1	MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION
2	COUNTY OF MAUI
3	AUGUST 28, 2018
4	
5	
6	
7	REGULAR MEETING
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Held at the Planning Department Conference Room, First
13	Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street,
14	Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii, commencing at 9:09 a.m., on
15	Tuesday, August 28, 2018, pursuant to Notice.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY: PAIGE CHRISTIAN, CSR 426
23	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Cortified Poaltime Captioner
24	Certified Realtime Captioner
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
4	Keaka Robinson, Chairperson
5	Larry Hudson, Vice Chair
6	Lawrence Carnicelli, Commissioner
7	Stephen Castro, Commissioner
8	Tina Gomes, Commissioner
9	Christian Tackett, Commissioner
10	
11	
12	
13	STAFF PRESENT:
14	Carolyn Takayama-Corden, Secretary
15	Joseph Alueta, Planning Director
16	David Galazin, Deputy Corporation Counsel
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TUESDAY, AUGUST 28, 2018; WAILUKU, HAWAII 1 2 -000-3 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good morning, 4 everyone. This is the Maui Planning Commission, August 5 28th. Is -- is -- during our commission today, how 6 testimony works, everyone, is we will hear the -- the 7 item, and we'll hear the presentation. And after the 8 presentation, then we'll give opportunities for people 9 to testify. 10 Testimony will only be taken at the time of the item. It will not be taken as a group as one before. 11 12 I want to make sure you guys understand that. 13 Testimony, again, is taken after the presentation of 14 each item. 15 We have today on our commission, Commissioner 16 Larry Hudson. 17 COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Morning, Chair. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Lawrence 18 19 Carnicelli. 20 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Morning, Chair. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Stephen 2.2 Castro. 23 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Morning, Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Christian 25 Tackett.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Morning, Chair. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: We do have another 3 couple commissioners that I got texts that they're on 4 their way. We have -- we have in our director's 5 capacity -- Joe is here helping us today. We have 6 corporation counsel, David. 7 MR. GALAZIN: Thank you, Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. 9 First item on our agenda. 10 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Read it? CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes, please. 11 12 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Okay. The first 13 item is a communication item, Mr. Chair. It's a David 14 Pyle of the Krausz Company requesting a special 15 management area use permit for a six-year time extension to initiate construction of the Downtown 16 17 Kihei project and related improvements at TMK 3-9-002: 18 020, in Kihei. Candace Thackerson is the staff 19 planner. 20 Thank you. Aloha mai kakou. MS. THACKERSON: 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha. 2.2 MS. THACKERSON: So before you we have the 23 special management area time extension request. 24 came before you previously, I believe, on May 8th of 25 this year. And it was to request two years, and our

rules allow two years if this body waives review of the request.

2.2

2.4

At the time, the body determined that you wanted to see a little more information, specifically, that they had done some nonsubstantial modifications to the site plan that the department had approved previously. So this body just wanted to see those plans, you know, see what those changes were.

They are included in your exhibits. I do have the original site plan and the revised. I tried to do them right back to back so you can flip and see them very easily and see what the differences are, as well as include that letter.

Because the body is now reviewing the permit, the time extension in full, the applicant has then changed the request to six years. Hopefully, they start sooner than that. And once they initiate, then it will trigger the second condition to complete construction.

They're here if you have any questions, so I can -- we can open it to testimony or turn it over to you.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

MS. THACKERSON: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So, Commissioner, we ask for these -- for this to be reviewed.

At this time, do we have any -- any pertinent

```
questions for the -- for the applicant, or did we have
1
2
   time -- long enough to review this through the
3
   paperwork that was submitted?
 4
              (Commission conferring.)
5
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, I want to see if
6
   we have the -- I want to get the questions out before
7
   we do the testimony to make sure before we -- because
8
   we're not -- unless we're going to vote; that's what
9
   I'm saying. If we have questions, then I'll ask the
10
   questions to the applicant, and then after that, then
11
   we can go to public testimony.
12
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. I have one
13
   question.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner
14
15
   Carnicelli.
16
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. So my -- my
17
   question, then, is -- is for the department.
18
         Is -- just for clarification, the time extension
19
    they're asking for is to actually start the project?
20
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes. It's to initiate --
21
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.
2.2
              MS. THACKERSON: -- construction.
23
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So they're now
24
   asking -- instead of two, they want six years --
25
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes.
```

```
COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- to be able to
1
2
    just start, not complete?
3
              MS. THACKERSON: Two years is the maximum
4
   they're allowed to ask if you guys waive review.
5
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.
 6
              MS. THACKERSON: If you choose not to waive
7
    review and we do the staff report and everything,
8
   applicants will usually ask for more time because then
9
   they're allowed to. So they're asking for six.
10
        You can lower that if you want, raise it. It's up
11
   to the body.
12
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.
13
   Okay. Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: You're welcome.
14
15
         Do we have any questions for the applicant?
16
         I have a question for the applicant if the
17
    applicant will come up.
18
              MS. THACKERSON: Yeah.
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah.
20
              MR. PYLE: Hi. David Pyle --
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good morning.
2.2
             MR. PYLE: Good morning.
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please introduce
24
   yourself.
25
              MR. PYLE: David Pyle of the Krausz
```

Companies.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha, Dave. Welcome here today.

MR. PYLE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: The question I have

is -- is when is -- how is this project coming?

We usually don't grant six. We're not against it.

But can you give us an update on how your project

is coming along --

MR. PYLE: Yeah. We're -- we're -- we've been doing an awful lot of work on it. We actually did all of our network for grading and the offsite improvements. We had permit for the -- from the State for the offsite improvements. And we have run into some large budget discrepancies.

Our -- our site work has doubled since we started working on this project, since it took us so long to get everything going on it. Our parking price has doubled on this project. Our offsites went out of the roof.

So we're -- before we went in for the -- we had a final grading plan, spent a lot of money on these plans to go in for permit. And then, the budgets started coming back, again, which we're always checking our budgets. And when the numbers came so hard, we have

decided to go back and figure out how to get the site work to come in at a better price.

Right now, it's costing us maybe close to \$75 a square foot before we even start building a building out there, and it just isn't going to -- with rents these days, we're not going to be able to make that work. So we're working on how to -- to resculpt the site in such a way that we don't have so much import to fill and to -- to make the project work.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PYLE: Uh-huh.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, any questions for applicant?

Yes. Commissioner Carnicelli.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So one of the things that, you know, when you were here last time, when we -- you know, in just asking for the waive of review, you'd said, Okay, well, the reason why it hasn't started is because of cost.

MR. PYLE: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: And costs aren't going down, and so that was one of our things. We're going like, Okay. Well, if you wait another six years, are you expecting costs to go down, or -- I mean, I know that you just, you know, said to the chair that

you're trying to rework.

2.2

My concern is this -- is that in trying to rework it, we're going to maybe get outside the scope of -- and maybe this is a question for Candace -- is, you know, we get out of the scope of what was approved, you know, because already we've got, you know, a few changes that have happened in order to try to make this work.

We've approved an SMA. That is what it is. It's not pencilling.

Okay. Now what? Is it going to pencil six years from now, and does it take substantive changes to make it work?

And I guess -- and I don't know if that's a question for Candace to answer or the applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I think just go with the applicant, and then if --

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.

MR. PYLE: Yeah. We're very aware of this SMA permit, and -- and it is what it is. And so we're working very hard on this because we don't want to open up that permit again.

I do want to mention that the changes in -- the changes that we made to the site plan was a result of the floodplain that was changed on us. It got expanded

into our space. So we -- we didn't ask for these changes for the cost benefit of the project but for the -- the fact that we couldn't put a parking structure in the middle of the floodplain that was there -- was not there when we first got the permit.

2.2

2.4

So that's why we're taking the time to -- to try to step the site. You know, we have lots of different building streets, and we're trying to keep it, you know, a walkable community. But we're going to have to try to have more ramps, more -- more ways to -- to make the site flow within the permit. That's why it takes longer. If we could just change everything, it would go faster, but we don't -- it won't go faster. We know that. So we're working within the permit.

And we also came out of the ground when the recession ended, the economy was booming. It was just hard to get labor out here. It just got really, really expensive.

And the prices are coming down. Some prices have come down, construction prices, and we were -- we're working with two forces, the labor market and the -- and trying to change the site work.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Carnicelli.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you, Chair.

Yeah. Is -- all the labor and materials are going 1 2 to rail, but that's another conversation. 3 So the timeline. 4 MR. PYLE: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: If we would have 6 waived review, you would have gotten two and you would 7 have been fine, and now you're asking for six. 8 What is it that you realistically need? 9 MR. PYLE: Realist -- two is a little tight. I -- I acknowledge that. Ideally, five would be great 10 11 for us to get this really -- make sure it's going. 12 that's an outside date so we don't have to be here 13 asking for another time extension. 14 We -- we want to get the project going. 15 that's our goal. It's just -- it's just this process 16 we're always going through here with just trying to get 17 it done. 18 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Any other 19 commissioners have a question? 20 Thank you. Seeing none, we're going to take 21 public testimony at this time. 22 Mr. Mike -- is it Phillips? 23 Seeing none, testimony is closed. No. Okay. 24 (Commission conferring.) 25 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Oh, I'm sorry.

Is there anyone else that would like to testify on this item?

Forgot to ask that.

2.2

2.4

Okay. Seeing none, we'll get recommendations from the department, please.

MS. THACKERSON: Staff Planner Candace Thackerson.

So the recommendation is that we amend Condition No. 1 of the special management area use permit to reflect the new timeline of July 31st, 2024, and also just add to the end of that condition, "The planning director shall review and approve a time extension request but may forward said request to the Planning Commission for review and approval."

That's our standard now. It wasn't at the time that this condition was crafted, so I added it to the end of it.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

So, guys, seeing that last on the recommendation,

I think we can all read that as they don't want it to

come back to us unless we specify it, and I think

that's what we have to, you know, be cognizant of today

to let us know what we're going for.

Does anybody have discussion or a motion at this time?

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: I have a --1 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Tackett. 3 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: From my understanding 4 of it, I would -- I would probably be in favor of it as 5 -- as long as what we approve remains -- remains what 6 we approved. 7 So I think if -- if six years is approved -- I 8 think it should be six years for this particular 9 project. I think if -- if six years comes and goes and somebody decides to sell it, I think it should come 10 in front of the board again for another review, because 11 12 at that point, it would be a different project. You 13 know, I think -- I think I would -- I would be okay 14 with it this way. 15 What -- what would not be okay is if -- if they 16 get this, and then after six years come, they decide 17 that -- that they don't want to build it if the zoning is already changed, and they just sell it to somebody 18 else who doesn't need to come in front of the board and 19 20 present their plan. You know what I mean? 21 So that's -- that's the -- that's what I'm wary of. 2.2 23 MS. THACKERSON: I can touch on -- or -- Joe, 24 I can touch on that. 25 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Okav.

MS. THACKERSON: Just that -- so if it's going to be a substantial change to the project -- and the department just determines that on a case-by-case basis, and that's the director's call and the staff's call.

2.2

2.4

So usually, if it goes down in density or they just, kind of, maybe change the orientation of the buildings or things like that, we consider that a nonsubstantial change, and the department can process it. If it's going to be anything different, a different use, anything different proposed that's going to be substantial, it has to come before this body again and get reviewed, including if it was going to be a completely different project. Or if somebody else was going to take it over and wanted to do something different, the board would have review that.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: So could they sell the property with the change in place, is what I'm saying.

If you can sell the property with the change in place,

I wouldn't be --

MS. THACKERSON: No. When you mean "with the change in place," you mean that they would want to change it from the way it is right now --

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Of the zoning.

MS. THACKERSON: Oh, the --

```
PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: The zoning --
 1
 2
    sorry.
 3
              MS. THACKERSON: No. Go ahead, Joe.
 4
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: The permit you're
 5
    reviewing today is the time extension on a special
 6
   management area permit --
 7
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: The SMA.
 8
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Yeah, the --
 9
              MS. THACKERSON: Yeah.
10
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: -- the property
11
   has already its land use entitlements, as far as zoning
12
   goes.
13
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Okay. So if the -- if
14
    the project were to change substantially, I would be in
15
    favor of it coming back. If it --
16
              MS. THACKERSON: It would have to.
17
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: -- if it stays the
    same, you know, then -- but, yeah. I would -- I would
18
19
   prefer to see changes come back --
20
              MS. THACKERSON:
                               Okav.
21
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: -- here, especially
2.2
   with how long the extension is for.
23
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes.
2.4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
25
         Any other comments?
```

Mr. Carnicelli.

1.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So again, just for clarification, if that last sentence that we're adding into Condition 1 were a part of this condition originally, they would not be here today, correct?

MS. THACKERSON: No. That's actually from your SMA rules. Those are the way your SMA rules are outlined for time extensions, so that's how we write every permit now.

It says that the director -- "The planning director shall review and approve a time extension request but may forward said request to the Planning Commission."

But that's our waiver. That's the two-year waiver. We put every single time extension request before this body for SMA permits. You -- you always look at every single waiver.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. So --

MS. THACKERSON: We've never granted one just outright. That -- that comes straight out of your SMA rules.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. But if I'm -- I'm reading this --

MS. THACKERSON: Yes, yes.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- because --

```
because that's the way that it's been interpreted till
 1
 2
   now --
 3
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes.
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- is it -- is it
 4
 5
    says the planning department -- no. I'm sorry.
 6
   planning director shall review" --
 7
              MS. THACKERSON: Uh-huh.
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- "and approve a
 8
 9
   time extension request but may" --
10
              MS. THACKERSON: Uh-huh.
11
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- "forward said
12
    request to the Planning Commission for review and
13
   approval."
14
              MS. THACKERSON:
15
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So it's still a
16
   may --
17
              MS. THACKERSON: Yeah. But historically,
   we've never --
18
19
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- the director
20
    could -- but I'm just saying, the director could --
21
              MS. THACKERSON: Oh, yeah --
2.2
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- just review and
23
    approve, is what it says.
24
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes. And we do. When you
25
   get the waivers, those are communication items that are
```

```
-- and telling you of the department's intent. We've
 1
 2
   already --
 3
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.
              MS. THACKERSON: -- reviewed it, and we've
 4
 5
    said it's okay, and we're -- up to you guys to waive it
 6
   or not.
 7
         But if you want to change that, we can take it
 8
    out, or you can amend your SMA rules. I mean, that's
 9
   the way it's written --
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
11
              MS. THACKERSON: -- in the --
12
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Move along, guys.
13
              MS. THACKERSON: Yeah.
14
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Joe.
15
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: So, Commissioner
   Carnicelli --
16
17
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah.
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: -- oh.
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON CARNICELLI: I'll just go through
20
    the process, or we'll be here all day. We got it.
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I just want to be --
2.2
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah.
                                               No. We got
23
    it.
24
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is there any other
25
    comments?
```

```
So do we have a motion?
 1
 2
         Commissioner Hudson.
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I'll make the motion,
 3
 4
   but I'll make the motion for five years instead of six.
 5
    I think in five years, they should be able to get
 6
    started.
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Do we have a second?
 8
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Second.
 9
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Second by Commissioner
10
   Carnicelli.
         Discussion on the motion.
11
         Would you like to speak to the motion, or are you
12
13
    okay?
14
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I'm okay.
15
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Anybody like to
16
    -- like to discuss the motion in front of us, a
17
    five-year extension?
18
         Commissioner Castro.
19
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yeah. Just -- just so
20
    I'm clear, sir, instead of the six years, sir, you're
21
    recommending the five?
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON:
                                     Right.
23
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO:
                                     Okay.
                                            Thank you.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: July 31st, 2023.
25
              MS. THACKERSON: Yes.
```

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Personally, I -- I think a project this size and not getting an update for five years, when we look at SMAs and other projects in the area, we're going to, of course, take into account the traffic of this project. We're going to take into account the -- the usage of the water and other things. And it might limit other projects of coming this area.

Of course, we all want -- we passed this project to begin with. We want this project to go. But if we're having financial problems now, five years is -- I think, is kind of a long time, and that's just my own feeling.

I don't think it's -- I don't think it's a bad thing for a developer. I think, you know, as things come on board, we have to, you know, reserve certain things, and we got the -- you know, we have an item today that's going to be taking water off this aguifer.

So I'm more comfortable with a -- with the three-year than a five-year, and that's just my personal feeling.

Mr. Carnicelli.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you, Chair.

You know, I-- I seconded the motion for the sake of discussion. I would have to agree with -- with the chair in that I get that we -- we have bare quorum

```
right now. And so, you know, we're all going to have
1
2
   to come to an agreement, you know. It's -- I think
3
    that we all agree that we want to allow an extension.
4
    It's just a matter of how long.
5
         So really -- and, you know, that's -- it's just
6
    like, you know, if we're all comfortable with five,
7
   then that's fine. If we're all comfortable with four,
8
   then that's fine. But, you know, we are going to have
9
   to get a consensus because we have -- well, we have one
10
   more than bare quorum now.
        So -- so, anyways, I -- I can live with five if
11
12
   that's what everybody else wants. I'm like you.
13
   think the five's probably a little bit long, you know,
14
   and would -- would like to tighten it, so -- but I'll
   leave that to my fellow commissioners to decide.
15
16
        But if the motion is and nobody wants to amend the
17
   motion and it's 2023, then that's fine.
18
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
        Commissioner Tackett.
19
20
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Is this the time to
   amend the motion if you're going to?
21
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's -- it's part of
   discussion and if -- and if --
23
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: You can.
24
25
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- it's because
```

Commissioner Carnicelli, you know, showed reservations. He's the second the motion.

2.2

2.4

If you also have reservations, we can always go back and try to amend it and vote on the -- on the amended first. We can go back to the motion and withdraw it. There's different things. And we're still doing discussion, too.

favor. I think the shorter time is better. I'm also in favor of the longer time just to move the process down the line. What I'm not in favor of is waiving the -- the review should anything change. So, I think if -- if this is not the project that's going to be built or -- or if it gets sold or anything of that nature, I think it -- I think it should come back for another review.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Carnicelli, would you like to
withdraw your second?

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No, Chair. I won't withdraw my second. What I will do is I will put an amendment on the floor to change the July 31st, 2023, to July 21st, 2022.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So four years?

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Four years.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Four years. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: A four-year time 3 extension. 4 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. It's -- you 5 second the motion, then. If we can amend it, but let's 6 try to keep it cleaner. 7 Mr. Hudson, would you like to amend your motion? 8 COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank you, Chair. Yes. 9 Four years will be acceptable. I think less -- or less 10 than three would not. I think that there might be too 11 many things in the way, but I am amenable to amending 12 my motion and making it four years. 13 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Second. COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Then I am amenable 14 15 to amend my second as well. 16 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you. 17 We'll call for the vote. 18 Commissioner Gomes, is it -- you did miss a couple 19 questions, and I think that your vote won't be needed for this. 20 21 It's okay to just keep you on silent for this one? 2.2 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yes. 23 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. 2.4 Joe, would you like to -- to read the motion in front of the --25

```
PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Thank you,
1
2
   Mr. Chair.
3
         Currently, the motion is to amend Condition No. 1
4
   to allow for initiation of construction, a four-year
   time extension, and to be initiated by July 31st, 2023.
5
 6
              MS. THACKERSON: '22.
7
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: I'm sorry. '22,
8
   correct, for four years. And then the planning -- and
9
   -- as well as keeping the planning department's
10
   amendment of the "The planning director shall review
11
   and approve time extension requests but may forward
12
    said request to the Planning Commission for review and
13
   approval."
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
14
15
        All those in favor, please raise your right hand.
16
              (Hands raised.)
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those opposed.
              (Hands raised.)
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Motion dies.
20
        Do we have another motion?
21
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Seemed like what I
2.2
    requested to amend never really made it into --
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                    Okay. That's fine.
2.4
         So would you like to make a motion?
25
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT:
                                     The motion that stands
```

is okay with an amendment that should any changes be made, that they come back to this commission for -- for approval.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. Commissioner

Tackett, is -- I think what I expressed earlier is that
they have to. If -- if they change the plan, part of
the SMA is that if they do change the project, it's
going to come back to us anyway, and so, therefore, we
didn't we feel that -- feel the need to -- to add that
in because it's already inside the rules.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Chair.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: It may, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: But -- but let's let corp counsel -- he can -- he can answer any question for you.

David.

2.2

MR. GALAZIN: Thank you, Chair.

Yes, Commissioner Tackett, what you're dealing with right now is simply a request for a time extension, and frankly, you can't make any other conditions on there unrelated to the time extension.

I -- I think as, you know, Candace pointed out, any substantial changes in any SMA permit, if there's substantial changes, then it's going to come back for review. But minor changes, modifications,

deintensification of the uses, those are all things that can be approved administratively and routinely are.

2.2

So what you have before you on your agenda is just the time request for -- and it doesn't permit the project to be intensified, expanded, or changed in any substantial way. And that's just -- that's just a fact of the permit itself, the underlying permit.

All that you're being asked to do is decide how much time they have to put a shovel in the ground, should it be three years, four years, six years, what have you.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: It's, I think,

four.

MR. GALAZIN: So that's kind of the gist of it. You don't want to go outside the scope of what you're looking at.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Right. But now -- but should it change, can the -- can the director review and -- and approve, or does it need to come back before --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- is -- yeah.

Well -- well, minor changes, it's already part where
that the director can do minor authorizations -parking -- parking space here or there, trees here or

there, but if it -- if it's anything that changes over a certain percent, it has to come back to us because it changes the scope of the SMA permit that they have.

So if it doesn't affect the SMA permit, it's just, you know, administrative things, then the planning director takes care of that if it's going to change the SMA. That --

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: You want to show him

10 No. 4?

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: And if -- if the property changes ownership, how does that affect the SMA?

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: The -- the SMA's the same, but the property ownership does, I believe -- Candace -- come back.

MS. THACKERSON: Yes. So whenever someone sells a project with an SMA permit, we put it on your agenda. You see those transfers of ownership sometimes. We do them administratively, but then we add them to your agenda so that you're notified that it's been sold. And it's sold with this scope of the project in mind, with the design, everything.

If the new company wants to change it, then -- and -- and we consider it substantive, like they want to

change one of the uses or -- or knock out one of the buildings or something, then it would come back. But if all they're doing is, kind of, just chain buildings or changing the landscaping and stuff, we don't bring those forward. Only if it's going to really change the integrity of what you guys approved.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Carnicelli.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So, thank you, Chair.

In the actual SMA permit that we are -- that is before us right now, the only change is going to be the date and then the extra sentence in Condition 1.

If you go to Condition 4 of that same permit, which is not changing, it says that to the satisfaction of the commission or department that the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the commission in obtaining the SMA use permit. Failure to do so -- or failure to so develop the property may result in a revocation of the permit and/or enforcement.

So, basically, Condition 4 is addressing exactly what you're talking about. It has to be -- it has to be done in substantial compliance with what the representations are.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Tackett, any -- and -- and I understand your concerns. And -- and, you know, if it's -- we can -- we can alter the time of making sure that it is going on path. You know, when they do come for review, we can make sure that -- we can ask them, Did you make any alterations, right? And I think when -- when they come back for these -- you know, these SMAs every two years or three years or whatever we decide, that's the time where we can ask them too, What changes have you made to the plans? You know, and we can see that scope and see how large it is or how small it is. But all the manini stuff, the -- the director has the discretion.

So at this time, commission, we're going to -motion died. We can put up another motion with a -with a different time frame that might be more
comfortable.

Commissioner Carnicelli.

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Actually, this -- my question is for Commissioner Castro.

You voted against this as well. I'd just like -- like to hear what your concerns are before we make another motion.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, my -- my concern is much in line with Commissioner Tackett's, and I

actually had -- I guess the language, the last sentence, The planning director shall review and approve a time extension request but may -- may. I guess, how much of a change there is in the plan.

So it would have to come before us?

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Candace.

2.2

Yeah. So it's -- it's in the SMA rules that they can do that, and -- but they haven't done that, but it could happen with the -- with the change in office and the change of directors. You know, they've always brought it for us, but that's something that, you know, it's -- it's weakened. It's -- we can try to change the SMA rules at this point, or -- or we can try to, you know, circumvent it by maybe coming back in a lesser time, you know, and allowing this and -- and saying -- no, but we can't add conditions at this point. It's all -- it's -- we're here strictly for the time extension and the time of, then, either six years or less.

So -- but we can in the future change the SMA rules that would then affect this, because then they wouldn't be allowed to come back and do that. And I -- I understand what you guys are saying is -- is we want to make sure we're part of the process and make sure something doesn't happen (indiscernible).

But what's in front of us, we can defer this and try to change the SMA rules and bring this back, or we can approve this and before the two years' time ends, we can change the SMA rules, you know, and to make sure that it's not an automatic approval from the director.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yeah. And the only other concern I have, I guess, along with Commissioner Tackett is, you know, the verbiage "may."

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: And "may" means you -- you can without having to come to us as a commission.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. But again, this is just minor stuff for an extension, so nothing to do with changing the project. The -- the planning department has to bring it back to us if there's a -- if there's a change in the project.

This is just if, you know, there's little things, they might or they might not, but they have always come back to us every two years, and that's why it's in front of us now.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: They could have approved the two years, but they brought it back to us. And we're here today because they did come to us for the time extension instead of keeping it internally,

1 you know. 2 Now, let's -- let's get through this. Let's get 3 through this. I apologize. 4 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So can we -- can we 6 have a motion? 7 It would be great from one of you two guys since 8 the last motion died. 9 And it can be -- it's a time extension, so a time that you guys would feel comfortable. Then we can open 10 11 up the floor for discussion. 12 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: I move -- I move that 13 it is deferred until such a time as -- as the language 14 is corrected. 15 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- is it -- the 16 language -- it would be SMA rules. So we'd have to 17 change the SMA rules, and then we'd have to vote on the 18 SMA rules to change. 19 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: I understand. 20 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So -- okay. 21 Do we have a second? 2.2 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Second. 23 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Discussion on 2.4 the -- on the motion for deferral. 25 Commissioner Hudson.

```
COMMISSIONER HUDSON: When does it expire
 1
 2
   now?
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Candace.
 4
              MS. THACKERSON: It already did. July 31st
 5
    of 20- -- whatever was the previous date -- 2018.
 6
    So --
 7
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: So what is the current
 8
    status of the project? Is it in limbo?
 9
              MS. THACKERSON: So when someone applies, in
10
    there, it says they have to apply 90 days prior to the
11
    said date. They did. They're in good standing.
12
    That's in your procedural matters.
13
         We honor it from when it hits the planning
14
    department. That's why we ask for 90 days, because
15
    things like this can occur. We have busy agendas and
16
   things.
17
         So it's still considered open until it's resolved,
   but it's in good standing with the department because
18
19
    they -- they timely filed.
20
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON:
                                    Thank vou.
21
              MS. THACKERSON: Yeah.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So what happens on a
23
   deferral if it keeps on going? It will still be in --
24
    they'll still be in good standing till we decide
25
    something?
```

MS. THACKERSON: I'm not sure. I might defer to corp counsel on the specific of the rules for that. I know sometimes there's a certain timeline to make a decision or the permit is automatically approved, but for time extensions, I'm not sure, so...

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Corp Counsel.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Chair.

If I may, sort of, rephrase the question before I answer it.

Commissioner Tackett, if your goal in mind is to amend the rules, rulemaking -- administrative rulemaking process is very long, very cumbersome. They would have to look at all the -- the entirety of the SMA rules. We'd have to draft public notice. We'd have to give 180 days, have public hearing, allow comments, have staff address those comments, you know, have the mayor sign the rules. We'd be talking somewhere sometime in 2019 before SMA rules would be changed.

You can always move to strike out that additional language that Candace has put in, but that won't change what the -- the department does. I believe Candace was just proposing to add that in so that it reflects what's currently in the rules. That's going to happen no matter what.

The reason that you're here today is because you were asked whether you wanted to waive your review back in May, and as a body, you said no, you don't want to waive review. So now you're here. You're reviewing it. You've got all the information before you.

2.2

The question is, do you want to grant the time extension or not?

You can strike out that language, but that doesn't change what's in the rules. If you want to wait for a change in the rules, we're going to be here six months, minimum.

So if you are not comfortable with what's stated in there, I would say put that aside, vote on this today, and then task the department with, perhaps -- you know, I know they are looking at some rule changes. I don't know to what extent they are, but if that's one of the things that you want to put in there or want to see happen, they can address that down the road.

But what is right -- what is in there right now is just pursuant to the rules. That's not anything unusual or -- or out of the ordinary. It's simply meant to clarify. Certainly not meant to throw a monkey wrench in this whole process.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Carnicelli.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: You're good? 3 Okay. Would you like to hold your motion and let 4 us take a vote on it, or would you like to amend it? 5 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: If the language would 6 be taken out, I would be -- I would be okay with --7 with the two-year. But like I said, if there's any 8 changes, I would prefer it comes back to this body. So 9 I'm not in favor of any motion, unless -- unless the 10 changes come back to this body. 11 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Let's take a --12 let's take a vote on the deferral, please. 13 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: So the motion 14 right now is to defer action until such time as the 15 rules are amended to allow for this body to review the 16 changes. 17 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those in favor, please raise your right hand. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Can you repeat the 20 motion again? 21 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: The motion was to defer until such time as the rules are amended to allow 2.2 23 for you to review this project -- to review all 24 projects, basically. 25 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Or -- or just that --

```
that should any changes -- should any changes come up,
 1
 2
   then it just comes back to body. It's -- I understand
 3
    that there's -- that there's a determination of what is
 4
    a substantial change and what is not a substantial
 5
    change. I'm just saying that I would prefer that it
 6
    comes back here as opposed to it being made somewhere
 7
    else, that -- that decision.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. All those in
 8
 9
    favor, please raise your right hand.
10
              (Hands raised.)
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those opposed.
11
12
              (Hands raised.)
13
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: The motion does not
14
   pass.
15
        Do I have -- do I have another motion, please?
16
         Okay. Motion to defer to a later date.
17
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: If I may,
   Mr. Chair.
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- guys, we don't
20
   have to hammer this, you know, stuff. We have -- we
21
   have bare quorum. We can pass this for 30 days, bring
2.2
    it back. And there's seven or eight or nine
23
    commissioners, and we can vote on it then. We don't --
24
    like I said, we don't have to force it.
25
         So this is -- the project ain't starting in 30
```

```
days. We have 90 days to do it. We have a full
 1
 2
    agenda.
         Do I have another motion?
 3
 4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: One quick question.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes, Commissioner
 6
   Carnicelli.
 7
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Do we have -- Corp
 8
   Counsel, do we have our specific timeline on this one,
 9
   as well? If we do not act within a specific timeline,
10
   then it's automatically --
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Let's just make a
12
   motion and bring it back in 30 days.
13
              MR. GALAZIN: Do you want me to answer that?
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. Go ahead.
14
15
              MR. GALAZIN: No. This is not a -- this is
16
   not a -- this is not a permit for -- this is not a
17
    development permit. This is just an extension of an
    existing permit, so that's -- that would be
18
19
    inapplicable.
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you.
21
        Motion to defer.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Have a second?
23
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Second.
24
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Second by Commissioner
25
   Hudson.
```

MS. THACKERSON: Staff would like 1 2 clarification, if you guys are going to defer, on what 3 information, specifically, you would like the applicant 4 to bring back. It's usually for --5 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's -- it's -- our 6 deferral is so we have more than a bare quorum and --7 and more people inside here. 8 The information, unless there's -- it's going to 9 be internal from the planning department, which I think we can bring this up later. But I think it's more of a 10 11 clarification of the SMA rules for -- for our 12 commissioners. And I think for the applicant, I don't 13 think we're asking any more. 14 MS. THACKERSON: Okay. 15 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. All -- all 16 those in favor of a 30-day referral -- deferral, please 17 raise your right hand. 18 (Hands raised.) CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: A thirty-day deferral 19 20 has been passed. Motion has been carried. 21 We'll take a five-minute recess. Thank you. 2.2 (A recess was taken from 9:46 a.m. to 23 9:53 a.m.) 24 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good morning. 25 planning commission is now back in session.

```
PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Wait, wait, wait.
 1
 2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I'd say good morning.
 3
         It's at this time, we'd like to welcome in
 4
   Commissioner Gomes.
 5
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Hi.
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Hi.
                                          Welcome.
 7
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Morning.
                                             Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Next on the agenda,
 8
 9
   Deputy Director.
10
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA:
                                         Thank you,
11
   Mr. Chair.
12
         There's a new business. It's R.D. Olson
13
    Development requesting review and comments on the Draft
14
   Environmental Assessment in support of a Community Plan
15
   Amendment from Light Industrial to Hotel for the
16
   proposed Windward Hotel, a 200-room hotel located on
    5.3 acres.
17
18
         It's in the Maui Business Park II subdivision, at
19
    778 Haleakala Highway. The TMKs is 3-8:103, Parcel 14,
    or portion of, and Parcel 15, 16, 17, 18. It's located
20
21
   within Kahului. And you have the EA and the Community
2.2
    Plan Amendment before you.
23
         And Tara Furukawa is here, the staff planner, as
2.4
   well as I see the consultant right next to her.
25
              MS. FURUKAWA: Good morning, Commissioners.
```

This item is under review because the Maui 1 2 Planning Commission is the accepting authority for the 3 Environmental Assessment for the proposed Windward 4 Hotel. The Draft EA was included in the August 8th, 5 2018, issue of the environmental -- environmental 6 notice published by the Office of Environmental Quality 7 Control. Agency comments are due back on September 7, 8 2018. 9 We're here today to obtain the commission's 10 feedback on the Draft EA, and the applicant's 11 consultant, Jordan Hart, of Chris Hart & Partners is 12 here, and he'll do a brief presentation. 13 MR. HART: Thank you, Tara. 14 Thank you, Chair and Commissioners, Deputy 15 Director. 16 Is it possible to -- to adjust the lights? 17 I'm not able to see my presentation. 18 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: You don't know 19 it --20 MR. HART: Not memorized yet. Not memorized 21 yet. It will be. By the time we're at our fourth 2.2 presentation to the commission, it will be. 23 So the project team, the land --2.4 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Jordan, does that --25 that light change at all? because it's usually a lot

1 brighter. 2 MR. HART: It probably could be. It could be 3 brighter. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's -- I think it's 6 the machine, not the -- not the overhead lights. 7 Or is it the color paper? I mean --8 MR. HART: It could be the color. I'm not 9 sure if we can change the color now. It's -- but 10 that's not our projector. 11 I can read it. I apologize. I did distribute the 12 -- we did distribute copies to the commission, and we can revisit any of the slides if members of the public 13 want to -- want to take a look at them. 14 PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Can we turn the 15 16 lights on, Commission, so they can follow along --17 MR. HART: Absolutely. That's -- okay. that's enough. 18 19 Okay. So we have Anthony Wrzosek from R.D. Olson 20 Development, Vice President. Daniel Yasui from A&B 21 Property -- A&B Properties is here. Chris Hart & 2.2 Partners, myself, and Brett Davis are the land 23 planners. The architects is AXIS/GFA, Randy Itaya. 24 Civil engineer, Garrett Tokuoka from Austin Tsutsumi & 25 Associates. Traffic engineer, Tyler Fujiwara, also

from Austin Tsutsumi & Associates. Water resources engineering, Tom Nance. Cultural assessment is Honua Consulting, Trisha Watson, Trisha Kehaulani Watson. And our land use attorney is Curtis Tabata. All of our consultant team is here today in order to answer questions for the commission.

2.2

Yeah. I think that it is the color of -- of the background. We'll -- we'll change that. I apologize.

So the project site, it's -- it's the portion of Kahului for Maui Business Park Phase II that's nearest to the airport. This (indicating) is the project site. This (indicating) is the new airport bypass. This (indicating) is the older Keolani roadway that -- that used to be used to get to the airport. Costco is here (indicating). The airport (indicating). The CONRAC facility is here (indicating).

So this (indicating) is looking towards Kahakuloa across the project site from the airport bypass road.

This (indicating) is looking towards Wailuku from the airport bypass road over the project site.

This (indicating) is looking towards Kanaha Pond
-- or Kanaha Park, sorry, over the project site.

This (indicating) is on top of the project site looking towards Waikapu.

So the project site is accessed via Lauo Loop.

It's a 5.17-acre parcel. It includes -- it includes five TMKs of the existing Maui Business Park Phase II subdivision.

2.2

2.4

The land use designation is urban. It's community planned light industrial. It's zoned light industrial as part of the Maui Business Park Phase II, and it's in the Maui Island Plan urban growth boundary.

So at this time, I'd like to talk -- talk briefly about the land use entitlement process that we are going through. Maui Business Park Phase II is a light industrial project; however, the proximity to the airport is very appropriate and -- and synergistic for hotel use because of the various things that happen, whether it be flight delays, whether it be a fire on the Pali. Some people like to end their -- end their trip closer to the airport in order to -- to have easy access. Business -- business users have a need for airport -- or a hotel in the vicinity.

So the Draft Environmental Assessment is triggered by the Community Plan Amendment. Community plan designation is light industrial. We're going to be presenting this draft to the commission today.

After we receive your comments and other agencies' comments as well as members of the public, we're going to produce a Final Environmental Assessment. We're

going to present that to the --

2.2

(Whereupon, the reporter interrupts to preserve the record.)

MR. HART: Sorry. -- a Final Environmental
Assessment. We will present that to the commission for a second visit.

After we have, ideally, received a finding of no significant impact from the commission, a motion to amend the State Land Use Commission decision and order will be presented to the State Land Use Commission.

Presuming we prevail there, we'll be back to the Maui Planning Commission for comment on the Community Plan Amendment and change in zoning, at which point we'll go to the Maui County Council for action on the Community Plan Amendment and change in zoning.

And then, we will come back to the Maui Planning Commission for SMA major decision.

So that will be a total of four visits, this being our first one.

This (indicating) is a zoning map in the vicinity, indicating the project site is within the light industrial zoning district.

Let's see. The project consists of 200 hotel units, 227 parking stalls with onsite amenities -- a sundry store, a business center, boardroom. There is

an event lawn nearest the CONRAC facility. The facility is -- the hotel is approximately four story -- is four stories, approximately 60 feet in height.

This (indicating) is a rendering, perspective rendering, looking towards Kanaha Beach Park. This is the project as proposed.

This (indicating) is a close-up of the 3-D rendering of the project.

2.2

The landscape architectural site plan. Primary shade trees are going to be monkey pods and the larger grassed areas with milo trees and then a mixture of drought-tolerant and native plants throughout.

A flora and fauna survey was conducted by Bob Hodby in 2018 finding no federally listed or endangered species.

Drainage for the project site. Approximately 10.92 cubic feet is anticipated as the increase in runoff. The runoff will be treated on site and then conveyed into the existing Maui Business Park wastewater -- or, sorry, stormwater system.

The water for the project is proposed to be provided for the hotel. Potable uses from the County system, and for the nonpotable uses to be provided by the existing Maui Business Park water system.

Wastewater is proposed to be accommodated by the

Kahului wastewater treatment facility. There is anticipated to be offsite wastewater improvements that are going to be in the A&B Kahului Triangle project. There is an existing pump station there that -- that will have to be -- some improvements will need to be made to that. We are waiting for specified comments from wastewater reclamation in order to identify what those are, but we know something is going to be needed off site.

2.2

Let's see. There will be a residential workforce housing agreement that needs to be executed before building permits will be issued.

Archaeological resources. The site has been disturbed over the decades for cane, and then it was later graded for the Kahului -- or Maui Business Park Phase II project. An Archeological Inventory Survey was conducted in 2018 and is under review by the State Historic Preservation Division. No sites were identified.

Significant traffic intersections were studied during the preparation of the Traffic Impact Assessment Report. Existing weekday a.m./p.m. and Saturday midday peak-hour traffic counts collected during May and August of 2017.

The project will generate approximately 94 trips

during the weekday a.m. peak hour; 104 trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour; and 143 trips during the Saturday midday peak hour. All major signalized intersections on Haleakala Highway and Hana -- excuse me.

(Conferring.)

2.2

2.4

MR. HART: Yeah. Thank you. I apologize. I speak quickly. Let's see.

Okay. So all major intersections on Haleakala and Hana Highway will operate with similar levels of service with and without the project.

The owner is coordinating with the State

Department of Transportation for the project, including
an on-ramp that is anticipated to be developed at the
east end of the project site.

This table (indicating) is a summary of the agency comments that we've received to date.

So State Office of Planning. Further discussion of the State Land Use decision and order for the project for Maui Business Park Phase II and how our project relates to it.

DOH, Clean Water Branch identified ways to limit impacts to State waters.

DOT, Maui District -- sorry. DOH, Maui District. Explain wastewater disposal method and limit noise

during construction.

2.2

DLNR, Engineering Division. Provide additional information regarding the flood hazard zone.

DLNR, Division of Forestry and Wildlife. Use seabird-friendly lighting. Trees shall not be trimmed between June 1st and September 15th, which is the hoary bat roosting season.

County of Maui, Planning Department. Include background information on the State Land Use decision and order for the Maui Business Park Phase II. Provide a parking analysis for review. Address early consultation comments.

Maui Police Department. Use traffic control devices during construction, and the hotel should have adequate lighting for night safety.

Department of Public Works. Discuss pedestrian connectivity between the proposed hotel and the airport in the Draft EA.

One point I would like to make with regard to Public Works' comment there is that the way the roadways are configured by the State Department of Transportation are not conducive to pedestrian access from the hotel to the airport. But this hotel will provide shuttle service to the hotel, so guests will be able to load up their bags and get a quick commute trip

over to the airport for -- for flights.

2.2

With that, that's the general overview of what this project is, what -- the studies that we prepared so far and the general comments that we've received to date.

Obviously, we're at the very front end of a pretty significant land use entitlement process, and we're looking for comments from the commission on what you would like us to look into further or -- or present to you in more detail in our next visit here. Thank you.

We move to public testimony, I wonder if you could briefly, for our commissioners, explain to us why we're here -- it's a little bit over 15 acres -- the -- a little bit of history between the land use and -- and where we're at now and the light industrial -- so we kind of get a synopsis of that instead of us coming back and asking you that.

MR. HART: Sure. So with regard to the acreage, we're -- the trigger for the environmental assessment is the Community Plan Amendment. The community plan designation for the area is light industrial, but this is a hotel project, which is not permitted in light industrial. So if this is -- you know, the project will proceed, we need to make land

use designation changes.

2.2

2.4

So when you propose a Community Plan Amendment, you have a trigger for environmental assessment.

Planning Commission is -- that's the trigger, and Planning Commission would be the accepting authority for an EA that's triggered by the Community Plan Amendment.

So presenting this EA to you. After we receive -presuming we receive a finding of no significant
impact, we would need to have a motion to amend the
decision and order from the State Land Use Commission,
which urbanized the area for the Maui Business Park
Phase II. We would be requesting that we adjust these
parcels to allow for hotel uses.

Presuming we prevail on that, we'd be back to the commission to receive the commission's recommendations to the Maui County Council on the Community Plan Amendment and the change in zoning.

Presuming the council approves both of those requests, we'd be back before the commission for its decision on a special management area use permit in order to allow us to develop the project.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

At this time, we'll take public testimony. Public testimony on Item D1. I have a name I haven't seen

before, a Dick Mayer.

2.2

Aloha, sir. Please introduce yourself. And you have three minutes.

MR. MAYER: Thank you very much. My name is Dick Mayer.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Mayer.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mayer. Sorry.

MR. MAYER: Former Planning commissioner myself. I'm concerned about this project meeting one of the requirements that's there.

They're -- they're asking for a draft EA to be recommended for your concerns. Also along with that would be a FONSI they're asking for, a finding of no significant impact, which would be going along with that.

I think this project has a number of very significant impacts and would require an EIS. Let me mention some of the things. One is this area was originally approved by the State Land Use Commission as a light industrial area. As -- that includes the area where Target is located, Lowe's, all the areas all the way across up to the highway and across the highway where this particular parcel is. We have no light industrial in that area. Yet the -- the County code requires that in light industrial areas, at least half

of the facilities be light industrial. So I would like you to make sure that light industrial is being done in that park. It's something that is required.

2.2

2.4

Secondly, they're talking about 227 parking stalls. I think that's not nearly adequate for the 200 rooms that they plan to build there. My guess is most of the people who come to a hotel like that will have rental cars, plus all the staff and landscapers and other people who would be working there. I think the -- the parking is -- is under -- undersized.

In many ways, it's a segmentation of the light industrial area that's there. They're taking a look at this 1.5 acre parcel where now they're making a significant change in that light industrial zone, which was approved as a package for the community to have light industrial, and they're segmenting out this five-acre parcel.

And you don't know what -- between this hotel, proposed hotel, and Costco, there's a whole bunch of other land. We don't know what's going to go in there. We don't what its interaction will be with other uses. So this A&B in leasing this land or selling this land to the owner is really segment.

But there's a whole nother concern, and this is in contrast to the item you have next on your agenda, the

Waikapu Town, which is providing housing, much needed housing, for residents here, on Maui. And I'm going to refer you to something that your Planning Commission recommended -- I was vice chair of the GPAC -- and we recommended and the County Council adopted in the Maui Island Plan.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Dick, are you talking about this item, or you're moving to another item?

MR. MAYER: I'm talking about this item --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

MR. MAYER: -- particularly.

In the Maui Island Plan, it makes several statements regarding tourist facilities. Now, I'd like to read you the three sections from the Maui Island Plan which your Planning Commission adopted.

(As read): "The relationship between the number of residents and visitors on the island at any given time cannot be overlooked as an important public policy discussion point. Resort communities all around the world -- are dependent on tourism have grappled with the 'golden goose' debate, whereby the tourism experience may be compromised by the very nature of the area's popularity."

And then, particularly, it says on page 4, dash, 11 of the Maui Island Plan, alternate forms -- excuse

me. "To protect the quality of Maui's visitor industry, and the island lifestyle, the County must carefully manage and control any future expansion of visitor units on Maui island..."

2.2

This is 200 additional rooms on the island. And it also goes on to say that --

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Three minutes.

MR. MAYER: Let me just include these last two sentences. That -- "provide a rich visitor experience, while protecting the island's natural beauty, culture, lifestyles..." And finally, and most importantly, (as read): "Maximize residents' benefits from the visitor industry by promoting a desirable island population by striving to not exceed an island-wide visitor population of roughly 33 percent of the resident population."

What this project is doing -- what that means is that if we have 156,000 -- 1-5-6 thousand -- residents on island, one third of that number, 52,000, should be roughly the number of tourists on the island. The latest tourism number gives 73,000 tourists on the island on a daily basis. We're already more than 20 percent over our limit of what the Maui plan says.

So I think it's incumbent upon the Planning

Commission to maintain the Maui Island Plan and use it

as a guidance. And this application should indicate what the impact will be on the island. That is not a small item, and I urge you to take a look at that and put that in as something that they have to examine.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

Do we have any questions for the testifier?

Is -- Dick, what year is -- what year was that,
you say, put in?

MR. MAYER: That was in 2012 that -- it went through the GPAC, I think, 2009, through your commission around 2010, and the County Council adopted it in 2012 -- ordinance.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And, Dick, you mentioned about 50 percent of the light industrial.

But wasn't that for -- I thought it was for an eight-year period, and I thought that time expired.

MR. MAYER: No. The -- the -- in the zoning code, Chapter -- Title 19 of the County code, where it talks about light industrial as a -- as an entity of the zoning -- and it says at the beginning of that section, most of the uses in that area have to be light industrial.

A&B has not put any light -- anything light industrial in that shopping center. It's a bank.

There's the Target, et cetera, and this is just another

addition here that will be non-light industrial. 1 2 need to make sure that there's land available for light industrial uses. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. Thank you 5 so much. 6 Is there anyone else who would like to testify on 7 this item in the audience? 8 Seeing none, would the applicant like to respond 9 to any of the -- the testifier? 10 MR. HART: Sure. Thank you very much. 11 So --12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please introduce 13 yourself. MR. HART: Thank you. Jordan Hart from Chris 14 15 Hart & Partners. 16 With regard to the finding of no significant 17 impact, you know, that would be basically after we receive everyone's comments and after we produce a 18 final environmental assessment that is -- that is 19 20 sufficient to the commission. You know, we -- the 21 commission would issue a FONSI.

So what we're doing here today is asking to identify the issues that need further analysis. And so, thank you to Mr. Mayer for providing some input.

With regard to parking, the project does comply

2.2

23

24

25

with the County parking ordinance, and so, you know, that is our -- our requirement to address.

With regard to the -- the discussion of the visitor industry, it is a hotel, but its proximity to the airport is -- is more of an extension of airport uses. This is not a resort destination location. I mean, anybody who's -- who's been to the airport or been around Kanaha and, you know, understands that this is -- this is not the most -- this is not Wailea. This is not Kapalua. It's not Kaanapali. This is a useful accessory use to an airport that a lot of people have need for, whether it be business visitors, whether it be sports teams. All kinds of people have a need for accommodation in proximity to the airport.

With regard to the resident-visitor mix, you know, we'll address that, and we'll do a further analysis of those things in the Maui Island Plan. We did do an analysis of the Maui Island Plan in our Draft Environmental Assessment, but we did get some comments from Mr. Mayer on issues to address further, and we'll do that.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

Again, no other --

MR. HART: Excuse me.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Oh, we're going to come back to you. I'm just going to see if we can wrap up the public testimony, unless you have something to -- specific to his testimony that you would like to reply to.

MR. HART: Specific.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. HART: Jordan Hart again.

So with regard to the comments about light industrial and the uses within light industrial and things like that, you know, we're proposing a State Land Use District Boundary Amendment, change in zoning, Community Plan Amendment, so we're not going to be in the light industrial zoning district.

So if we were proposing a non-light industrial use in the light industrial district, I could see how we were having that conversation. But we're requesting to change out of a light industrial district into the appropriate hotel district for this use.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

Again, last call.

Seeing no further public testimony, public testimony is now closed.

I'd like to open up the floor for questions from

the commission.

2.2

2.4

Commissioner Hudson.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Morning, Jordan.

MR. HART: Morning.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: On page 24 and 25, you got the water requirements. There's a couple questions that I'd like addressed.

You say, "An additional new water source is not being pursued," period, and then, "...clarify," blah, blah, blah, blah, blah.

I'd like you to revisit that, okay, because then you go over here, "The Assessment of Water Availability concludes that, at this time, the Maui Department of Water Supply's Iao Aquifer System maintains an unused of 2.38 million gallons per day, and that an expansion of the Iao Water Treatment Plant will add an additional 1.5..."

Then skip down two paragraphs. This would be -it says, "The Assessment of Water Availability also
notes that the Department of Water Supply does not take
advance reservations for water supply, therefore the
availability of water for the Proposed Action will be
reviewed immediately to water meter issuance."

The way I understand that is kind of like first-come, first-serve, right? Am -- am I wrong?

MR. HART: Yeah. No, you're not wrong on 1 2 that. 3 COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Okay. So taking this 4 logic, the effects of draining the water supply are 5 cumulative and not individual. If everybody does this, 6 we're not going to have water. I understand what 7 you're saying now, Jordan. Right now, we're good to 8 go, and that's the way it's written. This is why I 9 want you to go back to the "additional new water source 10 is not being pursued." That's Item 1. 11 Item 2. It says over here that you're using for 12 non-potable sources well water; is that correct? 13 MR. HART: Yes. COMMISSIONER HUDSON: You're in close 14 15 proximity to Kanaha Pond. 16 Will the EA or an eventual EIS address any kind of 17 damage to the pond? because that's a refuge. 18 MR. HART: I believe -- yeah. We -- we did 19 -- I believe we did address those things in the draft, and we can further address them in the final. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank you, Jordan. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, any 23 other questions? 2.4 Commissioner Castro. 25 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Jordan, I'm looking at

the project site and the close proximity it is to the 1 2 airport and the runway. 3 The noise study, was that done? MR. HART: Yes. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Because you also have 6 the Marriott up in the corner, which is a little 7 further away, but this is even closer. 8 MR. HART: Uh-huh. So if I could summarize, 9 basically, provided that -- that the rooms are sealed and A/C is provided, the noise impacts will be 10 11 mitigated for people who are staying overnight there. 12 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I have a question 13 14 about water, if you guys --15 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Go. 16 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Can I speak to 17 Tom Nance, please. 18 If you fly over, Tom, you might as well get up 19 here. MR. NANCE: Tom Nance, consultant on the 20 21 water resource. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And for the 23 commission, Mr. Nance is very well-versed in all the 24 water in Maui, and in all projects, he kind of knows 25 where everything is.

And Commissioner Hudson brought up a point about the -- about the secondary water that the hotel was looking for and now is not. And the analysis was that they wouldn't need it and the -- and the effect that it might have on Kanaha Pond.

2.2

So I -- I know we talked previously about the water in that area. I know I have a building in that area. And the pump for the -- for the -- the non-potable is coming from that property that they're using for irrigation.

Can you -- can you explain that to us on where the source is going to come from?

MR. NANCE: The irrigation source is a well that was part of the HC&S irrigation system, actually developed in 1899. And during the plantation period, it had pump capacity of about 9 million gallons a day. It now has much more reduced pumping capacity, and it will provide water on a pressurized basis on an on-demand basis.

The -- the projected non-potable use for this project is quite low. It's 6,900 gallons a day. So it's a pretty modest amount of non-potable water for irrigation use.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: How about for the future growth of the park? I mean, is that adequate

for -- for all projects in this -- in this development 1 2 to have non-potable for all their irrigation needs, or 3 are we going to be -- like, say, are we going to be 4 grabbing from this -- this pie every single time we add 5 a project on? 6 MR. NANCE: Well, the -- this one facility 7 will provide for all of that non-potable water for the 8 project when it gets built up. 9 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: The whole park? 10 MR. NANCE: Yes, yeah. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: 11 Okay. So -- so now 12 let's get to the aquifer and -- and the water we're 13 taking from there. It's -- what is this project taking from it, and 14 what will be left over after the project? What is the 15 16 starting point now in -- in the aquifer, and what will 17 it be after the hotel is built? 18 MR. NANCE: Well --19 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I mean, I quess, the 20 point, is it pulling from two aquifers, or is it 21 pulling from --2.2 MR. NANCE: Yeah. The potable supply would 23 primarily come from the Iao aquifer, which you -- you 2.4 discussed the water use availability that's still left.

The non-potable supply is in the Kahului aquifer.

25

Its sustainable yield adopted by the commission is only one million gallons a day. During the plantation period, it was pumped at 70 million gallons a day for almost a century. Until the plantations' closing or at the plantations' closing, it was being pumped at about 25 to 30 million gallons a day, and that's now down to about 4 or 5 million gallons a day.

2.2

The loss of the irrigation return to the plantation, so we're trying to sort out what the Kahului aquifer's ultimate sustainable yield might be. But this relatively small use for the industrial park for non-potable is probably a sustainable number.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I'm looking for the potable water from the -- from the Iao and -- and the percentage that we're taking away from -- that we're away taking for this hotel compared to -- I mean, I think the overall is -- we're concerned is light industrial, make sure that we have enough water for their uses.

Is this going to take away from any of the affordable housing projects in the area that's -- that's going to come on that's going to take aquifer and not people that's going to have their private sources? How significant an amount is that compared -- because we see the totals here, but I got you. So I

rather hear it from you who gave the totals.

MR. NANCE: Okay. For the balance of the park, unless there's a change like the one that's before you now for the hotel, the uses will conform with what the water system was designed for. The potable system, private potable system, supplying the park, draws also from the Kahului aquifer, two wells that are called Waiale 1 and Waiale 2. And they will provide all the potable water for other uses in the park.

To date, this is the only one where the usage far exceeded what the planned water use for this -- for this site was. And so, they're using water from the Iao aquifer. But everything else in the park, unless there's a change, will be supplied by the two potable wells and the Kahului aquifer.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

Does anybody else have any questions for -- for 19 Tom?

Thank you, Tom.

MR. NANCE: Yeah.

22 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner

23 Carnicelli.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Jordan, so does the applicant have a -- an idea of how they're going to

fulfill the Workforce Housing Ordinance 2.96, whether 1 2 it be purchasing credits, fee in lieu, or actually 3 building out the affordable housing component? MR. HART: No. It's -- it's not decided at 4 5 this time. I don't think that there's -- there's a 6 direct plan to do a separate construction project for 7 building units. But basically, the -- the agreement is 8 generally executed before building permit issuance, and 9 so, sometime between now and then, it will need to be done. But I think that, you know, all the options that 10 are in the residential workforce housing ordinance are, 11 12 you know, open for them. But, you know, we're not 13 working on, or aware of, a different affordable housing 14 construction project that they're running concurrently. 15 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner 16 Carnicelli --17 18 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So, then, also talk 19 about the parking. You know, the testifier -- it's, 20 you know, 200 units. 21 How much parking are we going to need or not need? 2.2 I mean, I get that the intent of this is not going 23 to be your normal resort-type destination visitor, you 24 know, more your business traveller --25 MR. HART: Sure.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- your sports 1 2 teams, things like that, so why is the parking what it 3 is? 4 (Commission conferring.) 5 MR. HART: Excuse me. What I was conferring with R.D. Olson about was their -- their overall hotel 6 7 operations nationwide. And so, basically, they operate 8 at a rate of generally -- of all of their hotel 9 properties similar to this, about a rate of 0.1 stall 10 per unit, and this is over a 0.1. County code is 0.5. So we're well over County code, and we're over what 11 12 their experience in operating similar select service 13 hotels like this throughout Western United States and Hawaii. 14 15 And the other thing I would add is that they do 16 provide a pretty thorough shuttle service, which they 17 already are providing at their Wailea Residence Inn. 18 And it's very effective as far as basically eliminating 19 trips, because people know that it's a service that's 20 provided, and they rely on it. It's pretty effective. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please introduce 2.2 yourself. 23 MR. WRZOSEK: Good morning. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good morning. 25 MR. WRZOSEK: Tony Wrzosek, vice president

with R.D. Olson Development, the developer.

2.2

I just want to give you precise percentages of our successful parking ratios for past projects. This is an upgrade, select-service hotel. So it's a bit different than your resort or full-service hotels.

We typically install or provide one-to-one parking ratios for full-service hotels, which have large banquet facilities, usually have a separate signature restaurant, so more -- more amenities that bring in outsiders, not just guests.

In this case, its focus is for the business traveller. And our past business traveller hotels have success -- successfully operated on a 0.8 parking ratio. Our bare minimum is a 0.65 parking ratio, but 0.8 is a successful ratio for this type of hotel, based on past parking studies with each one of our projects.

We go back and we reanalyze our existing hotels through an empirical approach. We actually count the spaces per weekday, per weekend, different times of the year; and each time, we're reassured that 0.8 is a successful ratio that doesn't impact guest satisfaction. So if there's anybody that's concerned most about the proper parking ratio, it's us, as the owner, because of guest satisfaction.

To summarize, here we're proposing over a

```
one-to-one ratio, so not 0.8 but better than 1.0.
 1
 2
   Ordinance -- we have 200 rooms, and we're proposing
 3
   over 220 parking spaces.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
 5
         What is your parking ratio for your two other
 6
    locations in Maui?
 7
              MR. WRZOSEK: That I don't have. Off the top
 8
    of my head, I don't have that number, but I can -- I
 9
    can provide that information.
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, is -- are they
    less than one -- one-to-one?
11
12
              MR. WRZOSEK: They are less than
13
   one-to-one --
14
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Okav.
15
              MR. WRZOSEK: -- I believe so.
16
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And in the -- and
17
    going back to the -- the workforce housing, what have
    you guys done in your other two projects to fulfill the
18
19
   work housing?
20
              MR. WRZOSEK: Our past two projects, we have
21
   paid in-lieu fees --
22
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Fees?
23
              MR. WRZOSEK: Yes. But currently, we are
24
    analyzing all of the options. It's -- it's about a
25
    financial analysis, and -- and we are keeping our
```

options open. We understand that the decision must be made at the time of building permit approval.

We believe that we have at least another year left in the entitlement process because of the Land Use Commission hearing and then coming back here before you for the SMA, in addition to what could be a six-month construction document and permit application review.

So there's -- there is at least a year and a half worth of research that needs to be done to make that decision final.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

12 Commissioner Gomes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yes. Is this -- thank you.

Jordan, hi. Not exactly sure if --

MR. HART: Tony?

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Or you may -- you may be able to answer it. I'm not sure if Tony.

We're talking about parking. I do have several questions, Chair. Sorry.

In regards to the parking, I know you're talking about one-to-one, so it seems that it's -- that you're saying that it's -- it's fair or at least more than what you've done in the past or at least the assessment that you've done. It's a 200-unit hotel. You're

```
taking into consideration quest satisfaction, so --
1
2
   which is important.
3
        What is the satisfaction for your staff? So where
4
   is your staff going to park?
5
              MR. WRZOSEK: The one-to-one ratio is very
6
   generous for guests and employee parking. As I stated,
7
    for past projects, a point -- for this type of hotel, a
8
    0.8 ratio, which is total parking for guests and
9
   employees, is satisfactory for us. And we do not have
    complaints regarding guests or employees not being able
10
11
   to park at a property. So a one-to-one is more than
12
   enough for this hotel.
13
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. So I -- I just
14
   want to clarify on this project, this PowerPoint.
15
         You have 27 employees for this hotel?
             MR. WRZOSEK: Yes.
16
17
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: That's it?
              MR. WRZOSEK: That's -- no. That's per
18
19
    shift. That's per shift.
20
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm looking at --
21
              MR. WRZOSEK: Yes.
                                  So --
2.2
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: If you're looking at 200
    -- it's a 200-unit hotel.
23
2.4
              MR. WRZOSEK: Uh-huh.
25
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Let's say your unit is
```

```
-- is full --
 1
 2
              MR. WRZOSEK:
                           Yes.
 3
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- it's to capacity.
 4
              MR. WRZOSEK:
                            Yes.
 5
                                   One vehicle per -- per
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
 6
   visitor.
 7
              MR. WRZOSEK:
                            Uh-huh.
 8
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm -- hypothetically,
 9
   not using the shuttle.
                            They -- they're renting a
10
   vehicle. It's not -- I know what you're -- you're
11
    trying to focus on it's business, and people usually
12
    just probably come in and fly out.
13
         It's only going to be providing 227 parking stalls
14
    total, so staff is -- your amount of staff is --
              MR. WRZOSEK: So if we -- if we're 100
15
16
   percent full, 200 parking spaces, and we have a surplus
17
    of 27, this is a -- an upscale select-service hotel for
18
   business travellers, and our operations are very lean.
    That's why we can offer good value with our price
19
20
   point. Lean employment at night means three people on
21
    the staff. Again, we're not a full-service or a resort
2.2
   hotel, so we do not have a large number of employees at
23
    the property.
24
                                                   All
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   I see.
                                           Okay.
25
    right.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And I think that's
 1
 2
   what I was trying to ask you what -- if you knew what
 3
    your other locations were, because I've been to those
 4
    locations, and I've always had a stall, you know, not
 5
    -- but not after 6 p.m.
 6
              MR. WRZOSEK: And the other two locations
 7
   were based on a 0.5 ratio --
 8
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
 9
              MR. WRZOSEK: -- but we did provide more than
    0.5 for those hotels. That I know off the top of my
10
11
   head, for sure --
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah.
13
              MR. WRZOSEK: -- but I can't give you an
14
   exact number.
15
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and 0.5 is what
16
    I was whispered is code.
17
              MR. HART: Yes.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So we are -- we are
18
19
   doubling code.
20
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: If -- if you don't
21
   have -- does any of these units -- sorry, Mr. Chair.
2.2
        But does any of these units have a kitchen
23
    facility?
2.4
              MR. WRZOSEK: Yeah. Some do. And we have
25
   accommodated for that increased factor, so -- so it's a
```

combination of 0.5 for rooms without kitchens and 1.5 1 2 -- or 2, sorry, yeah, for rooms with kitchens. And 3 that -- that is in our table in our --PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: 4 Okay. 5 MR. WRZOSEK: -- within our reports. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Gomes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm sorry. 8 And, Jordan -- and I'm sorry. Maybe -- you're 9 going to go from light industrial and then go to the County, and then you're going to change the zoning. 10 Can you extrapolate on that a little bit more --11 12 MR. HART: Sure. 13 COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- because, I mean, you 14 know, according to, you know, Dick Mayer -- and I know Dick Mayer. And, I mean, I completely understand the 15 16 -- you know, looking at GPAC, I mean, the general plan 17 -- they've given away, what, 36-plus acres. That was not something in the plan five years ago. 18 That was not 19 something in the plan ten years ago. This all came upon us, you know, suddenly, you know, taking away 20 21 HC&S, blah, blah, blah, blah. 2.2 I'm just kind of wondering. You're going to --23 we're in a light industrial situation right now.

You're going to go to the County. You're going to

change zoning to -- can you extrapolate what your --

24

25

your intent is on -- on all of this? Why change from light -- you're going to change it from light industrial to --

2.2

MR. HART: This is Jordan Hart.

The hotel is the proposal, and the reason that the proposal is being made is because R.D. Olson developed the existing Airport Marriott Courtyard, and there's very high demand for a hotel in that location. And so, this is just another ideal location in proximity to the airport.

I -- I do want to -- to reemphasize the difference between resort accommodation and almost like the utilitarian accommodation component that hotels near airports have. It's more of relation to the airport itself rather than people coming from Europe in order to stay at this location for their entire trip. You know, it's -- it's just not how it works.

As Tony had mentioned, there's business travel.

So, you know, whether or not you're coming over for,
you know, one meeting that's going to end, you know,
too late to fly back, so you need a place to stay or
whether or not your flight gets delayed or whether or
not you're a soccer team and you're playing somebody in
Kahului, it's a perfect place to stay.

And there's a lot of different uses where those

are appropriate. You know, before the Marriott

Courtyard, there was two existing hotels in Kahului

that are, you know, significantly -- anyway, there's a

-- there's demand. There's demand for a hotel.

2.2

So, you know, Mr. Mayer was representing that it's somehow inappropriate to come and -- and tell the County, you know, we think that there's a better use for this location, and we think the zoning should be changed, and this is the use that we propose.

It's completely appropriate, and it's done, you know, constantly. And this is just another scenario where A&B did -- did -- Maui Business Park Phase II.

Light industrial is a very appropriate use around the airport, but hotel is also. And so, in this one spot, within a very large Maui Business Park Phase II, R.D.

Olson is proposing that -- that you do a hotel use here.

And we'll go through the entire process of getting all the comments from the community and the agencies and accommodating all of their requirements and addressing their impacts. And if we -- we present a project that does address the impacts, then I would hope we get a finding of no significant impact and we proceed with the land use designation changes where we can have conditions of zoning and we can have

conditions of our SMA major permit.

2.2

So, you know, nobody's trying to propose that -that they don't do what they need to do in order to -to, you know, get their land use designation changes
and get their SMA major permit. It's just that land
use development evolves over time and, you know, people
weren't thinking about a hotel in this location until
R.D. Olson developed the other hotel and -- and
identified how much demand there has been, ends up, in
this location. And so, it's another appropriate
location for a similar use.

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Deputy Director, is -- is the hotel considered light industrial?

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: No, it's not.

That's why he's seeking a change in zoning. So he's

going through the correct process of the Community Plan

Amendment.

The Community Plan Amendment triggers an environmental assessment --

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: -- and that is what you are here today -- has been passed out to you for comment on. So today he's recording all the comments from this commission, the action you're seeking, and then he will incorporate that into a final

-- a final environmental assessment in which, again, 1 2 this body will be a commenting agency on that. And once he's -- once he's finished with the 3 4 environmental assessment or environmental process, 5 he'll be -- then come in to seek a Community Plan 6 Amendment as well as a change in zoning from this board 7 and then also, ultimately, council. And then he'll be 8 able to come in for his SMA once he's got his 9 entitlement. 10 So we are at the very beginning of the -- this 11 land use entitlement process. 12 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. Thank you. 13 mean, that was good clarification. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. So -- so we 15 give recommendations to the council for the -- for the 16 amendment as well as for the zoning, and then it comes 17 to us for -- for SMA. 18 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. 19 MR. HART: If I could, Chair, add 20 something --21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes, Jordan. 2.2 MR. HART: -- that's pretty relevant. 23 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes. 24 MR. HART: One other thing I just want to 25 point out clarification is that Planning Commission is

```
accepting authority for the final environmental
 1
 2
   assessment. So -- so this commission decides whether
 3
    or not we've analyzed all of the impacts and proposed
 4
    appropriate mitigation measures. And if this
 5
    department -- if this agency -- commission decides that
 6
   we have not done that, then, you know, we don't get our
 7
    finding of no significant impact.
 8
         So, you know, we're here to -- to have you tell
 9
   us, you know, what we need further emphasis on in order
10
    to present that back to you.
11
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you. Thank you --
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Tackett.
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Yeah. My question is
13
14
    about the -- the other hotel that you guys -- you guys
15
    -- or R.D. Olson built that hotel, correct?
16
              MR. HART:
                        Yes.
17
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: And they owned that
   hotel at that time?
18
19
                         They operated it for a period of
              MR. HART:
    time. I believe they do not own it now.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: So is there -- is
2.2
    anyone aware of what the -- what that hotel's occupancy
23
    is -- is operating at? And is this...
2.4
              MR. WRZOSEK: Tony Wrzosek, R.D. Olson
25
   Development.
```

Yes. We did -- we did own, develop, construct the Marriott Courtyard just down the road. And recently, it has been sold to another developer.

2.2

2.4

During our ownership, it maintained an extremely high percentage of occupancy, thus encouraging our office to look at further sites for a second hotel.

Based on the success of the Courtyard, we truly believe that there is a demand, an unmet demand, for more hotel rooms of this type within this market adjacent to the airport.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: And -- and what is that high percentage that -- that the -- that that hotel was operating at when -- when you guys were the owners of it?

MR. WRZOSEK: It was in the mid-90s -- COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Okay.

MR. WRZOSEK: -- which is considered fully occupied. Some rooms would -- could be out of service. That wouldn't achieve the 100 percent occupancy.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Which -- and the reason why I ask that question is because that's why, I think, everybody is concerned about the parking is because you cannot really compare Maui to a lot of other places --

MR. WRZOSEK: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: -- just because we've been -- we've been sold out for a long time and continue to be.

2.2

One thing I'm wondering is if -- is if -- when you build a hotel and you sell a hotel and then you take the profits and then you build another hotel that you're in control of and then you take the clientele from that hotel, it seems like, perhaps, maybe two are going to suffer. And then -- and then, on the other hand, you have two hotels further down the road that have reached the end of their span, which will probably be remodelled sometime in the future, which is also going to have an effect on both of these two spaces. The particular space you have right now is definitely way -- that you're talking about is definitely way better than staying at -- at some places that aren't as up-to-date, although I doubt that life will let those particular places stay that way forever.

And then, that -- so that's kind of a concern of mine; that you're going to build a hotel; you're going to sell a hotel; you're going to build another hotel; it's going to take -- take clientele away from the hotel that you just built that you sold to somebody else. And then -- and then, on top of that, you're going to have two other hotels that are -- that are

ready to come up for some sort of remodel someday, because they -- they cannot stay like that. They're going to -- they're going to have to do something about that someday.

2.2

The other thing that is kind of a concern for me is the location. We've been -- we've been trying to -- to go back to what they call smart growth, and -- and what they call smart growth is -- smart growth is something that puts a hotel in walking distance from, preferably, local businesses, you know, somewhere where a small mom-and-pop business could maybe get a little revenue from it.

The way I see the situation, this particular place, it seems like you're going to -- if they're just going to work out of their hotel room, then they won't need a car. If they're not going to work out of their hotel room, they're going to need a car because there's no place to walk to around there. If you were just going to work off of your laptop, then there's really no reason to come all the way to Maui to do it. You know, so I think -- I think there's going to be a traffic impact. I think if things are as rosy as what you say, then -- then everybody's probably going to have a car, because there's no place to go over there unless you have a car. Besides an occasional sport

team when -- at that -- and in that situation, you probably have a bus. You know what I mean? For the kids, you know, so I understand that part.

2.2

2.4

But not under those circumstances, everybody in there is going to have to have a car, and everybody in there is going to filter onto our main roads and impact the hotel. And nobody from that hotel is going to walking distance to any sort of shopping facility.

So those are -- those are the things I'm -- I'm kind of concerned about.

Do you have any comments on -- on those items?

MR. WRZOSEK: Sure. I believe this is all positive.

We truly believe that there's an unmet demand for this additional hotel. We wouldn't propose a hotel with 200 rooms to compete against a Marriott Courtyard if the demand wasn't there. The Marriott Courtyard is an incredibly successful brand. If we weren't confident that our rooms would not be filled, we would not take that risk. This is tough competition.

So there is a surplus of demands, a deficit of rooms within that area, so we're confident that we're making the right decision, and we believe that this is best, also, for -- for Maui's business community.

The -- the older hotels, this is -- this is the

cycle of development we see often that longtime owners of older hotels do not re -- reinvest within their property. So the hotels become older, a bit undesirable, because they don't go through the common reinvestment that the other brands do. So there's an FF&E reserve fund that should grow over time, and that money should be reinvested back into properties. That may not be happening. New hotels encourage that the old ones upgrade, and this is all very positive for the community.

2.2

2.4

As far as -- we've touched on parking. We truly believe we have a surplus here. And because we're a upscale select-service hotel, we will not have F&B amenities at our hotel. We'll serve breakfast, but lunch and dinner, guests would actually leave the site and spend their money at the local restaurants. So yes, there would be -- and I don't think there would be a significant impact on traffic. That traffic -- transportation analysis has been conducted and intersections studied effectively. Again, it's a positive. Our guests will be spending money with -- with the local establishments because we only serve breakfast.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: At this time, we're going to take a five-minute break. Thank you.

```
(A recess was taken from 10:49 a.m. to
 1
 2
              10:55 a.m.)
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha. We're back in
 4
    session.
 5
         Do we have questions from the commission?
 6
        Mr. Castro.
 7
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yeah. For the
 8
   developer.
 9
         My question is, have you folks been having dialog
    with A&B and the Department of Housing & Human Concerns
10
11
    in regards to workforce housing development?
12
              MR. WRZOSEK: Yes, absolutely. A&B is the
13
    current landowner, and we have purchase and sale
14
    agreements to develop this property. So it's a joint
15
    effort to satisfy that requirement.
16
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Okay. Thank you.
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner
   Carnicelli.
18
19
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So, Jordan, I don't
20
    know if I should ask this of you or Tyler, but it's
21
    actually kind of a self-serving question, I'll be
2.2
   perfectly honest with you, and if you'll indulge me
   here, Chair.
23
2.4
         Access to the airport. Coming down Old Haleakala
25
   Highway, turn onto what is now the access -- you know,
```

```
to go into the airport. Now I got to make, like, that
 1
 2
   kind of screwy U-turn, and now I got to cut across
 3
    three lanes of traffic to then get into the airport.
 4
         You know what I'm talking about?
 5
         If I'm on Old Haleakala Highway --
 6
              MR. HART: Yes.
 7
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- and I want to go
 8
    to the airport.
 9
              MR. HART:
                         Yeah.
                                Okay.
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
                                                So I'm
11
    turning --
12
              MR. HART:
                         Okay.
13
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- you know,
    towards Kanaha --
14
15
              MR. HART: Yeah.
16
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- and then I got
    to make that little U-turn and then loop up --
18
              MR. HART: I do know what you're talking
19
    about --
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
21
              MR. HART: Okay.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Is that going to
23
    change or is that staying the same? because, I mean,
24
   that will, sort of, impact whether pedestrian or bus
25
    service, things like that.
```

```
So is that, you know, configuration going to
 1
 2
    change?
 3
              MR. HART: My understanding is it's a
 4
    construction configuration, but I can bring up Tyler to
 5
    address it further.
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- is -- what page
 7
    is that, Commissioner Carnicelli --
 8
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI -- It's --
 9
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- 4.1?
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: It's on any one of
10
11
    the --
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: No. But -- yeah.
13
   What map is that big map?
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: 4.1 is what I'm
14
15
    looking at on -- on the Volume II.
16
              MR. FUJIWARA: Tyler Fujiwara, traffic
17
    engineer.
18
         So, you know, my understanding is that will
    remain. But there is the CONRAC that is currently
19
   being constructed, so there is going to be some changes
20
21
    in terms of traffic circulation through the airport.
2.2
    If you're talking about Haleakala Highway to get into
23
    the airport, I believe that would be the route.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So not going to
25
    change. It's still going to -- so that's not going to
```

```
change. So we're going to turn off of Old Haleakala
 1
 2
   and then have to make, basically, a U-turn in front of
 3
    the parking garage, the new parking garage, and then --
 4
              MR. FUJIWARA: If you want to get --
 5
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- back into the
 6
   airport?
 7
              MR. FUJIWARA: -- into the airport, yes.
 8
    There may be access on Keolani Place, but that I'm not
 9
    completely sure about.
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you.
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, any
12
    other questions?
13
         I have a -- I have a -- since we're doing the TRA.
14
    So it's -- we have three-year studies. I want to
15
    clarify one thing. I saw a study that was done in
16
    2017. I saw another study that was done in 2009.
                                                       So
17
    -- and only today's one did I see a 2017 study.
18
         So is that 2017 -- is that part of your results
19
   here, or is that the 2009 study?
20
             MR. FUJIWARA: The most current update for
21
    this project is 2017.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And are -- it's --
   because I saw the date 2009 in this same booklet, but
23
24
   are those actually 2017 numbers?
25
              MR. FUJIWARA: I'm sorry. Correction.
                                                       The
```

current traffic study was actually done in 2018, so it 1 2 did -- it was done this year. 3 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Done this year? MR. FUJIWARA: Yeah. But traffic counts were 4 5 conducted in 2017. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So -- so the traffic 6 7 study I saw was done in August -- was made in August, 8 so that -- that can't be this year, right? 9 MR. FUJIWARA: In August. There were updates 10 to the traffic study, so that might be the one you're 11 looking at. 12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: As I -- as I pencil 13 through it, you can hopefully talk, because I saw an "F," and I think we all know where the F is. It's the 14 intersection, Krispy Kreme, Costco, and everybody 15 16 trying to get out of Costco, trying to turn left. 17 And is there any mitigation or any type of improvements? 18 I know it's not -- the hotel did not create this 19 20 F. But it -- of course, it's -- there's no letter 21 after F. 2.2 It had -- do we have any other ideas on different 23 entrances or exiting that would help -- help make sure 24 that this doesn't compound the problem for this location? 25

```
1
             MR. FUJIWARA: So just to clarify, is this
2
   the Costco driveway with Haleakala Highway?
3
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Old Haleakala Highway
4
   and --
5
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- Dairy.
6
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, no. Dairy --
7
   it's Dairy, Haleakala Highway, and then the -- and then
8
   your road along the Costco, this street for this one
9
   here (indicating). That's that -- that triple
10
    intersection.
11
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: That -- that is
12
   Old Haleakala. Old Haleakala goes from Costco.
13
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Costco.
14
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: That's Costco,
15
   Chair. Yes.
16
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
17
             MR. FUJIWARA: So if we're talking about the
   Haleakala Highway and Costco main access intersection,
18
19
   so this is the one next to the gas station, right?
20
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Gas station.
21
             MR. FUJIWARA: The gas station would be to
2.2
    the --
23
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Costco, Krispy Kreme,
2.4
   Kanaha Pond.
25
             MR. FUJIWARA: Costo -- okay. So the Dairy
```

Road, Keolani Place, Haleakala Highway intersection.

Based on our analysis at that intersection, we're actually getting level of service Ds or better for all movements.

Part of the improvement at that intersection is that with the new Airport Access Road, a lot of the previous traffic that was traveling up Keolani Place to get to the airport has now shifted to Airport Access Road.

And I think with the CONRAC, after construction of the CONRAC, based on the circulation plan, anyway, it looks like most of the traffic that would be exiting the airport would be funneled down Airport Access Road, as well. So we'll probably be seeing additional traffic reductions at that intersection.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So on -- on Lauo Loop and Haleakala, it's an F. I think there's -- there's a bunch of Fs, and --

MR. FUJIWARA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Do you have -- Jordan, can you put up any kind of streets or -- we can kind of --

MR. HART: Yeah.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: There you go. Nice and big, I see.

(Commission conferring.) 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you for 3 your patience. 4 You know, it always said -- it always says go see 5 Appendix D, Appendix E on top report. I wish I could 6 just have the report as the page and make it longer 7 instead of having to jump through stuff, but that's --8 that's where I hope an iPad will come in service in the 9 future here. So, as you can see, we got three 10 different books we're trying to dovetail. 11 Okay. So our first F is on Hana Kai and South 12 Hana Highway. 13 MR. FUJIWARA: Okay. That intersection would 14 be in this area here (indicating). 15 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. And so -- and 16 so -- and I guess I'm -- I'm looking at any organic 17 growth, you know, yours, anybody else, light industrial, so it's not what the hotel is --18 19 MR. FUJIWARA: Right. 20 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- but we do have 277 21 parking stalls. It's -- it's -- and you say -- is any 2.2 of these Fs that we have here taking the improvement 23 because of the future plans of -- of DOT? 2.4 You know, it's -- it's -- we're all kind of seeing

what the effects are now, but if you know what, maybe,

25

some future plans would be to help us know that this will not compound the problem that we have currently. MR. FUJIWARA: Well, at one point, they were looking at widening Hana Highway, the entire stretch here (indicating). I think that plan has not come to fruition. I'm not sure it's still active, either. But if we're looking at Hana Kai here (indicating), the F is a result of just the delays from the side streets, so from Hana Kai traffic going through or left onto Hana Highway. The traffic there is actually pretty minimal. We're looking at maybe 30 to 50 cars, I think. So the F is a product of the delays that these 30 to 50 vehicles experience. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, I mean, I quess it's 30 to 50 cars, but it is -- it is two to three lights because they don't allow the 30 cars to take a left turn. It's not going straight. It's the left -it's going -- trying to go onto -- to Hana Highway is the challenge. MR. FUJIWARA: Right. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FUJIWARA: And one of the benefits is that it's linked by two signals. So you have Hana/Dairy, and then you have Hana/Wakea here (indicating). So the signals will stop through-traffic

on Hana Highway allowing gaps in the main line for these side street traffics to turn onto -- onto Hana Highway pretty -- pretty safely.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. So -- so is -is on the -- on the current courtyard, it's -- you guys
limit access off of the road to help push people a
certain way instead of going -- you know, there's only
a right turn.

Is there any type of -- any type of mitigation for people to try to -- to try to push them towards a different way? Is there any driving way that you push them, try to get back to access road, or to push them onto the Paia road instead of spilling all back out towards Costco?

MR. FUJIWARA: Well, I think one of the benefits of this location is its proximity to Aalele Street. So you are relatively close to Aalele Street. So if you wanted to get onto Airport Access Road, it is pretty quick right, quick left, and then they circulate back down to Airport Access Road there (indicating). So distance-wise it does make sense for these visitors to use Airport Access Road.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and I guess -- and that's what I was interested in.

Is there some way where that road -- is there some

```
way where that road gets back or wrap around that
 1
 2
   Airport Access Road? because right now, they're -- I
 3
   don't know that there is.
              MR. FUJIWARA: Yeah. So one of the things in
 4
 5
    the presentation that Jordan mentioned, too, was the
 6
   possible DOT improvement, that they're looking at
 7
   providing an on-ramp from Haleakala Highway onto
 8
   Airport Access Road. So it -- it would just be an
 9
   on-ramp from Haleakala onto --
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Just a quick
11
    connecter --
12
              MR. FUJIWARA: It would --
13
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- yeah.
              MR. FUJIWARA: -- it would remove that
14
15
    circulating pattern that Commissioner Carnicelli was
16
   mentioning about.
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. That's -- that
   was my question. Thank you.
18
19
         Commissioners, any other questions?
         I have a question, Jordan, about the drainage, if
20
21
   we could, and the storm drains.
22
              MR. TOKUOKA: Good Morning.
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good morning.
24
              MR. TOKUOKA: Good morning, Commissioners.
25
   My name is Garrett Tokuoka with Austin Tsutsumi &
```

Associates, civil engineer.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha, Garrett.

If you could please explain the -- where the -the future runoff is going to go to the drainage. I
see where it's connecting to -- to A&B's, but it was -did I see 1500 feet away that there doesn't have to be
a connection? Because -- because my understanding,
there is no infrastructure for drainage there now, or
-- or does this park already have infrastructure for
drainage?

MR. TOKUOKA: Yeah. Yeah. So basically, the drainage will enter the storm system in Lauo Loop, and then there's a -- if you guys notice, there's a channel that goes right behind that Costco parking lot. That's where it's actually draining into. And from there, it goes to another channel going through Kanaha Pond past -- I'm not sure what street that is near -- near the VIP Cash N' Carry, there's a channel. And eventually goes through Kanaha, enters into the ocean there. That's -- that's the route it takes. So it's going to basically tie in to the -- that manmade channel near Costco.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So is -- the infrastructure is currently there or is not currently --

```
MR. TOKUOKA: Yes, it is.
 1
 2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So -- so the storm
 3
   drain is already there --
 4
              MR. TOKUOKA: Yes.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- ready to accommodate
 6
    this property?
 7
              MR. TOKUOKA: Yes.
 8
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you so much.
 9
              MR. TOKUOKA: You're welcome.
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Gomes.
11
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm sorry. I just have
12
    another transportation question. I'm sorry, Jordan or
13
   Tony.
14
         In regards -- and I think -- Tony; am I correct?
15
              MR. WRZOSEK:
                           Yes.
16
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I apologize. If I get
17
    your name wrong, I apologize.
18
         You had stated that this particular hotel is only
19
   providing breakfast, and so when we were talking about
20
   parking -- I hate to go back to that subject.
21
         I apologize, Chair. It's just -- you know.
2.2
         And they're not going to have, you know, lunch,
23
    and dinner is going to be -- obviously, they're going
24
   to be on their own. They're definitely going to be --
25
   definitely contributing to our, you know, services
```

here, on the island, and -- which is a -- a positive.

2.2

How are they going to get there? because shuttle service -- I'm thinking about shuttle service. Shuttle service is to and from airport, primarily. I don't know too many shuttle services that do provide to and from restaurants or -- unless I'm missing something.

I mean -- I mean, this is something that's -that's been in the works? You guys have been
communicating with, like, transportation services for
this particular situation?

Because this is a far walk. I do see, when the cruise ships do come in, people are walking. I do see people are walking to the stores. You know, it's not something that Maui is truly used to to. I do need to be honest. Honolulu, this is -- you know, it's -- it's a daily thing, but I'm -- I'm kind of wondering. This is kind of a far distance for lunch and dinner if they do not have a rental car, so that just kind of -- you know, again, I apologize that it should have came to my question before.

Has that been something of a consideration for you folks?

MR. WRZOSEK: Absolutely. If -- if a guest does not have a rental car, we're under the assumption that they're -- they're using a taxi or Uber to get

around, and that's also from the hotel to their 1 2 business meetings. Business individuals come and stay 3 at our hotels and conduct their meetings, typically, elsewhere. We do not have --4 5 COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- meeting rooms? 6 MR. WRZOSEK: We do not have a large meeting 7 facility. No, we don't have a conference center. It's 8 for -- it's geared for a business traveller's overnight 9 accommodation with free breakfast. So after breakfast, 10 we assume that they're leaving our property and attending their meetings all day long. And wherever 11 12 those meetings will be, they'll also have, yeah, lunch 13 and dinner. And -- and they're familiar with our type 14 of hotel, so they'll have dinner locally before they 15 come back. COMMISSIONER GOMES: I see. 16 17 Has it been a consideration for your hotel to provide shuttle service for them in --18 MR. WRZOSEK: Yes. You're -- you're correct 19 20 in that the shuttle service is primarily for hotel to 21 airport. 22 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Hotel to airport. 23 MR. WRZOSEK: Yes. Shuttle and vice-versa. 24 But if there is a popular destination --25 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Request.

MR. WRZOSEK: In this case, if there's a 1 2 shopping center there that's nearby where guests 3 consistently request shuttle service to that location, 4 then we'll work it into the program. 5 Okay. Yeah. I mean, I COMMISSIONER GOMES: 6 -- it just came to my mind that, yeah, you know, the 7 distance of walking. I think the closest restaurant 8 would probably be like IHOP, you know, the most popular 9 that you do see, or Denny's or something of that sort, 10 you know, somewhere around there. So -- but I'm thinking that is still a far distance of walking, you 11 12 know, at night, specifically, you know, yeah. 13 MR. WRZOSEK: Okay. 14 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: On that note, is -- I 15 know the current Courtyard is they have a bar, and they 16 also serve appetizers, tapas, there at -- nightly. 17 Are you going to still -- envisioning doing the same thing that you do at the Courtyard? 18 19 MR. WRZOSEK: We are considering a similar 20 setup, yes, to be comparable with the competition. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners? 2.2 23 Commissioner Castro. COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Just a question. 2.4 25 On this project, will you be utilizing local

```
workforce, you know, construction companies or --
 1
 2
    locally, or --
 3
              MR. WRZOSEK: That's always our goal to hire
 4
    locally, especially on the islands. It's obvious with
 5
    the reimbursable expenses of mainland subcontractors
 6
    coming here, there's an additional cost to us. So our
 7
    effort is to hire locally for construction and
 8
   hospitality.
 9
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO:
                                    Thank you.
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners?
                                                      Good?
11
         Okay. Thank you.
12
         So, commissioners, before we ask Tara for the
13
    recommendation, I want you to know that they are
14
    looking for a FONSI. It doesn't have to be at this
15
    time, but we are going to need little more comments to
16
   make us more comfortable for a FONSI, you know.
17
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Can we have the deputy
   director just kind of explain FONSI --
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, yeah. Yeah, he
   will, he will.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you, Chair.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: No.
                                          I'll let him do
23
    it.
24
         So, you know, FONSIs, you know, and SMAs, going to
25
   be water, sewer, right, all these things that -- that's
```

going to be heard, too. And I want to make sure that

-- that when the specialists are here, we don't have to
keep on bringing them back and, you know, and they can
move forward. But if you guys are comfortable, then we
can go over to Tara. And I know Tara's got some stuff,
and we can also let the director go.

2.2

Jordan, did you want to say something before we move along?

MR. HART: Yeah. I just wanted to -- thank you, Chair. Jordan Hart. I just wanted to address Commissioner Gomes' question.

Basically, Mr. Mayer discussed the idea of an EIS. And so the way HRS 343 works is that we present a draft to the general public, including accepting authority. It's -- it's already been published in the Office of Environmental Quality Control's bulletin. That means everybody in the state of -- everybody in the world on the internet, obviously, can see it. But a lot of people who -- who monitor these kinds of things receive that bulletin and -- and check it.

So anyway, we'll receive all those comments, and then we'll present -- we'll present a final draft to this commission to review. And -- and if it passes, we would get a FONSI. And if it does not pass, we will be asked to do an environmental impact statement.

```
COMMISSIONER GOMES: Jordan, is that bulletin
 1
 2
   available to us?
 3
              MR. HART: Yes. It's available to everyone.
 4
   You can -- you can sign up for it on the State Office
 5
   of Environmental Quality Control. Sign-up for e-mail
 6
    -- there's a mailing list, yes. Anyway, you can sign
 7
   up to receive it via e-mail.
 8
         I'm not certain if the department already
 9
    circulates those things to you, as -- as the
    commission, but either way --
10
11
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay.
12
              MR. HART: -- it's -- it's a very public
13
   process. HRS 343 was created to make sure that -- that
14
    there's a public process that's gone through,
15
    especially for things like proposing an amendment to --
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Uh-huh.
16
17
              MR. HART: -- community plans. And so that's
18
   what we're doing here, so...
19
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. Thank you,
20
    Jordan.
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and, Tara,
2.2
   before we bring you up here, I just want to make one
23
    last comment. It's -- it's on the -- it's on the use
2.4
   of alternative energies.
25
         I saw in your guys' presentation, Jordan, that you
```

1 had light fixtures. You had open lighting stuff. 2 didn't see any use of photovoltaic. And it was more 3 trying to -- or trying to use less instead of --4 instead of, you know, regenerating some power. And --5 and I know you guys, you know, have different ideas how 6 to do it, but as we're going through, we like 7 photovoltaic. 8 MR. HART: The applicant will analyze the use 9 of PV at the facility. Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All right. Thank you. 11 Tara, I know you are tasked with trying to grab 12 our comments. 13 Are you ready? 14 MS. FURUKAWA: Yeah. Okay. So, I quess, 15 include a discussion on impacts associated with well 16 development to Kanaha Pond. Discuss workforce housing 17 fulfillment and whether the applicant has worked with Department of Housing & Human Concerns. 18 19 Let's see. Yes. Because of the location, you 20 know, maybe include further discussion on how the 21 shuttle will work. 2.2 Also, the demand. Include discussion on the 23 demand. And the outcome of other hotels owned --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Tara, demand of what?

MS. FURUKAWA: Just the demand for hotel

2.4

25

1 rooms. 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Hotel room demand? 3 MS. FURUKAWA: Yeah. 4 And then explain the parking situation; that it 5 will accommodate staff parking as well as visitor 6 parking. 7 And then, include discussion on photovoltaics. 8 Is that it? 9 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is that all you have? 10 MS. FURUKAWA: Yeah. 11 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, is --12 is there something in there that -- that you didn't 13 hear that you want to be -- Commissioner Hudson. COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I'd like to revisit the 14 15 issue with looking for alternate water sources. Thank 16 you. 17 MS. FURUKAWA: Okay. 18 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner 19 Carnicelli? Commissioner Castro? 20 21 Commissioner Tackett? 2.2 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: Along the lines of 23 what Commissioner Castro said, I know you guys have a 24 mixed plate of using some union contractors and some 25 nonunion contractors.

What do you think you would be using on -- on this particular project? And would it be -- would it be the -- in totality of your labor, or would it be some of this and some of that?

That's it.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: That's it?

Okay. Thank you.

Commissioners, it's -- I don't think I have a topic for the applicant, but I think I have a topic for us and see if you guys -- discussing.

I had -- I had requested that we have this printout sent to us, and this wasn't project-specific, but it does involve the project. And I think we had a testifier bring this up about industrial use, light industrial, heavy industrial, the amounts that we have. And -- and I know that will -- will tie in to that -- to also answering that -- that 50 percent use question, Jordan, that was brought up, you know, to make sure that the legality, because I thought that that was an eight-year expire, but we can go over that, you know, in the next time.

But as the -- as a person who uses light industrial, I've always been concerned with the CPAC and our overall idea of eating up light industrial with retails. The County of Maui needs light industrial for

offices that's going in this project. And Maui's -- as Maui grows, we need these industrial areas to grow. We need heavy industrial areas to not be turned light industrial. We need light industrial not be turned into retail office.

2.2

And I -- I wanted to -- wanted us to get a map of this whole area to understand as Maui grows -- and we know that Maui is going to grow. We can just look at the airport, and we know that it's not for -- you know, it's not just to sustain what we're doing now.

I want us to be able to have a conversation, either now or when we come back, about how your guys' feelings are about -- you know, there's -- there's a 50 percent. The LUC, you know, had A&B say that 50 percent has to be in the light industrial use, but that was for a period of time.

And I want us to be conscious of when we start granting -- it's not a special use permit, but it's a change of use. And if we start doing this, we're going to start eating away at our light industrial.

I think we have different opinions about is the hotel a good -- is a good location; you know, should this have been a -- you know, allocated different stuff; is there a way to where we can have a condition where, yeah, we allow a hotel use to be here, but then

we -- we need to then find 15 acres somewhere else to turn into a light industrial, you know, so it's not a -- it's not a loss. It's -- it's, yeah, we don't mind switching properties or changing it, but we don't want to eat away at our light industrial use, because as we all know when we drive there, a lot of that has been changed.

2.2

2.4

And me being in the industrial industry, it's -- guys are -- guys are -- Puunene's full, right?

A lot of this infrastructure -- power, utilities, water -- they're not allowed. A lot of these areas, you have industrial parks that are lower at Kahului that are having to put in their own wells. And this is going to be a long-range concern.

And -- and because the director's not here, I was going to ask the -- the deputy if you could comment on the industrial and the future growth for our area, if you're prepared.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: I understand your comments and concerns, and again, the department has done some amendments to the County code because that's where, ultimately, we will determine the final uses of land use.

Several years back, I did an amendment or -- to the code to allow for -- to establish an M3 industrial,

which was completely restricted -- or limited retail uses, prohibited retail uses, from that.

We have tried -- again, the light industrial area and sometimes the heavy industrial is kind of like -- when people come in for zoning, it's kind of like you're -- you want that because it allows a lot of uses, including apartments. So it's always a very desirable zoning in that sense.

So you'll see that along with your community plan as the community plans come up where the expansion has occurred. It is a concern of when light industrial is converted to non-light industrial uses. However, the process in which this applicant is going through is the proper channel, which is a change in zoning, a change in community plan, as well as getting a determination from the State Land Use Commission, if I -- if I heard the applicant correctly, that they will have to get that. That will be a hurdle in itself.

Basically, if -- if they do not get that determination that that's consistent with their original change to the urban district from --

MR. HART: Motion to amend.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: The motion to amend, right, their -- their determination, then that's -- then it would stop this project in its track.

So again, this is the beginning of the process. You're commenting on a draft EA. I don't see it as a significant impact to the industrial areas at this time.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

On that, Jordan, can we -- when you come back, can you please bring the percentage of light industrial that is still available that's highlighted on this map?

It's --

MR. HART: We'll do our best to -- to calculate that.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and, Tara, if you could -- yeah, just to see, because we have the map of what -- what it's zoned at, but to see what the availability is and use, because that -- I think that to be part of the 50 percent use, you're going to have to -- you're going to show what is used or not used. Even though it's exempt, I think we're still going to want to look at that.

MR. HART: I think for -- for the commission's expectations, I'll just say it's going to be most practical or achievable for us to do Maui Business Park Phase II, and we'll do our best to do what we know of the other projects. But some of them --

```
CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: No. I apologize.
 1
                                                         I'm
 2
   not saying you have to give for central Maui, just of
 3
    the -- just of the -- the A&B Park II.
 4
              MR. HART: Yes.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And I think A&B can
 6
   help you with that since --
 7
              MR. HART: Absolutely.
 8
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- since they're still
   the owners -- the owners of it.
 9
10
         Thank you.
11
         Commissioners, do you have anything else for this
12
    applicant?
13
         Do you have anything else for us?
14
              MR. HART: No. Thank you for your time and
15
    attention.
16
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
17
         At this time, we'll take lunch, and we'll be back
18
   here at one o'clock for the next item. Mahalo.
19
    (Gavel.)
20
              (A lunch recess was taken from 11:25 a.m. to
21
              1:00 p.m.)
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: (Gavel.) Good
23
    afternoon. Good afternoon. Welcome back to the Maui
24
    Planning Commission. August 28th.
25
        Next on our agenda, Deputy Director.
```

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: It's the Waikapu 1 2 Properties, LLC; MTP Land Partnership (sic), LLC; and 3 William S. Filios, Trustees (sic) of William S. Filios 4 Separate Property Trust dated -- for the Waiale 905 5 Partners, LLC, requesting a Community Plan Amendment 6 from agriculture district, the Wailuku-Kahului Project 7 District No. 5 (Maui Tropical Plantation), and 8 single-family to Waikapu Country Town and a change in 9 zoning from county agricultural district and 10 Wailuku-Kahului Project District No. 5 to Waikapu 11 Country Town for the Waikapu Country Town Project 12 covering approximately 495.9 acres located at 1670 13 Honoapiilani Highway. Several TMKs. You got 3-6-002, 14 parcel 3, and then you got 3-6-004, parcel 3, portion 15 of, as well as parcel 6, 7, 36, all located in Waikapu. 16 Again, this matter is for a Community Plan 17 Amendment as well as a change in zoning. Kurt 18 Wollenhaupt is the staff planner. 19 MR. WOLLENHAUPT: I decided to bring some 20 notes with me. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: You'd like some 2.2 assistance? 23 MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Oh, for the court reporter, 24 my name is Kurt, K-U-R-T, and my last name is 25 W-O-L-L-E-N-H-A-U-P-T. And I'm a planner with Maui

County, and I'll be doing the Planning Department's presentation for the Waikapu Country Town project.

2.2

Good afternoon, members of the Maui Planning Commission, and also members of the audience.

We have a story to talk to you about today. In fact, it's a story that's been started for more than ten years, and it really begins with our Maui Island Plan, page 822, entitled "The Waikapu Tropical Plantation Town." And I won't go through and read everything, but I'll highlight a few comments.

The Waikapu Tropical Plantation Town planned growth area situated in the vicinity of the Maui Tropical Plantation and includes lands on both the mauka and makai sides of the Honoapiilani Highway, providing the urban character of the traditional small town, this area will have a mix of single-family and multifamily rural residences, power plan, open space, commercial uses, and an elementary or an intermediate school developed in coordination with other projects.

It goes on to say that the rationale for this growth area is keeping the Waikapu tropical plantation as its town core. This area will become a self-sufficient small town with a mix of single-family and multifamily housing units and a walkable community that includes affordable housing in close proximity to

Wailuku's employment centers.

2.2

So why is that important?

It's important because this is -- this is the template for where Maui, through an extensive general plan update, public hearings, approval by this commission, approval by the County council, decided where this island wants to go.

But to get there -- and that's why we're here today -- how are we to envision this and allow the applicant to start it?

Well, it's a long process. It started with this Environmental Impact Statement, which looks at the different effects that this project will have. That was adopted by the State Land Use Commission on February 8, 2007. It then required a District Boundary Amendment because the property is bigger than 15 acres, so it needed to be changed. In order to realize this town, it had to be changed to a rural and an urban district boundary. The State Land Use Commission offered their decision and order on February 26, 2018.

That still doesn't allow the developer to do anything. We now go into the phase of which this body is counselors to the County Council, and that's to look at the change in zoning and the Community Plan Amendment.

Mr. Atherton and his team will be discussing this project in detail. However, the Planning Department, for years now, have been working with the applicant, modifying, refining, developing, and the applicant, as seen in the staff report on page 14 of 46, has been working for nearly ten years with the community to really come to where we are today.

2.2

So many complicated parts to this. I'm sure you'll have lots of questions for all the experts that are here today.

I do have to say what has been remarkable is the level of excitement and the level of hope that something like this could pave the way for a great new community. There has been excellent comments from the community that have been incorporated with the Urban Design Review Board's review, with the State Land Use Commission's review, and the applicant has incorporated many of those comments into this project. And it's remarkable for the lack of opposition to this.

So that being the case, Mr. Atherton and his team have put together a presentation that's going to explain. I've also handed out an 11-by-17 that shows this project which might help you as the presentation is given. And I'll be here to answer questions and also, when we come to the recommendation stage, to go

through a pretty extensive and rather complicated recommendation.

2.2

So is it okay if Mr. Atherton's team presents their presentation?

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes.

And, Kurt, let's just -- let's just go again and state the junction we're at here and what we're after here today with recommendations.

Will we see you again, or will we not see you again?

Let's do that one more time so that way, before we start this, we'll know where we're at.

MR. WOLLENHAUPT: So where we're at today is, this initiates the community plan which required the Environmental Impact Statement. That's been done. So the applicant needs to have a community plan modification and a change in zoning.

And this body will give a recommendation, either approval as staff has written in their staff report recommendation, a recommendation of denial, or a recommendation of approval with modifications of conditions that staff has already granted. So if you wish to change conditions, then that would be a recommendation of approval with conditions of modifications.

That will then go -- whatever you decide will go up to the Land Use Committee, and then they will review that. They will either file it, in which case it's done, or they will recommend approval and go then to the full council.

2.2

That doesn't quite end the project, however, because as you'll be finding out, there is a private wastewater treatment facility that will require us coming back to you with a state special permit for the use of a wastewater facility in the ag district under 15 acres, of which this body will be the deciding body, assuming that it would get through all of the council.

So we're here today to find out what your recommendation is to the County Council.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And it only comes back to us after today if everything's approved for the -- for the wastewater facility?

MR. WOLLENHAUPT: What would happen, if the County Council approves this project --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah --

MR. WOLLENHAUPT: -- then they will need to get an approval for the wastewater facility with a special permit. And we would have no reason to come back to you if they deny it, but if they do approve it, then we'll need to have an application for a special

```
permit for the wastewater facility. So you would see
 1
 2
   us again then.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
 4
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Okay.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Aloha.
 6
              MR. ATHERTON: Aloha, Chairman, Maui County
 7
    Planning Commission. Mike Atherton. I'm back. I told
 8
    you I'd come back. I'm here today to give you a brief
 9
    outlook. I'll be brief if I can. It's a big project.
10
   Takes time.
11
        But I would ask you if I could go closer to the
12
    screen with the portable mike because I can't see very
   well and it's hard for me to read that. So if that's
13
14
    okay with the Planning Commission --
15
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes.
              MR. ATHERTON: -- I'd like to do that.
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please.
        Do we have a pointer at all that he could also
18
19
   use, or --
20
             MR. ATHERTON: I need to get closer so I can
    see it. I can barely read "Waikapu" there.
21
2.2
        Anyway, here we are in Waikapu. It's a country
23
    town master plan. I've been in Maui Tropical
2.4
    Plantation about 14 years now.
25
        Okay. The presentation agendaed today. We have
```

an introduction. We talked about the ownership, the consultant team. I have my team here today. My nickname is Coach. I always did pretty good because I had a good team.

The Maui Island Plan. We talked a little bit about land use entitlements, Waikapu Country Town, its location, a master plan, the agriculture, the civil engineering, cultural resources, scenic resources, and then the implementation. Try to get through that as quickly as we can.

Introduction. The ownership. I'm Mike Atherton.

These are my three LLCs. I am one of the owners in all three, so best fertilizer's blueprint are the owner.

Next.

2.2

The consultant team. These are my consultants I hired. There's the ones that did probably one of the best EISs we ever had in Maui county history. I'm proud of it. The Land Use Commission liked it. Almost all of them are here today.

The Maui Island Plan. This is the Maui Island
Plan in the Waikapu district here (indicating). It
shows the projects that are approved. We're basically
in this area here (indicating). This is the
agricultural preserve (indicating). This is Maui
County's regional base yard and the park facilities

```
1
    (indicating). This is the Waiale project (indicating).
 2
    This is Waikapu Gardens (indicating). This is Wailuku
 3
    town (indicating).
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mike, that pointer
 4
 5
   works, so you can...
 6
                            Pardon?
              MR. ATHERTON:
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: The pointer also
 8
   works, so we can see --
 9
              MR. ATHERTON: Oh, okay. Yeah, yeah.
10
    (Indiscernible.)
11
        This is the Maui Island Plan (indicating).
12
         Okay. Here's a little bit better picture of it.
13
    Shows the projects there (indicating). This is the MTP
14
    (indicating). This is the rural lots (indicating).
15
    This is the golf course (indicating). This is Waiale
16
    (indicating). This is Waikapu Gardens (indicating).
17
        Land use entitlements. Entitlement process. We
    are about 85 percent done. We're here. I'm here today
18
19
   hoping to move our -- forward today. Then we'll go to
20
    the County Council; we'll go to the Land Use Committee;
21
    and then up to the full council if everything goes
    well. We're almost done.
2.2
23
         Community plan. This is what shows in the
24
   Community Plan today. This is ag (indicating).
25
    is Project District 5 -- Maui Tropical Plantation
```

Project District 5 (indicating). This is the surrounding parcel (indicating), and then these are the ag parcels (indicating).

2.2

There's the location (indicating). Waikapu happens to be dead center of Maui. What's the nice thing about affordable housing, workforce housing, in this project, is you do not have to drive through a city to get to the employment centers. You drive to Kahului; drive into Wailuku; you drive down to South Maui; you drive over here (indicating) to West Maui, which makes the location perfect.

These are the neighboring land uses (indicating). Here's Waikapu, Old Waikapu we call it (indicating), the Waikapu Gardens area (indicating). This is the Maui county park (indicating). This is the facility (indicating). These are the rural lots (indicating). This is the Waiale project with Alexander & Baldwin (indicating). This is Wailuku (indicating).

What is Waikapu Country Town?

As Kurt said, it's a self-sufficient small town. Local, diversified agriculture. Diversity of housing types: commercial, employment, civic uses, schools, parks, open space, pedestrians and bicycle-friendly. We have almost eight miles of bike trails. These are the different characteristics that we have that would

define sense of place, diverse residential uses, et cetera, et cetera. These are the numbers shown (indicating).

2.2

2.4

Okay. This is the master plan (indicating). This is the whole Waikapu ranch area that we have (indicating).

This is the same plan I started with ten years ago. I made very few changes. The changes I did make were ideas that came from the community.

This is the agricultural component of the project which goes into an agricultural easement (indicating).

Just till the other day, we thought that easement was 800 acres. They'd never done a survey. We got the survey back yesterday. There was 910 acres in that easement, so we gained 110 acres. Not bad, huh? A lot better than me coming in and telling you we had 700.

Okay. Here's the project (indicating). This is the makai side (indicating), the mauka side (indicating). This is the park tropical plantation (indicating). This is where I like to put the center of my little village concept (indicating). This is primarily a hundred percent workforce housing, affordable housing type of a situation.

This is the elementary school, condominiums, bike trails, rural lots, rural lots, Waikapu town

(indicating).

2.2

This is the estimated location of the wastewater facility (indicating). As we all know, we don't have capacity in the Kahului plant, so I have to build my own plant.

The master plan. This is the mauka village,
little bigger picture of it (indicating). It shows the
commercial core here, the lagoon (indicating). This is
the mill house (indicating). This is the store
(indicating). These will be condos (indicating).
These will be single-family, single-family,
single-family (indicating). This is the bike trail
which follows the ditch all the way through
(indicating). These are rural lots: One to two acres
(indicating), one to two acres (indicating), two to
three acres (indicating).

This will be a rendering of what downtown will look like (indicating). It will have condominiums on the second and third floor, and it will have retail on the first floor. It is a live-work type of a -- loft type of a project. This is a typical residential condo area, open space, plantation style buildings, lots of landscaping.

This is a rendering of the town (indicating).

This is the restaurant, or the lagoon, as we call it

(indicating). As you can see, you have the single-family homes in the background. You have quite a large open space in front. The restaurant millhouse sits right here (indicating). These are the folks overlooking the lagoon (indicating). Everybody from town comes to feed these ducks.

This is another rendering we have of the community bike trail (indicating). Lots of open space, lots of existing landscaping. I'll save as many trees as I can out there. There's almost 37 years' worth of growth on that project out there.

Okay. This is a street scene (indicating). Single-family homes.

This is a restaurant (indicating). This is the -the remodelled millhouse. If you haven't been out to
the restaurant, we -- we've done quite well. I'm very
pleased. Francesca's here and made a believer out of
me.

Next.

2.2

2.4

What we have -- go back, Mike. I want to explain to them something.

What we have, this is No. 7 (indicating), and what that shows in the presentations, if you need to know, is the next rendering.

Mike.

That's -- that's where No. 7 is located. This is the old Maui Tropical Plantation store, one of the original buildings (indicating). This building will stay. I will convert it into some retail now, little shops, maybe, on the outside, and I intend to try to do a store, full-blown general store, along the lines of Mana Foods or along the lines of Whole Foods, things like that.

2.2

2.4

This is the Makai Village across the street (indicating). Right now we're growing sunflowers here, single-family homes, condominiums, bike trail, Waiale Road Bypass, elementary school, about 20 acres of park (indicating). We put the park right smack dab in the middle of Waikapu so everybody can come, park their car in the park, get on their bike, and ride around for eight miles or walk or run.

Okay. Another rendering of Main Street

(indicating). Main Street will be the street that

leaves the Maui Tropical Plantation, crosses

Honoapiilani Highway, goes down the project to Waiale

Road. Then again, it will have condos on the second

and third floor, and you'll have retail on the first

floor.

Main Street -- well, there's a bike -- another rendering shows the housing types (indicating).

Housing types are plantation style. The whole project follows the plantation and has a lot of walk -- walkable, bikeable trails and paths.

2.2

This is another one of the open space area that shows the wide-open, grassy areas with bike trail and single-family housing (indicating).

This is another rendering (indicating). Shows basically the same. Very walkable, bikeable community.

Agricultural master plan. This is our master plan (indicating). They said it was 800. Now I got to change that to 910. Now we got an extra 100 acres.

We have (indiscernible) farming down there. I have three major farmers. The Konohiki has probably 15 other farmers that farm with him. Biofuel, sunflowers in here (indicating). We're grazing cattle in here (indicating). Someday I'd like to do another tropical plantation idea here (indicating). And this is where we are actively farming (indicating). Many of you have seen it. We grew sunflowers. I had no idea we'd get that good a feedback on the sunflowers.

This is a little artist's rendering of what the agricultural community will look like (indicating).

You've got canoe crops all in here (indicating). Canoe crops are what we call the crops that the Polynesians brought from -- from Polynesia to Hawaii. A lot of

coconuts. We planted over a thousand. We plan to plant 6,000.

2.2

This on the corner is biofuel (indicating). Right now I'm concentrating on sunflowers. Here I have pasture. I plan to build a solar farm. Then again, we plan to pasture this and have future agriculture here (indicating) and here (indicating).

This being the project (indicating), Waiale Road (indicating), wastewater treatment plant (indicating).

My plan. Right now we draw water off the ditch. I've reached an agreement with No Wai Eha and the Mana Water authority today. In the meantime, my goal is to treat all the wastewater in the area and reuse it here for ag water, hoping to keep the price of water at the reasonable cost that we have today.

This is an artist's rendering of the ag layout (indicating). This is the Waikapu Country Town (indicating). This is the Kamehameha golf course (indicating), solar farm (indicating), Kuilani Highway (indicating). Lot of you drive by there. We get traffic here (indicating) and traffic here (indicating).

Okay. Technical presentation slides. We have some slides here for later if there's technical questions with civil engineering, wastewater, traffic,

cultural, scenic, and implementation.

Next.

2.2

Civil engineering. So we want to -- we want to thank you. I want to thank you for the brief presentation, and I want to take it back to see if you have any questions in these areas: Civil engineering, cultural, et cetera. I have my boys here to answer any questions you might have.

So that's the brief presentation, the beginning.

If you have any questions, that will be wonderful. If
you don't, that will even be better.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's -- I think we're going to have a question. Either one of them. It's up to you if you want to just wait for us to ask you the questions later because --

MR. ATHERTON: Sure. I'll be here. If they get real technical, we have backup on the screen, and we have the consultants and the allies are here.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I think that's fine.

And if -- if you're done with your presentation, then

we are going to open it up for -- for public testimony.

What I like to do is I'd like you to give overviews, so that way public testimony becomes accurate. And that's why we have testimony after presentations. And if you could spend two minutes on

1 each subject as an overview so that way it really helps 2 us in the -- in the back end. 3 I don't want you to have to go into it, Mike, but 4 it's -- I'd appreciate it if you could spend five 5 minutes on just --6 MR. ATHERTON: Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- a few topics and 8 say what you took care of, and we can move on. 9 MR. ATHERTON: Okay. All right. Look forward to public comments. Thank you. 10 11 (Commission conferring.) 12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: At this time, Kurt, 13 could I please get the sign-up sheet. 14 At this time, we'll be taking public testimony. 15 We have a list here. If your name is not on the list, 16 please wait till the list has been read, and then we 17 can call you after and we get you on there. 18 I have Mr. -- Mr. Dick Mayer. Please introduce yourself. You have three 19 minutes. 20 21 Mr. Mayer: Thank you, commissioners. 2.2 name is Dick Mayer. 23 I was very much involved in this project from the 24 beginning as a member of the GPAC. The developer came 25 to us, showed us the project. We had a chance to

evaluate it relative to all the other projects being proposed by big landowners, by ranchers, by smaller developers. And we, in the end, made a recommendation that this area be included in the Maui Island Plan; that it be included within the urban growth boundary; and we were pleased to do that. There were very few changes that we made in our recommendation, and I'm still in favor. And I've been watching for the past --now, he first came in 2007 to the GPAC, and over and over again, we saw it -- other projects come and go.

2.2

This developer met with the community. I sat in a number of those meetings. And I was always very pleased with his ability to try to get feedback from the community. I think overall this is a project that I can highly endorse. It think it's much needed in Maui, in contrast to the one I spoke about this morning, which I think is not so well -- much needed.

I have one or two concerns. I read the staff report on this and the recommendations, and I just want to comment on one or two things there.

They talk about the Waiale Road extension and the need for this developer to provide a pro rata share for the cost of that development. Unfortunately, it's unclear who would pay the other pro rata shares. And I would think that the County would pay some, but there

are a number of other developers on Waiale Road itself. There's a project going up before the council in the next weeks for a Wailuku apartment complex, 300-plus units. There's another one for 80-plus units going forth. These projects need to pay their pro rata share. Otherwise, this developer will put in his pro rata share. There's going to be no one else putting it in, and we won't have a road that is very much needed. And so I want to make sure that you cover that topic.

The other item I have is that this is just one of

2.2

multiple projects in central Maui within the urban growth boundary that also includes Waiale, Kehalani, Maui Lani, and a number of smaller projects.

Altogether, 6,000 units would be proposed and would be entitled. There is no master plan for highways and roads in central Maui. I would urge you to -- not to put burden on this developer, but I urge you to put the burden on the Planning Department to come forth to you with a plan of the transportation in central Maui, Waiko Road, Waiale extension, and all the others.

There's an MPO office right now, and they did not even put the Waiale extension, really, on their list of upcoming projects where they should have. And so, there's been some real crammy and crowding and congestion in central Maui and in intersections and on

1 the roads themselves. Many of them are one lane in 2 each direction. 3 I urge you, please make a plan, and have the 4 Planning Department initiate the plan so that this 5 project and others can fit into this rather compact 6 area in central Maui. 7 The project itself, I give a strong endorsement. 8 I think the developer's done many, many of the right 9 things, and I urge you to approve it. 10 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. 11 Any questions for the testifier? 12 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah. 13 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Carnicelli. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Oh, sorry. 16 you, Chair. 17 So, Mr. Mayer, you've been before us before, and you've talked about EISs that have been over ten years 18 19 old --20 Mr. Mayer: Uh-huh. 21 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- and saying that 2.2 they need to be redone because they're over ten years old. You've done that before us before. 23 2.4 This one's 11 years old. 25 How do you feel about this one?

Mr. Mayer: I believe the traffic study, 1 2 which is what I'm concerned most about of all the 3 things, I think there was a more recent version of it, 4 and you should check with the developer on it. 5 Certainly, traffic -- that's the -- that is my major concern is the traffic in the area. The rest of it, I 6 7 think, probably, would still stand, the archaeological 8 work and the other studies that were done. I don't 9 think those things changed. But water, he has six 10 wells. I think he's drawing water from his own 11 property. The main concern I would have is the traffic 12 study. 13 I know Kurt is showing you something. 14 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you. 15 Mr. Mayer: Okay. Yeah. So, I think, yeah, 16 I am concerned, generally, that EISs that are old should be relooked at. But in this case, I think the 17 one area is traffic, because since that project came 18 19 forth, Waiale has gone through several more steps --20 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I got it. 21 Mr. Mayer: -- others. Okay. 2.2 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you. Thank 23 you, David. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Anyone else? 25 Thank you, Dave.

Mr. Mayer: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And just an FYI to the -- to the applicant is I will let you address, after all the testimony, if you guys want to come back and have a comment on some of the testimony, if you guys want to have a clarification, we'll give you guys an opportunity.

Next on the list, we have Dawn Mahi.

And so, applicants, if you guys want to take notes if you guys want to come back so we can do it. If not, you guys are good.

Aloha.

2.2

MS. MAHI: Aloha, everybody. My name is Dawn Mahi, and I actually flew over from Oahu, so thanks for having this hearing today.

And my family has six generations of connection to the land there in Waikapu that's going to be turned into Waikapu Country Town. And actually, we own two parcels that are within the proposed development area. And currently, from what I see, they're adjacent to the mauka rural lots that are in the proposal.

And so having a long connection to that place, even though now we're on Oahu, my grandpa was drafted in World War II. He never came back to Maui. We always knew that there was a place there that he had

been born that we wanted to stay connected to, but we 1 2 never really had a way to do that. 3 I came over in 2011 and happened to meet Hokuao 4 Pellegrino and learned about the pending development 5 plan. So now that things are, kind of, well under way, 6 our concern as a family is just that we can maintain 7 meaningful access to the property. 8 Also, our property taxes have slowly been 9 increasing over the years, and I have a feeling that they will probably greatly increase over time as this 10 11 development moves forward. 12 And I quess that's, kind of, mainly it. Thank you 13 for hearing my testimony. 14 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Any question from the 15 commissioners? COMMISSIONER GOMES: I do. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Gomes. COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm sorry. Hello? 18 19 Sorry. I'm going to use Commissioner Tackett's. I'm sorry. Did you say "Dawn"? 20 21 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Dawn. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Dawn.

So, Dawn, you have adjacent -- your family

MS. MAHI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Dawn.

23

24

25

```
generation of the properties --
 1
 2
              MS. MAHI:
                         Uh-huh.
 3
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- is adjacent to this
 4
   particular project?
              MS. MAHI: Yeah. So from what I've been able
 5
 6
    to see in my own, kind of, GIS and then, also,
 7
   Mr. Atherton showed me today, within the development
 8
    area, in the mauka portion that's to the left of the
 9
    stream in your diagram, there are, kind of, a larger,
   kind of, lots that --
10
11
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   The ag lots?
12
              MS. MAHI: Yeah. The ones that are couple
13
    acres. And so, he, from what I understand, was able to
14
    amend his plan once he learned about us so that we
15
    wouldn't be included in the development. So from my
16
   understanding, our properties are in the area that's
17
    going to be maintained as ag land. That's all I know.
18
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: You have been in
19
    communication with --
20
              MS. MAHI: No.
21
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- Mr. Atherton?
2.2
              MS. MAHI: I just met him for the first time
23
   today.
24
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and for
25
    clarification, there was some kuleana lots that you
```

```
quys left untouched because of this; is that right?
 1
 2
         Dave, is this Mrs. Mahi's lots you guy are talking
 3
   about?
 4
              MR. ATHERTON: (Mr. Atherton nods head up and
 5
   down.)
 6
              MS. MAHI: Yeah. So we were --
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's just to note that
 8
    the applicant did nod and say yes, that's the ones that
 9
   he did report.
10
              MS. MAHI: Yeah.
                                Sorry.
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
12
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: So I just want to ask,
13
    you're in opposition of this, or --
14
              MS. MAHI: No. I'm just sharing our
15
    concerns --
16
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Okay.
17
              MS. MAHI: -- just regarding access to our
18
   property and then potential access to utilities or
19
   water in the future. We never had a way to access the
20
   parcels before because they were just in a field, and
21
    it was all locked up.
2.2
         So, you know, this potentially gives us increased
23
    ability to access our property. But this is a very
24
    large development, so we just want to make sure that
25
   we're still able to, yeah, access the property.
```

```
COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. Thank you.
1
2
             MS. MAHI: Yeah. Thanks.
3
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: And thank for your
4
    flying over --
5
             MS. MAHI: Sure.
 6
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- and travelling.
7
             MS. MAHI: It's important to us.
8
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, anyone
9
   else?
10
             COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Do you know where
11
   the lots are?
12
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: It's -- I saw it in
13
   the report, but do you want to -- well, we can ask the
14
   applicant.
15
             COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah. Put it up on
   the screen.
16
17
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: At this time, are you
   guys able to -- to -- on top of the screen, a red point
18
19
   where the kuleana lots are that we're describing?
20
        Please step up.
21
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you, Chair.
2.2
              (Discussion off the record.)
23
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
24
             MR. PELLEGRINO: Okay. Aloha mai kakou.
25
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha. Introduce
```

yourself.

2.2

MR. PELLEGRINO: My name is Hokuao

Pellegrino, and I was contracted to do the cultural impact assessment.

I did have the opportunity to interview Dawn Mahi as well as her mother in regards to the particular parcels. So just to give you a little bit of historical background -- is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please, please.

If you use the pointer --

MR. PELLEGRINO: So Dawn's, I believe, great, great, great grandfather received this parcel of land. It was originally claimed by Ehunui. Ehunui claimed three particular parcels. Two were land commission awards and another one was a government grant by the Hawaii Kingdom that range between -- I think the claims -- we have that if you need further clarification, but between 1854 and 1861 was when these parcels were claimed.

Two of those remaining kuleana parcels are still within her family, and she mentioned her grandfather,

Joseph Puleloa, was the last member of their ohana to

-- to be connected -- or lineal on these particular parcels here.

So originally, when Mr. Atherton had created --

worked with their team to create their map and their development plan, their ag rural lots came out in this particular area, here (indicating). As we moved forward with the cultural impact assessment and found that these two particular kuleana parcels were still in ownership of this -- of the Mahi family, one of the 7 recommendations was to actually modify the ag lots to be outside of the Mahi parcels so that there wouldn't be any adverse impact to those parcels and furthermore, to work with the Mahi family to -- to formalize an 10 access agreement. Something -- if I have the 12 opportunity later on to share a little bit about the 13 cultural resources and the comprehensive natural 14 cultural resources plan that we're -- that's in 15 development right now, we are -- one of those areas --16 action items that we are -- have been identified was to 17 work with the Mahi family in creating a formalized access agreement so that they have access to both their 18 19 kuleana parcels. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Carnicelli. COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah. 23 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you so much. Any other questions? Commissioner Gomes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

20

21

2.2

2.4

25

```
COMMISSIONER GOMES: So -- I'm sorry.
 1
 2
    sorry. I didn't get your first name.
 3
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Hokuao.
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Hokuao.
 4
 5
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Yes.
 6
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Aloha.
 7
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Aloha.
 8
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Are we talking about the
 9
   -- also, I apologize with the pointer.
         Are we talking about the parcel that is within the
10
   white boundary as far as the Mahi family is concerned,
11
12
   or are we going --
13
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, these are roads
14
    that -- that --
15
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: The roads?
16
              MR. PELLEGRINO: -- that egress and outgress,
17
   but this (indicating) --
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Just that
18
19
    (indicating) --
20
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Yeah. This particular map
21
   doesn't identify those two private land -- you know,
2.2
   parcels, but they are in this location, here
23
    (indicating). Excuse me.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: They're on the outside?
25
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Yes. On the outside of the
```

```
1
    -- of the road, yes.
 2
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. Mahalo.
 3
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Yeah. Mahalo.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Any other questions,
 5
    commissioners?
 6
         Thank you.
 7
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Mahalo.
 8
              MR. PELLEGRINO: Aloha.
 9
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Next we have Mike
10
    Takehara?
11
        Aloha. Please introduce yourself. You have three
12
   minutes.
13
              MR. TAKEHARA:
                             My name is Mike Takehara, and
14
    I come from plantation.
                             I'm the fourth -- maybe
15
    fourth, fifth generation descendent. My family started
16
    in Waikapu in the 1860s, the late 1860s. And my
17
   brother was the last to work at HC&S, so all of us, we
    come -- I grew up in plantation in a little camp called
18
19
    Stable Camp. And I have approximately about 20
    relatives in Waikapu. And none of them would come and
20
21
    speak because that's how we was taught, to be quiet in
2.2
    the plantation way, but I know that if I don't speak --
23
   my dad's in the hospital -- nobody will, so I'm the
24
    eldest. So I'll speak why I am for this project.
25
         The reason why I am for this project is we need
```

homes. I lost my house about two-and-a-half years ago, and -- and this is my last attempt to get a house.

Maybe not at this project, but why I say this project is very important for the people here, because the MLS is very limited with surplus. And something like this could really help the Maui people. And really, the prices where I live now -- I'm renting a house for -- down here (indicating), right down about a hundred yards away, and I'm paying somebody's mortgage right now. And that really hurts me. The guy is going to kick me out in about two years.

2.2

So I'm a hardworking man, and I told my wife that I need to do something. It's not on my part. I need to come here and have you guys push for this project so something like this can come to -- you know, something like this can come a reality.

And if this house goes on the market, when they do comparables, like where I live, there are houses over here listing for 5, 600,000. That is absurd. They fix it up. They put a little bit of brand new appliances. They -- they buff it up. 600,000. And for me, it's impossible.

By this passing, it will bring the houses down. You cannot compare a house for 4 to 600,000 in an old neighborhood like this. So, realistically, these

houses have to come down. And my odds and chances of obtaining a house is -- from 1 million to 1 would become maybe a hundred thousand to one. At least I have a shot. Or if I don't have a shot, maybe some other people like me that's suffering will have a chance to buy, because it's so limited right now. It's just -- it's unbelievable.

2.2

2.4

That MS -- that MLS kind of haunts me every day.

I look at it every day and I study that. That is just like the -- the Craigslist of cars, but it's a Craigslist of homes. So I've been studying the pattern and the prices. We need inventory.

You know, people like us are, kind of, like, dying. Like for me, I have never been to the mainland, and this is all I know. I have three sons in Las Vegas, and I had to send them away because they cannot afford here. I told them, You better off live in Summerlin. You can buy a home over there for 300,000. Over here would be impossible.

So if something like this would happen, maybe I can convince the boys to come back home, because they would have more jobs and the price in homes will go down. We suffering.

You know, in closing, I like to tell you one thing. I have a little boy, and we was talking about

1 dinosaurs. 2 And he told me, Dad, why are dinosaurs extinct? 3 I said, They're not extinct. You're looking at 4 one, and I know only of one more: my kid brother. He 5 hasn't been to the mainland. And I don't care and I 6 don't choose to go up there. I'm full plantation boy, 7 and this is where I going to die. And I wish my dreams 8 can come true so I don't have to tell my boys, Sorry. 9 You cannot come back because it's too expensive here. 10 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you, Mike. MR. TAKEHARA: Oh, mahalo. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, any 13 questions for testifier? 14 Seeing none, thank you. 15 MR. TAKEHARA: Thank you, guys. Mahalo. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Next we have Joanne 16 Dennis. 17 18 Aloha. MS. DENNIS: Good afternoon. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: You have three 21 minutes. MS. DENNIS: I have lived here --2.2 23 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I apologize. 24 you please introduce yourself. 25 MS. DENNIS: I'm sorry. Joanne Dennis,

recently retired school teacher for 24 years. And I live at the end of Wilikona on Oia Way, which is very close to this proposed development.

2.2

My main concerns about land use, such as the sewage and whatnot, have been addressed already by Mr. Atherton, so some of the things I wanted to talk about are already off the list.

The one remaining factor I am concerned with is the traffic situation, which has gone from bad to nearly impossible over the 24 years of trying to make a left turn coming out of Wilikona Place. And with a whole huge development going in, I would like to know that the County and Mr. Atherton are going to work together to do something about that.

There was some tiny relief when they put the light at Waiko Road, but it's not coordinated. You know, there isn't a matching light at the end of Wilikona so that those people can come out when that light changes, and it's nearly impossible there.

So the only -- all the other issues I had about where the water was coming from, where the sewage was going, have been addressed already by Mr. Atherton. So please work together with -- on the traffic situation.

24 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mahalo. Any 25 questions?

Thank you for your testimony.

Next we have Bobby.

2.2

Please introduce yourself. You have three minutes.

MR. PAHIA: Aloha, everybody. My name is Bobby Pahia, and --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha.

MR. PAHIA: -- I'm a farmer down here on -- that land that Mike Atherton was pointing out.

I'm in support of this project. Number one, we need more ag land. When I talk to young farmers, the biggest obstacles is getting land and water. So this -- these 900 acres that he pointed out that is -- basically, I believe, that they're in the process of putting it into an agriculture easement. I'm in fully support of that, because the hardest thing, when I talk to young farmers, is that they getting hard time find land and especially water.

And the -- and the deal with this is that, you know, a lot of developers, they come over here, and they exploit all our resources in Hawaii. And this is one developer that I know personally that is not only taking, because, you know, we all know that he's in business to help the community, but the bottom line is the bottom line.

But it's not only that he going be taking. It's that he going be giving. And, you know, like Governor Ige said, I mean, you know, he's pushing for food sustainability. If we don't have land and we don't have water available, we cannot meet those goals. I mean, we -- we 80 percent to 90 percent dependent on outside sources for food.

2.2

We got to address this. And this component that he has in his development plan, I believe, should set a precedent for all developers that, eh, you know what? When you come to Hawaii, you not coming only to come over here and make a dollar off all of our resources over here. You know, you got to give back.

I got 310 acres. I got a lease down there from Mr. Atherton. I started off with -- I believe was about 5 acres of the plantation they gave me. So, you know, and basically, I had to prove myself. I had to create this relationship.

But what I learned over the time, I went from 5 acres to 10 -- 5 acres, excuse me, 10 acres, to 60 acres, and now I got 300 acres. And the reason, you know, the -- I have a lot of land right now to farm, but the reason why I'm grabbing this land is because I want to give a chance to a lot of other young farmers.

So what I do -- I got a 30-year ag lease. That's

hard to come by over here, especially with water security. So, I mean, look at him. He's getting plans to put in a wastewater treatment facility. We going have R1 water. We can better utilize our resources.

2.2

I lease -- I sublease -- Coach and his team gave me the latitude to sublease land, which is hard to come by. And the reason why I grabbed all that land is so I can help other farmers not go through what I went through, okay, because I bounced all over here on this island trying to find land, and it's hard, especially land with long leases where you can have security and water.

And from that -- you know, from that standpoint, this is where I coming from. I just -- I just like the idea that the applicant is just not coming here and taking.

And the other thing that I really liked about his process was that -- his involvement with the Waikapu Community Association and the community at large, you know, taking comments and then amending his plans to meet -- to meet their concerns. And, you know, what I've learned over the time that I got to know this -- this man and his partners is that they're people of integrity. When they give you their word on something, what I have learned is that his word is good.

```
So, again, I'm -- I back up this project for --
 1
 2
    especially coming from that agriculture standpoint.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mahalo, Bobby.
 4
         Any questions from the commission?
 5
         Commissioner Gomes.
 6
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you very much for
 7
    your comment.
 8
         I'd like to know, what are you farming, my dear?
 9
              MR. PAHIA: I'm a kalo farmer. I do all dry
10
    land farming. But I -- and all my product stays here
11
   on the island. I'm trying to grow -- it's -- we don't
12
   have enough. There's not enough kalo, okay, so every
13
    year, I plant kalo. And I always say, Oh, I don't have
14
    enough, so next year I going plant more. And the year
15
    -- the next year, still not enough. I've been doing
16
    this for 20 years, and still no more enough taro.
17
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Because you need water,
18
    too.
              MR. PAHIA: Land and water.
19
20
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yeah.
21
              MR. PAHIA: And the thing is, our young
2.2
    farmers, that's what they need.
23
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Uh-huh.
24
              MR. PAHIA: So they need -- I'm just trying
25
    to give them the opportunity. They don't have to go
```

through the struggles that I went through, and this is 1 2 all because of what is offered to me through this 3 entity. 4 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mahalo, Bobby. 6 MR. PAHIA: Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Next we have Darrel 8 Hall. 9 Mr. Hall, are you here? 10 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Kimokeo. 11 12 MR. KAPAHULEHUA: Aloha, commissioners. 13 name is Kimokeo Kapahulehua. I want to just say that I 14 met Coach more than ten years ago, and we sat and 15 discussed about this development and talked about a 16 lot. 17 Part of them was about what Bobby just spoke about. And more than just the kalo, he talked about 18 19 the canoe plant. And in his open session, Coach talked 20 about a thousand coconut trees and that we would end up 21 having 6,000 coconut trees. And so, I pretty much 2.2 responsible about making sure that we get canoe plants 23 up there. 24 I can assure you, commissioners, that we'll have 25 the largest coconut plantation in the State of Hawaii

in the next few years. We already have the 6,000 plants, but we're working with Bobby Pahia in planning how to do the coconut trees. So we have about -- more than a thousand that's planted now. And as he tells us what the plan is, then we'll bring the other rest of the taro -- I mean, the coconuts in. And we're working with Francis Sinenci out at Hana. As we get the coconut trees from him, we're helping him, trading up the Kiawe wood to make coconut hales throughout the county of Maui. Right now, we're working with him, trying to repair the one in Iao and one in Kipahulu.

2.2

So, in addition, I want to just say that a recent development for the canoe plant is ulu, and there are farmers that working with him right now that Bobby just put down the -- the first 40 ulu trees out there.

We've been given 12 acres by the sunflower people, the Kelly King family. And we'll be able to -- 12 acres put down, 50 ulu trees per -- per acre, so that will give us 60 (sic) good ulu plants there.

So I just want to say that with the -- with the taro, with the sweet potato, the banana, that we'll definitely have our canoe plants here at the Waikapu Town Country (sic) development.

Second part of my speech, I want to just say that Coach here, he talked about agriculture easement

project. Within that project, we've asked him about having some land available for the Hawaiian immersion school, and he's made a site of that, five acres to have that. So we're working with Punana Leo o Maui here, right up -- up the street here, and the Kimokeo Foundation will develop -- under auspices of that, we would help develop the school for Punana Leo o Maui. So we're very happy about that, that -- so again, as you see, our developer here, Coach, is preserving, perpetuating, and educating Hawaiian culture like a live-in (phonetic) ahupuaa style.

2.2

So I, myself, support this project because of that reason and many more other benefits that come with the program. So I urge you to support and help us move this project, the Waikapu town development.

Mahalo. Thank you so much for having me here.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, any questions?

Can you go back, and you said that there's going to be a school on this property, or is --

MR. KAPAHULEHUA: Right now, they have the agricultural easement -- they already have a DOE school in the school system. If the agricultural easement gets approved -- it's not on there yet, but we are talking and planning about having that.

If they do get the agricultural easement, through 1 2 a segment of that, in agreement with the agricultural easement with that, you have to set the plan before 3 4 that, before going and getting an agricultural 5 easement. And a certain portion of that property would 6 have to be -- just a minimum that can be developed, and 7 one of them included the immersion school with the 8 sewer plant and other things that's planned for the 9 agricultural easement. 10 So it's not planned. It's just a conceptional 11 discussion. If it get's approved, it has to be 12 approved by the agricultural easement program. 13 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. Thank you. 14 MR. KAPAHULEHUA: 15 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Anyone else? 16 Thank you. 17 MR. KAPAHULEHUA: Mahalo. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha. 18 19 Next we have Joylynn. 20 Aloha, darling. Please introduce yourself. 21 have three minutes. 22 MS. PAMAN: Okay. Mahalo nui. 23 Aloha. My name is Joylynn Paman. 24 pelikikena, or president, of Na Leo Pulama o Maui. 25 It's a nonprofit organization that supports the

Hawaiian immersion preschool Punana Leo o Maui, which is actually located right across the street from this building.

2.2

It's an honor to be here today to support

Mr. Atherton and his project. For about the last year,

year and a half, we've been -- have been listening to

his concepts of where we're going to go with this

location. And personally, I love his vision about how

to keep it self-sustaining and have it be something for

our community, where our community can really live and

thrive.

But coming from my position as president of our nonprofit organization, we support Hawaiian immersion education here, on Maui, especially for preschoolers. Punana Leo O Maui has been around for 30 years so far, almost 31 years, and we have graduated hundreds of preschoolers who have continued on to be in the Hawaiian immersion program here on Maui and elsewhere.

But one of the challenges that we see happening over the years is that there's such a huge demand for people to reconnect with our culture and our language that everyone wants to -- well, a very good majority of people want to come and engage in our program and have their -- have their children attend Punana Leo o Maui. However, our school is very small. It's a leased

school right now, and we only are able to have 30 students enrolled at one given time.

2.2

Every year we have 50 to 60, sometimes upwards to 70 applicants fighting for 10 or 12 spots. And it's very discerning to see families rejected and turned away because we are unable to house -- to have their students -- or their children attend our school.

Our school is not made just for the student itself. It's made for the entire family. And the entire family grows as an ohana and then eventually becomes a part of the bigger Hawaiian immersion community here, on Maui, which is very great.

So our solution to our problem of this demand is to provide a location where we would be able to have more students attend Punana Leo and hopefully eventually continue on to our kula kaiapuni program that is located throughout central Maui.

We also want to do a resource center, where the community can come and learn the Hawaiian language, practice it, and speak it on a regular basis and where people can have meetings in Hawaiian. And by supporting our language, we are supporting our culture. If we do not have our language, there's no sense of having a culture, because you need the language to explain your culture.

So we're looking for a place that is cost 1 2 efficient, practical, safe, and a nurturing environment 3 for our keiki, as well as a location for our community. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Three minutes. 5 MS. PAMAN: Three minutes already? Shucks. 6 Okay. 7 Waikapu offers this. It's a central location. 8 It's a very large property that can support our 9 community. And we are in support of this project. 10 By supporting this project with Mr. Atherton, 11 you're supporting our community; you're supporting the 12 perpetuation of the Hawaiian culture; and more 13 important, you're supporting our future generations to 14 live a style of aloha and in our Hawaiian culture. 15 So mahalo nui. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Aloha. 16 17 Commissioners, any questions? 18 Thank you, darling. 19 MS. PAMAN: Mahalo. 20 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I'm going to call 21 again Darrel Hall. 2.2 Is he here? 23 Seeing none, is there anyone else in the 24 audience that would like to testify on this item? 25 Aye, sir. Please step forward.

```
MR. PHILLIPS: I guess I got lost on the
 1
 2
    list.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please introduce
 4
    yourself, and you have three minutes.
 5
                                     Aloha, commissioners.
              MR. PHILLIPS: Great.
 6
    Thank you for your time today.
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Take a second, but
 8
   please introduce yourself.
 9
              MR. PHILLIPS: My name is Mike Phillips.
    live in Kihei, been on the island for about 20 years.
10
11
    I represent the Kimokeo Foundation as their treasurer
12
    and a board member, and I'm speaking for the board at
13
    this particular point.
14
         I'd like to encourage you to give this project a
15
    thumbs up for a lot of reasons you've heard today.
16
   This is amazing what we're going to do in Maui with
17
    this project. It's amazing what he's done about taking
18
    all the elements and putting them altogether in one
19
   place. I don't know anywhere in Maui this is even
20
   possible, let alone in this -- this location, which
21
   will be perfect.
22
              (Whereupon, Planning Director Alueta leaves
23
              the proceedings.)
              MR. PHILLIPS: The Kimokeo Foundation's
2.4
25
   mission is to really perpetuate the culture of Hawaiian
```

-- the Hawaiians. And this -- by doing this, by helping build this school, Mr. Atherton has offered up a good substantial piece of property for us to build a school and house and teach and learn the culture of the Hawaiians. I think this is so important that I can't begin to even take three minutes to explain this to you.

2.2

2.4

All of you live here. You know this part of aloha. You know this part of our culture is being lost. As Joylynn has rightly said, if you don't have language, you don't have culture. And this is why the Kimokeo Foundation was brought about, because Kimokeo is full of aloha, as all of you know, and Kimokeo is full of culture, as all of you know.

This would be a great tribute to not only to the Kimokeo Foundation but the Hawaiian people, but the culture of all people coming here from Hawaii and living here and learning their own language.

As you may or may not know, 30 years ago, the Hawaiian language was almost dead. It literally was nonexistent. Thanks to people like Joylynn that lives her life for this every single day to teach our keiki, to teach our culture to everybody else.

(Whereupon, Planning Director Alueta rejoins the proceedings.)

```
MR. PHILLIPS: This is an important project
 1
 2
   for our community. I love the layout. I'm the CEO of
 3
   Coconut's Fish Cafe. I've been in business for 40
 4
   years. I have never seen a project come between --
 5
   before our community like this that has all the
 6
   elements of success for all of our people. And, of
 7
    course, housing and the apartments and the condos and
 8
    all the things that we need here is just in the need.
 9
         So I urge your support. I appreciate your time
10
    today, and thank you very much.
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you,
12
   Mr. Phillips.
13
         Any questions for the testifier?
14
         Thank you. Is your -- your signature was on
15
    another item, and that's --
              MR. PHILLIPS: Oh.
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- the mix-up, but we
18
   got you.
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you very much.
20
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
21
              MR. PHILLIPS: Aloha.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Anyone else like to --
23
    that would like to testify at this time?
2.4
         Aloha.
25
             MR. PEREZ: Aloha.
```

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please introduce yourself. You have three minutes --

2.2

MR. PEREZ: (Indiscernible) commissioners.

Alfred Perez. I'm the executive director of Maui

Tomorrow Foundation, and we are testifying in support

of this project.

This is an unusual project for several reasons.

As you've heard, the developer has modified the project in response to community concerns over the years. For example, he agreed to limit the use of stream water to leave more for natural flows. The initial designs had rural lots going up the mauka slopes. When the community said that was a bad idea, the design changed.

This developer is actually embracing the land use approval process, and he treats the community as an asset. And that's very refreshing.

The Final EIS, which, I've just checked, was published in 2017, is far better than most of the others that we've seen. It actually does a fair job of evaluating secondary and cumulative impacts as well as the primary impacts. So that's very unusual.

The developer is committed to placing 800 acres into agriculture preserve. That's awesome. He'll be providing his own wastewater treatment facility, which will not add to the existing flows at Wailuku-Kahului,

which is currently contributing nutrient loading for 1 2 our ocean. So this treatment method has the potential to 3 4 replace existing wastewater treatment plants and get 5 our wastewater treatment out of the tsunami zone. 6 We're excited about that. 7 He's encouraged farmers on the existing Maui 8 Tropical Plantation to move across the highway and 9 expand their operations, so we wish that we would see 10 more developers approach their projects this way. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you. 13 Commissioners, any questions? 14 Nice to see you guys for a project, all of you. 15 Thank you. 16 Is there any more testifiers that would like to 17 testify at this time? COMMISSIONER GOMES: Mr. Hall. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I called Mr. Hall 20 twice. 21 Seeing none, we will take a quick five-minute 2.2 break, then we'll come back and let you have answers. 23 Thank you. (Gavel.) 24 I apologize. Public testimony is now closed. We'll take a five-minute break. (Gavel.) 25

(A recess was taken from 2:02 p.m. 1 2 to 2:11 p.m.) 3 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: (Gavel.) Aloha. 4 We'll reopen our meeting. 5 After public testimony, Mr. Atherton, would you 6 like to respond to any of the testimony or any 7 clarifications at this time? 8 MR. ATHERTON: Chair, yes, I do. I have a 9 couple comments I'd like to make. 10 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please. 11 MR. ATHERTON: One -- one is that working 12 with Hokuao Pellegrino on my cultural work there, in 13 Waikapu, he's done a wonderful job communicating for me 14 with the Mahis, and we have created a situation where 15 if they ever needed to have access or they ever needed 16 to go to the next level on their parcels with them, we 17 can. So we were able to work with that right from the very beginning. That is the only one -- parcels I have 18 19 imbedded in the project out there was the Mahis'.

Second, I would like to address the discussion we had about the Hawaiian immersion school. I come from a family of educators, and I have been always working with Kimokeo and others at the Punana Leo school to have a campus in central Maui there, in Waikapu, so they didn't have to travel so far. It's a good central

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

location. We have quite a large amount of land there, and they're only needing up to 5 acres. I'd like to put the school close as I can to the stream. That way, they might be able to have some active loi, and they could have a taro operation in their school.

2.2

2.4

There's a Hawaiian immersion school on the Big
Island in Hilo that has loi, very nice school. Very
big opportunity for me to do something along those
lines. So I work with them. I've worked with them,
and we've got quite a few several locations picked out.
So I wanted to explain that -- how that came about.
And I -- I believe someday we will have a Hawaiian
immersion school in central Maui, and I enjoy very much
working with those folks.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

MR. ATHERTON: And -- and if we have any additional questions or technical questions, I have all my consultants here today. We can go into questions and answers if there's no additional --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: With that seque --

MR. ATHERTON: Mahalo.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Costa.

COMMISSIONER GOMES: Costa?

```
CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I mean, Gomes.
 1
 2
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm going to fly my
 3
    soda. I'm going to --
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Sorry.
                                             Gomes.
 5
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI:
                                        It happens.
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Same amount of
 7
    letters. Same amount of letters.
 8
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm flying the soda.
 9
         And I truly appreciate them, the Punana Leo, that
    you are going to do that, the Hawaiian emergent.
10
11
    That's -- that's wonderful.
12
         I did notice -- are you only focusing on Hawaiian
13
    emergent, or there will be public? Because I do notice
14
    the purpose -- you are going to build education, an
    education district --
15
16
              MR. ATHERTON: Yes, ma'am.
17
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- on this -- this -- I
18
    guess, this project. So it won't just be Hawaiian
19
    emergent, or is it going to be focused only on Hawaiian
20
    emergent?
21
              MR. ATHERTON: No. I -- I worked with the
2.2
    Department of Education from the very beginning --
23
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   The DOE, as well?
24
              MR. ATHERTON: -- And we have a 12-acre
25
   elementary school site that we donated to the DOE and
```

```
had a fair amount of money we set aside to build the
 1
 2
    school.
 3
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. So 12 acres
 4
   DOE --
 5
              MR. ATHERTON: Yes. There's a 12-acre DOE
 6
    site on the makai portion of the project.
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And you also agreed --
 8
    you didn't ask to get out of that fee either, and you
 9
   are still paying your allotted fee for the amount of
10
   homes --
11
              MR. ATHERTON:
                             Yes.
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- as well, correct?
13
         Which a lot of developers try to get out of, but
14
    thank you for --
15
              MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome. Mahalo.
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you.
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So, guys, I know -- I
    know we're going to -- we're going to have some
18
19
   questions, so I'm just going to grab the horn, and
    we'll -- we'll get right at it.
20
21
         We'll start with Commissioner Castro.
2.2
         You have any questions at this point?
23
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: No, I don't have any
24
   questions. But I'd like to commend Mr. Atherton for
25
    all his sincere efforts in working with the community
```

to move this project forward.

2.2

MR. ATHERTON: Thank you, Steve.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Tackett.

COMMISSIONER TACKETT: I understood there was

some -- some affordable component to this project.

Could you just explain it a little bit?

MR. ATHERTON: Yes. We have a 25 percent requirement in Maui County, affordable housing requirement that we have; and we have to build those affordable houses concurrently with the market rate housing. And my plan is to -- and I have met with all the nonprofits that we have here on Maui that build truly affordable housing; Habitat For Humanity, Lokahi Pacific, for example. And I plan to sell them lots at a reasonable price so they can produce truly affordable housing for you. I would have a hard time being able to do that. So that's my first answer to the 25 percent requirement I will have with Maui County.

Second is that Atherton Homes, my company in California, has been building affordable houses for 45 years, and we're still actively building affordable housing. So I have picked out several spots in this project that I will do my very best to build you affordable housing Mike Atherton style. So that's how I plan to -- to approach the affordable housing issues.

```
The makai side of the project, primarily, is about a
 1
 2
    thousand units, and it is geared for workforce
 3
    affordable housing.
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: So is any of it
 4
 5
   designated right now, or is it still, kind of --
 6
              MR. ATHERTON: There's no actual designation
 7
    on exactly where. I do have the requirement --
                                     It will just be a
 8
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT:
 9
   portion of the makai side --
10
              MR. ATHERTON: Yes, it would be. It would be
   on the makai side, most likely.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER TACKETT: That was my question.
13
   Thank you.
              MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome.
14
15
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Mr. Atherton, is -- is
16
    -- when you were previously here, the -- I thought the
17
    affordable units were -- were adjacent to the school.
         So has that -- that been adjusted?
18
              MR. ATHERTON: No. The affordable units are
19
20
    still adjacent to the elementary school.
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
              MR. ATHERTON: Yeah. That's -- that's the
2.2
23
   general vicinity of the affordability.
2.4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. And -- and you
25
    said it's -- that -- that we're going to -- we're going
```

to work with different people to sub the lots to go ahead and build affordable housing.

2.2

And -- and because they have to be built simultaneously, what happens if they have a hiccup or -- where -- where they're -- they're not able to fulfill their end of the deal?

MR. ATHERTON: Well, there's four or five of them, so I'm hoping we won't have a hiccup with that.

I've met with them, and they -- they're very interested in -- in lots in the central Maui location. And I believe that they truly build affordable housing.

Every time they do a little project, they always have a lot more applicants. They all qualify. You're familiar with their projects.

I -- I'm pleased with that type of affordable housing mitigation. And it gives back to the community, and it creates jobs. And it keeps those nonprofits in business, and I think that's important.

I have plenty lots, and I'm going to work with them all day long.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Has -- has there been -- ever been any discussions with DHHL about -- about doing the same type of thing that you're doing with --

MR. ATHERTON: No. I have not discussed with DHHL. I have discussed with all of the nonprofits here

1 locally. 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. ATHERTON: And they're just waiting. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. ATHERTON: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER TACKETT: That's all I have. 7 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Gomes, do 8 you have any questions at this time? 9 We can come back around, guys. I just want to 10 give everybody a chance, so... 11 COMMISSIONER GOMES: No. T think T 12 definitely -- Commissioner Tackett answered my question 13 in regards to affordable housing, which I -- I am 14 definitely an advocate for. 15 You know, in regards to -- I think the only concern I have is what we consider affordable. You 16 17 know, this is where that's probably going to be a part -- on your part with your consultants in your 18 19 assessing, you know, what is affordable. And since 20 you're doing this simultaneously with fair market 21 value, I'm assuming that's what you stated, right? 2.2 You're building simultaneously with fair market value 23 along with affordable housing; is that correct? 2.4 MR. ATHERTON: That's a requirement here, in 25 Maui County.

```
COMMISSIONER GOMES: I'm sorry?
1
2
             MR. ATHERTON: It's required by Maui County.
 3
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Sometimes, but -- but,
4
   yeah. Politics is politics.
5
        But, anyway, in -- in regards to the fact that,
6
   you know, I would hope to think that when affordable is
7
   being considered, it is affordable. You know, 400,000
8
   is not affordable. You know, I'm just being honest,
9
   because it -- it's not. And -- and I'm -- that is just
   my biggest concern, is the numbers of -- when
10
   considering affordable housing, yeah.
11
12
              MR. ATHERTON: That's why I want to work with
13
   the nonprofits.
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Wonderful.
14
15
              MR. ATHERTON: They do truly build affordable
16
   housing.
17
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: And I do understand,
   Mr. Atherton, that you have worked on this project for
18
19
   a long time.
20
             MR. ATHERTON: Long time.
21
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: And I truly appreciate
2.2
   that.
23
                             Thank you.
              MR. ATHERTON:
2.4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Hudson.
25
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Good afternoon.
```

MR. ATHERTON: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I would be remiss if I didn't ask about water. I mean, I've been told. I've been briefed, but I would like to hear it because makes me feel good.

MR. ATHERTON: Okay.

2.2

2.4

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Please explain to me} \\ \mbox{your wells.}$

MR. ATHERTON: Okay. How -- how I got into the water business -- and I have my hydrologist here. He's been here since the beginning, honorable Dan Lum here from the Big Island.

One of the very first things I did when I bought this ranch from Brewer -- or from Wailuku Agribusiness, was I wanted to buy the groundwater rights. And Wailuku Ag was starting a water company, and they weren't really in the mood to sell groundwater rights. So I went back to West Coast. They called me about six months later and said, We'd consider it, and I came back and negotiated with them and was able to be successful. And I bought the groundwater rights on majority of the property.

Subsequently, I bought the groundwater rights on the whole entire ranch. So that's how we started drilling wells. And I drilled five -- five production

wells and one monitoring well. And we have perfected the three -- well, one, two, and three, and tested and proposed to use those at the beginning of our -- of our water requirement.

So that's -- that's, kind of, a little history on how I was able to get groundwater. I believe I was one of the very few guys in central Maui who did buy groundwater rights from Wailuku Ag, Wailuku Water Company. So that was a smart move on my part. was way back, before we had shoreline water or any other kind of source requirements. So it really helped me being able to move this project forward. Even with the wells and the water, it still took a long time.

> COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Thank vou.

MR. ATHERTON: And I do have my hydrologist here for any additional questions, water quality, quantity, quality, the whole works.

> COMMISSIONER HUDSON: No.Thank you, though.

MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I'd like to piggyback on that water.

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

So you said you -- you drilled five -- five wells already, or you're going to drill five wells?

I drilled five wells and MR. ATHERTON: No. tested all five. And what we did was we -- we made --

```
I have a dual water system proposed, because I like to
1
2
   do two -- potable water only where potable water is
3
   necessary and put in what I call ditch water or ag
 4
   water on all the landscaping and all the areas that are
5
    -- that are open space. This project has quite a large
6
   amount of landscaping and bike trails. It would be
7
   very difficult to water all that, irrigate all that,
8
   with potable water, so we have a dual water system.
9
         So I drilled two additional wells, Wells 4 and 5,
    looking for ag water out of the (indiscernible)
10
   aquifer. Well, water commission didn't agree with me
11
12
    there, so I only had the designated yield for potable
13
   water. So we acquired groundwater rights across the
14
    street, and we drilled ag wells. And that's what my
15
    source is for ag water.
16
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Yes.
                                           Mike, if you can
17
   entertain me for a second, can we put the map up of the
18
   project -- the project --
19
              MR. ATHERTON:
                             Sure.
20
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- there on the
21
    screen?
2.2
              MR. ATHERTON:
                             I'll show you where they are?
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Please.
                             You bet.
24
              MR. ATHERTON:
25
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And -- and I'm going
```

to -- while they're looking that up, is -- you know, it's -- I'm sort of aware with water wells. And I understand and I read the part about the pump testing for the ten days for the -- for the two wells.

MR. ATHERTON: Uh-huh.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And I'm -- I'm interested, is -- is -- did we get to the point yet where we tested after the ten days on the recovery of it and the salinity? And -- because I believe there's enough water on top your property. That's not the question.

The question is, will you need more wells? Which phase will you need more wells? And the locations.

And that's what my question means to be. It's not, do you have enough water underneath you, which I know you do. It's -- it's are your wells that are already drilled, will that hold capacity for it, or will it be done in phases?

MR. ATHERTON: Okay. The Waikapu aquifer has a designated yield of 3 million gallons a day. The Waikapu Country Town project, with its dual water system, only has a build-out requirement of less than 500,000 gallons a day. So there's more than enough quantity of water in the aquifer today to satisfy this project.

Second of all, after the ten days, we did the complete testing, the whole entire complete requirement that they had for water. And Dan did the testing, and we have all the results, and it's all in the EIS. That became a very big subject when we did the Land Use Commission.

2.2

But to answer your question on the wells, that dot's a well. That's No. 2. These are solar panels to run that well (indicating). I've since put the solar panels over here (indicating), and I'll run the power down. So we plan to run all of the wells, the wastewater treatment plant, all on our solar farm.

So Well 2 here (indicating), and there will be a million-gallon tank right there (indicating). Well 1 right here (indicating). Well No. 3 right here (indicating).

Then I drilled Well No. 4 looking for ag water here (indicating) and Well No. 5 looking for ag water here (indicating). And that didn't work out too good, so I closed those wells.

And we have a monitoring well right over here next to the stream (indicating) that we closed also.

So I kept Wells 1, 2, and 3. And they produce, each well, one million gallons a day. So we have more than enough water and the quantity than the project

needs. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So -- so, then, your 3 -- your No. 3 well is now your monitoring well, and --4 MR. ATHERTON: No. The monitoring well was 5 over here (indicating). We used it to see if we had 6 any effect on the stream water during the ten-day pump. 7 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: But you say you closed 8 that? 9 MR. ATHERTON: We closed just Yeah. 10 recently. The State water quality -- the State Water 11 Commission made me close the wells I wasn't going to 12 use. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So -- so we -- so we 13 14 have three wells that you're able to use, and -- and that will fulfill both --15 16 MR. ATHERTON: Correct. 17 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- both phases of your 18 project? 19 MR. ATHERTON: That's more than enough 20 supplies for the 3 million gallons a day we have for 21 the designated yield in the aquifer. 22 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is there any -- is 23 there anything in your CC&Rs, if you have them, that --24 that has to do with swimming pools or what type of

landscaping as far as your calculations for what you're

25

```
using right now?
 1
 2
              MR. ATHERTON: No. I haven't -- I haven't
 3
   got that far to the CC&Rs yet.
 4
         But you mean the type of water you put in a
 5
    swimming pool?
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, I mean, I assume
 7
   people are going to use the faucet water, you know, the
 8
   hose water, to fill up a pool instead of a R1. But, I
 9
   guess, it just -- if the calculations were done --
10
   because it's a private source, it's not an infinite.
11
   You know, I just want to make sure that -- that your
12
   water calcs and all that, you know -- we want you to
13
   build as fast as possible, so I'm bringing this up now
14
    to see if you do have those calcs of what that would be
15
    if everybody or every third person had a swimming pool
16
    or if -- if there's a restriction on type of
17
    landscaping.
18
              MR. ATHERTON:
                             That's a good question.
19
    can fill your swimming pool with dishwater and filter
20
    it.
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Can --
2.2
              MR. ATHERTON: You can.
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- but will you?
24
              MR. ATHERTON: Well, I don't know about
25
    that --
```

```
CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah.
 1
 2
              MR. ATHERTON: -- but I'll --
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah.
              MR. ATHERTON: -- I'll remember that
 4
 5
    question. I will.
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
 7
              MR. ATHERTON: It's a good one. Thank you.
 8
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Anyway, Commissioner
 9
   Carnicelli.
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Good afternoon,
11
   Mike.
12
              MR. ATHERTON: Hi.
13
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So I want to -- I
14
   want to start with something that hasn't been addressed
15
    yet, and that is views.
16
              MR. ATHERTON: Is what?
17
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: View, views, open
18
    space --
19
              MR. ATHERTON: Okay.
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- view planes.
21
         As I drive down the highway and, you know, I -- on
2.2
   my way into Wailuku, I go past the Spencer project, you
23
   know, and I got nice views. And then, all of a sudden,
24
    I get to Kehaulani, and I got plastic fences.
25
         I think this is something that, you know, I don't
```

know what you've done to mitigate.

2.2

There we go. Scenic resources. Please continue.

That's my question, I guess. Whoever's in charge of
that particular --

MR. ATHERTON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- bit of slides, we can put your -- your guy -- right there, please.

MR. ATHERTON: That's a good question about views. I hope that question comes up when we talk about those apartments down there.

Anyway, this is -- oh, there it was (indicating). Right there (indicating). Hold it, hold it.

Okay. This is -- the line right on top there is Honoapiilani Highway, right here (indicating). As you notice, as soon as you cross the bridge and get into the Waikapu country, you got a 20-plus-acre park right here (indicating), which you'll have nice views out over this whole entire area (indicating). We set up quite a bit. There's a -- there's a slow, natural slope down here (indicating) so there's some nice views from right up here (indicating). So if you have lunch at bars there, you can sit out back and look over Waikapu town all day long.

As you ride on down the highway, we have built a 60-foot buffer right along the highway, right here

(indicating), and a 35-foot buffer along the highway on that side, which protects this part of the highway from the views (indicating).

Then again -- want to put that other map up. We -- this is the 910-acre, now, ag preserve area. It's somewhere in there (indicating). And we have dedicated that in an ag open-space easement in perpetuity. So this whole entire area (indicating) will always remain in some form of agriculture. So you'll always have complete views and open space on the whole entire rest of your journey in Waikapu.

So that was -- that was our mitigation to scene -- scenic views and corridors, right along here (indicating).

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So would you be open to -- say, those first row of homes -- and I appreciate the fact that, you know, you guys, are taking that into consideration, and you've got your 60-foot buffer --

MR. ATHERTON: Also --

21 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: That first -- go
22 ahead.

MR. ATHERTON: I would be open-minded to putting single-family homes all along the highway -
COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: You beat me to the

```
punch, Mike.
 1
 2
              MR. ATHERTON: (Indiscernible) West Coast --
 3
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Perfect. Okay.
 4
          That would be --
   Yeah.
 5
              MR. ATHERTON: No. That mitigating factor,
 6
   believe it or not, comes up a lot.
 7
              COMMISSIONER ATHERTON: No.
                                           Is -- single --
 8
              MR. ATHERTON: Single-family homes all along
 9
   the highway.
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Oh, single-story?
              MR. ATHERTON: Single-story. Sorry.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Think --
13
    single-story. Thank you.
14
              MR. ATHERTON: No problem.
15
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: And are coconut
16
    trees -- can we orientate them to be --
17
              MR. ATHERTON: Well, we started down here
    (indicating).
18
19
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
20
              MR. ATHERTON: And then what we'll do is
21
   we'll run along the highway, all along here
2.2
    (indicating), all along here (indicating), all the way
23
    around, and all the way up here (indicating), all the
24
   way around here (indicating), all the way up in here
25
    (indicating), all along the bike path. And they're not
```

too easy on the bike path because you have to cut the coconuts to have any kind of pedestrian traffic underneath. There's not a lot of coconut trees, but I never get any coconuts here. They cut them all off. So that was my answer to that.

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.

MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: The -- the next -- since we're talking about setbacks, let's go to those kuleana parcels. And --

 ${\tt MR.}$ ATHERTON: Kuleana parcels are here (indicating).

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.

Just -- there's a certain element of concern just about, say, setbacks there. Like, you know, I don't know if you're going have on those rural lots, if you'll have a building pad or not, but to situate the building pads, say, in a -- in a way which would be most friendly to those kuleana parcels.

MR. ATHERTON: What I'll do on the -- on the lots here (indicating), I will build the pad. The building envelope will be on the lot when you purchase the lot. And the building pads will be alternated in different locations so they don't obstruct the views of

the person who owns the lot in front of them. So they will always be able to have their view.

2.2

So what we do is we build the pad. We give them the -- the spot where they're going to build their house. And then that way, we can lay it out, and it works a lot better that way.

Now, the setbacks on the kuleana parcels, that's quite a large open space area there. That's probably all of 50 acres, right in here (indicating), all that. That's why I left this buffer. This buffer here (indicating) is 277 acres in size.

So I have a plan with Hokuao that we -- we have access we can give the Mahis right in here (indicating). So they would have the same setback requirements that we have on their parcel. There's plenty of ground out there.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.

MR. ATHERTON: And made this project a little easier for me. We got a lot of room. You try to put everything in ten acres, it's tough. When you got thousands of acres, it helps a lot.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: So, then, the next place I want to go is Waiale. And to me -- I mean, I really like your project. I -- I think that what you've done and how you've reached out to the community

has been outstanding. The way that you've designed it is great.

2.2

However, I think, when you go up to the eighth floor at your next step, the budget chair and you are going to have to have a very heart-to-heart conversation about who's paying for Waiale and how it's going in and what is a pro rata share.

So my question for you is, you know, what do you feel should happen with Waiale, especially when we go, say, from Waiko, west? You know, that's -- that's, kind of, all you. However, you know, the public's going to use that, as well. So if you could just, please, address the financial component to Waiale.

MR. ATHERTON: On the Waiale Road bypass, I

-- I would have loved to build it eight years ago. The
mayor put money in eight years in a row, and eight
years in a row, he took it out. But I have been trying
to -- and I work with David Goode, honorable Mr. Goode
over here, Public Works director, Maui County, in
putting together a fair and equitable profit -- I mean,
cost-sharing plan on building that road.

We gave the right-of-way to the County when we sold them a hundred acres. And Mr. Goode's here today to answer any questions about how that fair share program, financing program, would come together.

And that's -- that's where I am on Waiale Road. I am willing to pay my fair share. I'm willing to get involved. I donated them -- gave them the right-of-way when we sold them the land. So I'm ready to work if we can come up with a fair share program that works.

2.2

What makes it difficult for me is I got to build the sewer treatment plant. I got to put in a water --water facility. That's about \$40 million before I build the first house. If I can get a program where I can pay for Waiale Road over a period of time, then it's a lot easier for me to be able to get started and build those houses, where I don't have those huge offsite costs up front.

That's what hurts us here, in Maui, a lot is sometimes our projects get so many offsite costs, the projects never get built. This one, I want to build this project. I want to build these affordable housing there on Waiale Road. I want to work with Maui County and put this thing together. But I just can't get too out of line on how much money we got to put in up front. That's just the part with me. I said, if Waiale grows -- if Waiale Road goes right away then, you know, I need to have some kind of a way I can pay for it over a period of time, if possible. So I've been working with David's department on that.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Would you be open 1 2 to a special improvement district? 3 MR. ATHERTON: I would be open to any other 4 way of creative financing. I would. 5 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. 6 Director Goode, would you like to chime in, 7 please? 8 MR. ATHERTON: Thank you, David. 9 MR. GOODE: Good afternoon, commission. David Goode here, Director of Department of Public 10 11 Works. 12 Yeah, we've been working with Mr. Atherton --13 Coach, if you will -- for a while on this, and it's, 14 you know, it's obvious to -- to us, Planning 15 Department, State Department of Transportation, that, 16 you know, we're going to need a Waiale extension. 17 And -- and the word -- term "Waiale extension" has morphed over the years, right? When Keolani was 18 getting built in the late '80s, early '90s, and Waiale 19 20 Road went way further north. 21 And right now, I mean, it's probably not needed. 2.2 We don't -- not huge traffic backups over there, 23 typically, but there will be. There will be more 24 demand, clearly, when, like, Waikapu Country Town comes 25 in, and there'll clearly be regional use. I mean, I

can see myself, if I work here and I want to go to Waikapu, I'm probably going to take Waiale Road. So I'll be going transiting through there.

2.2

So it's very similar to Maui Lani. So Maui Lani
Project district has an agreement with -- with our
department on how they will build out Maui Lani Parkway
on A, Kamehameha, in particular, and Kuikahi, as well.

They'll -- that agreement, you know, was developed over time once Maui Lani had a good sense of what all their infrastructure costs were going to be, how and where they're going to develop, what the time frames are so they can pay for it. But they don't have to build everything. Part of what they're -- we will be, you know, participating in some of that. Actually, the roundabout at Maui Lani and Kamehameha, just signed a contract on it, so that's something we're doing.

So the way these projects work best is that they need time to figure out, A, how they're going to pay for everything, how they're going to pay for their fair share of the roadway improvements, when the roadway improvements will be needed, because as you know, mauka first. We don't need it, right? So makai first, then we have to figure out a way.

So it gives us a mechanism to figure out what their pro rata share is, figure out a way to pay for

it, and then we've got to go find the rest of the money.

2.2

So, I think, in response to Mr. Mayer's question

-- and there's other people who -- or other projects

can see, we could -- we've got a separate agreement

with them, so there's no reason why, once this model is

set, that we can't have other folks in the area

contribute exactly the same way.

So, for instance, if he -- if it's decided his project is 30 percent or 80 percent or 4 percent, whatever it is, next project comes along that's nearby, he gets money out of them.

But in the end, it's going to be the government, it's going to be the County. And, you know, the mayor's tried. Ample time with the council, try to get some money. We've got a little bit. You know, we haven't got enough yet.

There will come a time where it's going to be incumbent upon us where there's a regional need.

There's a regional need to build that roadway.

So that's why we don't have a lot of specifics.

The main key is that they agree to participate in an agreement. And we -- the way the condition is written, that agreement gets done before the first subdivision.

And typically, the first subdivision is the large lot

subdivision, where they break it up into chunks, including roadways. They have an idea of how they're going to move forward with their project.

So it's -- it's really the best way. Otherwise, these projects get saddled with so much upfront costs

2.2

these projects get saddled with so much upfront costs that conceivably they don't go. We've seen that recently with Hale Mua (phonetic).

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.

MR. GOODE: That. Piilani's project did
-- not Piilani. Piihana Project District.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Mm.

MR. GOODE: Other side. Wailuku River, same thing.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.

Can't take off. So that's our lesson learned, I think, over the years of doing these agreements.

MR. GOODE: Too many -- too many costs.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you.

So is there -- is it in the works right now -- and I don't think it would be a part of what we're doing today, but yeah, I think it's just since you're going there -- is for us to go ahead and to do a special improvement district, you know, is to -- you know, like right now, we've got these other projects, but there's three, four, five other project going on in Waiale.

One was at the council this afternoon. You know, and I don't know where we draw the lines, but to be able to do that so people like Mr. Atherton can pay it off over 20 years and not necessarily have to do it up front.

Is that part of the conversation?

2.2

2.4

MR. GOODE: (Indiscernible) but I -- those special improvement districts probably a Mello-Roos-type thing. There was an added -- a bond float that the -- the homeowners had to pay, right, so an additional cost to homeowners.

That has not got much attraction at the County

Council to date. I think the -- Stanford Carr

(phonetic) tried few years in a row. We've had

multiple presentations on it, but doesn't seem to bite

much. Doesn't mean it can't be done, but it's -- it's

a heavy lift, and we'd have to go beyond this district,

you know, a broader area. So it's not something -
because I haven't seen it be successful politically,

that I didn't put much, you know, faith in it.

I suppose in our agreement, you know, we could say we got far enough out that if such a district comes into play, that we modify the agreement.

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you.

MR. GOODE: Sorry no better news --

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No, no, no. That's

-- I mean, it is what it is. I mean, you -- it's not like you can make it happen, I know. Is -- you know, we have the mechanism, though. That's the good -- the good news is the council does have the mechanism to use it. Whether or not they choose to or not is another conversation. So, I mean, maybe that's part of something that comes up when you guys head up to the eighth floor. So trying to think of -- that's all I have for right now, Chair. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Thank you. MR. ATHERTON: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Goode, for -- for that. And -- and actually, at this commission, we've talked about that extensively, about developers need help, and if somehow we can get CIPs and get paid back. You know, if we could get infrastructure. You know, affordable housing problem is because nobody's helping the developers. If we had infrastructure, if there's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

and built.

And, you know, and if we could save the three to

-- there's water, sewer, electricity down a street

line, every site of that street line would be bought

five years of going back and forth to different 1 2 department and moving the sewer lateral or this or 3 that, you know, I think that would help. And 4 hopefully, there will be a political solution come 5 January. That being said, yes. 6 MR. GOODE: Thanks, Chair. 7 I -- I forgot that -- there's improvement 8 districts currently on a float. 9 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Riaht. 10 MR. GOODE: Right. We also have this other, 11 kind of, special improvement district type of 12 commercial areas. And we are trying to push the 13 council to look at that and isolate, but those are --14 isolated areas, but those are already developed 15 communities. Like Hui Road F comes to mind. Hui Road 16 F --17 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Kahana Bay. 18 MR. GOODE: -- easily, you know, say, like 19 couple hundred people on there on roads outside of the 20 courts, and it's a mess. 21 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right. 2.2 MR. GOODE: But that's an existing thing. Ιf 23 we're looking at upfront infrastructure, to me, that's 2.4 what Mello -- they call it Mello-Roos. 25 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right.

```
MR. GOODE: So, yeah. I'm -- I'm pushing on
 1
 2
   the ones that's already in the code. Ones that are not
 3
    in the code that suffer.
 4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
 5
              MR. GOODE: I just wanted to clarify that,
 6
   Chair.
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
 8
         Commissioner Gomes, you have something?
 9
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yes.
                                         I'm sorry.
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     No problem.
11
    remembered.
12
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you.
13
         I -- I'm assuming, Mr. Atherton, that this is
14
   going to be a community association that's going to be
15
    attached to this project?
16
              MR. ATHERTON: Yes. We will have a -- a
17
   homeowners association.
18
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Homeowners association.
19
              MR. ATHERTON: Yes.
20
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Is it going to be
21
    in-house, or is it going to be -- and what I mean by
2.2
    that, such as, like, Waikapu Gardens. They have their
23
    own that they have. And -- or is this going to be --
24
   how is this going to be done, if you don't mind me
25
   asking?
```

```
MR. ATHERTON: Well, I -- I haven't quite got
 1
 2
   there yet.
 3
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Oh.
              MR. ATHERTON: I haven't formed the
 4
 5
   homeowners association. But I've done many homeowners
 6
   associations, and it would be one that we would manage
 7
    and run ourselves there.
 8
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Good. I think that's
 9
   best.
10
              MR. ATHERTON: That would be the intent. We
11
   do a lot of community facilities districts, too.
12
    That's what Mr. Goode was talking about hasn't been
13
   politically favorable. But Mello-Roos is another way.
14
   Very popular. Maybe we can talk them into it in the
15
    future.
16
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you.
17
              MR. ATHERTON: You're welcome. Thank you.
18
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner
19
   Carnicelli --
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No. I actually --
21
    I just have -- once we're done with him, I got just a
2.2
    question for the department --
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Commissioners?
2.4
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: I'm good.
25
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. You'd like to
```

```
speak to that, correct?
 1
 2
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah. Just in
 3
   going through the conditions --
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So I'm going to take
 5
    one at a time. You want to bring Kurt up, and then we
 6
   go through it, and then if you have a question for him,
 7
    can we do it that way? You're going to go through the
 8
    conditions and the questions, yes?
 9
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No. I just have
10
    one question --
11
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
12
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- about one
   condition.
13
14
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Sure. Okav.
15
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Is 23, which is
16
    compliance with representations. But it says -- and
17
    this is just because Mr. Atherton had made
    representations to us --
18
19
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Right.
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: -- that he's going
21
    to do single story on that first row of homes. And so,
2.2
    I just, you know, I guess, just want to have that as
23
   part of --
2.4
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Okay.
25
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I don't want him to
```

```
forget to make that representation to the City --
1
2
   County Council. I'm just -- I'm picking nits right now
3
   is all I'm doing.
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: We have the County
 4
5
   guidelines, and it's in the design guidelines.
6
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: It's in the design
7
   quidelines?
8
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: (Indiscernible) --
9
              (Whereupon, the reporter interrupts to
10
              preserve the record.)
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. What he said
11
12
   was it's in the design guidelines for their --
13
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Draft form --
14
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Got it. Okay.
15
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: All right. You've
16
   also seen it as the Waikapu draft --
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Right.
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: -- zoning code, so
18
19
   that's going to be where -- that restriction has
20
    already -- I guess, has already been established in it.
21
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: It is in here?
2.2
             MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Actually, it's here.
23
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay.
                                               It's just
24
   part of this huge stack I -- so thank you.
25
        That's all, Chair. Thank you.
```

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.

2.2

2.4

Mr. K-U-R-T, is -- I'd like to take this in two parts. If we can do the CPA first and if you can read the -- the recommendation, please.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: If I may, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Deputy Director.

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Just before Kurt

-- just to let you know, we do have -- I don't want to

feel left out because they -- you do have, from

Department of Transportation, Robin Shishido from the

Maui branch and Ken Tatsuguchi (phonetic) from State

DOT is also here as resources, if you have any

questions as we go through. And we've -- as I -- as

indicated by the applicant and -- and David Goode, we

have met with this applicant several times, has been

really, very productive meetings, and that has really

gone into going through and formulating a lot of these

conditions, along with a lot of the stakeholders and

departments.

So I do appreciate the applicants working with us in refining this before we come -- come before the Planning Commission. So thanks -- thanks again.

And thank you, Kurt.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Well, if I knew that I

```
1
   would have -- we always love talking to DOT.
2
         Go ahead, Kurt, please, on the CPA.
 3
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: The first -- the first --
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I mean -- yeah.
 4
5
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Community Plan Amendment.
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Yeah.
                                            Community --
7
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: The recommendation of the
8
   department recommends approval of the Community Plan
9
   Amendment and inclusion of the new designation entitled
10
    "Waikapu Country Town" in the current Wailuku-Kahului
11
   Community Plan, along with the updated exhibits and
12
   maps reflecting this new community plan designation as
13
   outlined in the recommendation pages for the new
14
   district Waikapu Country Town Community Plan. So that
15
    recommendation would be for recommending approval to
16
   the County Council.
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Thank you.
18
         Commissioners, any motions? Any questions?
19
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I move to make a --
20
    I move to approve as recommended by the department.
21
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO:
                                    Seconded.
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I have a recommend for
23
   approval, Commissioner Carnicelli. I have a second,
2.4
   Commissioner Castro.
25
        Would you like to speak to -- to your motion, or
```

```
1
   we'd like to go to discussion?
 2
             COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I'll just -- no.
 3
    I'm good.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. At this time,
 5
    commissioners are ready. We'd like to go to discussion
   on -- on the motion.
 6
 7
        Commissioner Gomes.
 8
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: I -- I do concur with
 9
   the motion for approval. I just want to -- I know you
10
   wanted to talk about the conditions.
11
        I apologize, Chair. Condition 24 states, "Annual
12
   Reports" --
13
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: That's on the first
14
   part.
15
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. I apologize.
16
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: No problem. We'll
17
    jump on that one.
18
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay.
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. I wanted to get
20
    one done, and then we can go to the next one, because
21
    the other one has a lot of conditions.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. Strike that.
23
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Seeing none,
24
   Deputy Director.
25
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Thank you,
```

Mr. Chair.

2.2

2.4

So currently, I have -- you are recommending approval to the Maui County Council for a Community Plan Amendment for the Waikapu town -- Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan as stated by the department.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those in favor, please raise your right hand.

(Hands raised.)

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: That's five ayes. CPA has been approved.

Kurt, can we go to the next one, please.

MR. WOLLENHAUPT: The next one is the change in zoning. The planning department also recommends this body make a recommendation of approval of the change in zoning and inclusion of the new designation entitled "Waikapu Country Town" in the current Maui County Zoning Code, along with the updated exhibits and maps reflecting this new zoning designation, subject to 24 conditions, which are outlined in the recommendation, along with a new codified Maui County Code chapter in Chapter 19 entitled "Waikapu Country Town" as shown in Exhibit A attached to the recommendations, which would be the new code, very similar to that of the Maui Research & Technology Park. CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- any questions or

comments on the conditions, Commissioner Gomes? 1 2 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yes. Thank you. 3 In Condition 24, it states that -- forgive me. 4 (As read): "Annual Reports. The applicant shall 5 provide the Planning Department prior notice and annual 6 report on status of the development." 7 We will be receiving that? 8 MR. WOLLENHAUPT: The Planning Department --9 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Can you clarify that --10 MR. WOLLENHAUPT: -- the Planning Department -- the Planning Department in this case, and in most 11 12 cases, does receive a compliance report. 13 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Uh-huh. 14 MR. WOLLENHAUPT: And then they review it and 15 -- to see if it's complete. If there are issues, they 16 go back to the developer, applicant, whatever the case 17 may be, and ensure that they're meeting compliance. 18 That's how it works. PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: And in some cases, 19 20 we do transmit to various government agencies that have 21 specific conditions to see whether or not the 2.2 representations made in the report adequately reflect 23 either compliance or the status with that approving 24 agency. 25 COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay. So, Deputy, so

```
1
    that mean -- that would mean that we may not see it,
 2
   per se.
 3
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: No. Typically,
 4
    the Planning Commission is not going to see it.
 5
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Okay. Just clarified on
 6
    that.
 7
         But they -- but they will be providing the annual
 8
    to the Planning Department?
 9
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Correct.
10
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Kurt, I want to know
11
12
    if you can help me again with -- with No. 5. And --
13
    and I know it's been explained twice, and I'm trying to
14
    re-read it, but I want to understand what the agreement
15
    is.
16
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: That's the agreement that
   will be worked out.
18
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: So it's not -- so it's
19
    still in progress with that workout on the -- on the
20
   data --
21
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Yes. That's -- that would
2.2
   be -- you need to -- well, I don't -- I don't have
23
    specifics of what they'll accept.
2.4
         The requirement about the 25 percent. And there's
25
    a concurrency requirement, but since I'm not with the
```

Housing & Human Concerns, I'm not privy to all the details. I just don't work with that every day.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Is -- Deputy Director, so when we talk about concurrency, it's with building permits. It's not the completed -- or it's certificates of occupancy.

So in the past history, how is -- how has this type of agreement worked?

PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: As indicated, as soon as they can get it done, the better. But definitely, we will not be issuing building permits. But chances are a lot of these agreements will be done prior to a large subdivision.

You can -- he can start working on it right now, and -- and normally, it doesn't take that long for him to work with the department of housing concerns, and they set up an agreement with them. That's -- that's my understanding of the process right now; and that they would set the timelines, usually agreed upon, when each of the affordable units or workforce housing would be provided and what time frame. So they'll set up conditions, and they'll -- and they'll enforce it.

So, like there will be a sign-off agency doing building permits. So if they don't have an agreement or if their agreement says that we need so many houses

```
1
   by this time, they would then usually not sign off on
 2
   the building permits as they come through.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Would any --
 4
    any representative of the State Department of
 5
   Transportation like to make any comments or would like
 6
   us to get anything clarified before we -- we move on in
 7
    this process?
 8
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Their answer is no.
 9
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
                                     Okav.
10
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Welcome to Maui.
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Less is more. Right.
12
   Okay.
         Commissioner Gomes.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   I'm sorry.
                                               Just
15
   Condition No. 7, in regards to air quality.
16
         This is being monitoring -- I mean, monitored by
17
    the Department of Health; is that correct? I'm sorry.
18
    I'm sorry --
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Well, I'd have to go back
19
20
    to the EIS. I think that's an air quality during the
21
    construction period on dust mitigation. I don't have
2.2
    it -- I'd probably find it in the EIS.
23
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Okay.
2.4
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: But that's during the
25
    construction period, just to monitor that there
```

```
wouldn't be an offensive -- or probably dust, mainly.
 1
 2
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Chances are the
 3
    applicant -- chances -- highly likely the project will
 4
    require an air quality permit they'll be monitoring, so
 5
    that's part of the monitoring.
 6
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Correct.
 7
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: So it's all
 8
   department -- part of Department of Health.
 9
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Department of Health.
10
         But is this done sporadically throughout the
11
   development, or this would just be -- just done once?
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Through the
13
   building --
14
              COMMISSIONER GOMES:
                                   Throughout the entire --
15
              MR. WOLLENHAUPT: Construction.
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- construction.
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Construction.
18
         That's the State, right?
         All right. Commissioners, anything else?
19
20
         Commissioner Carnicelli.
21
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Yeah. Actually, I
2.2
    -- in -- in what you gave me here, going to the maximum
23
   building height again, it says, "Maximum building
24
   height for structures located less than 100 feet of the
25
   highway right-of-way shall be 30 feet."
```

So it is 30.

Can you do two-story building 30 feet? And again, I don't want to pick nits and I don't want to stall this project based on this, but I'm just saying, is -is -- I believe that -- what's the building code now? It's 35? Is that what maximum building height is? Ιs it 30 or 35?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: 30. So -- so basically, you can build -- according to this, you can build any structure. You can build a two-story structure, as well, according to this representation.

So I -- I'm just putting it in there. I do see, though, it also says that structures developed on lots located within 200 feet of the highway right-of-way shall be designed in a manner to preserve the views of Haleakala from its midpoint to its summit. So you know, I mean, at least you're trying.

So I guess I just don't want to lose what we have. I mean, it's a great project, but I also -- part of what we're, sort of, tasked with here is preserving open space and -- and view planes. So that's why I initially asked the question, and just there's a concern with that, with just how this is worded. also know that you listen to, you know, the community and you have good intentions, so I'm not going to

1 necessarily ask for a change. I just want that to be 2 addressed and -- and is all. 3 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yeah. And so, you 4 know, as a -- I think you're right, but -- and what I 5 heard earlier was -- was about, you know, it's -- we 6 want to keep the view planes, but we're going to line 7 the whole -- the whole line with trees. Coconut trees 8 going to block it periodically if it goes past or if it 9 stops there. And we've had, you know, different 10 instances, and there's not a SMA with this one --11 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Right. 12 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- where -- where we 13 control the view planes from the highway. But it -- it 14 is a -- is a concern if we just have a -- you know, a 15 wall of trees that -- that will go, you know, 40, 50 16 feet, just on the highway. That's just a comment. 17 It's not a recommendation or requirement. 18 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Landscaping, as 19 well. 20 CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: But I'm sure with the 21 association, they're going to have people landscaping 2.2 and keeping that place, you know, very nice. 23 So anything else? 2.4 We have a motion? 25 COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Go. I don't want

```
1
    to make every motion. Go.
 2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Hudson.
 3
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Go ahead, Commissioner.
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: Chair, I'll make the
 4
 5
   motion for the change in zoning as recommended.
 6
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Second.
 7
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Second.
 8
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: And we have a second
 9
    from Commissioner Gomes.
10
         Like to speak to the motion or discussion?
11
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON:
                                    I'm good. Thank you.
12
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
13
         Anybody have anything they'd like to discuss on
    this motion?
14
15
         Guys, I have one concern, and the concern is -- is
   what's not -- is that we don't have a clearcut No. 5,
16
17
    which is the agreement between human services and the
18
   housing development for the affordable housing.
19
         I'd like to recommend to the council that before
20
    it moves there from them, that -- that the agreement
21
   has been made on what the -- what the mechanism is
2.2
    going to be for the affordable housing criteria.
         Commissioner Carnicelli.
23
2.4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: If I could speak to
25
    that, Chair.
```

As I understand it, the way that the process works is each and every development has to sign an individual agreement, housing agreement, with housing human concerns for -- to comply with Ordinance 2.96, which is the workforce housing ordinance. So each one of them is unique and different. And part of that is their standard language that comes directly from 2.96. And as far as, like, what can be built before and not and things like that, that's all built into it. So any thoughts of, okay, you know, the workforce -- the market rates could be built first, and then the -- the workforce housing later or never, doesn't happen anymore. As a matter of fact -- well, it's technically never happened.

2.2

2.4

But, anyways, is -- I get your concern, but that's part of what this agreement is. So when you look at that condition itself, it just says, Okay. You have to sign a workforce housing agreement before you can get, you know, a certificate -- before you can get a building permit. So that's what it is. So then those conditions for that -- to comply with the workforce housing ordinance will be in that before they can get a building permit.

MR. GALAZIN: Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Corp Counsel.

MR. GALAZIN: Thank you, Chair.

2.4

I would just echo Commissioner Carnicelli's comments. And I've reviewed some of these agreements for other types of developments, and he is -- he is correct in that they are individual and unique to each project, but they are all with the same intent of compliance, general compliance, with the 2.96.

So I -- I see no problem with this condition as is currently stated, because at this stage, we don't have enough information to be able to craft an agreement -- or Housing & Human Services won't have enough information to craft an agreement that's going to be specific to this project at this point in time. As long as the condition is in there that they got to comply, even if you don't put the condition in there, it's the law. They still have to comply, anyway.

So I'd recommend that we leave the language as is, but it's your call.

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I withdraw my concern. Thank you for the clarification. No. I appreciate it, you know. Thanks, you know.

COMMISSIONER GOMES: I just need clarification on top of that, as well --

CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioner Gomes.

COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- by corp counsel or

```
the deputy.
 1
 2
         Is workforce housing and affordable housing the
 3
    same? I mean, is this being left up the same way?
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA:
 4
                                         That is my
 5
   understanding. The name has changed to workforce
 6
   housing.
 7
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Oh, so they just -- it's
 8
    just the verbiage?
 9
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Yeah.
10
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Okay.
11
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Because nothing's
12
    affordable anymore.
13
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: It's not affordable.
14
    It's just -- okay. Got it.
15
              MR. ATHERTON: Depends on what it is.
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yeah.
16
17
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: If you're not
   working three jobs, I don't know what you're going to
18
19
   do --
20
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yeah, exactly. Not
21
   working 120 hours a week...
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Commissioners, are we
23
   good?
24
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Pono.
25
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Deputy Director.
```

```
PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Thank you,
 1
 2
   Mr. Chair.
 3
         Right now, there's a motion on the floor to
 4
    approve the change in zoning for Waikapu Town project,
 5
    subject to the conditions as presented by the
 6
   department.
 7
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those in favor,
 8
   please raise your right hand.
 9
              (Hands raised.)
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: That's five ayes.
                                                         The
11
   recommendation to approve has been passed.
12
         Go get 'em. Go get 'em. Go do it.
13
              (Clapping.)
              MR. ATHERTON: Commission, thank you very
14
15
   much.
16
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: We'll take a
    five-minute recess. (Gavel.)
17
18
              (A recess was taken from 3:03 p.m. to
19
              3:08 p.m.)
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: (Gavel.) Planning
20
21
   Commission is back in session.
2.2
         Deputy Director.
23
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Thank you,
24
   Mr. Chair.
25
        You have a -- in purple -- in purple, you have
```

```
your proposed schedule for 2019, as well as you have
 1
 2
    your SMA minor projects report and expansion report, so
 3
   you have anything --
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON:
 4
                                     So -- so
 5
    commissioners, remember, we have to look at the -- at
 6
    the dates again on the Mondays --
 7
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: Yes.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: -- please, if you'll
 8
 9
   look at that again. I want to be able to give a thumbs
10
    up to Carolyn today.
11
         You know what? Let's look at a calendar, because
12
    last time it was a little different.
13
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: And March 26th is
14
    -- the reason we can't do those two days --
15
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: -- it's spring break.
16
              MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: Holiday.
17
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: March 26 is a holiday?
              COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Kuhio Day.
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Okay.
20
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: And then, June 11.
21
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: 10th.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: June 11.
23
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: June 11?
2.4
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I don't have
25
    anything planned on either one of those days now, so --
```

```
I don't know what the 11th -- what's the 11th of June?
 1
 2
              MR. GALAZIN: It's my daughter's birthday.
 3
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Kamehameha.
                                                      Okav.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I thought Kam Days are
 5
    on Mondays.
 6
         No?
 7
         I stand corrected.
 8
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: I thought you were
 9
   a Kam grad. She mad.
10
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: He was not --
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Kamehameha.
11
12
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: He's Kamehameha.
13
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: I'm a proud graduate
14
    of Kailua High School, and I -- I preface that with GED
15
    equivalent. Okay. Thank you.
16
         So we are -- if everybody -- everybody okay with
17
    -- with the new dates, please raise your right hand.
18
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: 2019 schedule.
19
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Everybody, please
    raise your right hand. We're good?
20
21
              (Hands raised.)
2.2
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All good.
                                               Okay.
23
         Next, Director.
24
              COMMISSIONER GOMES: (Indiscernible) we have
25
   a choice.
```

```
PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Next, your SMA
 1
 2
    reminder report, which in the white sheet.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Are those -- all those
 4
    okay with SMA, please raise your right hand.
 5
              (Hands raised.)
 6
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All right. Thank you,
 7
   Director.
 8
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: The yellow sheet
 9
   is your exemptions.
10
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: All those in favor of
    the exemption report, please raise your right hand.
11
12
              (Hands raised.)
13
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Thank you.
14
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: And your last one
15
    is notification of your proposed agenda.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes. Commissioners,
16
17
   please -- please, if you could, take the time and read
18
   beforehand what we have in front of us, the docket.
19
        Other than that, our meeting is adjourned. Thank
   you --
20
21
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Wait, wait. Quick
2.2
    question --
23
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Oh, you'd like to
2.4
    comment on something?
25
              COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: No. Just quick
```

```
question.
 1
 2
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Yes.
 3
             COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Our draft EA that
 4
   got deferred, bring these back, right? Do not throw
 5
   them away?
 6
             MR. GALAZIN: Yes.
 7
             COMMISSIONER CARNICELLI: Okay. Thank you.
 8
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Or -- or just check it
 9
   online. It's supposed to change, right, the draft EA,
10
    so --
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: No, no, no. You
11
12
   deferred the draft --
13
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Oh, that's right. Oh,
14
   that's right. I'm sorry.
15
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: So it's going to
16
   be stuck on one -- some agenda. When we have time,
   we'll look at it.
17
18
             COMMISSIONER GOMES: It said 30 days.
19
             PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA: Within 30 days.
20
             MR. GALAZIN: No. It was good. That was the
21
   SMA --
2.2
             CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Oh, yeah. No.
                                                     That
23
   was Krausz. That was Krausz that we deferred.
24
   passed --
25
             MR. GALAZIN: You were just making comments
```

```
on the draft EIS --
 1
 2
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, that's right.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: There was no approval
 3
 4
    or denial, just comments. So theoretically, we might
 5
    say something different.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HUDSON: You just wanted --
 7
              PLANNING DIRECTOR ALUETA:
                                          Thank you. I
 8
    stand corrected. I -- there were so many motions going
 9
    on there.
10
              MR. GALAZIN: Yeah.
              CHAIRPERSON ROBINSON: Good job today, guys,
11
12
    and hopefully, that project will get through. Aloha.
13
              COMMISSIONERS: Aloha.
              (Gavel.)
14
15
              (Proceedings adjourned at 3:12 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Paige Christian, CSR 426, Registered

Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,

Certified Realtime Captioner, do hereby certify:

That I was acting as shorthand reporter in the foregoing matter on the 28th day of August, 2018;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter;

I further certify that I am not counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption.

Dated: September 16, 2018_____

Paige Christian, CSR 426
Registered Professional Reporter
Certified Realtime Reporter
Certified Realtime Captioner