

**MAUI REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
REGULAR MEETING
NOVEMBER 18, 2016**

APPROVED 01-20-2017

A. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Maui Redevelopment Agency (Agency) was called to order by Mr. Don Fujimoto, Chair, at approximately 1:01 p.m. Friday, November 18, 2016, in the Planning Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Island of Maui.

A quorum of the Agency was present. (See Record of Attendance.)

- B. PUBLIC TESTIMONY - At the discretion of the Chair, public testimony may also be taken when each agenda item is discussed, except for contested cases under Chapter 91, HRS. Individuals who cannot be present when the agenda item is discussed may testify at the beginning of the meeting instead and will not be allowed to testify again when the agenda item is discussed unless new or additional information will be offered. Maximum time limits of at least three minutes may be established on individual testimony by the Agency. More information on oral and written testimony can be found below.**

Mr. Don Fujimoto: This meeting of the Maui Redevelopment Agency is called to order. It's 1:01 p.m. What do we have? Yeah, do I need to go through that? Public testimony is now open. You have a maximum time of three minutes or -- and more information -- maximum time is three minutes and you can talk about the item on the -- any item on the agenda now or when it comes up. I guess nobody.

C. APPROVAL OF THE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 MEETING MINUTES (Transmitted to members via e-mail)

Mr. Fujimoto: Approval of the September 30th meeting minutes.

Mr. Jonathan Starr: Move to accept and approve.

Ms. Carol Ball: Second.

Mr. Fujimoto: Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? Motion passed. New business.

It was moved by Mr. Jonathan Starr, seconded by Ms. Carol Ball, then unanimously

VOTED: to accept and approve the September 30, 2016 meeting minutes.

(Assenting: C. Ball, F. De Rego, Jr., A. Lindsey, J. Starr)

D. NEW BUSINESS

- 1. Discussion of Report of the Temporary Investigative Group (TIG) established pursuant to Section 92-2.5(b)(1), Hawaii Revised Statutes, to research and provide recommendations to the Maui Redevelopment Agency regarding alternative organizational structures and/or supporting agencies. (Action may be taken)**

Ms. Erin: Thank you. Item D1 is the discussion on the temporary investigative committee's report. This was presented at the previous meeting and therefore is now eligible for discussion by the members. So the copy of the report was provided for you on the table and I will let the members of the committee share any thoughts that they might have.

Mr. Frank De Rego, Jr.: Chair?

Mr. Fujimoto: Yes? Frank?

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: Being a member of the TIG, I think we covered the subject matter thoroughly and had some very productive meetings, and all I would like to do is ask the rest of the -- of the entire board to support the recommendation of the TIG.

Mr. Starr: I'd like to second, second that recommendation which is to go back to what, you know, what we had, we had recommended. Perhaps we can ask Erin for a, a recap.

Ms. Wade: Sure. The front page of the report sort of outlines why the TIG was formed and why this moment in time is important. The key questions were sort of outlined here on the left. And I think most importantly in terms of developing an organizational structure for a redevelopment body that's effective to do the things we want to do, we identified these organizational qualities about being to control and manage assets within the districts, and then to maintain some financial independence. Those are the two things that are sort of preventing the MRA from acting as a normal redevelopment body.

So we sought opinions from experts including Brad Segal of Progressive Urban Management Associates (PUMA). We spoke with Senator Gil Keith-Agaran about the changes happening in Chapter 53 related to redevelopment agencies and their authority within the State. And then we also -- I spoke with Harrison Rue who manages the Transportation Oriented Development Section of the Planning Department in, on Oahu, because they're considering also utilizing the redevelopment tool for the transportation's districts on Oahu. And they're making some changes and amendments to the section with that in mind.

So that being said, the group, after discussions, decided that it would be most helpful to hire a consultant who has expertise setting up redevelopment organizations that do manage assets and have their own independent source of funding. And to assist us in providing any

code amendments or enterprise fund, documentation, those types of things that need to happen in order to have those two abilities. And then simultaneously following through on staying active with the code changes, to HRS Chapter 53, and staying in coordination with the City and County of Honolulu as they propose their amendments.

So essentially the TIG recommends the MRA contracts Progressive Urban Management Associates to write a detailed organization and financing plan including the following tasks: organization of the board and staff; funding tools and sources, and the draft ordinance and legislation to support it; and any cooperative agreements and bylaws required to authorize this organization, which would be similar to the creation of the other entities that basically act on -- they become instruments of the government. So we have examples of cooperative agreements like that already in the County. So that was the recommendation.

Mr. Fujimoto: I have a question. You know, and I may -- I'm not sure, but when we went through the TIG process was it like we couldn't take action -- I'm sorry -- we couldn't take action when they come back and do the report, and we got to do it on a subsequent meeting or -- is that --

Mr. Michael Hopper: I think this is the subsequent meeting.

Mr. Fujimoto: Oh, this is the subsequent meeting.

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: This is the subsequent meeting.

Mr. Fujimoto: Never mind.

Ms. Wade: Good job, though, remembering that.

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah. Okay. Jonathan?

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I kind of made it a little -- you know, we reported back, but we couldn't really discuss it or take action.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay, I see. Okay, that's fine.

Mr. Starr: But to just to add, add a few comments. We are in a unique situation compared to what redevelopment agencies are able to do and how they are structured in other places because almost universally they have access to tax incremental financing and other related tools, and that way they're able to kind of leverage the increase in value of properties as the redevelopment happens and, you know, there's more economy going on. So that's going to be a difficult and unlikely scenario here. But there are other things on the table and the first go around with the remake of HRS Chapter 53 included creating the ability for geo-bonding for redevelopment agencies which would mean, you know, kind of direct, direct funding. And I think there are possible ways of also using, you know, creating leverage with that, you know?

Yeah, so that -- you know, that's where it kind of gets interesting. The Chapter 53 re-write would have made us...this current board basically illegal because it would only have been open to residence of the redevelopment area so that was part of why it got stopped last year. So it's probably important that we kind of stay in that, stay in that loop and how to make it really applicable I think that's where we'll probably have some good value with help from PUMA or some other, some other entity that has a much broader experience with this.

And I did come out of our, our research with the belief that we would need some, some other entity that is not completely set and managed by, you know, by the County,(a), and (b), it would want to have a member -- a wider membership that would include departmental and council members on that, on that board. So, you know, it may lead to two different entity -- two different entities, or three different entities, and a lot of jurisdictions. I know I experienced it in Las Vegas, Nevada, where they have three different, three different entities meet on the same day, and some of the people are --you know serve on two or three of those entities, but they're able to do, you know, the different things that need to be done, so it gets complex, but there are really great possibilities.

Mr. Fujimoto: What is the preference of the board?

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: Chair? Question for --. I noticed the recommendations from the TIG are here, but it looks like there's two motions here in a sense. One to contract PUMA's -- PUMA. The other one is to direct MRA staff to work with Council Services. Would that be correct or --? It's almost like there's two motions involved in these recommendations.

Mr. Fujimoto: Jonathan?

Mr. Starr: I, I have a comment which is that we had...looked at having one of the Council Members put in a request for Council Service legal assistance in drafting and research, and we kind of got -- we got rebuffed with that basically that it was, it was premature. That if there was -- if the MRA came up with something that was likely to become legislative and involve the Council, you know, where a bill would be created, then that would be the time to do it. But that for now we're better off working with our consultants, and also with the able assistance of Mr. Hopper and his, his team in Corp Counsel side.

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: So we can forgo, Chair? We can forgo, Jonathan? Then you're suggesting that second part for now, at the bottom?

Mr. Starr: Yeah.

Ms. Ball: It says "simultaneously," so I don't think we want to --

Mr. Fujimoto: Or can we just move to accept the TIG recommendations?

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: Recommends, and just leave it as that.

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah. I mean, and let these guys work it out.

Mr. Starr: Okay.

Mr. De Rego, Jr.: Well, I make a motion to accept the TIG recommendations.

Mr. Fujimoto: Is there a second?

Ms. Ball: Second.

Mr. Fujimoto: Discussion? Seeing none. All in favor? Opposed? Motion carries.

It was moved by Mr. Frank De Rego, Jr., seconded by Ms. Carol Ball, then unanimously

VOTED: to accept the TIG recommendations.
(Assenting: C. Ball, F. De Rego, Jr., A. Lindsey, J. Starr)

Mr. Starr: I have another, you know, kind of another question, and that is whether the TIG should continue and help formulate the discussion with the consultant and future action.

Ms. Wade: So -- if I may?

Mr. Fujimoto: Sure.

Ms. Wade: I would anticipate the next -- the next step is for scope of work to be written for the contract. And then -- and a piece of this is actually going to get taken on with the parking and events facility project because this consultant happens to also be one of the sub-consultants on the parking and events facility design as an operations and management consultant. So we would be able then to identify which pieces of that are already covered in the other contract, and I can write the scope of work for this component. And then I'm going to have to -- I'll bring that back to you folks, okay. And then we will do the selection because it will have to be -- I'll have to get quotes. And then after the selection period occurs, I'm going to expect that this consultant and probably any consultant we would work with is going to want us to create either a task force or a steering committee to work together to develop whatever this new structure is, and it's going to be bigger than the MRA, I'm expecting. So at that time would probably be the right time to appoint one or two members from the MRA to sit on that.

Mr. Starr: But, you know, my question was did we develop enough so that you have what you need to write to create that framework?

Ms. Wade: I do. And I mentioned in the report too this organization and financing plan is a product of this consultant developed for us once when we had a different mixture of players,

sort of, in Wailuku town. Now that we have a new mixture of players, it is timely for us to redo it but the scope will be very similar to the original scope. It will just be limited to this one piece. So I can bring that back to you, shortly. In fact, that could be something that I can even -- and we'll talk about the memo -- but it could be something I could put in a memo form to you as well, and look for just any edits.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay. Any other action on this item, or any other -- any other discussion? Okay, moving on.

2. Discussion to follow-up on International Downtown Association webinar hosted on November 2, 2016 titled New Research: Positive Economic Impacts of Lighting Projects. The board may discuss the presentation and contents of the white paper as well as concepts that might be applied in and around the Wailuku Redevelopment Area. (No action)

Ms. Wade: Okay, the second one is kind of a fun one. I had sent out a notification to you folks that there was a webinar happening. I don't know if any of you were able to partake in it. It was -- the IDA did a positive economic impacts for lighting projects. There were three projects that they featured in the webinar, and, of course, in partnership with Phillips Lighting, but this is sort of one of the big trends in downtowns right now is different ways to light downtowns that create more dynamic spaces, improves safety, make it look more festive and interesting. Especially when you have something like historic buildings that you want to retain their historic character but that can also mean that at night it becomes sort of a dark and intimidating place because you haven't done anything modern or fresh. So the lighting can go a long way to that while still preserving historic spaces. So anyway we did incorporate the paper on it, and I don't know if anybody wanted to add any thoughts if they had -- if they listened to the webinar or not.

Ms. Ball: No, but I think it's still available, isn't it?

Ms. Wade: Yeah, they just reproduced it so you can -- you can go back now and listen.

Ms. Ball: Right. Oh good. Thank you very much for this.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I didn't get a chance to listen to the webinar, but I used to do a lot of these projects back in east coast days, you know. A lot of them are iconic, you know, whether it's lighting the Statue of Liberty, and the Empire State Building and, you know, bridges and stuff. And it really, it really does work, and it gives a sense of identity and it's attractive. And I, I'd like to see if there's a way we can look at doing some additional lighting projects in Wailuku that are fairly cost effective. You know, I think the bridge coming up the hill from Kahului is - - would be a real slam dunk. I think extending the Market Street lights and maybe doing some on...on Main Street and making that -- making part of it a permanent year round feature and, you know, a few other things. Maybe something that would be an entrance feature coming

in, coming in from Maalaea direction as well. How can we create a, you know, a way to look at doing something like that? You know, maybe create a -- create a concept that can go into next year's budget or, you know, find, look for other sources of funding to do. What would be our best path to --?

Ms. Wade: This is kind of one of the limitations of the MRA now is we don't have a fundraising mechanism other than the general fund, so we would probably want to partner with the rotary or the WCA to become -- to do sort of a capital campaign for a project. Because all of them to do them, right, aren't -- they aren't inexpensive, most of them. They will require especially if it's the bridge, they're going to require a plan and permits and things like that. But it is, all of it is doable, it's just identifying what the community feels is the top priority. For me, one of the easiest ones could just be colored -- color wash down lights on the side of Lao Theater at night. You know, that's one of the sort of dark spaces that could make that really lively and a lot less boring at night. And even -- one of the things too, for me, would be working with the some of the property owners to themselves do lighting on their own buildings which, you know, hasn't happen much, but could make it a much more interesting space. So -- I mean, I can, I can work on that, but I think Wailuku Community Association is a good partner.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, we actually -- the community association board at the board meeting, this week, spent a bunch of time on, on lighting, lighting ideas and projects. I don't know if there's any local lighting designers that we could try to encourage to come up with, with some ideas and plans. John Miller – John Miller maybe?

Ms. Ashley Lindsey: I have a little bit of experience with lighting like this. We had, like, a few events that had up lighting that they used at the hotels. I don't know the contact, but I can get it for you. They're the biggest people on Maui for that right now, at least temporarily. But it really changed the place.

Ms. Wade: Yeah.

Ms. Lindsey: It was an empty warehouse, but then it looked like a nice building.

Ms. Wade: Yeah. Exactly.

Ms. Lindsey: It's amazing.

Mr. Starr: It's one, it's one, it's one area that's really has become a lot easier and less expensive with LED's...you know, rather than having to do neon and fluorescents and . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: Right.

Ms. Lindsey: And the LED's, you can, like, there's a color range that you just kind of turn a knob and goes through the color range. And it's like little to no money to power it, so --

Ms. Wade: The Bay Bridge too, if you watch the webinar, they have this algorithm that, like, the lighting kind of goes down the bridge, and then it comes back, and it goes up, you know, so it's this really dramatic, interesting -- it's almost an entertaining thing, you know, to go watch the lighting on the bridge.

Ms. Lindsey: Yeah, that . . . (inaudible) . . . webinar to increase night life there.

Ms. Wade: Yeah, yeah. Exactly. Okay, I can look into some things and report back.

E. DEPARTMENT UPDATES

1. Wells Park Redevelopment Plan

Mr. Fujimoto: Updates.

Ms. Wade: Updates. Okay, the Wells Park Redevelopment Plan, you have an Open House flyer. Please try to come tomorrow if you're able. The -- the first session was yesterday, and we had a really good turnout. I think we probably had 80 people come through, so that was good. Lots of little kids, very heavily tennis focused, and we anticipated this. I wish Dave was kind of here to share, but, you know, the status quo is in question, so people are concerned, you know. They're -- a lot of people are excited, a lot of people are worried. The way that we have been presenting the open house, and if you come you'll see, is very much reWailuku where we have -- there's nothing planned at this moment which is correct. There's nothing planned. We're just here to understand what people are interested in doing, what they're interested in seeing, and how can we provide more inclusion in the park space. But if you do come you'll see on a lot of the write in spaces, it's very tennis focused. So we're reaching out too to the schools and --. Tomorrow will be a little different too because Thursday night is a tennis night so everybody from the tennis -- they go play tennis and come over and comment. Tomorrow pop warner will be there, and bronco league so we're hoping to get some of those families and players to come up and participate as well. But it -- PBR Hawaii is the consultant on this, and they put together some really interesting panels too about public health, the importance play, to social and mental wellbeing, and so that kind of stuff is kind of interesting too if you --. Oh, and if you can't get there, this is the website. So there is a website that has the panels that are presented in the open house available, and you can submit your comments that way too.

2. lao Square Use and Naming

Ms. Wade: The lao Square Use and Naming, so this was an action item. I had worked on an ordinance, and then I brought it to the Parks Director, and she just said, "No problem." Or, I mean, the Deputy Director. So we had lunch on Monday, and talked through all the things

that we talked about the last meeting. She said they will start programming it immediately, so -- with community classes. So she's going to start programming it immediately with some of their existing folks who do classes for them, and then I'm going to work with the community association to identify some additional folks to create a broader mix of more art and culture for the space as well. But then they'll just add it to the parks and recreation committee class list so people can participate. Some of them will be free, some of them will not be free, but to me, I think, that's sort of in vain that we were all thinking. She did say if they accept it as a park, which is what we were thinking, and if they begin to run the committee classes, they won't be permitting the space immediately. So we will over time be working with them to identify what -- what's the possibilities. But we won't do like a permit for a market or an arts fair or something like that right now. We're going to be waiting on that. We will be creating a concession for the front office space, though, as discussed. Parks will submit for the improvements to make that a concession space in their CIP budget and add the storage space to side also in their CIP budget. So that all got real easy, real fast for us.

The naming, Ashley and I will be working, hopefully, over the next few weeks to get something identified for that.

3. Wailuku Parking and Events Facility

Ms. Wade: Number three, the parking and events facility -- so I'm happy to tell you that we have completed our negotiations and the contract is with Corp Counsel. So I did meet with Budget Director on Tuesday to confirm that the funds are still there and available for us, and it was confirmed. So just the completion, the completed review by Corp Counsel and the signing, and we should be all set. So the -- that process will start right away, beginning of the year in 2017.

Mr. Starr: What will that -- what will be the deliverables?

Ms. Wade: Excellent question. It is a very long list, so I, I -- probably -- I better talk with Mike about when can I make available the scope of work that this, this spender has provided? Do I have to wait till after the contract is signed?

Mr. Hopper: I'm not sure there would be reason that would be -- that wouldn't be public record. I guess it's considered draft proposal kind of until it's finalized, so maybe -- maybe not until after it's finalized. But I mean, if it's MRA based contract you can kind of generally describe it is. . . . (inaudible) . . . you may need to wait until they're, they're finalized.

Ms. Wade: Okay. Sure.

Mr. Hopper: It may not be the case, but I don't want to . . . (inaudible) . . . maybe wait the contract is done before . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: Okay. I can explain what we asked. So what will come of it is a process that identifies three potential alternatives for the design of the site to be worked with the community overtime, and narrowed down to a preferred alternative. It will include the environmental assessment document which is going to also have a bunch of studies in it that weren't in the original one. So we are going to do a market analysis of existing conditions and an expectation of the return on investment once the facility is constructed. And they -- we do have a consultant who will do anticipated return of investment on each potential alternative. So because we're -- we have said, you know, you can have sort of the Ford, you know, low brand Ford model to the Mercedes type of facility, each has a different return on investment to the neighborhood. So we'll be looking at that where it's not looking at it as a typical government facility, you know, and that's a conversation we continually have to have. There are people who get that, then there are people who review the finance contracts and go wait a minute, you know, why is this sustainable design so important, you know. So we have those kind of conversations. There will be landscaping involved with that, with the design. So there's landscape component of integrating public arts into the design. The anticipation of a gathering space or a public plaza space, and then --. So all of that's part of phase one, and then phase two is the component of Vineyard Street where an additional public facility would be built. And identify -- so in phase one is also a feasibility study for what should be included in that phase two public building. Is it just a community center? Is it more what goes in there? So that's by in large the package.

Mr. Fujimoto: The actual -- and the actual design -- I mean --

Ms. Wade: The construction plan.

Mr. Fujimoto: The construction plan and all that stuff.

Mr. Starr: Are there also different levels of kind of balance between plaza, community, art space versus...you know, parking, kind of just, parking numbers?

Ms. Wade: Actually that's something this could -- this is probably another task force that ends up getting developed would be a little design group that starts to identify potential preferences. So if you are inclined to be a part of that as we move forward please let me know.

Mr. Starr: Great it's moving forward.

Ms. Wade: Yeah.

Mr. Starr: Okay.

Ms. Wade: Thank you Mike -- for Mike's willingness to jump right on it, so I'm grateful for that.

4. FY18 Budget & FY17 reallocation requests

Ms. Wade: So the FY18 and 17 requests. So this contract will constitute the use of the FY16 CIP funds. There will be some remaining funds over -- almost \$3 million in remaining funds, which I went to the Budget Director to try to get our hands on last -- earlier this week, once I had the contract. I was hoping this is probably why Evan is here today is I was hoping to use portions of those monies on our operations and management, for the parking. We already bid it. We have two consultants who submitted. The selection process has -- we completed the selection process but I have refrained from encumbering the monies because I wanted to use the CIP money if we could. I was declined, so we're going to have use MRA budget monies for that, which are there, but it just means of our 83, we're going to have to attribute about another 45 of that for the parking operations and management instead of using the CIP monies for that so --. It's faster that way, but it uses the majority of our budget so we'll have a -- we only have a little to work with for the rest of the year. But it's okay. Now we can move forward because we have . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Starr: How about the satellite parking and related --?

Ms. Wade: That's part of the parking and events facility contract. Well, I should say, the study to identify to locations and preferred locations is part of the parking and events facility. Then we have second contract that we will let for the design and the construction design of those, and that's for FY17 monies. Yeah. Well, all of those, those last two projects we can use the qualified bidder's list for, so those are fast. Those relatively quick processes.

Mr. Starr: Okay, but is there -- is there money to actually accomplish the deeds or just to plan it?

Ms. Wade: There is money to both acquire property and to design and build the property. Those are two separate funds, but there is money for both of those things. It's not a lot, but we do have three point, we have \$3.8 million.

Mr. Starr: So and that's not lapsed -- that's not lapsing?

Ms. Wade: No, no, we've got time on both of those funds.

Mr. Starr: Okay.

Mr. Fujimoto: That was appropriation that came in last year?

Ms. Wade: Yes.

Mr. Fujimoto: So, there's an original seven point whatever, and then another one that came in last year?

Ms. Wade: Yeah.

Mr. Fujimoto: So that's the one we using for that?

Ms. Wade: Yeah. Okay. Guy Hiranaka from the County is already getting either appraisals for a handful of properties or comps for it -- or a handful that we identified from when we went into Council. And then the operations and management -- or the events facility, people will be analyzing the preferred locations.

5. Clean & Safe Program

Ms. Wade: Clean and Safe is humming along. No major issues except for the Spreckels ditch. That's become a major issue all of sudden. Well, not all of sudden. It's always been an issue, but it's a big issue now.

Mr. Starr: Yeah. For the first time in maybe six months I've regularly got guys with...big bottles of booze sitting on the step of the yoga studio which is giving them thought about whether they want to remain there. They're coming up from Spreckels.

Ms. Wade: Are they calling the safety ambassador when they have this...situation?

Mr. Starr: Been trying to kick them out. I mean, I've been kicking people out of there three times in the last week.

Ms. Wade: But so the folks that hang out at the Spreckels ditch know the safety ambassadors. And they know when they see them coming that they need to move. So if Jasmine or whoever is at the studio at the time wants to Val or Lawrence, they're more than welcome to do that because they know that the police are right behind if they aren't relocating. So -- I mean, it is sort of a conditioned thing, but we are working right now with Wailuku Water to do a cleanup down there. Because it's Wailuku Water property at the Spreckels ditch. It's not County's property so we have to get a notice of trespass issued essentially. But, yeah, it's become problematic. They do all -- I will tell you, the safety ambassador have brought social service providers of all varieties down there to try to service the people with different types of needs. And those that are remaining are those that have declined any kind of service so...we've exhausted our options at this point. Okay.

F. NEXT MEETING DATE: December 16, 2016 (Third Friday)

Mr. Starr: I have one more question. How about Banyan Tree? Is that still a growing problem?

Ms. Wade: Banyan Tree is sort of status quo, and there is -- there's movement in the right direction that I probably shouldn't publically express, but there is some additional

management going to be happening there shortly.

Ms. Lindsey: I want to say there isn't tents pitched there anymore, so --. At the last meeting there were, and there aren't anymore.

Ms. Wade: Yeah.

Mr. Starr: I have one other kind of a safety issue which is the big trucks that last few days.

Ms. Wade: Yes. That's interesting, I just texted Rowena, so they have --. You know, they are coming and going from Iao is what they're doing and they were on Vineyard yesterday, by in large, and today they're on Market and Main. And I, I -- I did see they them come up Vineyard again today. But it's the debris. It's either debris removal or rock return is what the all the trucks are.

Mr. Starr: Why are they coming down Main and turning onto Market?

Ms. Wade: Some of the storage area for the rock was down there; it was down by the lower side of, in Happy Valley.

Ms. Lindsey: Mokuhau Park.

Mr. Starr: That's temporary.

Ms. Wade: Yeah, it's temporary. It's -- I heard this morning, from Civil Defense, it's 9,000 truckloads of debris, so if you can imagine.

Mr. Starr: And they bringing it back up to --

Ms. Wade: No, not all of it. I mean, a lot of the debris was trees, you know, brush and that kind of a thing. But any rock larger than two feet is coming back.

Mr. Starr: What are they doing with it?

Ms. Wade: It's being either replaced at the parks or on private properties adjoining the river.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay...next meeting date?

Ms. Wade: Next meeting date. So, everything that I can anticipate right now between...this meeting and the January meeting is all sort of staff -- internal, administrative -- we're going to be signing contracts, we're going to be formalizing our selection on the operations and management, we are going to be completing the Wells Park open house. All of this I could communicate to you folks in a status report in December in lieu of a meeting if you wanted to do that. Or, we can meet and I can tell you face to face, but it's up to you.

Ms. Ball: I'm going to be out of town that -- on that date so I won't be able to attend in any case.

Mr. Frank De Rego, Jr.: I'll be on vacation.

Mr. Fujimoto: Great. So, we'll go for your status report.

Ms. Wade: Status report for the 16th, and then we'll see you January -- January the fourth Friday in January.

G. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Lindsey: What's the date? January?

Ms. Wade: January 27th.

Mr. Fujimoto: Anything else? If not meeting is adjourned.

There being no further business brought forward to the Agency, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 1:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO
Secretary to Boards and Commissions II

RECORD OF ATTENDANCE:

Members Present:

Carol Ball, Vice-Chair
Frank De Rego, Jr.
Don Fujimoto, Chair
Ashley Lindsey
Jonathan Starr

Others:

Erin Wade, Small Town Planner, Current Planning Division
Michael Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel