

**LANA'I PLANNING COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
AUGUST 27, 2014**

**APPROVED 10-04-2014**

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular meeting of the Lana'i Planning Commission (Commission) was called to order by Chair John Ornellas at approximately 5:36 p.m., Wednesday, August 27, 2014, in the Lana'i Senior Center, Lana'i City, Hawaii.

A quorum of the Board was present (See Record of Attendance).

Mr. John Ornellas: Alright. Let's call this meeting to order. This is the Lana'i Planning Commission CPAC Review of August 27<sup>th</sup>, 2014, at the Lana'i Senior Center. First up I'd like to call anybody who'd like to do any testimony? Ron, would you like to come up and testify now or you'll just wait till later? Okay, you'll wait till later. Okay, and then we're gonna do a change if there's no objections. I want to go -- let's bypass C and go to D.

Okay, D, is next meeting dates. Alright so our next meeting date is -- let me pull this --. Is that E? Oh, you want to go home already? Okay, so are there any objections that we go to item D, next meeting date? We have, hello, where are we? So our next meeting for us would be, for Lana'i Planning Commission, would be the 17<sup>th</sup> and that's a regular scheduled meeting on the 17<sup>th</sup>. Now there is a possibility that that maybe, that they may not have anything for us. So instead of canceling that date we bring back CPAC for that date since we've already got it on our schedule. So it's up to Clayton and that she'll get back -- he will get to Mary, and Mary will then let us know. But we have a meeting anyways. Yeah, and then that's my next one. And then so the 24<sup>th</sup> is a -- I'm sorry, the 27<sup>th</sup>. The 24<sup>th</sup> is the regularly scheduled? Okay, alright. And then -- and so that's set. No problems with anybody on the 24<sup>th</sup>? I think we had a long enough time. And then -- the 24<sup>th</sup>. Okay. Yeah, it's here. Okay, Mary you've got that? Kelli won't be here on the 24<sup>th</sup>. And then hopefully what-you-call-it will be here. The 24<sup>th</sup> is the CPAC review, yes. And then we have two more members that are not here -- oh, three, counting Joelle -- but we have . . . (inaudible) . . . Oshiro and Shelly, so we would have to make sure that at least we have five so can start the meeting and get, get going. Then, according to Mary, it seems like we are going to need another three meetings after that. Go ahead.

Ms. Mary Jorgensen: If you -- if the 17<sup>th</sup> becomes available, then the 17<sup>th</sup> and the 24<sup>th</sup> you may get it done during that time. But it would be good to have one more back up meeting scheduled.

Mr. Ornellas: And we were looking at October 4<sup>th</sup>, which is a Saturday. Any, any problems with that? Bev, you usually work Saturdays. You're okay with that day? Okay thank you. Okay. That will be the -- that will be the last CPAC review meeting. Yeah, that would be the last -- we're hoping that would be last CPAC meeting. It's kind of like a double meeting since it's running six. So whatever we can't get done prior to that Saturday. So if it only takes two hours, it takes two hours. Nine to three. Okay, any objections? So, Mary, can you put it down that we will, we will do that.

Ms. Jorgensen: I have that down, and I'll contact the other commissioners.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. And then the, the October one would probably have to be some place else. She, she will tell us. Just -- it's going to be on Lana'i some place, so, but write it down for the 4<sup>th</sup>.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. Even if you have to do it down at Keamoku some place? Oh, can we get a permit for that? Okay, are we good with the meeting schedule for the next two months? September, October? And thank god it should be over with. Joelle, you'll get, you'll get it in the mail. Okay, so Joelle just came in. So we have Joelle, Kelli, Stacie, myself, Stu and Bev, members. Alright so, so let's get back to item C. Go ahead Mary. Yeah, because there's going to be a change because we have no Corp Counsel, and I don't know why we need a lawyer, but Mr. Hopper has a meeting on Maui and he will be on the phone, if we need him, after 7:30. So we will rearrange the schedule a little bit as far as what we take up so go ahead.

**B. PUBLIC TESTIMONY - At the discretion of the Chair, public testimony may also be taken when each agenda item is discussed, except for contested cases under Chapter 91, HRS. Individuals who cannot be present when the agenda item is discussed may testify at the beginning of the meeting instead and will not be allowed to testify again when the agenda item is discussed unless new or additional information will be offered. Maximum time limits of at least three minutes may be established on individual testimony by the Commission. More information on oral and written testimony can be found below.**

**C. Lana'i PLANNING COMMISSION DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO THE DECEMBER 2013 DRAFT Lana'i COMMUNITY PLAN**

1. Ch. 1 Introduction
2. Ch. 2 Vision + Principles, etc.
3. Ch. 3 Environment
4. Ch. 4 Hazard Mitigation
5. Ch. 5 Historic, Cultural & Scenic
6. Ch. 6 Economic Development
7. Ch. 7 Infrastructure
8. Ch. 8 Public Facilities & Services
9. Ch. 9 Land Use
10. Ch. 10 Urban Design
11. Ch. 11 Housing
12. Ch. 12 Governance
13. Ch. 13 Implementation
14. Appendices

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, there's two chapters that have not been reviewed yet by the Planning Commission. Okay, there's two chapters that the Planning Commission has not reviewed yet

which are Chapters 12 and 13, and Jen Maydan is going to present those.

Ms. Jen Maydan: Jen Maydan. I don't have much to present, but, so first is Chapter 12, the Governance chapter which basically addresses government representation and public participation in the government decision making process as well as communication between the community and Pulama Lana'i. Chapter 12, Governance is a pretty brief chapter. We have on the third page of the chapter I have a couple of items to -- a couple of recommendations to bring up, but I think we can just go through the chapter starting from the beginning if anybody has any comments. Okay, just to make sure you know it's in the book, not the binder.

Mr. Ornellas: Guess what I brought? Okay. Alright, but, is, is that, is that up there, that chapter? No. Okay, so Governance. Go ahead.

Ms. Maydan: I have nothing else to present.

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, okay.

Ms. Maydan: It is yours.

Mr. Ornellas: So the OCS, is that the governance? What is OCS? Office of Council Services? Yeah. This is, this is actions on page 12-3.

Ms. Maydan: Yes. Office of Council Services.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. And then -- so they're gonna, once this, this is established, once our plan is established then this plan then goes to whom? Planning Department?

Ms. Maydan: So you're referring to implementation.

Mr. Ornellas: Implementation right.

Ms. Maydan: So this is governance. This is more the existing relationship between government entities and the community and Pulama Lana'i. Implementation is Chapter 13.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright so does -- does implementation, that is 13?

Ms. Maydan: Implementation is 13. And to answer to your question, yes, it would go to the Planning Department who will have the responsibility for coordinating implementation.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so then, so then for instance, you know, two years from now, Kelli says, you know, I thought we talked about this in the community plan, and we're doing something different. So, I mean, who would she contact besides the Planning Department, but who would be -- she wanted to make sure somebody is actually listening. Who would she contact?

Ms. Maydan: There is a new division within the Planning Department, the implementation

division, so there would likely be a contact person there to contact. But I would like to recommend that we get through, maybe get through Chapter 12, Governance, and then address that in 13.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, then members, any questions about Chapter 12?

Ms. Beverly Zigmond: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.

Ms. Zigmond: This is actually a question, I guess, for Mary because this is your book and, and this is something that Butch had brought up several meetings ago and something that has stuck in my mind. You have an addition here to add an action, create a Lana'i Community Plan Implementation/Planner position, and that is something, again, that we had talked about so I would like to see if that's possible. Or does it go in the next chapter?

Ms. Maydan: No, it would -- Jen Maydan. Yeah, you have -- that's my book actually and you have my hand written notes, yeah. And in a new version that I've, I have notes here, yes, that --. Oh, it is in there. So, keeping track of people's comments from other chapters that apply to governance we did add into Actions 12.08 and 12.09, and you're addressing 12.09, create a Lana'i Community Plan Implementation Planner position.

Ms. Zigmond: Am I still on? Okay. Can you talk about that position briefly? Would that be a paid position, a volunteer position, is it a county position, what, what might it be?

Ms. Maydan: I would say at this time it is not flushed out. It was a recommendation.

Ms. Zigmond: Okay, at some point then, and it might not be now, but hopefully we will be involved in the discussion of how that position looks. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: As you may have noticed, Riki Hokama has gotten us four wireless mics. So we're only using two. We used it our last meeting. So Bev's and the Planning Department's they're wireless. So, you know, when Ron wants, has something to say then we'll make sure the mic gets hoofed out there to him and then he can answer that so. So and then for large meetings we have two more so we can, we can implement that. And I want to thank Councilman Riki Hokama for doing this. We've been asking him for many, many years and finally we got it. Thank you. Alright, so --. Okay Ron. So 12, we okay with 12? Alright so any objections that we accept Chapter 12 as written? With the, with the additions?

Ms. Maydan: There are two additions and one deletion. And we talked about the one addition, the 12.09. 12.08 is also an addition which was, I believe, you chair brought that up to provide the Lana'i Planning Commission with annual status report as described in 2.80B.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. Maydan: And then the deletion is 12.06, which was read, "provide for timely decision making for all decision making bodies." That, that's not really an action. But I incorporated it be the concept there into, at the top of the page, no. 6, policy no. 6, we added in some language at the end of that policy that incorporated that thought.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, everybody okay with that? Yes sir Ron. Mike, mic. Okay. Thank you Bev.

Mr. Ron McOmber: Are you saying in that, no. 6, that we should have the Council here then when we're making decisions on our community plan? Does that cover that when you say that we should have --? No. 6 that you just read? All decision making on Lana'i, would that, would that reflect back to have the County Council here when we talk about the community plan for Lana'i?

Ms. Maydan: Jen Maydan. No, Ron, I don't think that would apply to the County Council as they're not a board or commission.

Mr. McOmber: Why are they any different than anybody else who would be here in front of Lana'i people to talk to us about our community plan? That to me, that's more important than a lot of things because we want to have not one meeting at the end of whole thing that they can discuss everything. We need to have them here. I'm sorry, I gotta strongly support that.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, let me --. Jen, let me say that once we're done with the, the community plan, and it goes to the Maui County Council, the Maui County Council comes to Lana'i for a public hearing if I'm not mistaken. They did that last time. So, we don't want, we don't want to lose that.

Ms. Jorgensen: I wasn't aware of the Council coming. When you adopted the 1998 plan, they came here for a meeting? They may do that again. I really don't know what their process is going to be. I've been trying to find out what is involved from Council Services. They first go through the, their committee, the Planning Committee, and then on to the full council.

Mr. Ornellas: And that's when they bring -- they all come over for, for the public hearing. Every, every community gets a public hearing when it comes to the community plan. So, don't want to lose that, and I'm sure Molokai doesn't want, doesn't want to lose that also, so make it, if you have to put it in bold print, put in bold print that the Maui County Council must hold CPAC, a CPAC review on Lana'i, at least one meeting.

Ms. Jorgensen: That would be in terms of their process it's either in the regulations or the, the rules for the Council or in 2.80B in that process. It's not something, I believe, that we could put into the community plan because of the Council being separate from the administration.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, well, you know, this Planning Commission sometimes don't listen to lawyers or to planners and stuff that often so let's just do this. Put it in there so that way even though it doesn't follow the rules that they know we want them to come here and listen to our community when it pertains to the community plan. Just humor please.

Ms. Jorgensen: We'll see in putting it in a policy.

Mr. McOmber: What is the difference of having -- if you hold the CPAC meeting for Maui Island, people have the opportunity to go before the Council and talk to them. We don't have that opportunity unless you want to ship all of us over there and talk to them in their chambers. This, this is a gap that should not be for Molokai and Lana'i both. This should be a voice that they gotta hear. If our representative doesn't say what we want him to say, and may not, but we need to have this voice, the CPAC and this body right here has put a lot of work in this, and we do not want the Council to not understand the importance of what we put on that paper.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you. Thank you Ron. Alright. Alright, so we're done with 12. Let's move on to --. What's next? We're going to do 13?

Ms. Maydan: Next is Chapter 13, Implementation and Monitoring. And in your packet, Chapter 13, Implementation and Monitoring has a lot of red and blue. It's pretty brief. It's only six pages. And then the implementation table is a separate stapled sheet that's a lot longer. Does everybody have it? Okay. So the implementation chapter and the implementation table organizes all of the actions that are throughout all the chapters in the plan. It brings together all of the individual actions at the end of each chapter into one solid table. And this, this signifies all the actions that will be needed to be implemented to actualize the vision for the plan, and the goals and the policies.

The implementation table includes the description of the project or program, the priority, timing, lead implementation, agency, cost estimate and potential funding sources. The implementation table is suppose to provide enough flexibility over the life of the plan to allow for re-prioritization and adjustments to level of funding. So the implementation table, for the prioritization is identified as first priority and second priority, and the time frames are identified as short-term and long-term. And there are also CIP projects which are at the beginning of the implementation schedule. And then beyond that it's divided by chapter in order.

Chapter 13 also includes monitoring which is what you were getting at chair. The monitoring and evaluation program establishes a strategy to track the implementation, and evaluate the effectiveness of the policies and the programs, and monitor the quality of life on Lana'i, and allows for periodic program adjustments. The Department of Planning will coordinate with different agencies on implementation actions, and the department will over see the monitoring and evaluation program which will include a monitoring report. And then at the end of Chapter 13 includes indicators that could be used to monitor the progress towards achieving the goals and objectives of the plan. Many -- that's these last tables in Chapter 13. Many of them have been borrowed from existing plans, other county plans or state plans, that -- and they are -- they are indicators that there's data available that could be tracked over time to see if programs are, have been implemented, to see if quality of life is improving. A lot of them are quality of life indicators such as unemployment rate, poverty rate, etcetera. So that's kind of the gist of the implementation and monitoring chapter, but with the bulk of it being the implementation table. And what I wanted -- when we had sent the implementation table out, we had asked that you focus on the prioritization, whether priority one or two. And so I guess

at this point, I don't know if anyone has had a chance to go through it and has any feedback on the priority. Because basically all of these items you have seen throughout the chapters and we've incorporated your comments and changes in here. Highlighted in yellow are Planning Department recommendations, which are duplicate of what's highlighted in here, in the action table.

Ms. Zigmond: Mr. Chair, may I ask a question please? Jen, I guess, it was Planning Department who prioritized these items as either one or two, and how did you determine that?

Ms. Maydan: On the back of this cover sheet there are a list of priority criteria. So this is kind of the general questions that we asked ourselves as we went through it. Will the action address an urgent issue? Is the action required for public health and safety? Is the action required by legal mandate? Is the action required to prevent the loss of an irretrievable resource? Will the action benefit the majority of the community? Will the action significantly improve the quality of life of Lana'i residents? Is the action required for other actions to be initiated? So those were some of the questions that we asked ourselves as we went through the long list of actions to identify that the priority one items. But this is where we really want your feedback. If there's items we didn't identify as one that you want as one, etcetera.

Ms. Zigmond: Thank you. Yes, I remember those from last time too now. But I think at least Kelli may agree with me, I don't know, but looking at just real quickly the public facilities, health and social services, to me, there, although I totally get it, and support long term nursing care and hospice, there's a whole lot of other things. I mean, substance abuse, domestic violence, mental health services. I mean, I, I put those no. 1. I put them higher than no. 1 if I could, and I know we haven't gotten that far yet. I'm just kind of following up on my question on how they would determine because I think those are all safety issues and they do affect the entire community.

Ms. Maydan: Okay. Thank you for your feedback Bev. What I would say is if people haven't had a chance to go through this and really absorb it yet, it might be better for us to come back to it at one of the next meetings so that you can really come back with specific feedback as far as what needs to be changed for the priority.

Mr. Ornellas: Members, any objections? So let's do it next time. Does that include another Saturday?

Ms. Maydan: So as I've said the, the actions, they are, you know, of course, whether in the chapters and they have incorporated your changes. So, yeah, what we really want is the focus on the priorities primarily so that would be great if you could do that at a later time.

Mr. Ornellas: I don't see anything but ones and twos. Oh, okay. So at our next meeting then we can start off with this, okay? The commission won't take that long if everybody knows what the priorities are. Is that okay Mary?

Ms. Jorgensen: That's fine.

Mr. Ornellas: So let's move, let's move on.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Now we're going to be working with the binder that you received with the last mail out, and I guess this is in mid-July. And this is also posted on the website. So this, this is the review draft that we're now looking at, and, and all changes that you made are now visible in here as either the blue addition or red strike out. If Planning staff changed something it's highlighted in yellow. So we'll be going through this chapter by chapter starting with Chapter 1, and this is the time to see, you know, if we missed anything or if there's something in addition that you would like to add or delete.

Mr. Ornellas: I think that the, when we went through it originally there wasn't too much of it that we objected to.

Ms. Jorgensen: That's correct. I did read the January 8<sup>th</sup> meeting notes, and there was only some changes that you had in the Fast Facts About Lana'i on page 1-8, you wanted to delete that employment section, and so that's what, what it's showing there.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, any objections to accept Chapter 1 as, as amended? No? All in agreement? Nod your head Joelle. Okay, great. Alright, so we will accept no. 1 as you have it here, as written.

Ms. Jorgensen: Would you like to make motions on each chapter or go through a group of them first?

Mr. Ornellas: I don't know, I thought -- okay, let's make -- you guys want to make a motion, then somebody make a motion. To me, as far as I'm concerned we all agreed and let's just move on.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Because until, until we have somebody dissent, then we'll go and we'll actually have a vote. But other than that, that's -- if it's unanimous then there's no reason to have a note. Is that, is that okay with you Mary?

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 1 with the amendments as presented.**

Ms. Jorgensen: That's fine.

Mr. Ornellas: Or you we wait until 7:30 and talk to the lawyer?

Ms. Jorgensen: No, it's fine.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.



Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, so now we're on Chapter 2, Lana'i's Future. The first part of that there's, there's very little change. You wanna look through if there's a particular page you'd like to discuss.

Ms. Kelli Gima: I just want to make a quick comment on page 2-4. It was just like the wording of a sentence. On line 40 where it says "In addition recent immigrants and new arrivals would benefit from support services and cultural competent to help them integrate into the community." What did it mean, like, cultural competent support services or what did that mean?

Ms. Jorgensen: I think that's one they were talking about Kepa running some classes so that they would understand more about the different cultures here and the island culture so that they could integrate more. If that's not communicating that to you, is there another wording that you like to see?

Ms. Gima: Hold on. I don't know if cultural competent is like a cultural education? I don't know if cultural competent.

Ms. Jorgensen: Cultural education?

Ms. Gima: Yeah.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Ms. Stacie Koanui Nefalar: Mary, line 23, page 2-5, is that suppose to say Keahiakawelo, or is it Keahikawelo? Is it Keahiakawelo?

Ms. Jorgensen: I'm not sure. Which, in terms of spelling of it?

Ms. Koanui Nefalar: Yeah.

Ms. Jorgensen: I'm not sure it the, if the spelling is incorrect or not.

Ms. Koanui Nefalar: It thought it was Keahiakawelo.

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: It's changed as the planning commissioners have made recommendations for edits and whether it's additions or deletions which I think you've been here for most of the meetings, and there's not a lot of edits. I think they're on the screen now. And it's also on the website which we said at our former meeting, last meeting, that, that it would be posted on the website, and it's been up there since the middle of July.

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: I don't think there were 14 pages deleted at all.

Ms. Zigmond: Mary, I have a question please? On page 2-13, under item D, it says "Staff will draft a revised insert." Has that happened or will that happen? Do I have it and I didn't look at it?

Ms. Jorgensen: Oh, that's the section that you got in your packet that took these four pages of -- that were in -- pulled from each of the chapters and tried to show it more as -- let's see where's that --. Yeah, it's about a page and a half. That was, that was trying to show how all these things worked together so that you're looking at three major groupings of society, the environment, both built and natural, and the economy, and in terms of sustainability. Those are shown often when you're reading about sustainability is the major groupings. And looking at how they overlap and in what way are these elements that were just shown more of a list in the original draft, how do they work together. And so Jen did some great rewriting, I think, of trying to pull that together. And so it, it -- so that you can see more it as the interrelationship between the different elements because they're very much divided into discrete chapters and we wanted to try to make, to show that interrelationship. So that's a substantial change from the original, and then also on page 2-9 on the populations section is changed. Correct. So back to the spelling of the word on 2.5, Joelle suggested looking at how it's spelled on the maps and get the wording in there, the right spelling, so we'll do that.

Ms. Koanui Nefalar: I just send a text to Kaulana Kahoohalahala, and he verified it's Keahiakawelo. K-E-A-H-I-A-K-A-W-E-L-O.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Thank you. So any other comments on Chapter 2?

Mr. Ornellas: Ron, did you look at 2-8, G, Hunting? Page 2-8?

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Alright. They, they --. Is that, is that good enough for you? I mean, I think it's "Subsistence hunting by residents and the cottage industry of hunting-tourism are important activities on the island."

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: You're okay with it?

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. They took out "Specifically to local businesses and hunting operations." But the sentence before that, "Hunting is an economic engine for the island as hunters bring money to the economy, and provide the livelihood for numerous residents and businesses," so that was just a duplication, kind of, of words. So there's really, to me, it doesn't seem like it's that big of a deal.

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, thank you Ron. Move hunting to the round file? Okay, so any other questions on Chapter 2? Okay, otherwise, will we all accept it as amended?

Ms. Zigmond: I was just going to make a comment that I agree with you Mary, that Jen did a good job on writing that so I like to words better than the bullet points.

Ms. Jorgensen: Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: No objections, then let's move on to, we'll accept Chapter 2, and move on to Chapter 3.

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 2 with the amendments as presented.**

Ms. Jorgensen: Chapter 3. So this Environment and Natural Resources, and there wasn't a lot of change in here. Just first page asking for a reference that's in the footnote. On the notation on page 3-2 to see the Appendix 3.2. And we do have -- we'll look at these appendix that we mailed out that, where there, there was a packet of appendix changes, and so we'll go through those with the chapters. So, the Appendix 3.2 that they were, that was requested was a listing of the Lana'i Forest and Watershed Partnership a list of the partners. And that was provided by you, so if you have, if you have additional names just let me know.

Mr. Ornellas: Mary, this is for Pulama. If you look on page 3-2 and you have all these appendix by Darrell Stokes, Water Supply, Stokes, Stokes, Natural Resources, but these things are all dated as of -- especially the Darrell Stokes' ones -- are dated 2000. And this has to do with the final EA, Lana'i Hale Forest Stewardship plan. Isn't, isn't Pulama working on a new one? Okay, so, so will this be sent on to Planning when it's completed? Will you, will you guys, will you guys do that or do we have to call the lawyer?

Ms. Lynn McCrory: Lynn McCrory, Pulama Lana'i. What we're looking at doing is an on-going study. So there is not completion. We may complete segments where we say this particular undergrowth is not the best for creating water going down into the aquifer, or completed sections that this is good. But it's years worth of study.

Mr. Ornellas: You understand, you know, this thing is 14 and by the time -- this is 14 years old and by the time this probably gets approved that you're talking 16 years from now. So, I don't want to see -- what I -- I mean, the new Planning Commission, 10 years from now, I don't want them to see of August of 2000.

Ms. McCrory: No, I understand. I'm just saying it will -- we're looking at doing segments.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, that's fine.

Ms. McCrory: Different, here's a planting area, here's a planting area, here's a planting. This one will have this particular type trees. This middle level growth. This under, you know, ground

level growth. And then they're gonna measure the water that goes down through all that so we see what actually goes down to the reservoir or aquifer. But we'll plant different things different places to see what best creates it because it may not made of native species that best creates this.

Mr. Ornellas: Understood, but I, I just, I just need something written so when you guys come up with a section.

Ms. McCrory: What we may have is a section.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, then submit the section and then that we can include it, we can include it in --. We might have to get an amendment or -- to the community plan to have that added, but it shouldn't stick to be 16 years old. You guys are moving a lot faster than that.

Ms. McCrory: Getting the quote, getting it quoted by entities is not as quick as we thought. Let me tell you that. By any stretch of an imagination.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, Mary, go ahead.

Ms. McCrory: We have been very surprised.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Maybe when the reports, when they have various studies that are finished they could come and talk about that in light of what's in here. But what the footnotes are referencing is basically baseline information about the environment in terms of number of native plants, species that have disappeared and birds. And so it does change, we'd want to know about it. But it shouldn't change. This is kind of the existing condition background information. It's not a particular recommendation or some action that's taken based on this. So you can get an update outside of this plan being amended.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so 20 years from now when the new CPAC meets, how are they gonna know if there's been an, an updated version to the 2000 study, let's say?

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, at that time they would want to look at what the status and trends are, so this gives a point and time of, of, this the information that was available at the time we wrote it on what the existing conditions are. When they are doing the updated plan, they will have new reports to give you what the existing conditions are for that time.

Mr. Ornellas: I understand, but I -- but what's to stop --. Elijah may not, may not see this. I mean, you guys are gonna be the gatekeeper, you guys are gonna control all this information so how does, how does the Planning Commission, 20 years from now, look at the, at the community plan and say, hey, there's been some changes to this, but what if some slipped by? I mean, you know, I'm looking because it might help you guys out if you guys are incorporating this as time goes on. Then when it comes time to do this again, the CPAC again, that information will be available to you as well as the CPAC, so you don't have to go scrambling and looking through boxes or, hopefully you'll have everything on the computer. So, can you

kind of understand what I'm trying to get at?

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, I do understand that you want, if there's a plan that is being done at this time, that, that in the future if people would know to reference that plan. But that is, that's what planners do is they look for the current information, the best available documents in order to update the plan at the time. So that's the first step in, before we meet with you, with the CPAC or anybody, we're finding where is the, what sources of information do we have.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so 20 years from now Mary when you come back to do this, I'll bet sitting over there by Ron, and I'm going to be saying, remember we had that discussion?

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Then I'll just, I'll just sit in your chair.

Ms. Jorgensen: You'll be by yourself John.

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, Kelli will be there. Alright, good. Alright, thank you. Alright, so Chapter 3, are we, at 13, are we okay? On Chapter 3, I'm sorry.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah, so there's really very little that was changed. Get into the Actions, some rewording that you changed on the bottom of how to refer Lana'i Resorts LLC assignees or successors, noted. And then in the Action, just adding more on conducting baseline studies on coastal water qualities. So really very little, little that you've changed in the chapter.

Mr. Ornellas: You know, I thought, I thought LWAC was on here, but I don't seem to see it.

Ms. Jorgensen: LWAC was added quite a bit in Chapter 7 under Water Supply.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. So I think as, I think as part of the, as part of the mandate from the Water Use and Development Plan was also forestation.

Ms. Jorgensen: I think that was addressed in the Water Supply section –

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: -- because it does cross over some of the actions that are similar in terms of restoring the watershed. But we put all of that in, in Chapter 7, Water Supply.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Alright. Thank you.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, so everyone's fine, we'll move on.

Mr. Ornellas: So we accept Chapter 3 as amended. Any objections? Stu, shake your head. Okay. Alright. Alright, so then let's move on then.

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 3 with the amendments as presented.**

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, Chapter 4 is Hazard Mitigation. There was even less change in here. You have, you know, one edit on page 4-2 of the Keamoku changed to eastern coast line. On 4-4, a policy was switched between, the policy and action because sometimes we found later when we read it again, the actions read more like policies, and policies read like action, so that's the change you'll see there is Policy 5 became Action 4.11 on page 4-5.

Oh, and then the key one, in terms of a new policy was your addition of Policy No. 8, "Require during the entitlement and permitting process that shoreline development analyze shoreline hazards including sea level rise."

And we did have some discussion on evaluating the coverage of sirens and Jim Buika was here that day and he looked it up, and I believe you had the one up here, and the one down at Manele. And, I don't think there was a third one. And the question was whether there -- you know, could you get more sirens, so he was looking at that up. I'll, I'll follow up on that so I can just bring back some general information on that.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, that's on, on 4.05, on page 4-5, "Evaluate existing coverage of sirens."

Ms. Jorgensen: So it's in the actions, but I think he did make some progress on at least, you know, for your information.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, how are we doing on Chapter 4? Any objections to accepting the way it's written or is everybody okay? Joelle, shake your head. Alright, thank you. So, that is, that's been accepted in Chapter 4, so let's move on to Chapter 5.

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 4 with the amendments as presented.**

Ms. Maydan: So Chapter 5, Cultural, Historic & Scenic Resources. I think the primary change was additions in here regarding the interment site, there in the second paragraph as well as on the next page. There are a couple sentences in blue.

Ms. Zigmond: This is not specific to this chapter, but do we have a list of acronyms?

Ms. Maydan: Yeah, we've started. I went through the chapters and started assembling them and I've been adding to it. Yeah.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Mary, on 5, on page 5-2, Appendix no. 3, I trust you now. It says, "National Park Service, July 2014, Honolulu Gulch & Associated Site Draft Special Resource Study and Environmental Assessment." So this a month old and you guys got it in there.

Ms. Jorgensen: Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: I trust you now. Alright, members, Chapter 5, how are we doing?

Ms. Joelle Aoki: I see in my notes, someone was going to check on if Uhane Pohaku -- Uhane Pohaku No Moku O Hawaii still existed. They're listed in 5.03, 5.04 according to my notes. I don't know who was suppose to check on it. Does anybody know if they even exist?

Mr. Ornellas: You know, Kepa would know, but --.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, we'll check on that and if they still exist, would you like to have that remain there?

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah.

Ms. Koanui Nefalar: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: So Stacie you're recommending that change it just to the non-profit so it includes that one? Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, that's fine because whoever -- you know there are changes that Hawaiian groups, so we'll just, we'll just, non-profit organizations. NGOs. And then hopefully somebody will tell me what an NGO is. What is that?

Ms. Zigmond: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, that's us. Oh, yeah, even CDFL is. We're government. Yeah, we're government funded right? Alright, so I digress. But any other changes? Everybody okay with that? Stu?

Mr. Stuart Marlowe: Yes.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you. Alright, so we're all in agreement, so --

Ms. Jorgensen: There's also in the appendix packet, 5.1, there's, what was strike out was just the note saying where it came from. You know, that it was in the 98 Lana'i Community Plan and then added under the list of, of all the different things to be protected or preserved or restored, we added the old courthouse, police station building and jail with, comma, used for World War internment. That's the only change in the appendix.

Ms. Zigmond: Weren't we going to add the social hall? I mean the, yeah, the social hall.

Mr. Ornellas: Social Hall? Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: To this list, add "social hall."

Mr. Ornellas: Uh-huh.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Ms. Aoki: I -- should it be stone trail at Naha or the Naha Trail? I think it should be the Naha Trail. Because then you're only talking about the stone trail, the trail at Naha. Right? The Naha Trail.

Mr. Ornellas: Naha Trail.

Ms. Jorgensen: So that's bullet no. 4 in the appendix you want it read Naha Trail. Thank you.

Ms. Koanui Nefalar: Joelle, is there more than one Naha Trail?

Ms. Aoki: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, everybody else, anybody else wants to add to it? Okay, so then we are on, we're on Chapter 6. How are we doing? Do we take a short 10 minute break? Five minute break? We'll take a five minute break.

*(The Lana'i Planning Commission recessed at 6:40 p.m., and reconvened at 6:48 p.m.)*

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, let's get back to order here. We are now on Chapter 6, Economic Development. Doesn't look like there was much changes.

Ms. Zigmond: I have a question please. 6.04 was deleted because? I'm sorry on page 6-5, item no. 6.04.

Ms. Maydan: That was deleted because it's not an action. It's not an action that the County can implement.

Ms. Zigmond: Is there a corresponding?

Ms. Maydan: I believe there's a policy.

Mr. Ornellas: You okay with that? Members, you okay with it? Okay, any changes? Alright, so we'll accept it as, as amended. And so let's move on to 7? Infrastructure and Utilities? You there Mary? Is that where we're at, 7?

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 6 with the amendments as presented.**

Ms. Jorgensen: Yes we're on Chapter 7, Infrastructure and Utilities, which has a number of major subsections -- water, energy, waste water, and storm water, and transportation -- so take your time and look through and let us know if we got the changes correct.



Mr. Ornellas: On 7-2, sea, S-E-A, you've got Kaumalapau Harbor, Manele Small Boat Harbor, and then it was Expeditions, and then it got scratched out.

Ms. Gima: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: It says System or Service. I mean they have a service down there. Okay. You guys, if you guys don't care, then we'll just move on then. It's fine with me. Go ahead Mary.

Ms. Jorgensen: It was something that the commissioners suggested so would you like to put it back in or you want to leave it as it is?

Mr. Ornellas: No, we'll just leave it. Seeing nobody shaking their head. I guess we just leave it the way it is now. Okay.

Ms. Zigmond: On page 7-10, line 29, are you looking for that answer?

Ms. Jorgensen: It is a question of where.

Mr. Ornellas: Lynn? Pulama, on page 7-10, line no. 29 and 30. It's under solid waste, line no. 29 and 30, page 7-10.

Ms. McCrory: It's, it's -- Lynn McCrory, Pulama Lana'i -- it's correct. Miki Basin.

Ms. Zigmond: It's not there now.

Ms. McCrory: Yes, but it's by the end of 2014.

Ms. Zigmond: But the question is where is it currently?

Ms. McCrory: Oh, the same place it's always, just behind Central.

Ms. Zigmond: Central.

Mr. Ornellas: So presently it's, it's at Central Services?

Mr. John Stubbart: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: West, yeah. West of, west of Central Services in the city, and then eventually by the end of 2014 it should be down at Manele.

Ms. Maydan: Okay so --

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, Miki Basin. I was going to get it right, you guys, gees. Can we put it, can we put it next to the desal plant?

Ms. Maydan: The reason we have that question in there is because the two sentences before it make it sound like it doesn't have a home right now. "The pilot recycling center located behind the Pulama Lana'i Central Services Offices in Lana'i City has been given an extended lease until December 31, 2013." So –

Ms. McCrory: Change that to 2014.

Ms. Maydan: Perfect.

Ms. Zigmond: Okay, I have something else on 7-16, line 34, 35, 36. I thought at that meeting that one Saturday in the old bowling alley it was stated that the company is not going to pursue a second runway so should that be taken out of there?

Ms. Jorgensen: Thank you for finding that because we did talk about going through the entire document and looking for the change in the airport and we missed this. Thank you.

Ms. Zigmond: Then I guess going along with that second runway no longer being an option, on 7-19, it's line 32, it also states second runway.

Mr. Ornellas: Mary, on page 7-17 and 7-18, line 25 through -- I mean, this is a lot of information that I don't remember exactly being discussed.

Ms. Zigmond: You did receive this as a handout back in May, I believe, and then -- it might have even been earlier than that, that you received it as a handout -- and this is just showing where it was finally dropped into the location for the transportation multi-modal part.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Any, anymore corrections to Chapter 7? Go ahead.

Mr. Marlowe: Not as a question perhaps, but, or, or, I have a question for you about the relevance put in this document regarding LWAC. Have they actually been –

Mr. Ornellas: What, what page? Oh, well, the whole plan?

Mr. Marlowe: The whole plan.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Go ahead.

Mr. Marlowe: Has LWAC been sanctioned, governed, licensed, etcetera, by the County to act as a water czar or whatever it is that their ultimately goal is?

Mr. Ornellas: Yes. But it's not called water czar. We are water use. We are, our task was to create the Water Use and Development Plan and implementation. And as far as the County of Maui is concerned, we are still doing that. Okay, they are the ones that, they're the ones that said it was, according to Kushi I think it was his name, the lawyer, said that because of the, because of, it was created by ordinance and it needed to be maintained until said ordinance

has been removed and it hasn't.

Mr. Marlowe: What is the ordinance that they're asking?

Mr. Kurt Matsumoto: Kurt, Kurt Matsumoto. So just point of correction. Isn't it true that it's not created by ordinance but by resolution?

Mr. Ornellas: Correction, yes.

Mr. Matsumoto: And, and isn't it also true that it's now the Planning Department's responsibility to oversee that that body that was created by resolution?

Mr. Ornellas: I haven't heard that.

Mr. Marlowe: That's also my understanding.

Mr. Ornellas: Nah, I still think we follow the -- I mean, we don't belong there because we don't have nothing to do with them, but it's the Maui Water, Water Board. Okay, John, when was it changed?

Mr. Stubbart: A while ago.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Mr. Stubbart: I don't have exact date to respond to that question.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah. Okay. So we are still in existence.

Mr. Marlowe: I don't challenge the existence. What I'm concerned about to avoid the presumption of either . . . (inaudible) . . . You're in the a very difficult position because you sit on their board. Until such time as a group like LWAC is actually so given by the County its, its complete unbridled relevance I believe that this plan should not refer to LWAC in the manner in which it does if they oversee, they have compliance issues and so on. Any, any citizen in the community can go on a website, find out water usage and so on and so forth, and I don't think this LWAC will help. I think it could possible hinder the progress that the company is trying to put forth.

Mr. Matsumoto: Yes Commissioner, just, just so you're aware, the resolution still stands and so by resolution there is still such an entity. But the point is the Planning, the Planning Department now manages that entity and until they actually call a meeting, it's not an official LWAC meeting. So, it's not necessarily true that it doesn't exist anymore, it's, it's still, by resolution, still there.

Mr. Ornellas: And Pulama attends the meetings.

Ms. Gima: I have, I have a question.

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead Kelli.

Ms. Gima: When we put in partners into for these action items, do those partners have to meet certain qualifications, do they have to be like a 501C-3 or a business or an organization, or could it just be a local committee or group that we would feel has experience and input on those specific action items? Or does it have to be like an, an official organization or board?

Ms. Jorgensen: I think in terms of partners, I think in some sections we were recognizing community groups that were not official so I think partners was, was to try to recognize all the different ways that you might be able built partnerships and implement the plan. I think what, what commissioner Marlowe might be referring to is more like on page 7-5 where you're in your policies you have reference to LWAC. That's a little bit different.

Mr. Ornellas: What page is this one?

Ms. Jorgensen: 7-5.

Ms. Zigmond: But those policies are suggesting that LWAC which knows more about our water, probably the major source of knowing our water, I understand you are part of that which is why I'm saying that. We're talking by exchanging data. We talking about working together in collaboration. We're not saying that LWAC is going to call the shots. This is a collaborative effort. So I don't see what the big deal is.

Mr. Ornellas: On page 7-6, part of LWAC's -- LWAC should be part of the partners. You took it off and put DLNR on there. LWAC should remain on there because that's part of the duties of LWAC is the implementation of the Water Use and Development Plan.

Ms. Jorgensen: That strike out and replacement was by Commissioners. It was not a staff recommendation and I think at that time there were other places that they put LWAC that they thought it worked better. So, you can open that up again as to what --. I think the first were --. Yeah.

Mr. Ornellas: Let's go back to Stu's one. Stu, you want to make a motion we strike LWAC from the community plan?

Mr. Marlowe: Yes, that would be the motion and I really believe it's in the best interest of the commission to not have it in its current form which has a propensity to raise other questions as to why was in there. The motion is that LWAC be removed from the plan as partners or partnership with Lana'i Water and Pulama Lana'i.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Do I hear a second? Hearing no second, motion, that motion fails. Go ahead Ron.

**It was moved by Commissioner Stuart Marlowe to remove LWAC from the plan as partners or partnership with Lana'i Water and Pulama Lana'i. There was no second, so the motion died on the floor.**

Mr. McOmber: This is foolish statement folks. LWAC has been working for years to get the Water Use and Development Plan when nobody had do it. But there was a group of people on this island that took this very serious. Your water. When we were fighting Castle & Cooke hand and fist for water. To have somebody that wasn't even living here at that time saying this is not a legitimate thing is stupid. If it wasn't for LWAC and what we did in the early stages you would have no idea what, what's going on with the water on this island. This opened up a venue and opened up a, a dialogue between the community and the State, the County and all. We did the hard work. We went after the water, found out where the water was, where it was being wasted. And for somebody to say that LWAC doesn't have a place in this is absolutely ludicrous, and not going, for a minute, stand by and have somebody that has no idea what the hell is going on this island in the first place tells us that LWAC is not important. That's awful.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. Can I hear a motion to add LWAC to page 7-6, 7.01 and 7.02.

Ms. Zigmond: Are you saying –

Mr. Ornellas: I'm looking for a motion to add LWAC to 7.01 and 7.02.

Ms. Zigmond: Right is that including DLNR or in place of?

Mr. Ornellas: Whatever. I don't think DLNR has a big say but they may want to have a say. But I want to make sure LWAC is part of that process. Stu, you going to make a motion?

Ms. Gima: Was the reason -- the reason why -- I mean I don't know why it taken out in the first place either, but I don't see it in our notes. But so you're saying LWAC should be listed a partner because it's discussing the monitoring and implementation of the Water Use and Development Plan?

Mr. Ornellas: We can discuss it after the motion has been seconded, so –

Ms. Gima: Okay, I'll make a motion to add LWAC to the partners for Table 7.2, no. 7.01 and 7.02.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, do I have second?

Ms. Zigmond: Second.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, now let's discuss this. And I'm saying, I'm saying as the Planning Commission's member on LWAC -- I represent the Planning Commission on LWAC -- so they're, it's important that we do not lose focus on what LWAC was, has done in the past and what it's suppose to do. So I think that's, I think it's very important to this community plan that

LWAC remains. Anything else anybody? Any more discussion? Okay, then all those in favor of the motion to add LWAC to 7.01 and 7.02 raise your hand? It passes. Okay, we had Joelle, and Kelli, John Ornellas, Bev in support, and Stu as, as the --. Oh, and Stacie is, is for it, and Stu voting against. Got that one? Thank you.

**It was moved by Commissioner Kelli Gima, seconded by Commissioner Beverly Zigmond, then**

**VOTED: To include LWAC in Table 7.2, Nos. 7.01 and 7.02**

**Assenting: J. Aoki, K. Gima, S. Koanui Nefalar, J. Ornellas, B. Zigmond**

**Dissenting: S. Marlowe**

**Excuse: S. Barfield, B. Oshiro**

Ms. Nancy McPherson: Aloha. Nancy McPherson, Department of Hawaiian Homelands (DHHL). There's a lot that has happened this last year as we all know. I just wanted to ask the Commission again based on the testimony in our letter, our director's letter of May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014, under Infrastructure Water, if the Commission has considered adding a policy or other language to the community plan update, either and or, affirming DHHL's rights to water for trust purposes and or requiring analysis and consideration of the DHHL's water needs for all its lands on Lana'i when planning for land use as well as infrastructure. I have not had a chance to ask or go through your materials yet to see if that was added. And some language that I could suggest would be water needs for Hawaiian Homelands on Lana'i shall be considered when planning for land uses and infrastructure improvements.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Hawaiian Homelands has already been entitled. I don't think -- I'm kind of looking at John here and the 50 acres at Hawaiian Homelands at the end of Fifth Street is entitled. The remainder has not been entitled. The 10 acres that they want to put over here and then also the 35 acres down at the -- the 15 acres down at Miki -- those haven't been entitled yet. Okay, Bev -- I mean, Lynn, can you use the mic so she can explain it to us please?

Ms. McCrory: Thank you. Lynn McCrory, Pulama Lana'i. They aren't lots. They're part of the acreage of land that is listed as Pulama Lana'i. So until there is a subdivision and the lots, two lots are separated out, that's when water would be assigned, and knowing what they're going to do with them. You don't assign water. Because it would be like assigning the water to 16,000 acres parcel.

Ms. Zigmond: Where are you referring to? I'm sorry, I lost track.

Ms. McCrory: The first, the 10 acres is at the corner of Manele Road and Kaumalapau. Remember that was their condition. And then the other is 15 acres which is just south of the airport, I would say.

Ms. Zigmond: . . . (Inaudible. Cordless microphone was not turned on.) . . .

Ms. McPherson: . . . (Inaudible. Cordless microphone was not turned on.) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: I, I understand where you're, where you're coming from and, but presently we have already adopted that the 10 acres at the corner Kaunalapau Highway and Manele Road would be zoned, or will be in the community plan listed as park. But we didn't do anything with the lands down at the airport, and it just sits there. So when Hawaiian Homelands wants to move forward with that, then they would have to come back to, come back to us and ask us for the entitlement for the water. Presently, you know, it depends on what you guys want to do. Because right now if you guys just want to grow weeds then we don't need water. So, I mean, giving you guys the --. If, if Hawaiian Homelands brings a project forth for that 25 acres -- 15 acres, then we will look at and then we will decide whether it's a good fit for this island or not. You already, you already have your marching orders on the 10 acres. They want, we want, we've already stated we want it park in our previous CPAC meetings. So if you want to change that, you have, there's a way of doing that too, so I don't see a need to -- I don't see a need for, for us to mention the Hawaiian Homelands. I mean, you've already have 50 acres that you're entitled, and you've got the water for that. So, you have two other parcels that you're not, that you're not planning on doing anything with at this time. So when it becomes prudent then bring it forward and we'll make that decision then. It's not like we can't stop you from getting water if, you know, so you just have to go through the process. Go ahead Ron.

Mr. McOmber: Another educational thing. That 10 acres originally is right where Hale Kupuna sits right now. That was the Land Use Commission giving with their settlement with Castle & Cooke and the development. We didn't have time to do anything with the 10 acres. We wanted to expand the city and let people buy stores, their private stores or whatever they wanted in. And it was about 7 ½ acres of open land within Lana'i City that we talked to the Land Use Commission, talked to Castle & Cooke and have them give us that in lieu of the 10 acres, and they wouldn't, Castle wouldn't do that. So the 10 acres that is out there is where they were going to put -- they were going to split our community and start fee simple district where you could buy stores and run stores out there. And we thought that was idiotic. But we really wanted was right where the dorms were. I mean, the -- yeah. And they tore that down and Castle knew what we wanted to do so Castle went in there real quick and put in Hale Kupuna. And how does Ron McOmber or LSG or anybody say no we don't want the seniors taken cared of. So we moved it out there where, on that corner, and we never heard in the community plan in 98, we never heard once that, that was, had anything to do with DHHL. Nothing. Not a word on it. And all of sudden on this community plan they're saying that's theirs. And I'm going, I don't understand that folks. That's the history of that. The 10 acres and we were going to put MCC right next to it so they could overlook the Palawai Basin instead of down here in the hole. Remember that. So this is, you know, whatever it is, the park or whatever it is, I don't understand why they helped out, but it is part of what was given to this community, and this community should use it. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you Ron. Members, did, do you need any, any comments about DHHL? And you guys, you guys -- I mean, you guys basically understood what I said and what she said? Okay. And we already made that decision at a pervious CPAC meeting about keeping the 10 acres park. Shake your head yes. We did hear that didn't we? Even when we did the maps, right, we kept that as park. Okay, maybe that's not what you wanted to hear but --. Yeah, I understand. And again, we can't -- if, if the entitlements, if you get, if you have the land

and if you bring a project forward and it passes . . . (inaudible) . . . , then we can't stop you from getting the water. We just can't. It's not the way they do things. So -- alright, so let's go back to 7. Chapter 7, I guess we're on page 7-7, Waste Water? Did we get pass that already? Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: There's been comments on a variety of pages so --

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so --. Yes, go ahead.

Ms. Aoki: Not to be a dead horse, but I just want clarification on what she was requesting on behalf of DHHL. Was she asking to be a part of the action item? No? Policy? Okay, thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: So, Energy? We okay with Energy? Bev, you okay with Energy? Okay. Stu? Alright. Then let's move on to Transportation, page 7-16. Yeah, we took out the yellow one.

Ms. Zigmond: 7-21, line 8, I think continuance is spelled wrong. A-N-C.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Anything else for Transportation? Okay. Then let's move on to Stormwater Drainage, 7-23.

Ms. Jorgensen: Commissioner Oshiro mentioned having something added into this section but we, when we looked at it, it was already in there.

Mr. Ornellas: For what page?

Ms. Jorgensen: We were -- well, it was developed as comprehensive stormwater management plan in the action.

Mr. Ornellas: No, he wasn't talking. He was talking about putting berms around the LNG tanks. He wasn't talking about, he wasn't talking about stormwater.

Ms. Gima: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, LNG. I mean, he had more to do with the gas than stormwater. No. He was just --. Yeah, he, he did want to make sure everything was surrounded and that the stormwater drainage was taken cared of. Yeah, the hill above the city. Yeah. Yeah. And I think we covered that. But his, his other comment was the berm around the LNG tanks. Okay. Everybody okay with --? Okay, then let's move on to -- we did stormwater -- then let's go to Telecommunications on 7-26. So the thing was basically what Pat was looking for. Okay. We okay with that one? Alright. Okay, Chapter 8. Is that our next one, Mary, Chapter 8?

Ms. Jorgensen: Chapter 8 is next. What are the LNG tanks?

Mr. Ornellas: Liquified Natural Gas.



Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: That is going to powering the desal plant in the beginning. And then eventually -- no that was for the --. Okay, sorry. LNG is those big -- you see them in pictures, the big, huge metal balls that fit inside ships and then also store it that way.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: And that's, that's the way Hawaii is moving towards is LNG.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, so are we ready to move on to Chapter 8?

Mr. Ornellas: Do we want to take a short, short 10 minute break? Anybody? We're okay. Okay. Let's continue then, Chapter 8.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, I did text at the last break Corporation Counsel Mike Hopper and he thought eight o'clock would work if we have questions for him. Okay, Chapter 8 is Public Facilities and Services and most of the changes, I believe, are in the health services at the end. But there's, there's a number throughout, so take, take a look at the changes and let us know.

Mr. Ornellas: I do see on page 8-3, line item 40, and this is Policies No. 8, "Preserve the social hall for community and social use when available." Can, can you give us kind of a -- I mean because, I think, the last time we talked about this, I don't think you guys were here. We have a -- we were questioning how, what, what your guys view, your view of the future for the social hall.

Mr. Matsumoto: Kurt Matsumoto. Mr. Chair, to be honest we don't have any specific plans for the future for the social hall, so at, at this point in time we don't have anything to add to your community plan.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, I mean right now is it, is it available to the community or is it used as, it's still available for the community?

Mr. Matsumoto: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. And no thought has been given to it to be used for housing for construction workers? No? Okay. That's true. Or we could do it at Stu's house. Okay.

Ms. Gima: On page 8-5, under Police, I see in my notes from the meeting that we wanted to indicate that one of the officer is a school resource officer. 8-5, I guess, on line 5, where it says "Lana'i had 10 officers and a part-time Animal Control Officer." I think it would be appropriate to put that there's a school resource officer based at the school.

Mr. Ornellas: You can put it in parenthesis SRO. Joelle, you have any questions about policing? Okay. Mary, you were going to say something?

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, the School Resource Officer, does that person work out of the, the facilities that includes the three jail cell, the juvenile cell and office space? Is it part of that group?

Ms. Gima: I mean, yeah, he's a, a police officer, but he's based at school during the school hours. But he still goes on patrol, like regular police officers as well. So he's counted.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay that person is one of the 10 officers?

Ms. Gima: Yeah.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. I'll word it that way.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, then let's -- any questions about Police? Hearing none, let's move on to Fire and Public Safety that starts on 8-8, page 8-8. So Mike? Hello, Mike, wake up there buddy. On that page it also says in, insert small map showing location of fire station, and locations of third resort Manele, new proposed housing development. I mean, you're okay with providing these maps?

Mr. Mike Napier: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. So we can start off with fire station and police station and -- where the ambulance drivers hang out at their house?

Mr. Napier: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Alright. Thank you. So Mary can we start funneling in that information and just make it look like a working map?

Ms. Jorgensen: Yes if you have something that you think would be good to have on this map. I think originally it was to show the fire stations and where the need might be, you know, in the future because it came up with the third resort. Well, it first came up with Manele, a need for a fire station down there, and so they were, they wanted to know where there was surface and see the differences, like see it on a map and where there might be future need for fire, for fire stations or services. So, yes, if you have something please send them in. I think we have the location for the fire station.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, anymore, anymore to Fire, Public Safety? Public Facilities Services? Okay, so then let's go to 8-11, page 8-11. That's Education. Alright, and everybody's okay with what's written for Education? Alright, then let's go to Health and Social Services, page 8-14.

Ms. Zigmond: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.

Ms. Zigmond: That was a technical term in case nobody caught it. Line 34, I think it should say Lana'i Community Health Center instead of the "the community health center." Sorry, 8-14, where you said, line 34, so it would be the "Lana'i Community Health Center." On line 31, by the time this plan is in final print there will be a pharmacy on island so you might want to strike that. And also I would suggest that lines, maybe to add on line 20, okay, they're talking Lana'i Community Health Center currently providing services in a three-bedroom house. Well, that is true, but as you will see on September 6<sup>th</sup> the ground breaking for the facility in the middle of town will happen. So probably by the time this plan gets approved and in final print, the Lana'i Community Health Center will be in the middle town, so you might want to say something like they're in the process of building the facility in town.

Ms. Jorgensen: Could just add in there they're currently building a facility within the center of town, in Lana'i City.

Ms. Gima: On that same page, on 8-14, lines 21 and 22, I know Ke Olu Hou O Lana'i provides health care services for actually all residents, native Hawaiian and non-Hawaiian with, I think, the emphasis is on native Hawaiian health care. But they do provide health care to non-native Hawaiians.

Mr. Ornellas: Got that Mary?

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay, yeah, line 21 and 22, health care services for all residents with an emphasis on the island Hawaiian population.

Mr. Ornellas: Well put. Anybody else? Bev? Kelli? This seems to be your -- health and social services -- this chapter, okay, so.

Ms. Gima: Going to page 8-17, to the, the Table 8.5, I was just thinking of various other partners to include in this table. I think we got a copy Val Janekowski's written testimony and she had suggested adding more partners in which I think is a good idea especially for 8.1 where it's "Develop a Master or Strategic Plan for health care services." I think Hospice Hawaii should be added to that. I think Hawaii Life Flight, public health nurse which is Department of Health, Veteran's Affairs because it's a master strategic plan. And I think the same would go for 8.23.

Ms. Jorgensen: Kelli, can I --. You had Hospice Hawaii. You had the public health nurses, Department of Health, and the Veterans Affairs, but you had one other one.

Ms. Gima: Oh, Hawaii Life Flight. That's our air ambulance. I would propose to put those partners in 8.1 and 8.3.

Ms. Jorgensen: You mean 8.21, 8.23?

Ms. Gima: Oh, I'm sorry. 8.21 and 8.23, yes.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Ms. Gima: I got confused. And then for 8.22, I would suggest to also add --. Oh no, wait, hold on a second. For, I'm sorry, for 8.25, for "Improve and enhance short term and long term nursing care services and facilities on the island," was there a reason why STRAUB wasn't a part of that? Is that because STRAUB doesn't specialize in long term nursing care and services, and short term? I don't know.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah, I have it changed the numbering to 8.24. What you read is "Improve and enhance short term and long term nursing care services and facilities on the island," is 8.24 because there was a renumbering.

Ms. Gima: Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: So you want to add STRAUB as a partner?

Ms. Gima: Yeah.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Ms. Gima: And then the last one, for 8.25, I would add Maui County Office of Aging in there.

Ms. Zigmond: Kelli, is that part of Housing and Human Concerns? Or, like, is that a lead County agency? Is it --? Would it be in there?

Ms. Gima: I was just thinking of our, our Office of Aging here to be put in the partners. And for 8.28, "Coordinating services for immigrants," I don't know, I mean, I'm thinking it would be appropriate to have our, like, local, cultural organizations or clubs like we have the Lana'i Filipino -- what is it -- Community. No, Filipino Community Council or something like that because it's coordinating services specifically for immigrants. If there are specific cultural groups to be able to be partners. I don't know how to word it but, like, local cultural civic groups.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Local cultural services.

Ms. Gima: Yeah.

Mr. Stubbart: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: So that would be added to what is now 8.26. It's formerly 8.27, but it moved up in numbering. And add Hospice Hawaii in there as a partner so we've added that.

Mr. Ornellas: And STRAUB needs to mentioned twice?

Ms. Jorgensen: No it doesn't.

Mr. Ornellas: Save you some room.

Ms. Gima: And then on that, the new action item, the 8.31, I guess we have to identify partners. "Develop and integrative, collaborative network to address the health care needs of the community." It's like pretty much all the partners that's been listed so far, I think.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah. So, you want to just take them –

Ms. Gima: Yeah. Because like health care obviously includes like the mental health services, social services. Just add, I guess, all of them.

Ms. Jorgensen: And I'll find the policy that best matches too.

Ms. Gima: Yeah.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, so -- huh? We'll take a break? Okay, let's take it till 8 p.m. Break till 8 p.m. Okay five minutes. Five minutes.

*(The Lana'i Planning Commission recessed at 7:50 p.m. and reconvened at 8:00 p.m.)*

Mr. Ornellas: This meeting come to order. Okay, so we are discussing the annotated agenda. There were a few questions about Chapter 9, and then we asked to be follow up with Corporation Counsel. So he's -- of course, he's not -- Hopper's not here today, so, but he wanted to maybe talk to us over the phone but members felt that we need to see his, his, his, the blue of his eyes. So, we're gonna to ask him to come to our next meeting, whenever that is. Either the 17<sup>th</sup> or the following, the previous, the next meeting after that. And can we --? I mean, I know you sent -- okay, so you sent this to me, but can it be sent to all of the members?

Ms. Jorgensen: Yes, these are just recaps of what you requested in the previous meeting so I can send them out.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright just put, just put this whatever meeting we're gonna talk about this stuff, just put it on the agenda. So that way everybody knows what they're looking at.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah, it won't be in the agenda. It will be in an handout that we send with the meeting packet.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, so we're gonna by pass the Corp, the Corp Counsel and we're gonna go straight to the -- we're gonna go to the maps and then if we have time then we're going home.

Ms. Zigmond: Did we approve 8? Before the break?

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, I think we did.

Ms. Zigmond: We did. Oh, okay. Sorry.

Mr. Ornellas: Do you have anything for 8? No, okay, then Chapter 8 is done. Alright, so maps. Go ahead Mike. It's your turn. You're falling asleep over there buddy.

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Chapter 8 with the amendments as presented.**

Mr. Napier: Thank you sir. Okay, I guess we have Map 3.1. We're gonna start out with the Environment. It should be in your packet. I have it up here on the screen as well.

Mr. Ornellas: Did you get -- all these maps had gone through Kepa? Did Kepa look at these maps?

Mr. Napier: No, he didn't.

Mr. Ornellas: Can you make sure --

Mr. Napier: No, the names we changed were Keamuku, to Keamoku. And I believe the other name change was, yeah, on the east side there. And I believe those were the only name changes throughout the maps. But I did have to make them both on the gulches and the roads. The other name change we did was Government Road. It was Keamoku Trail, or Keamoku Road. We've since changed that, in all of our databases to -- we had it Government Road, unofficial, and we just changed it to Government Road. So on these maps it will be Government Road, unofficial. But I have changed that since to just Government Road.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. We -- when we first started this, this process, we said that all the maps were gonna be sent to Kepa so he could through them just to make sure that the spelling was correct, pronunciation was correct, and I guess you're saying he didn't get 'em.

Mr. Napier: No, I don't recall that. But we can --

Mr. Ornellas: This is like the number one meeting. Right Mary?

Ms. Jorgensen: I did see something where there was some conversation between Kepa and Kathleen with correcting some of the names. He reviewed different sections when we were doing the CPAC so there are a lot of notations in these maps in terms of where the 'okina is and -- don't want to mispronounce the others. But, but we can run, we can certainly send them

again and have him look at them for, for words, and, you know, anything that needs to change.

Mr. Ornellas: If, if you guys don't mind, I'll just give him a set, this set, and then have him start working on it as soon as possible. Because he has people in his office too.

Ms. Jorgensen: That would be great.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Mr. Napier: Yeah. My apologizes, I . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. That's alright. We'll just get it done, and then we'll know it's right from the very beginning. Okay? Yeah, the wording can be done anytime, so, but let's just get through the maps. Alright, Mike, go ahead. Sorry for the interruption.

Mr. Napier: So this is Map 3.1. I believe -- let me pull up a document of all of my changes real quick. Okay, I'll just go through this, my document. On the maps we change Keamuku, to Keamoku. And then we change Hale Palaoa to Kahale Palaoa. And this is just some technical terms here. Some clean up on my layouts. It's probably is not relevant to you all, but this is just my knowledge so can get through it. But on Map 3.1, the only changes I believe were the name changes that we discussed previously.

Mr. Ornellas: Mike, is this piece, is this on, on the back table there?

Mr. Napier: No.

Mr. Ornellas: Is it information?

Mr. Napier: No.

Mr. Ornellas: These are your notes?

Mr. Napier: My notes. Yeah, it's just a -- so I can, I can tell you what I did.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah. I tell you what, why don't you -- can you e-mail that to me and I will include that with the maps to Kepa?

Mr. Napier: Absolutely.

Mr. Ornellas: So we don't have to worry about --

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah, this list came out of the meeting notes.

Mr. Napier: Yes.

Ms. Jorgensen: So, similar to when we make just an abbreviated list of what happened at the meeting. We also went through and made a list for map changes, specific to, you know, the maps so that Mike would just have a short list of what needed to be changed.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so you're telling me you're not going to send me that?

Mr. Napier: No, I'll send it to you. No problem.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Alright.

Mr. Napier: Not a problem.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. Thank you. And that way we can, we can cut to the chase and see anything major then bring it up. Otherwise, names and all that stuff, we'll, we get that certified. Not certified. But we will get that checked over by Kepa.

Mr. Napier: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you.

Mr. Napier: Okay, so Map 3.1, again, the only changes were the, the two I mentioned on the names. And if you folks see anything else let me know.

Mr. Ornellas: Are you guys, are you guys looking at Map 3.2? I understand. I understand. I'm just, just continuing on looking, but I'm gonna ask them a question too. Have you seen this ALISH, agricultural lands of importance to the State of Hawaii? Anyway, just kind of look at that while we --. Okay, so any member, do we have any conversation about 3.1? Okay. Okay then besides -- everybody in agreement? Okay. Mary, so besides --. Mary? Besides the spelling and pronunciation and stuff, we'll accept the map, and then Kepa will, will bring it afterwards. And then that brings to 3.2 map. Right Mike?

**The Lana'i Planning Commission accepted Map 3.1, Environment, with the amendments as presented. Spelling and pronunciation will be verified by Kepa Maly.**

Mr. Napier: That's correct.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so it's ALISH, A-L-I-S-H, unique.

Mr. Napier: ALISH.

Mr. Ornellas: ALISH. Okay, that's the first I've ever heard that, that term.

Mr. Napier: Agricultural Lands Important to the State of Hawaii.



Mr. Ornellas: Mike, explain unique versus other. I understand that. I understand, but I've never heard that before, that term. So next to that it says unique and then the one just below that it says other. What the difference?

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, on the Continental US they have prime agricultural lands and then other categories. But, you know, most people have, if they've looked at ag for a long time, they think the prime land, prime ag. But the State of Hawaii decided to do their own classification system and it's not, you know, it's not -- it doesn't correspond directly to prime. But the unique would be third highest classification in the State of Hawaii for this. And then other are other lands that are of significance or of importance to the State of Hawaii. And that's just the way they classified them. So they have two categories, unique and others.

Mr. Napier: And I have the medi data. Medi data is basically data about data. And you can see up here on the screen it's a GIS geek term, but here's -- it was created in 1977 and it's been around just for a long time and here's how, exactly how this was created and I can send this to you as well if you need that.

Mr. Ornellas: You have, you have any objections or comments about this land being your land? Are there any questions or comments about 3.2 Maps? Joelle? You're okay. Yeah, that's a Halalu place?

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: It's just a sub area within the Manele, Hulopoe marine life conservation district has this special area where they manage the fish populations, and it's in that area of the harbor.

Mr. McOmber: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Ron, Ron is correct. To be, the conservation district you can only use a pole, and you have to fish from the land, the shore. And so if you're going to put a dot, if that's, if that is the reason why that dot is there, then you need to put a dot on the Hulopoe Bay side too. But I don't what this dot actually means other than fish.

Ms. Jorgensen: It is, it is a special area that is, that is different than what's the Hulopoe Bay, in terms of the -- they have a management program for --

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, can you ask, can you find out what that exactly is? Why there's yellow dot there.

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, the yellow dot is just a mapping technique to show a very small area and just have it in a bright color to call it out. It's not that the yellow has any significance or that it, it's a dot. It's just that it's so tiny, you know, that little area, the management area.

Mr. Ornellas: So we're questioning why is this a management, a fishery management area when all we knew it as being part of the conservation district. Right.

Mr. Napier: Right.

Ms. Jorgensen: It's a, it's a area within -- like there's two things going on, like, two layers. There's the Manele, Hulopoe Marine Life Conservation District covers that entire area that's with the blue stripe. And then within that there's this separate area that's a fishery management area that I think DLNR manages.

Mr. Ornellas: So this, we should go to DLNR for that question?

Ms. Jorgensen: I could find out more about it, and actually maybe even bring in a more specific map. I think at one time when we were discussing this that you wanted to see where this exact area is, so why don't I just bring that back.

Mr. Napier: I actually, I actually have the medi data right up here. These fishery management areas managed by DLNR, and they are a zone within MCLD which is a bigger management area. And that dot should actually be a polygon and include that whole harbor, I believe. I believe so. I'd have to actually look at the data but I believe in one of the meetings, it was said we wanted a dot there, or some, something like that. It could've been our staff, you know. I'm not sure how that happened though.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah. I think it was the polygons are small. And actually that subarea is divided into subareas, that has two special management things, and so if you start mapping it at that level, it got to be where you'd, be very difficult to see. So then it was just, like, calling out  
–

Mr. Napier: At this scale here.

Ms. Jorgensen: – at this scale there's something going on in this.

Mr. Ornellas: Is that -- referring to the Pulama dot? No?

Mr. Napier: I don't believe so. I think that's been there a long time. Kahului Harbor had the same thing, I believe. It's, it's a managed area. It's not. That's correct, but it is a Fisheries Management Area.

Mr. Ornellas: So can, can you find out more information for us?

Mr. Napier: I'll continue the medi data right here. I have it up on the screen.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, and I'll contact DLNR to find out what the heck they're doing.

Ms. Jorgensen: I, I have a map for this, a detailed map.

Mr. Napier: It's right here. I have a maps and right here's the data if you want to read about it so –

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Does it have a complaint section? Alright so let's go on to -- so any, any more for Chapter -- I mean, sorry, Map 3.2? No?

Ms. Zigmond: John, do you want until we get that information? Or you talked to DLNR? Or --

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, we -- I guess we can. Let's just hold off on 3.2. Put a question mark on the, on the dot.

Ms. Jorgensen: We can send out that information to you.

Mr. Ornellas: It's probably nothing, but --

Ms. Jorgensen: It, it shows the actual subareas within the harbor and how they can be fished and what their management --

Mr. Ornellas: Can you just put it on the next agenda?

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, thank you. So 3.2 will be a question mark. Alright, so --. Okay 5.1, Cultural, Historic and Archaeological Resources Map. I will, I will give this to him. We're going to put a question mark. I'll give this Kapa too, and get him to go over it. Alright Mary? We'll just put this a question mark or --

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: We'll just defer this one. 7.1. This is Infrastructure and Utilities.

Ms. Zigmond: John, is the recycling center correct here?

Mr. Ornellas: You see, if you look at the center, where the Lana'i City is, Lana'i City. And then you look off to the, to the left or the west it says Paina Place. Then there's an arrow that goes solid waste landfill. I don't recall having a solid waste landfill in Lana'i City. I'm not sure, but I'm pretty sure. Because I remember the EPA fining the hell out of Castle & Cooke for storing crap down there. You see, you see that?

Mr. Napier: Yes. This is on the CPAC draft so --

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, but it's buried. I would, I would say -- because if I remember you guys moved everything out of there, where all of the, the lighting from Oahu. That was all moved out of there with all the washing machines and --. Okay, we'll somebody is calling it a solid waste, it's not a dump site. Okay? People at one time use to use it as a, as a dump site. So, why is it -- I mean, this kind of gives the, the impression that we have solid waste landfill right outside

--

Mr. Napier: This was –

Mr. Ornellas: What would you need, what would you need for us to change that? I mean, I mean, other than us just saying, oh crap, that's not there. Would that be good enough for you guys? Okay. Go ahead Mary.

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah, if it's on record that you, if that there's no evidence that that exists then we'll also go back and check our database, and, and if it doesn't exist it can come off the map.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, that's –

Ms. Aoki: Mike? John, I have a question.

Mr. Ornellas: Yes?

Ms. Aoki: Mike, what map did you get this from?

Mr. Napier: This was on the December 2013 CPAC Planning Department draft map.

Ms. Aoki: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Napier: I was not involved in that mapping, so I'm sorry, I don't –

Ms. Aoki: There's no tracking on it?

Mr. Napier: I'm -- I could look in the databases and see our 20 or 30 databases I'm trying to consolidate from different people, so, yeah. I could look into it for sure.

Ms. Aoki: Please. Thank you.

Mr. Napier: You're welcome.

Mr. Ornellas: Can -- can we --. It's definitely not a County land, but let's --. Where do all the -- when they clear out all the water lilies do they put it some place and that is the place they call --? No? Okay, I'm just trying.

Ms. Aoki: No, but I think what's important to have on record is that it was transferred over to the County of Maui, that land was transferred over to the County of Maui back when -- when was it transferred? Uncle Ron, do you know? When was that land transferred over to the County of Maui? Oh, he's not looking at map. I'm sorry Uncle Ron.

Ms. Gima: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, it's –

Ms. Aoki: Yeah, now it's --. But what's interesting is when did it become a County landfill?

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so, it's -- any objections of removing that because it is not a solid waste landfill. Okay. Okay, it's, it's -- where's Mike. Mike, it's colored for the County?

Mr. Napier: Yes.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so, Mary, can you find out what exactly these guys are talking about, if it's a County landfill.

Ms. Jorgensen: We'll, we'll do some more research and we'll bring this back.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay thank you. Other than that, any other questions for Infrastructure? Okay. So next is Transportation.

Ms. Zigmond: I have a question please.

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.

Ms. Zigmond: On the legend -- okay so you have different categories, proposed growth and trails and there's three different kinds, and then it says existing roads by owner, but they're classified as State, County, datta, datta, so I'm having a hard time understanding existing road by owner as a category there.

Mr. Marlowe: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Zigmond: Stu I wasn't asking you. I wasn't asking you Stu.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, alright, alright. Okay hang on. And so what, and your question would be is?

Ms. Zigmond: Does that mean that the owner identify those? I don't have a problem with it. I just, it's not clear in my mind.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so, we have, at just me glancing at it, I don't see any problems. That they are correct when it says State, County, private. That's --

Ms. Jorgensen: Is your question on the title? The way it's phrased, existing road, so not but owner, but by existing road ownership? Would that be clearer?

Ms. Zigmond: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: Yeah. Okay, existing road.

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, you were referring to owner being Pulama? Okay. Alright. Yeah, ownership.

Ms. Jorgensen: And then, right the blue, where it says "expanded runway," it would just be runway.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Go ahead Joelle.

Ms. Gima: I just had a question on going from like Keamoku Beach. In the December 2013 it was a private road, and now it's an undetermined road. What warranted that change?

Mr. Ornellas: It's -- no, you didn't. Because every month it changes as far as who owns it.

Ms. Jorgensen: It's a road that no one want to claim.

Mr. Ornellas: Yes. Yeah, that's a good way of putting it.

Ms. Jorgensen: During the CPAC process, Doug Miller researched this road by going over and talking to the roads division, and they could not find or they would not say or could not find, you know, the ownership of it. And they, they thought it was State, but that's not clear, so it was -- yeah, we couldn't get a clear answer on it as to who actually owned it. Or who had the responsibility.

Mr. Matsumoto: Well, so, you know, there was testimony presented by Kepa. I don't remember if it was in front of this group or the CPAC, but he explained that how the roads became labeled as a government road, and the genesis of that. So, I guess there wasn't any follow up on the history and how there actually is a track record that goes all the way back to pre-Territorial times. And he explained that in, in testimony.

Ms. Jorgensen: Of, of the naming of the road. Yeah, I remembered that in terms of saying that, that it was called the government road for a long time. But the question is today where does the ownership or the responsibility of that road. Which agency? Is it in County, State?

Mr. Matsumoto: Yeah, so I understand that Doug tried and he reached a dead end. But the trail starts all the way back from that initial establishment because there was a government. It wasn't the US, but there was a government. And so even all your land court documents all go all the way back to that time period, so why not start there and then establish the trail. Because, you know --. Yeah, so 7.3 there's, there's several things that are, is kind of unclear. So that road is unclear. The blue lines that show the Munroe Trail being undetermined, that, I don't understand why it's undetermined. Miki Road is shown as undetermined. I mean, clearly as far as ownership goes that's clear. That's established.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Then you want to say what it is? I mean, Miki Road is?

Mr. Matsumoto: It's, it's private land.

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay. Private. Okay, and then Munroe Trail is?

Mr. Matsumoto: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Ms. McCrory: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Ms. Jorgensen: Could that be on, in the minutes? Could you repeat that?

Ms. McCrory: Lynn McCrory, Pulama Lana'i. When we were transferring land to someone in Lana'i City, we went back to the County to get it clear and then went to check the title. The title of the road was in the Territory of Hawaii, not the State, the Territory. Which means that the County when all the roads were changed never went back and changed the roads to the County. So everything you see in Lana'i City in black is really a State owned road. Now the County is in the process of going forward to make that change. But right now they don't. And then when you start looking at Manele Road, there are sections of that that are owned by the County. And there are sections that are -- but everything's maintained by the State so when we go to get a crossing to put the Miki Basin, the water line through, even though it's owned by the County the State says you still need to get a permit from them. So there are whole sections in there. But I'd leave that one red. But Lana'i City has no county roads.

Ms. Jorgensen: Thank you Lynn. That's quite interesting. So I think we could have some more of these road be marked at this time undetermined, or State, or --

Mr. Ornellas: And the government road is going to be -- researched?

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, there's, there's a limit to that. We don't, we don't have enough time to have -- we don't even have -- the people who did the initial research to follow up on that.

Mr. Ornellas: Lynn said she has a, a letter from the County? State saying it's the County, right? So would that be sufficient enough to --?

Ms. Jorgensen: That would help. That would help tremendously if we could get a copy of that letter then we can make the government road County.

Mr. Ornellas: County road. Okay, so, so good. So let's put this on hold also, and then we'll see the changes when you guys come back, and hopefully have this --

Ms. Jorgensen: . . . (Inaudible) . . . ownership. It's probably the same thing.

Ms. McCrory: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: You know Kurt, if I'm not mistaken, I think we had that debate in the 93 plan. Okay, so -- Jesus -- so I guess 7.4 would also go on the, on that same, on the same place.

Ms. Jorgensen: It needs to be postponed. And the reason we had this road ownership map is

because it was, it was so unclear as to who was owning and who's responsible. So we were trying to resolve that, but definitely needs some more work.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so we're on 8.1 Public Facilities and Services.

Ms. Gima: Is that little, it looks like a little loop or like a half circle that's blue which would be undetermined. Is that the little road by Hotel Lana'i? Wouldn't that be private if Hotel Lana'i is private or --? Lynn and Kurt is the road, that half circle by Hotel Lana'i Pulama's? Because it's showing up as undetermined. Okay, so it is private then. Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: Yes?

Ms. McCrory: Lynn McCrory, Pulama Lana'i. There's a number of roads also in here that are listed as private that aren't.

Mr. Ornellas: Can --

Ms. McCrory: So if you go at the very bottom.

Mr. Ornellas: Of what map?

Ms. McCrory: 8.1. Way at the bottom down here. Those that are listed as yellow are not. Those are black.

Mr. Ornellas: Oh, those are Lalakoa.

Ms. McCrory: Yes. Well, they're --. Yeah, those should be County.

Mr. Ornellas: All of it should be County.

Ms. McCrory: That should be County.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. McCrory: Okay, and then -- is Queen Street also? Isn't that Queen, right at the top here? Ninth Street. These, these are probably State at this point still. Ninth and the rest of these.

Mr. Ornellas: What about Third, Third Street? That's undetermined.

Ms. McCrory: That's undetermined. That's probably County also.

Mr. Ornellas: County?

Ms. McCrory: Well, it will be County some day. But probably right now it's --



Mr. Ornellas: Third Street is the –

Ms. McCrory: It's probably State.

Mr. Ornellas: You see where the circle is? Keep going. There you see where that circle is? And then you've got that, that blue line? Yeah, that, that is Third Street. So that's going to be County.

Ms. McCrory: And the little yellow off the blue, that's more than likely State right now, soon to be County. And then keep going over. Keep going. That little one should be black also. A number, John, a number of these should be State roads, you know, we think are.

Mr. Ornellas: State.

Ms. McCrory: Because the roads inside Lana'i City are owned by the Territory of Hawaii. Which one is Alaka?

Ms. Aoki: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: That is, I think, is private. The back side of Neil Rabaca's house.

Ms. McCrory: Golf course. Yeah, we think that's private because that's just kind of . . . (inaudible) . . . But it -- and it seems like there's a whole lot of them here that –

Mr. Ornellas: Well, okay, and do you guys have somebody to go through these maps? Because I've already got, I'm going to talk to Kepa. I mean, he works for you guys, I mean.

Ms. McCrory: . . . (Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Ornellas: So, so, so County how do we change it? If, if we, what do we need to change it? Not just sitting here on one night just saying what do you think.

Mr. Matsumoto: Yeah –

Ms. Jorgensen: Well, we need some record like what Lynn had previously mentioned on terms of a State letter saying these are --. You don't have that for what we're looking at right now. Well, we'll have to check the source of where we have these. If it's in our database or if it's the County road, yeah, database, then we're going to have to have something that can verify that it's a different ownership before we can change it.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so -- okay so, I guess, we'll just talk about this 20 years from now. But I just -- I doubt it. Yeah. How about, would a, would a representative? I mean, if somebody could sit down with, with the County and say, you know, that doesn't look right. That's not right. And you won't be able to take Kepa's word for it that this was actually what it was back then and I mean –

Ms. Jorgensen: We have to have some sort of formal, you know, change or record rather than one individual saying this is what it is, in order to change. This is the database that the entire County uses.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so who could do this? Who could do this? Us? Can the Lana'i Planning Commission take two roads a day, I mean, two roads a meeting and maybe by the end of whatever that we can get through this? I mean, if we, if we, if the Planning Department focuses on two roads, would you guys be able to go and find that information and then we can make that decision at a future meeting?

Ms. Jorgensen: That is something that I'd have to talk to Clayton about as to whether that is something he would be bringing in your regular planning commission meetings to do that kind of correction.

Mr. Ornellas: I mean, it's focusing on two roads a month versus a 100 roads. I mean, it's easier to do two. You start with the low hanging fruit and you work your way up.

Ms. Jorgensen: Right. But I'm not that familiar with what –

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: -- yeah, what your commission is meeting for. I know it's to review the projects and whether that would be within the, the scope of your responsibilities.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay.

Ms. Jorgensen: I realize it does need to be fixed at some time. I'll, I'll try to find out about what process we can use.

Mr. Ornellas: Do we send a notice to the Director that there's a, there's definitely some effort needs to be taken as far as correcting the road situation on this island as far as who owns what. Okay?

Ms. Jorgensen: Okay.

Mr. Ornellas: So, so, on 8.1, we're gonna hold off on 8.1? Okay. Alright so we're good then, right, Mike? We have some action items. Okay, and then we'll have, we'll have action items and everything will be resolved at our next meeting. How nice. Mary? Closing remarks or closing prayer?

Ms. Jorgensen: Would you like to vote on acceptance of Chapters 1 through 8?

Mr. Ornellas: Does somebody want to make a motion to that effect?

Ms. Zigmond: Mr. Chair, I will make the motion that we accept Chapters 1 through 8 with

changes. Yeah, because we did make some changes.

Mr. Ornellas: And second by?

Ms. Aoki: I second the motion.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, Stu . . . (inaudible) . . . Nah, Joelle had the mic, so she'll be hearing it. So is there any discussions on the --. Just, we gotta make sure that all the changes that we came up with between Chapters 1 and 8 are implemented by the next, next --. That's a question mark after that next. When? Okay. Okay. Sooner the better. That usually, it's usually helpful for us to read all of this stuff. Okay? Thank you. Okay so we have a motion to accept 1 through 8 as amended. All in favor raise your hand? That is 6-0, six fore, zero against. Alright, anything else members? Thank you for putting up with me and we'll see you guys at another date.

**It was moved by Commissioner Beverly Zigmond, seconded by Commissioner Joelle Aoki, then**

**VOTED: to accept Chapters 1 through 8, with the amendments and changes as discussed.**

**Assenting: J. Aoki, K. Gima, S. Koanui Nefalar, S. Marlowe, and B. Zigmond**

**Excused: S. Barfield, B. Oshiro**

Oh, we've got Fifth. If everybody doesn't know we've got Fifth Friday, this Friday at Lana'i Square, business square, so please come and share the festivities.

**D. NEXT MEETING DATE: September 24, 2014 for review of the Lana'i Community Plan**

**E. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further discussion brought forward to the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:50 p.m.

Respectively submitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO  
Secretary to Boards and Commissions II

**RECORD OF ATTENDANCE**

**PRESENT:**

Joelle Aoki  
Kelli Gima  
Stacie Koanui Nefalar, Vice-Chair  
Stuart Marlowe  
John Ornellas, Chair  
Beverly Zigmond

**EXCUSED:**

Shelly Barfield  
Bradford Oshiro

**OTHERS:**

Mary Jorgensen, Planner, Long-Range Division  
Jennifer Maydan, Planner, Long-Range Division  
Mike Napier, GIS Analysis, Long-Range Division