over and over again. And
12 really what we ought to do is pound the darn thing out in
13 the first place, in my opinion.

14 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Question for Corp Counsel. Can
15 what the committee comes up with be sent out to everyone so
16 they can look at it before the next meeting? It can't even

17 be sent out? Okay. Hans.

18 MS. MOIKEHA: We talk so much about the sea. I
19 believe the harbor belongs to the state, and the county has
20 nothing to say. That's the way I understand. That's what
21 happened in Lahaina. The state been planning what they call
22 a toilet, for instance, yeah. They've been planning that
23 and talking about it for 12 years, and only now they're
24 starting and nothing really is moving fast. So why do we
25 want to plan about sea transportation? The state doesn't
0100

1 give us a chance, so we only waste our time.

2 CHAIRMAN CANNON: In a sense if we don't say
3 anything then they'll do whatever they want, but if we tell
4 them what we want, if they don't take that into account then
5 it's at their peril.

6 MR. MICHEL: Can we iron it out within an hour's
7 time rather than spread the whole thing out over three
8 meetings?

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes. Well, the suggestion is to
10 do it in a committee framework and then bring it back to
11 work it out to iron out all these details in a committee
12 framework. That's what's on the floor at this point.

13 MR. MICHEL: I understand. Thank you very much.

14 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Joe?

15 MR. BERTRAM: I agree with what Doug was saying,
16 however just from our experience this evening we found this
17 whole thing needed to be reworked, and there were just so
18 many suggestions about how to redo it and how to frame it
19 and the structure of it all, that unless we want to just do
20 it as another workshop at our next meeting, I think this
21 would be a good way for us to take all those ideas that we
22 put out and the ones that we mentioned this evening and put
23 them together. I think there was a lot of good consensus,
24 it's just there wasn't enough time to actually hammer this
25 together as a working document. So once we do that and it
0101

1 comes back to the full group I think you are going to see a
2 lot of the same stuff, but it will basically be put into a
3 form that makes more sense according to some of the ideas
4 that were pressed here. And that will give everyone a
5 chance to take a look at it and discuss it, as we will with
6 everything else as well. This will not prevent meetings and
7 workshops on other parts of the plan. This just gives us a

8 chance to put it together in a more cohesive way that the
9 committee would like to see.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I don't think we lose any time,
11 because we couldn't meet any sooner than six days from now
12 anyway. In the meantime a committee could be working on
13 these things. Doug.

14 MR. MacCLEUR: Again, I have a lot of faith in the
15 staff, and the staff has listened to a lot of our ideas and
16 I think that they can put together something that's a
17 consensus that we should be able to fly with or tweak, but
18 not have to beat the heck out of.

19 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Chubby.

20 MR. VICENS: Did I misunderstand you to say that
21 you can meet in the next several days to work this over?

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: No.

23 MR. VICENS: What did Corporation Counsel say? We
24 can't even form the group until the next meeting.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I stand corrected, thank you,

0102

1 you're right.

2 MR. VICENS: That's what I understand.

3 CHAIRMAN CANNON: You're right. Susan Moikeha.

4 MS. MOIKEHA: I would support Joe's comments too.
5 I think there was just so much discussion and so many things
6 that people wanted to just get out there in a general format
7 that I think the department has to have a little bit more
8 concise direction as to where to go with this. So I would
9 be willing to support coming back with taking in everything
10 we said tonight and reworking this down to what we've
11 suggested, and giving you some direction to go with. And
12 then going through it, like Doug said, just going through
13 it.

14 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes. Corp Counsel.

15 MR. HOPPER: Because it isn't noticed on the
16 agenda, it says, "Discussion and possible action on forming
17 investigative groups, the scope of each group, member
18 authority in each group, and group membership pursuant to
19 HRS 92-2.5," you could assign the group members and the
20 group that would investigate today. I don't see a problem
21 with that. They just can't present their findings until six
22 days from now. And you would have to obviously, in the
23 motion you would have to assign who those members are and
24 what each of their duties are. But because it's on the

25 agenda I believe it's sufficient, because it says discussion
0103

1 and possible action. So it does clearly anticipate forming
2 the committee.

3 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for clarifying that.
4 So we wouldn't lose a week by doing this. What's the will
5 of the committee? There's a motion. Was there a second?

6 MR. HOPPER: The motion did not specify who would
7 be the members as well. It needs to be a very concise
8 motion that identifies all the members. That's the purpose
9 of the committee.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I understand. Who desires to be
11 on this committee? Can I see a show of hands of those that
12 desire to be on this committee? High, please. One, two,
13 three, four, five people. Yes, Dick.

14 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. There's a need to amend
15 the motion to say that we will investigate land, sea, air
16 and pedestrian transportation, and the members of the
17 committee will be Mr. Shibuya, myself, Mr. Vicens, and who
18 else? Joe Bertram and our Chair, Tom Cannon will be the
19 committee, and their assignment will be to consolidate the
20 duties and give representation to the GPAC at its next
21 meeting.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is there a second?

23 MR. VICENS: Question.

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: First, is there a second?

25 MR. BERTRAM: Second.

0104

1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Chubby.

2 MR. VICENS: I believe Corporation Counsel said we
3 also in the motion had to define the specific duties of the
4 members. Is that correct?

5 MR. HOPPER: The role of each member is how the
6 law says. I believe that arguably the role of each member
7 could be the same as the general committee. I don't think
8 there's any problem with that. I have not encountered that
9 specific issue, but the way it's worded does say each
10 member. So in reading that I believe that could be broadly
11 -- if the wording is precise enough for the whole group, you
12 could still apply that to each member. So it has to be
13 precise wording, but as long as it's precise enough you can
14 have it applied to each member.

15 MR. VICENS: Let me finish then. I just want it

16 very clear that I would like to push through as Doug
17 indicates. However, I did raise my hand and said that if
18 the motion failed that I would participate in the group, and
19 I just want to clarify my position. I am not really for the
20 forming of the groups, but will participate if the motion
21 passes. Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Susan.

23 MS. MOIKEHA: Does the defining the role of the
24 motion also dictate to who is to be the chair of that
25 committee or the leader of that committee?

0105

1 MR. HOPPER: That could be an aspect of it, but
2 this doesn't necessarily require that there be a chair. It
3 wouldn't be an official meeting. So it's not necessary, I
4 would say.

5 MS. MOIKEHA: Just clarification. The other thing
6 I would like to point out too is I don't know how many have
7 had the opportunity to read this letter from Mike Maberry,
8 the Assistant Director of the Institute of Astronomy,
9 University of Hawaii, and he points out a fact that
10 apparently on one of the goals or objectives or policies --
11 I'm not sure where it fell into place, under I think our
12 discussion on culture, and I'll quote from it, "With all due
13 respect, we would like to point out the county does not have
14 jurisdiction over lands controlled by the state or federal
15 governments nor lands, public or private, within a
16 conservation district." And it sites some County Codes here
17 and continues onward.

18 My concern with separating these into three
19 categories for this investigative group is that in your
20 desires to establish policies and even objectives that you
21 keep that in mind, exactly what our jurisdiction is. I mean
22 we have very little jurisdiction over airports and harbors.
23 And while I think we could come up with some creative
24 policies that give direction to the type of transportation
25 we want in these areas, I think that you have to be careful

0106

1 of becoming too specific and making decisions of what types
2 we will allow, because it's not our jurisdiction. And I'm
3 just thinking along the lines of if you establish these
4 policies they should be realistic. One of the biggest
5 barriers is jurisdiction. Where federal and state come into
6 play they have jurisdiction. We can wish away all kinds of

7 things we want and desire, but what is the reality of being
8 able to get that. I am not discouraging you trying to
9 address it, I'm just saying we should be careful about where
10 our jurisdiction is.

11 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. Let the record show
12 Hans has to leave at this point. Thank you, Hans.

13 MR. KEKONA: Kaipo Kekona. I know I came in late
14 today, but I'm kind of busy at times. But I'd just like to
15 urge that we can try and find one -- I guess this comes up
16 under future meeting methodology. I would like to see this
17 meeting appear in Lahaina and see how late everybody is
18 going to want to stay, knowing that you have got to drive
19 all the way across the mountain.

20 So I guess I'm trying to ask for future
21 methodology is maybe we can find another more central
22 location, you know. There's wide varieties, college and
23 community centers, and so on and so forth. I don't
24 understand why we're stuck here. And I've got to go because
25 they're going to close the pali in about 45 minutes and then
0107

1 you're stuck over here until about 2 in the morning, if you
2 get lucky. So I'll see you guys later, and thank you.

3 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you, Kaipo. Stan.

4 MR. FRANCO: My question is, if we are going to
5 make a motion now and we keep losing our members and we need
6 a majority of 13, we might not have a majority with the
7 people who are leaving.

8 MS. DeNAIE: I call the question.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I just want to clarify one other
10 thing. What is the authority of the members of this
11 commission, because I'm not sure that was addressed in your
12 motion, and we need to address that, as I understand Corp
13 Counsel.

14 MR. MAYER: Investigate and make a recommendation.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: That's the authority, okay. Any
16 other questions on the motion? There was a second. Moved
17 by Dick, seconded by Joe Bertram. Any further discussion?
18 All those in favor indicate by saying "aye."

19 (A chorus of ayes)

20 Opposed say "nay."

21 (A chorus of nays)

22 Okay, let's have a hand vote, please. All those
23 in favor say "aye" and raise their hand.

24 (A show of hands)
25 I got 15, but 14 is enough. All those opposed

0108

1 raise your hands, please.

2 (A show of hands)

3 Four. The motion carries. Thank you very much.

4 MR. MAYER: I just want to meet with the five

5 people so we can agree on a time.

6 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Before we leave let's get

7 together and figure out a time and a place to get together

8 subsequent to this meeting.

9 MS. MOIKEHA: Chair, can I ask a question? So at

10 the next scheduled meeting this group would be prepared to

11 come back to discuss their recommendations?

12 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is that true, group? At a

13 subsequent meeting.

14 MR. MAYER: The presentation will be made of the

15 thing, it will probably be tabled at that moment and we'll

16 go on to the next topic. At a subsequent meeting my reading

17 of it would be we would take up transportation just like we

18 take all the other issues up, we'll look at Lana'i, Moloka'i

19 and then we'll have a chance to make final votes.

20 MR. HOPPER: At the next meeting you can only do a

21 presentation, and then at the next meeting after that you

22 can go back into the same deliberations you are doing right

23 now or you did today.

24 MR. MAYER: Next time we will work on something

25 else. I think you wanted to talk about the schedule.

0109

1 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Lucienne.

2 MS. DeNAIE: I just wanted to clarify one point.

3 Susan's point is very good about our jurisdiction. However,

4 having sat through many, many Land Use Commission meetings,

5 one of the first things that's asked about state projects is

6 is it consistent with our community plan goals. So we get

7 the impacts; we should have some say. We know we don't have

8 complete authority over these areas, but we need to, I think

9 --

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Voice our concerns?

11 MS. DeNAIE: Plan our quality of life. We need to

12 make sure that we are speaking to the quality of life of

13 folks here and we have more of a chance of being heard if we

14 do.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. Okay, anything more
16 on the methodology? Is there any public testimony at this
17 point? Yes, Joe.

18 MR. BERTRAM: I think we had discussed about
19 methodology for other presentations by other groups
20 regarding whatever. I don't know if we want to do that now
21 or if we want to save that for the next meeting, but it
22 would be very helpful so if there are other groups that do
23 want to make presentations regarding whatever, that I think
24 it would be helpful if we did have a criteria or I guess
25 some kind of a protocol so we can have that, whether it's
0110

1 part of public testimony or what. But I think we need to
2 establish that so it makes it easy for people to make these
3 presentations.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Is that the issue Susan brought
5 up earlier? That was going to be on our agenda.

6 MS. MOIKEHA: It's just more what is the procedure
7 and process. We should also give some kind of structure to
8 that, and a time limit.

9 MR. BERTRAM: And then we can invite people, so
10 that would be great.

11 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Good point. Anything more on
12 item E? Any public testimony? Is there anything in item F,
13 or can we defer item F at this point? We need that item F,
14 Planning Director's report.

15 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. One thing
16 noted relating to the progress report, we do have our public
17 facility plans available tonight. We have hard copies and
18 digital forms of that, so we'd like a show of hands.

19 MS. AOKI: Kathleen Aoki. The final public
20 facilities report is in. I have it in hard copy and DVD. I
21 didn't bring enough hard copies, hoping that you guys might
22 want these CD's. One thing to note on this, this is my life
23 story with this consultant, the first page says pre-final on
24 it. That's wrong, it's the final, so ignore that. It just
25 says pre-final, but it is the final. So I will come around
0111

1 and then you guys can tell me what you want.

2 The other thing too that I want to bring up is
3 last week or the Saturday meeting that we had somebody left
4 this. It's like two containers and an ice cooler. Anybody?
5 I washed it. My other related duties. No? Okay. So thank

6 you.

7 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The
8 members should also have a meeting schedule, an updated
9 meeting schedule. On the front of this handout is a letter
10 from the Planning Department, a memorandum from the Planning
11 Department. Attached to that is an updated schedule and
12 meeting dates. In the list of handouts you should have
13 that.

14 If we turn to the third page of that you will see
15 the tentative meeting schedule for review of the Countywide
16 Policy Plan. Have the members located that table? At this
17 point we're roughly halfway through our review process.
18 We're at the two month mark on our four month review
19 schedule. And you can see from this handout, the dashed
20 line midway through just above that you will see the March
21 15th meeting for Lana'i. We're at the halfway point with
22 the March 21st meeting, today's meeting, and you will note
23 that our next meeting is April 11th.

24 Following up from the last meeting we did research
25 with Kaunoa to see if we could expand the hours. So for
0112

1 April 11th we have been able to secure the facility from
2 2:00 pm, so we gain an additional roughly three additional
3 hours.

4 We went through and looked at all of our future
5 meetings to try to expand the use of this facility and have
6 been able to secure it for a longer amount of time at our
7 future meetings to gain an additional six hours. We've also
8 added a meeting for May 16 and that would be a 4:00 pm to
9 9:00 pm meeting. So in total we have been able to add an
10 additional 12 hours of meeting time to this schedule.

11 So the next meeting we are confirmed in here for
12 April 11th from 2:00 pm until 8:00 or 9:00 pm, as the
13 committee desires. And then we will follow that up with a
14 meeting on April 18th.

15 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Dick.

16 MR. MAYER: Dick Mayer. I think one thing we
17 should briefly discuss is the format. We're going through
18 these policies in great detail, and most of which we haven't
19 even looked at. And there are three other sections at
20 least, one is the history, the long chapters on history, the
21 second are the strategies, two pages, and then the mission
22 statement, the vision, which is the image the public is

23 going to have. They are all things we are going to have to
24 discuss.

25 In looking at the history, I'm not sure if we need

0113

1 such a long history in here the way it's written. And I'm
2 just wondering whether it's possible for each of these 10
3 areas we have, transportation or education or whatever, what
4 aspects of Maui's history are relevant to education? What
5 aspects of Maui's history are relevant to transportation?
6 They may be helpful in tying the history to what we're
7 recommending. The policies and the objectives and the goals
8 are real critical, and if we can find that in the library,
9 there are books on Maui's history, and I'm just wondering
10 that doesn't really relate to the document, to the plan
11 itself, so to speak.

12 So I'm just wondering if there's any way to have
13 flexibility in taking the history, which many people had
14 problems with, and relating it to the areas we're
15 recommending.

16 MR. SUMMERS: Absolutely. I think there is some
17 room for flexibility. We welcome any comments from the
18 members in advance of even getting to those sections. If
19 any of the members want to red line these two advance
20 chapters, the history and the Maui Today sections, we
21 welcome that input as early as possible. Absolutely there
22 is flexibility in terms of shortening the sections if you
23 would like to do that, or doing some rearranging. Any
24 further questions on the meeting dates?

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I'm concerned about the 9:00,

0114

1 because we know we're going to lose our Lahaina people
2 before then. We are going to lose them around 8:00, 8:15,
3 so the 9:00 deadline doesn't work in my mind. Yes, Dick.

4 MR. MAYER: I just talked to Kaipo and I asked him
5 in terms of the schedule, and he said it turns out that
6 Wednesdays is the one day they work on the pali, and that's
7 why the problem. Unfortunately Hans is also gone now. Is
8 that true?

9 MR. SYLVA: I think they work at night, every
10 night.

11 MR. MAYER: He made the comment somehow that
12 Wednesdays was a particularly bad night on the pali.

13 MR. SYLVA: It's Monday through Friday.

14 MR. MAYER: Monday through Friday, I stand
15 corrected. That's what he told me.

16 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, John.

17 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I have a question for staff,
18 and that is, previously we talked about having a joint
19 meeting with the Moloka'i and Lana'i groups. Are we going
20 to have time to do that? It seems to me that there's really
21 things that we have to iron out with them, that it's going
22 to take -- we are going to need a couple of weeks to make
23 that happen. So is there a meeting scheduled with them, and
24 do you think we are going to make the deadline at this
25 point?

0115

1 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Regarding the
2 Moloka'i and Lana'i groups, we have discussed this issue at
3 our setting of the agenda meetings with your Chair and
4 Vice-Chair. We've thought about possibly having the Chair
5 and Vice-Chair from the three committees come together and
6 meet. That's one option, just to begin preliminary
7 discussions anyway. Regarding the overall meeting schedule,
8 I do believe we have time to get through the sections that
9 are required by the County Code, the goals, the policies,
10 the objectives, the vision statements. I believe we have
11 time to get through those sections easily within the
12 schedule that we've got.

13 It is a tough schedule, there's no question about
14 it. We are going to have to work efficiently and
15 aggressively in order to meet the requirement, the 120 day
16 requirement. But I think it is doable if we stay focused
17 and if we tackle this work with purpose.

18 CHAIRMAN CANNON: I'm concerned also, as you are,
19 Dick with those first sections, because I think you look at
20 the document it's the folders that say policy plan, it's not
21 just that one section that we have been dealing with all
22 this time. It's the whole thing that we have to look at as
23 a policy plan. Those first sections are important in
24 setting the stage for the policies that come afterwards,
25 especially including the overall vision and mission

0116

1 statement. So I'm concerned. And if you will notice,
2 between this meeting and the 11th that's three weeks that we
3 are not working on this, and that concerns me, especially
4 given the criticism we got in the beginning of being behind

5 the others. I would rather have a meeting in between there
6 and hopefully on a Saturday myself, would be my preference,
7 on the 31st, because that's not Easter, it's before Easter,
8 and that is not next weekend. Chubby.

9 MR. VICENS: Chubby Vicens. Is it not true that
10 one of the reasons for the gap is to allow the staff to
11 catch up and give us the things that we've been begging for?
12 And yet squeeze another meeting in and not give them time to
13 catch their breath? I think this is timely for them.

14 MR. SUMMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That
15 really is in my view the biggest threat to the schedule at
16 this point. It's not the lack of meetings, it's staff
17 ability to turn around the comments, which requires time at
18 your computers. So it's computer time. The three week
19 break is very important so we can turn around the matrix and
20 have productive meetings as we move forward. That's just
21 our two cents from the staff perspective.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Any other thoughts? Yes, Susan.

23 MS. MOIKEHA: Maybe I'm just really ignorant to
24 the whole time thing, but I don't know what the big deal is
25 about asking for an extension if it's needed. I mean I

0117
1 think we have progressed as efficiently as we could. You're
2 dealing with a whole new process, mandated by an ordinance.
3 This is the first go-round with this type of process. There
4 were a lot of issues that needed to be overcome. I don't
5 think we should worry about what the public thinks or are we
6 on time. I think everything we've done has followed a
7 process that needed to happen, and we've made good progress,
8 we're getting things done.

9 Tonight I look at another issue that came up,
10 well, did we really accomplish a whole goal? No, we didn't.
11 But there were some things that needed to happen before we
12 can go on to the next level and complete that. So if we
13 have got to ask for an extension, what is wrong with that?
14 Do you think the Council is going to say no, I am not going
15 to give you an extension and accept an incomplete process?
16 I don't think so. So I think we're paying so much attention
17 to this time frame, which is a good guide, and which is
18 mandated by an ordinance, but there is an exception in there
19 to ask for more time, and hopefully they will see that. And
20 I would ask that you look at that.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: That's a very good point, thank

22 you. Lucienne.

23 MS. DeNAIE: Lucienne deNaie. I wouldn't want to
24 put Gladys on the spot, but she is the chairperson of the
25 Planning Committee. Gladys, do you think that your members
0118

1 would just be fit to be tied if an extension was requested?

2 MS. BAISA: I'm not in a position to speak for my
3 committee, but, you know, one of the reasons why I've been
4 here is so that I would be able to speak authoritatively on
5 what goes on here. And I did want to thank all of you who
6 came to my Planning Committee meeting. You made a wonderful
7 showing, and you have got to know your Vice-Chair was there,
8 your Chair was there, many of the members were there, and
9 they testified. And it was very impressive, the work that
10 was presented to the County Council. And everybody saw it
11 and the public has seen it, so they know you've been working
12 really hard and I think they appreciate it.

13 So I don't think that it would be out of the realm
14 of possibility to come in and say, look, we have given it
15 our best shot but we need whatever more time, as long as
16 it's reasonable. And that's why I wanted to be here, so
17 that I could really vouch and appreciate for all the
18 dedication and work.

19 CHAIRMAN CANNON: For the record, that's Gladys
20 Baisa. And thank you very much for those comments, Gladys.
21 Yes, Hinano.

22 MR. RODRIGUES: Hinano Rodrigues. I know this is
23 going to sound like a complaint, but it really is (laughter)
24 -- it really isn't, it's more of a comment. I was kind of
25 disappointed when I looked at that schedule, because a lot
0119

1 of us are not retired, a lot of us don't own our own
2 companies, which means that a lot of us cannot leave work at
3 2:00. I work for the state. It's my responsibility to see
4 to it that my office stays open 7:45 to 4:30. So when my
5 staff leaves I've got to stay there until 4:30. So it's
6 going to be difficult for some of us. But being a
7 collaborator, I will go along with everybody else. But I
8 just want you to know ahead of time I probably won't show up
9 until 5:00.

10 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Yes, Joe Bertram.

11 MR. BERTRAM: I would ask you to take that into
12 consideration, because I've heard that a lot from other

13 members too that are not retired. I work for the government
14 too, but I have a little more flexible schedule.

15 I would echo what Susan said, and I think what
16 Gladys is saying. The only comments I've heard from the
17 public is they want us to take our time. They want a good
18 job. So they don't care if it's going to take another month
19 or so. That we take our time and don't rush to judgment.
20 I've seen too much of that at the legislature, so I think
21 this is something that's too important to really put a time
22 element on in the sense that we hold ourselves fast and true
23 to that.

24 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you. And it should be
25 stated that one of the reasons that we need this extra time

0120

1 is because we're understaffed. I mean these guys are what,
2 50 percent of the staff, and they're overworked over here.
3 They're working all the time. So I understand. You have a
4 very good point, Susan. Yes, Dick.

5 MR. MAYER: Since the last meeting I've made some
6 effort to try to see if we can get some extra staff. There
7 is a provision in the county that allows for emergency
8 hires, 89 day what they call "hires." If anybody here knows
9 anybody in the community who would like to work, who is
10 qualified to be somebody who is doing the type of work that
11 Erin and Simone and Kathleen have been doing, taking notes,
12 keeping the record, rewriting the language, writing skills
13 are important, please let John know and see if we can get
14 them hired to give the staff some break, some help.

15 Even setting up the microphones and all the extra
16 work that goes into organizing these meetings. If you know
17 somebody, please let John know. He has a description of the
18 job, but more important is somebody just approaching John
19 and saying they would like to work on a short-term basis.
20 They can hire them, and it's relatively easy to do. The
21 only thing they need is a drug test.

22 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for that, Dick. Okay,
23 we are moving into the announcements and setting of the
24 agenda at this point. Is there any other public testimony
25 before which move into that? Yes, David.

0121

1 MR. MOTOLEWSKI: Thank you, David Motolewski. I'd
2 like to echo the comments of some of the people who have
3 recently spoken, particularly Gladys. I have attended every

4 meeting now since sometime in November, and you guys are
5 superhuman. I don't think I could have -- I don't know if I
6 could have done this or not. But I'm seeing amazing
7 displays of patience and dedication. And if anybody ever
8 questions it, tell them to talk to me.

9 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for those words,
10 David. Yes, John.

11 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: This is not to put any pressure
12 on the staff, but when you are doing the draft language that
13 we're coming up with, for me, at least, it would be helpful
14 to get one section of it back just to start re-reviewing.
15 So rather than hold onto all the sections and then get them
16 out all at once, for me it's good to get stuff as soon as
17 it's available. And you're doing a great job, but that
18 works better for me that way. Thanks.

19 MR. SUMMERS: Absolutely, thank you. That's the
20 approach we'll take.

21 MR. MAYER: I would think if we're being given
22 sections like that the public also would probably have to be
23 able see it. So would it be up on the web as revisions or
24 at the office somehow that should be available to the
25 public? Not just handed to us, because then I think it
0122

1 becomes separate from the public's review.

2 MR. SUMMERS: That's correct, it would be a public
3 document and available to the public through the web.

4 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Anything more on that? Shall we
5 have our next meeting on April 11th, is everyone in
6 agreement with that? Yes, Corp Counsel.

7 MR. HOPPER: Not about the meeting, I just wanted
8 to reemphasize about the committee, just to make sure that
9 that committee can't have any contact outside of the meeting
10 with other members of the GPAC. There's an exception to the
11 Sunshine Law rule that two or more members generally cannot
12 communicate with each other on committee business outside of
13 the meetings. They have to be in public.

14 There's no limitation on each of you individually
15 to go over the document yourselves and to come up with the
16 recommendations that you would like to talk about at the
17 next meeting, and I think the staff anticipates that.
18 However, no deliberation can occur with the exception of
19 this committee outside of the committee on committee
20 business. I just wanted to be clear on that.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Thank you for that. Anything
22 else on that? I want to mention an announcement before we
23 go too much further. Two things. I want to acknowledge the
24 help Dick Mayer gave me in coming up with a draft for the
25 Viewpoint that you saw in yesterday's paper. Dick did a lot
0123

1 of hard work on that. Thank you very much. (Applause)

2 I also want to acknowledge Joe Bertram for his
3 legislation, working on his legislation recently to get more
4 local control of Maui Memorial Hospital. And thank you very
5 much for that, Joe. I know that's been a concern of this
6 committee. (Applause)

7 Any other announcements?

8 MS. WADE: I'm sorry, I just have one quick
9 announcement. We have a stack of the input forms, and Joe
10 has actually been instrumental in distributing them. I've
11 gotten probably 30 back from people that Joe has handed them
12 out to. In the Viewpoints letter to the editor they
13 suggested more public comments, so if you would like to
14 promote that or you know groups or people that would like to
15 submit a comment, please grab the forms. There's a big
16 stack in the back.

17 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Any other announcements? Do I
18 hear a motion to adjourn?

19 MS. DeNAIE: So moved.

20 MR. MAYER: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN CANNON: It's been moved and seconded.
22 Any further discussion?

23 MR. VICENS: Did we set the agenda for the next
24 meeting? That was my question.

25 CHAIRMAN CANNON: Setting the agenda, well, we
0124

1 have the item that Susan mentioned, and we will be taking up
2 the rest of the items on this workshop. Thank you for that
3 question.

4 MR. VICENS: Thank you.

5 CHAIRMAN CANNON: So if there's no other comments,
6 we are adjourned. Thank you.

7

8 (The proceedings were concluded at 8:45 p.m.)

9

10

11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0125

1 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

2
3 I, JEANNETTE W. IWADO, Notary Public for the State of
4 Hawaii, certify:

5 That the proceedings contained herein were taken by
6 me in machine shorthand and were thereafter reduced to print
7 under my supervision by means of computer-aided
8 transcription; that the foregoing represents, to the best of
9 my ability, a true and accurate transcript of the
10 proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

11
12 Dated the 9th day of April, 2007

13
14
15
16
17
18 _____
19 NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Hawaii
20 My commission expires 2/5/08

21
22
23
24
25