

**URBAN DESIGN REVIEW BOARD  
REGULAR MEETING  
MAY 3, 2011**

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular meeting of the Urban Design Review Board (Board) was called to order by Ms. Linda Kay Okamoto, Chair, at approximately 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, May 3, 2011, in the Planning Department Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Island of Maui.

A quorum of the Board was present (see Record of Attendance.)

Ms. Linda Kay Okamoto: Our first item on the agenda is elections of officers for the 2011-2012 year. Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson. Do I hear nominations? We will start with Chairperson.

**B. ELECTION OF OFFICERS for 2011-2012 YEAR - Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson**

Mr. Michael Silva: First, do we know who's staying and who's going?

Ms. Okamoto: I think everybody is staying. Clayton, can you answer that question?

Mr. Clayton Yoshida: This is a new – the first meeting that we have of the new board year that started on April 1<sup>st</sup>. So all of those who are listed here are here at least until March 31<sup>st</sup> of next year. And then in April of next year, we'll elect officers again. Some of the member's term may end at the end of March of 2012, but this is what we have for now.

Ms. Okamoto: Okay, so if you'll look at your agenda at the top. Linda, Darryl, Morgan, Susan, Jane, Bryan, myself and Michael will have at least another year, and we do elect officers every year. So, do I have nominations for Chairperson?

Ms. Jane Marshall: I think you're doing an excellent job. I really do.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you.

Ms. Marshall: So I nominate you.

Ms. Linda Berry: I second that.

Ms. Okamoto: Alright, further nominations?

Mr. Silva: Linda for Vice-Chair.

Ms. Okamoto: Okay.

Ms. Marshall: I second.

Ms. Okamoto: Further nominations?

Ms. Linda Berry: I'd like to nominate Michael for Vice-Chair.

Ms. Okamoto: Michael for Vice-Chair. Any further nominations? Currently we have Linda Okamoto for Chair. Linda Berry and Michael Silva for Vice-Chair. Any further nominations? If not, can I have a motion to close nominations?

Mr. Morgan Gerdel: So move.

Ms. Okamoto: Alright. It's been moved. Do we have a second?

Ms. Berry: Second.

Ms. Okamoto: Moved and seconded that we close nominations. All in favor?

Board Members: "Aye."

**It was moved by Mr. Morgan Gerdel, seconded by Ms. Linda Berry, then**

**VOTED: to close the nominations for Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.**

Ms. Okamoto: Nominations then will read for Chair – my name is the one listed. For Vice-Chair I have two, Linda Berry and Michael Silva. I guess by a show of hands we can vote for Vice-Chair. All in favor of Linda Berry please raise your hand. All in favor of Michael Silva?

**It was moved by Mr. Michael Silva, seconded by Ms. Jane Marshall, then unanimously**

**VOTED: Ms. Linda Berry as Vice-Chairperson.**

**(Assenting: K. Okamoto, J. Marshall, M. Gerdel, M. Silva, M. Kimmey  
Excused: S. Liscombe, D. Canady, B. Maxwell)**

Ms. Marie Kimmey: It's a done deal.

Ms. Okamoto: I think so. Linda Berry will continue as –.

Mr. Michael Hopper: . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Okamoto: I did.

Mr. Hopper: You did? I didn't see your hand.

Ms. Okamoto: So, five votes for Linda Berry.

Mr. Silva: You did such a good Linda.

Ms. Berry: Thank you.

**C. ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 1, 2011 MEETING MINUTES**

Ms. Okamoto: Moving along. Administrative approval of the March 1<sup>st</sup> minutes. I had a few small things. Did anyone else have items? Okay, I will pass those over and they will be approved administratively.

**The March 1, 2011 Urban Design Review Board meeting minutes were approved administratively with the amendments/corrections as submitted.**

**D. COMMUNICATIONS**

- 1. MR. WILLIAM SPENCE, Planning Director requesting review and comments on the proposed plans for the proposed cafeteria building as shown in the application by MR. BENJAMIN MIURA, Project Manager of the STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT BRANCH, PLANNING SECTION requesting a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit for the Paia Elementary School on approximately 9.954 acres in the State Agricultural District at TMK: 2-5-005: 004, Paia, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2011/0001) (Danny Dias)**

**The scope of the request includes the construction of a new cafeteria building as the old one burned down in 2005.**

**The Board is being asked to provide its comments on the plans for the proposed cafeteria and related improvements for transmittal to the Maui Planning Commission with respect to its review of the Special Use Permit request on May 24.**

(Ms. Okamoto, Chair, read the above project description into the record.)

Mr. Danny Dias: Good morning Chair Okamoto, members of the Urban Design Review Board. The item before you as stated involves a Special Use Permit (SUP) for the Paia Elementary School. Just a little history on why we're here. In 2005 the cafeteria at the school burned down, and the Department of Education (DOE) converted one of the classrooms on the property for use as a temporary cafeteria. Last year the DOE acquired the funding to construct a new cafeteria and submitted building permits. Unfortunately during that process the County discovered that the property is in the State Agricultural District. For some reason in 1961, even though the school had been there at least since the 30's, the State Land Use Commission

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

designated the school and the surrounding properties in the agricultural district. So, this inconsistency from what I understand never surfaced because the school never really needed building permits. So as we stand now the school needs a special use permit. Due to the nature of their request, we're fast tracking this and it's scheduled before the Planning Commission at the end of this month. Since the trigger of all of this, though, is a new cafeteria, we wanted to bring this before the board. They know the Planning Commission appreciates your input, so does the Department of Planning.

Another thing to point out is the Paia Elementary School is listed on the Hawaii and National Register of Historic Places. And I believe two buildings, in particular, are listed. That would be the administrative building which is referred to as Building-A in your packet, along with one of the classroom buildings which is referred to as Building-B. The project has been reviewed by the State Historic Preservation Division's Architectural Branch, and they support the project and the design. From what I understand they review the project in accordance with standards set by the Secretary of Interior. And I believe the standards require the building to fit in, but not be so similar that you can't really differentiate what's actually historic and what's new. So, with that, that concludes our brief summary. I'm going to hand it over to project's architect, and he'll do a quick presentation.

Mr. Nhan Nguyen: Good morning. My name Nhan Nguyen. I'm from Design Partners, and we are the consultant's architect for DOE. We also have with us Mr. Gerald Park, our planning consultant, and Mr. Nick Nichols from DOE.

Ms. Okamoto: I'm sorry. You're going to have to speak into the microphone a little more so she can pick up the names.

Mr. Nguyen: Okay. Thank you for inviting us here today to present to you the project of Paia Elementary School Cafeteria.

With the first slide, what you see is the vicinity map showing the Paia Elementary School campus about a mile and a half or so from Paia Town. The satellite picture on the right showing the existing campus is surrounded on all four sides by agricultural land. Down the south side of the site is the Baldwin Avenue. Across from the street is an existing church, Holy Rosary, I believe.

The next slide – the intention of this slide is to show you the existing conditions of the environment of the campus at the front end of the campus. The little rectangle you see right here is believed to be the previous cafeteria. The particular photo right here showing the existing slab that left over from the cafeteria that was burned down back in 2005. And with this view you can see Baldwin Avenue right in front of it, and you can see the church in the background.

This particular slide showing the views of the back of the campus. Mostly what you see is the open grass area with a lot of vegetation and good size tree. On top of the right hand corner,

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

this is what appears to be a private graveyard. It's not part of the school, but I guess the school adopted it, and taking care of it.

As Danny mentioned before the campus has about seven building structures. There are two major buildings that are on the Historical Preservation list. This is one of them. This is the front elevation and this is the back elevation of the building. The building is being used as an administration and as a classroom building. This is the second building. I believe it was constructed back in 1930. It's also on the Historical Preservation list, and it's being used as a classroom building also.

There are a few other buildings about the same size of residential house in not very good shape. And this particular building is being used as a library, and this building is for teacher's facility.

What you see in this slide is a proposed site plan. As you're coming down Baldwin Avenue from Paia Town, you can make a left turn into the existing entry to a campus school, coming down the existing drive way to a cul-de-sac. On this side you have an existing parking lot and we just re-stripe the parking lot to accommodate a total of 28 parking stalls for the new proposed cafeteria. This is an existing bus stop. Here is an ADA complied drop off area for the students. Over here is the existing library that you have just seen, and the teacher facility over here. This particular building, it used to be a classroom building that have converted into the temporary cafeteria. Due to it's small size, the school is serving about three to four lunches a day to 220 students. With the new proposed cafeteria they can just do just one lunch for all 220 students so that will eliminate a lot of hard work for them. The existing walkway system right here will connect into the new cafeteria. The new administration building – I'm sorry, the existing administration building you see earlier is right here. The campus is one big open grass area on this side. The students generally play in this area and come up to the building from this side for lunch.

In this slide – the intention of this slide is to show the extension of the landscape work as you see the dash line around the building, and also the grading work for the building. We keep the grading to a very minimum to minimize the disturbance to the existing site. Since the site already have a lot of big shade trees, we added a few smaller shade trees up front and a couple of shade trees to the parking area.

The intention of this slide is to show you the minimum work, electric work onsite. We added three light posts to the parking lot shining down to the ground, and there are some security lights around the building. This line right here indicates a trench connecting the new data line and telephone line from the administration building to the new cafeteria building. We have a closet for a data server within the new building.

This is the proposed new cafeteria floor plan. The big square area in the center is the main dining room. It's about 3,200 square feet. The building also has a stage for the children to preform. It's a portable stage so the school have the option of fold up and move the stage

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

outside for outdoor activity such as May Day, and spring activity and performance. Behind the stage you have a boy's dressing room and a girl's dressing room. The room is divided by a moveable partition, and the partition can be retracted to use as a small general classroom for different occasions especially after school for the A-Plus office right here. To the right of the A-Plus office you have a staff dining area, about 550 square feet. You also have a storage area for additional chairs and tables, and some of the stage equipment. Down at the lower corner you have the boy's restroom open to the outside, and a girl's restroom open to the outside, and a unisex restroom. To the left of the floor plan you have a kitchen, a serving kitchen about 1,300 square feet. They will limit cooking capability. The school is getting food delivered to them daily from a nearby intermediate school. In the back of the kitchen you have the maintenance service facility with locker room, shower, storage area, and a repair shop.

What you're looking at is the exterior elevation of the building. The west elevation is the main elevation looking into the existing driveway of the school. The construction is considered a concrete slab on grade. The exterior is a CMU wall, and the roof system is combined of wood framing and heavy timber with a metal standing seamed roof on plywood deck. The east elevation – this is the elevation that faces the playground for the kids where the kids will come up and enter to have lunch from this side of the building. We tried to keep the scale down to match the existing campus. Also, is a good side, footprint building, we try not to compete with the existing two-story building on the campus. That is one of the comments from the Historical Preservation review.

On this slide we show a 3D rendering of the west elevation. This is the main elevation that faces the driveway coming on to the campus. This is an existing bus stop. Again, we will keep a consistency with the look on the campus with the light, standing seamed metal roof, and an exterior finish system in a light beige color similar to the two historical buildings on the campus right now.

And this is also a 3D view from Baldwin Street looking to the backside of the building. This is the existing playground, and the administration building is over here. The kids will play over here and come up, and cue up, and come under a deep overhang in the back of the building, come into the kitchen, get their lunch and come back into the dining area. What you see here is the back of the kitchen and the maintenance facility. And I think that's all we have, and I'll be glad to answer any questions that you might have. Thank you.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you. We will start with questions from the board. Morgan, do you have questions?

Mr. Gerdel: Okay, I have a question regarding the existing building's – what is the roof material on the existing building?

Mr. Nguyen: It's a corrugated metal roof. It's a metal roof. It's more of a –. It not as nice as a standard seamed roof that we proposed. It's pretty much a corrugated metal roofless curve and that's it.

Mr. Gerdel: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Nguyen: Sure.

Ms. Okamoto: Jane, questions?

Ms. Marshall: Are the original buildings Charles Dickey buildings?

Ms. Okamoto: Jane, I think – can you speak a little louder?

Mr. Nguyen: No, they are not. I believe they were designed by an architect named Edmond Desmond. . . (inaudible) . . . Classical Revival style that was popularized in Europe in late 1900's.

Ms. Marshall: Thank you. The sidewalks being, I guess, I'll put it this way – the Hawaii Historic Society suggested that you move some of the sidewalks away from the exterior facade to allow for planting against the buildings to mimic the other secondary buildings onsite. What's your response to that?

Mr. Nguyen: We took a look at that. The problem we mentioned to them that if we put landscape right against the building, there would be sprinkler system had to go up against it, and the water will constantly wash up against the wall, and that becomes a maintenance issue, and it would damage the existing finish on the exterior wall.

Ms. Marshall: That brings me to the next question. It's a CMU wall. Are you using a split face CMU finish that's buffed colored or are you applying an additional finish?

Mr. Nguyen: We're proposing an exterior finish system. It's similar –. It's a plaster like system. It's going to be similar to the existing two major buildings, so it's almost like a plaster. It will hide all the joints. You would not see the joints of the CMU at all.

Ms. Marshall: Are you adding any square footage to that existing concrete pad to accommodate this building?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes. The new floor plan, the new footing is about 8,400 square feet total. It's a much larger building than the original cafeteria. I think the original cafeteria is about 2,200 to 2,500 square feet.

Ms. Marshall: And your light posts you mentioned in your presentation, what are they?

Mr. Nguyen: They are – they are high pressured sodium light fixtures which is not very bright.

Ms. Marshall: They're yellow, right?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes.

Ms. Marshall: Why did you decided to do that? Is there a precedence in Paia for that?

Mr. Nguyen: I think, I believe the electrical engineer might have some kind of concern about light leaking out, and usually that's what people complain about with a brighter, stronger light fixture.

Ms. Marshall: You don't want me to add any comments. We're going to go around later.

Ms. Okamoto: No.

Ms. Marshall: Okay, I'll just comment on that later then. Thank you very much.

Ms. Okamoto: Is that it Jane?

Ms. Marshall: That's it. Yes. Thank you.

Ms. Berry: What is the intended color of the EFS?

Mr. Nguyen: It's pretty much what you see is a very natural tan/beige color. We intended to match the existing two buildings.

Ms. Berry: To match the existing building?

Mr. Nguyen: Yeah.

Ms. Berry: Okay thank you. What's an A-Plus office?

Mr. Nguyen: A-Plus office is an after school program school taking care of the kids that parent cannot pick them up until five or six o'clock.

Ms. Berry: I see that this is to be a Type-B hurricane shelter as well as a cafeteria.

Mr. Nguyen: Correct.

Ms. Berry: What are the plans for parking when it becomes a shelter?

Mr. Nguyen: As far as Civil Defense is concerned in an emergency situation, people will park anywhere.

Ms. Berry: Okay. So they can park of the grassy area?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes.



Ms. Berry: Okay. And what about the restrooms?

Mr. Nguyen: The restrooms will stay open to serve the people within the cafeteria during the emergency situation.

Ms. Berry: Do they think that the toilets for the women, and one for the men will be sufficient?

Mr. Nguyen: Probably not, but due to the practicality and the cost effective of the dollars, we don't want to spend a lot of money to build a big restroom that might be used once every 10 years or 15 years.

Ms. Berry: Thank you.

Mr. Nguyen: Thank you.

Ms. Okamoto: Marie?

Ms. Kimmey: I had a question about the existing slab. Was your intent to integrate it into the new slab, or were you going to be removing it?

Mr. Nguyen: We intend to totally remove the existing slab, re-grade that area, build up the grade, and put in the new slab for the new building.

Ms. Kimmey: Thank you. That was my only question.

Ms. Okamoto: Michael?

Mr. Silva: I have comments and questions. The Hawaii High Performance School Guidelines and the LEED guidelines, are you guys doing anything to target a specific level?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes. We design to meet LEED. Right now we're not aimed to go in for certification. However, staff will take in to the design, like, we're to do vat installation in the roof system to keep out heat. We're trying to bring in, with tall windows – it's hard to see from this picture – but the window in the dining room is almost 13 feet high to bring in natural light. And down the bottom, we have jalousie window for cross ventilation. Interior lighting system is on a timer, so the school can say that a certain time, based on the operation, to automatically turn off at night to save power. We also have a solar panel for the hot water system. And the landscape around the new building, we're trying to be very efficient about so we don't add a lot of irrigation to the ground, to minimize the water usage also.

Mr. Silva: One comment I had earlier about the plants is, I guess, if you had a drip irrigation, there wouldn't be any spray on the wall. Did you guys consider any drip irrigation with the plant thing, to soften that face?

Mr. Nguyen: I think they have a mix of both.

Ms. Silva: But to get plants closer to the building, I guess that was one of –

Mr. Nguyen: Yeah. Yes, we also looked at the drip irrigation system right up against also. But, it's less of a problem, but it still introduce a moisture problem around the building.

Mr. Silva: Okay. Next comment I had – I picked up somewhat on the landscape plans, there was some drainage, maybe some underground pipes that will help retain some storm water. Is that correct?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes. That's how we drain. Right now we're controlling the water runoff on site with the dry wells around the building. I believe five of those dry wells will connect to the down spout, so the water runoff from the roof, it directly runs into the dry well.

Mr. Silva: Okay. Very good. Is there going to be fire sprinklers installed? If that a requirement now?

Mr. Nguyen: No, it's a one story building, and because of the type of use, five sprinklers is not necessary.

Mr. Silva: CMU and metal roof, so there's a little combustibility.

Mr. Nguyen: Yeah.

Mr. Silva: Last item I have, just looking through in your presentation, is there – talking about the partition for the dressing room. Is there going to be any kind of locks on that? I'm just thinking, kids. It just jumped out at me.

Mr. Nguyen: That is a special requirement by the school, so I'm pretty sure they will have a lock.

Mr. Silva: A secured lock.

Mr. Nguyen: Yeah.

Mr. Silva: That's it for me.

Mr. Nguyen: Boys grow up fast these days, we realize that.

Ms. Okamoto: I just have a couple of questions. Do we have any kind of display of samples of the finishes?

Mr. Nguyen: Unfortunately, we don't have any samples with us.

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

Ms. Okamoto: Just a reminder to the Planning Department that that is a requirement we'd like to, in the future. I don't think we would stop because of that, but –.

Mr. Dias: Yeah, we do notify applicants. I think they all came in from Oahu so . . . (inaudible)  
. . .

Ms. Okamoto: And basically if things are going to match what's already there – so colors and that kind of thing.

Mr. Nguyen: Right.

Ms. Okamoto: Okay. Then on parking, you had mentioned you had a total of how much parking on campus?

Mr. Nguyen: 28 parking stalls.

Ms. Okamoto: And that, during the school day, that pretty well handles the administration and teachers, correct?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes.

Ms. Okamoto: What about if you have a May Day program? If you have those kinds of things, where do people park?

Mr. Nguyen: The parking is calculated on the requirement that the cafeteria also being used on weekend and after hours as a community service center. And the total requirement comes out to 28 parking stalls.

Ms. Okamoto: Is there any where on campus for overflow parking?

Mr. Nguyen: Yes, the school –. The school, I mentioned, that down the west side of the campus, there's a basketball court down here and some open space down here. They mentioned that when they have special activities, people do park down there in the open grass area.

Ms. Okamoto: Okay. Thank you. Any further questions from the board? Yes?

Mr. Silva: Do we know why the other building, or what the cause of the other building burning down was? Was it vandalism or cooking?

Mr. Nguyen: I believe it was vandalism, but I'm not a 100% sure on that.

Ms. Marshall: Are there any other hurricane shelters in Paia? Is this it?

Mr. Nguyen: I'm not aware of.

Ms. Marshall: Does anybody else know?

Mr. Dias: Yeah, the only thing I could think of would be the community center. And then you have Holy Rosary across the street, but I don't know if that's really a designated area.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you Danny. Any further questions? Now, we'll open it up for public testimony. Seeing no public testimony, public testimony is closed. We'll proceed with comments now from members of the board. Michael, we'll start with you this time.

Mr. Silva: Actually, I have no comments.

Ms. Okamoto: Marie?

Ms. Kimmey: I think it will be a nice addition to the campus.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you. Linda?

Ms. Berry: I particularly like the west elevation. I'd be happy if the east elevation had lower roof line on it as well to provide more shade to the windows. That's it. Thank you.

Ms. Okamoto: Jane?

Ms. Marshall: I would recommend that you get your electrical engineer to re-think high pressure sodium lighting.

Mr. Nguyen: Certainly.

Ms. Okamoto: And Morgan?

Mr. Gerdel: I have a recommendation or comment regarding the roof material. Did you at all look at matching the corrugate metal roofing as far as the character of the layout?

Mr. Nguyen: No, we're looking for a standard seamed metal roof, with the seamed spacing about 18 inch on center. It's a stronger roof. If you look for a distance, they will look very similar, but they're a new technology. They're designed to support the hurricane shelter. The hurricane Type-B shelter required to withstand a gust of wind of 118 miles per hour. So the corrugated metal roof will not serve that purpose.

Mr. Gerdel: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Okamoto: The comments that I heard was basically to look at the roof line. Possibly if they could lower the roof line on the east elevation. And to look at the street lights.

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

Ms. Marshall: The high pressure sodium lighting.

Ms. Okamoto: The high pressure lighting.

Ms. Marshall: Speaking of vandalism.

Ms. Okamoto: So those were the only two comments I heard. Is that correct? We are simply making our recommendation to the – it will go to the Maui Planning Commission with our comments. Am I correct?

Mr. Dias: That's correct.

Ms. Okamoto: Any other thing we want to add to it? We're all basically looking at two things. Would everyone on the board agree with those two recommendations that came out?

Ms. Kimmey: I'd like to just add that there's been a lot of advances in lighting and we've been replacing the high sodium at some of the condos with LEED LED lighting. I think you'd find you'd get LEED points for it, and it does essentially the same kind of light. So, it's a good product and over time it will be cheaper for the electric bill.

Mr. Nguyen: Thank you.

Ms. Okamoto: Any other, on our comments? If not, do I hear a motion to move our comments on to the Planning Commission?

Ms. Berry: I so move.

Ms. Okamoto: Second?

Mr. Gerdel: Second.

Ms. Okamoto: Moved and seconded that we recommend approval with our comments regarding possibly lowering the roof line on the east side, and re-looking at the light, exterior lighting. All in favor?

Board Members: "Aye."

Ms. Okamoto: Opposed? That motion passes. Thank you. We'll just take a real quick break in place.

**It was moved by Ms. Linda Berry, seconded by Mr. Morgan Gerdel, then unanimously**

**VOTED: to recommend approval to the Planning Commission with the**

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

**comments regarding possibly lowering the roof line on the east side, and re-looking at the exterior lighting.**

**E. DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

- 1. Status of the filling of Board vacancies**
- 2. Agenda Items for the June 7, 2011 meeting.**

Ms. Okamoto: Alright, we're going to back track for just a moment on our agenda. We realized that in the voting for Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson we didn't actually vote for a Chairperson. There is one nomination, and we do need to take a vote because if we don't get five votes, then we have no Chairperson. So we do need an official vote on that issue. Any questions on that? Okay, all in favor of Linda Okamoto for Chairperson, please raise your hand. Thank you. It has been passed.

**It was moved by Mr. Michael Silva, seconded by Ms. Jane Marshall, then unanimously**

**VOTED: Ms. Linda Kay Okamoto as Chairperson.**

Ms. Okamoto: Alright, moving along in our agenda, Director's report. Clayton.

Mr. Yoshida: Good morning Madame Chairperson, members of the Board, and congratulations on your re-elections. As far as the vacancies, there is a vacancy created by the resignation of Gary Brauner as a regular member. The Mayor did nominate a gentleman. His nomination was reviewed by the Council Policy Committee on April 15<sup>th</sup>, and I believe that it is scheduled – his confirmation is scheduled before the Council at their next meeting on the May 10<sup>th</sup>. So we may have another regular member as of May 10<sup>th</sup>. We still have two vacancies for alternate members. We have the two architects – Marie Kimmey and Mary Wagner. Under Chapter 2.26 of the Maui County Code, we're required to have a registered civil engineer and a registered landscape architect. So, we still have two vacancies.

**F. NEXT MEETING DATE: June 7, 2011**

Mr. Yoshida: Our next meeting is scheduled for June 7<sup>th</sup>. And while we're not being inundated by Special Management Area Permits, we do have an item, a Special Management Area Permit for the Auwahi Wind Farm. This is in Ulupalakua. This is -. It went to the Planning Commission in March for comments on the draft EIS. It went to the Cultural Resources Commissions in April for their comments. And they'll be before you in June 7<sup>th</sup> for the SMA review.

Ms. Okamoto: Of this project?

Mr. Yoshida: Yes. At least for the wind turbines and the related notes.

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

Ms. Marshall: Do we ever get to go on field trips? It sounds like one that we should. No?

Mr. Yoshida: Well –. That's the only item we have scheduled at this time.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you. So at this time we would be scheduled for a June meeting?

Mr. Yoshida: Yes.

Ms. Okamoto: And hopefully we'll have one new member at that time.

Mr. Yoshida: Yes.

Ms. Okamoto: Thank you. Any other questions? If not, meeting is adjourned.

**G. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business brought forward to the Board, the UDRB meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:39 a.m.

Respectfully transmitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO  
Secretary to Boards and Commissions I

**APPROVED 06-07-2011**

**RECORD OF ATTENDANCE:**

**PRESENT:**

Linda Kay Okamoto, Chair  
Linda Berry, Vice-Chair  
J. Mogan Gerdel  
Marie Kimmey (Alternate Member)  
Jane Marshall  
Michael Silva

**EXCUSED:**

Darryl Canady  
Susan Liscombe  
Bryan Maxwell

**OTHERS:**

Clayton Yoshida, Planning Program Administrator, Department of Planning  
Danny Dias, Staff Planner, Department of Planning  
Michael Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel, Department of Corporation Counsel