_	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	MINUTES
13	LAND USE COMMITTEE
14	Council of the County of Maui
15	Council Chamber
16	August 1, 2007
17	
18	
19	
20	APPROVED
21	mand Mich
22	Committee Chair
23	
24	
25	

1	CONVENE:	1:34 p.m.
2		
3	PRESENT:	Councilmember Michael J. Molina, Chair
4		Councilmember Joseph Pontanilla, Vice-Chair
5		Councilmember Gladys C. Baisa, Member
6		Councilmember G. Riki Hokama, Vice-Chair
7		(Arrive 1:49 p.m.)
8		Councilmember Jo Anne Johnson, Member
9		(Arrive 4:15 p.m.)
10		Councilmember Bill Kauakea Medeiros, Member
11		Councilmember Michael P. Victorino, Member
12		
13	EXCUSED:	Councilmember Michelle Anderson, Member
14		Councilmember Danny A. Mateo, Member
15		
16	STAFF:	Tammy M. Frias, Committee Secretary
17		Carla M. Nakata, Legislative Attorney
18		
19		Lei Kihm, Executive Assistant to
20		Councilmember Bill Kauakea Medeiros
21		
22	ADMIN.:	Clayton Yoshida, Planning Program
23		Administrator, Current Planning
24		Division,
25		Department of Planning

1	Robyn Loudermilk, Planner,
2	Department of Planning
3	(Item Nos. 3 and 8)
4	Michael Miyamoto, Deputy Director,
5	Department of Public works
6	Cheryl Okuma, Director,
7	Department of Environmental Management
8	(Item No. 8)
9	Scott English, Lieutenant, Fire Prevention
10	Bureau,
11	Department of Fire and Public Safety
12	(Item No. 8)
13	James A. Giroux, Deputy Corporation
14	Counsel,
15	Department of the Corporation Counsel
16	
17	OTHERS: Item No. 8: C. Earl Stoner, Jr., President,
18	S&F Land Co., Inc.
19	
20	Glenn Tadaki, Planner,
21	Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
22	
23	Christopher Hart,
24	Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
25	

1	<pre>Item No. 3: Vince Bagoyo, Jr.</pre>		
2			
3	PRESS: AkakuMaui County Community Television,		
4	Inc.		
5			
6	CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel).		
7	ITEM NO. 8: COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENTS AND CHANGES IN		
8	ZONING FOR THE CENTRAL MAUI BASEYARD		
9	(PUUNENE)		
10	CHAIR MOLINA: The Land Use Committee Meeting for		
11	August 1st, 2007 is now in session. For the		
12	record, we have in attendance for our meeting		
13	today Chairman of the Committee, Mike Molina;		
14	Committee Members Gladys Baisa, Bill Medeiros,		
15	Mike Victorino, and Committee Vice-Chair Joseph		
16	Pontanilla. Excused are Members Mateo,		
17	Anderson, Johnson, and Hokama.		
18	Members, we have two items on our agenda		
19	today, which is LU-8, which is a Community Plan		
20	Amendment and Changes [sic] in Zoning for the		
21	Central Maui Baseyard in Puunene; and LU-3, a		
22	Change in Zoning for Waihee Mauka Project.		
23	We will first deal with Land Use Item		
24	No. 8, and, Members, we will have a presentation		
25	done for us by the Applicant's representative.		

But before we turn matters over to the 1 Applicant's representative for LU-8, Chair would 3 also like to recognize Staff in attendance here 4 today, Committee Analyst Carla Nakata and 5 Committee Secretary Tammy Frias. And from the 6 Corporation Counsel's office, we have Mr. James 7 Giroux, and from the Planning Department, 8 Clayton Yoshida and Robyn Loudermilk. 9 So, Members, we're going to take a very 10 brief recess to set the Chambers up for our 11 presentation, so don't go anywhere. Meeting in 12 recess. (Gavel). 1.3 RECESS: 1:35 p.m. 14 RECONVENE: 1:38 p.m. 15 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). Land Use Committee Meeting for 16 August 1st, 2007 is now back in session. 17 have before us the Applicant's representative, 18 Mr. Glenn Tadaki, that will do a presentation 19 regarding Land Use Item No. 8. Mr. Tadaki. 20 (Computer-generated presentation.) 2.1 MR. TADAKI: Thank you, Chairman Molina. Good 2.2 afternoon, Chairman. Members of the Council 23 Land Use Committee, my name is Glenn Tadaki, 2.4 with the Landscape Architecture and Planning 25 firm of Chris Hart & Partners. With me this

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

afternoon is Chris Hart; and the Applicant, Earl Stoner of S&F Land Company.

The Applicant is requesting a Community
Plan Amendment and Change in Zoning for three
proposed heavy industrial areas in the Central
Maui Baseyard totaling approximately 12 acres.
Next, please.

The Central Maui Baseyard is located in Central Maui, in the Puunene area, on 52 acres of land in the State Urban District. Next.

The lands underlying the baseyard are designated for Light Industrial use by the Kihei-Makena Community Plan. In context of the Applicant's request, we are seeking to reclassify the three proposed Light Industrial areas — I'm sorry, we are seeking to get reclassification for the three proposed Heavy Industrial areas. In doing so, we're seeking to change the classification from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial for the Community Plan.

The three proposed Heavy Industrial areas include Lot 1C, Lot 59, and Lot 221. Lot 1C consists of 9.8 acres and is currently zoned Agriculture. We're seeking a zoning change to

1 Heavy Industrial. Lot 59 occupies 1.3 acres, and as with Lot 221, which is about 1 acre, 3 we're seeking zoning changes for these parcels 4 from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial. 5 Next, please. 6 In September of 2005, the Maui Planning 7 Commission granted a County Special Use Permit for 2.6 acres of land located at the south end 8 of Lot 1C. The County Special Use Permit was 10 granted for a metal recycling facility, which is 11 currently being operated by SOS Metals. Next. 12 The Central Maui Baseyard is located east 1.3 of and adjacent to Mokulele Highway. This is an 14 aerial photograph of the baseyard, looking towards the south. Lot 1C is located here. 1.5 Next, please. 16 17 This is another aerial photograph. 18 Lot 1C, Lot 59, and Lot 221 are all illustrated 19 in this aerial photo. 20 This is a photo of Lot 59, which was 2.1 formerly occupied by Maui Auto Wrecking. 22 As I mentioned previously, this site was 23 1.3 acres. 24 This is Lot 221, which is used as an --

for abandoned vehicle storage on behalf of the

25

County of Maui. This site occupies 1 acre.

Next photo is taken from the north end of Lot 1C, looking toward the SOS metal recycling facility, which is located at the south end of the lot. Next, please.

This photo shows Lot 1C, taken from the SOS metal recycling facility, looking north, toward the opposite end of the lot. Next.

This is a view of the exterior of the SOS metal recycling facility, containing the equipment which is utilized for the SOS's operations. Next, please.

This is the interior photo of within the building, showing a -- looks like a car that's about to be placed into the bailer for crushing.

Next, please.

This is a view of the metal recycling facility building and their drainage basin.

Next, please.

This slide depicts the land use history of the baseyard. In summary, we could say that the lands underlying the baseyard had been used for industrial purposes from World War II to the present time. This spans a total of 66 years.

Next, please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

In terms of land use processing, the lands which comprise the baseyard have been permitted for industrial-type uses from 1984, up to the present time. This span of time is 23 years. Next, please.

The Maui Planning Commission has recommended to the Maui County Council that the Community Plan Amendment and Change in Zoning request be approved by the Council, which brings us to today's meeting. Next, please.

The reasons supporting the Applicant's request are -- can be basically broken down into three points. Much of the heavy industrial land which is on Maui is used for light industrial and business purposes, which coincidentally also are allowed within the Heavy Industrial

District. As a result, the amount of land that is available for purely heavy industrial uses is very limited and in very short supply.

The location for the proposed heavy industrial areas were based on Mr. Stoner's discussions with the County officials. The sites were deemed appropriate, given the historical industrial uses of the property in the past and the surrounding activities within

1 the basevard. The central location of the baseyard and these proposed heavy industrial 3 areas, as well as your proximity to shipping facilities at the airport -- at the harbor were 4 5 also considered and are very important factors. 6 The proposed heavy industrial areas will 7 provide much needed space for purely heavy 8 industrial uses in an area that is appropriately 9 utilized and for such purposes. 10 That concludes my presentation. 11 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Tadaki. 12 Members, we're going to take a short 1.3 recess to put the screen up, and then we'll continue with our discussion on Land Use Item 14 1.5 No. 8. Meeting in recess. (Gavel). 16 RECESS: 1:45 p.m. 17 RECONVENE: 1:46 p.m. 18 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). The Land Use Committee Meeting 19 for August 1st, 2007 is now back in session. 20 Members, we heard from the Applicant's 2.1 representative for Land Use No. 8. At this 22 point, the Chair will ask the Planning 23 Department if they have any comments before we 2.4 entertain public testimony on this item. 25 Planning Department.

MR. YOSHIDA: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Committee

Members. Clayton Yoshida, Planning Program

Administrator with the Planning Department.

Staff Planner Robyn Loudermilk will outline the

Maui Planning Commission's review of the subject applications.

CHAIR MOLINA: Ms. Loudermilk?

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

MS. LOUDERMILK: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Members of the Committee. The Maui Planning Department conducted the Public Hearing on the proposed action on November 14th, 2006. At that Public Hearing, no testimony was received. Prior to the Public Hearing held on November 14, the Commission went on a site visit of the property in October of that same year. As no additional testimony was received during the Public Hearing, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the Community Plan Amendment and also recommended the approval of the Change in Zoning to the Maui County Council, subject to the following conditions.

Condition No. 1 limits the use of the property, in such that it remains industrial in nature. However, the intent is to allow for one restaurant within the baseyard, and that

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

shouldn't exceed a total of a thousand feet.

Discussion at the Commission had to do with,

well, you know, let's have lunch wagons or, you

know, some sort of eating place on-site for the

baseyard workers. We just don't want one fancy

and fu-fu kind restaurant over there. Oh,

excuse me. Excuse me.

The second condition had to do with landscape planting for Lot 1C, and that the landscape planting will be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department in order to mitigate the visual impacts of the industrial activities.

And thirdly, there will be no building or structures or the enlargement of any building or structures to exceed four stories or 48 feet in height.

These three conditions were put on the previous Change in Zoning within the area to -from Agriculture to Light Indus- -- to Light
Industrial, and we felt that the commis- -these conditions are still appropriate. And
during the period of time since the application
was transmitted to the Council, the Department
has worked with Council Staff to further clarify
the intent of the conditions. Basically, what

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

had occurred is that action was taken at once on the three separate properties, and we wanted to make clear that each of the three separate properties should not have a restaurant, only one of the three properties, and that's been identified for Lot 1C. Also, that for the landscape planting plan that is mainly for Lot 1C, as it was not covered by the previous Change in Zoning. And Condition No. 3, mainly again for the Lot 1C, in that it limits the height to what's allowed in the Light Industrial.

And upon the discussion of these issues with the Applicant, the Applicant understands the intent of the conditions and has agreed that the proposed conditions will remain on the property identified in Land Zoning Map L-5103.

And then the Department and the Applicant also agree that the Condition No. 1 for the properties identified in Land Zoning Maps L-5104 and L-5103 should be replaced with the following language: That the uses on the property shall be industrial in nature or accessory to the principal industrial use, such as an office for a construction baseyard. No pure retail or

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

commercial activity, such as a store or restaurant, shall be conducted on the property.

And I believe Committee Staff has been working with our Corporation Counsel to incorporate those changes, and I believe those are the changes that are before you today. And that concludes the Department's summary of the application before the Maui Planning Commission.

CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you very much, Ms. Loudermilk.

Members, I know you'll have some questions for the Department and the Applicant as well, but before we do that, the Chair has been informed by the Committee Secretary that there has been no sign-ups for public testimony. The Chair will offer one last time to anyone in the gallery who would like to speak on Land Use Item No. 8.

Seeing no one approaching, if there are no objections, the Chair will close public testimony for Land Use Item No. 8.

COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections.

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. So noted. Okay, Members, you may proceed with the questions for the Planning

Department, and before we do that, the Chair would also like to inform the Committee that we

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

have in attendance as well, in the gallery, from the Public Works Department, Deputy Director
Mike Miyamoto; and the Director of Environmental
Management, Ms. Cheryl Okuma, as well as Scott
English, Lieutenant Scott English from the
Department of Fire and Public Safety; and on call is the Deputy Water Director, Eric
Yamashige.

So, with that being said, Members, the floor is open for any questions for either the Planning Department or the Applicant.

Mr. Hokama, and the Chair would like to acknowledge your presence to our proceedings today, Chairman.

COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you, Mr. Molina. And,

Chairman, my apologies for my lateness in coming
to your meeting this afternoon.

This would be for the Planning

Department. When the request was before the

State Land Use Commission, was there any

discussion, since, you know, we are now informed

of other types of a nonresidential request, that

a housing component be considered, so, was that

ever part of the Commission's discussion

regarding this specific proposal? Do you recall

1 any, whether or not a housing component should 2 be considered? 3 MR. YOSHIDA: I believe that this application was heard by the Land Use Commission in 1996, and, no, I 4 5 don't believe there was any discussion about a 6 residential component. 7 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Has your Department recently 8 rechecked with the Commission to see if they had additional comments as they have placed on other 10 recent reclassification request for the island of Maui? 11 12 MR. YOSHIDA: I believe that the subject parcel did 1.3 receive incremental redistricting, I think it 14 was in 2005. 15 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. 16 MR. YOSHIDA: I don't -- I don't think -- I don't 17 believe there was discussion about employee 18 housing or -- at that meeting. 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Do you believe it appropriate 2.0 for this Committee to have a discussion on the 2.1 subject matter? 'Cause as you're well aware, 22 lady and gentlemen, that our employee workforce 23 housing ordinance was intended to take all 2.4 developments into consideration. 25 MR. YOSHIDA: I believe for a few of the Light

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

Industrial reclassifications that came before
the State Land Use Commissions, such as the
Waiko Baseyard and the Hawaii Business, Part 2,
you know, there was a requirement for the
Applicant to do some kind of housing study,
because at that time there was no workforce
housing policy, and I think that was presented
to the Council in terms of when they dealt with
the -- the Change in Zonings for those two
applications.

Department, Mr. Yoshida, are you referring to a study that I believe Mr. Kunihisa prepared maybe two, three years ago, regarding industrial commercial properties and how we would consider maybe making a reasonable assessment; is that what you're referring to? Because I remember we did have discussion by Council Committee regarding that work product that he submitted, and I think at that time we also discussed how San Diego has approached it where it's a square-footage formula to come up with some type of housing component on industrial and commercial properties. Is that what you are referring to, Mr. Yoshida?

1 MR. YOSHIDA: I believe there was a housing study. 2. not sure who did the study for the Waiko 3 Baseyard, when that came before the Council Land Use Committee. And there was also a housing 4 5 study done for the Maui Business, Part 2, Change 6 in Zoning, which is pending before the Land Use. 7 Committee. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: But the Land Use Commission on 8 9 that specific application did have a housing 10 component -- or condition of approval. Is this 11 Committee correcting its understanding regarding 12 that specific land use request? 1.3 MR. YOSHIDA: Yes. They did require the Applicant to 14 conduct a housing study --1.5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Not to provide... 16 MR. YOSHIDA: -- and submit it with their zoning 17 request. 18 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Wasn't there a minimum acreage 19 that they had to comply with? 20 MR. YOSHIDA: Yes, they had to -- I believe they had to 2.1 have at least --22 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Ten acres? 23 MR. YOSHIDA: -- 10 acres or so. 2.4 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Gee. You know, since Mr. Mateo 25 is not here, you know, I just wish, as his

1 Committee's sub Vice-Chairman, to bring up these points of consideration and, you know, we would 2. 3 appreciate your Department's comments and recommendations to this Committee, if, one, we 4 5 should consider a component of condition of 6 zoning; and, two, what would -- may be an 7 appropriate param- -- perimeter of consideration 8 for this application. 9 Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for my 10 opportunity. 11 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you very much, Chairman 12 Hokama. 1.3 Members, we'll continue the line of 14 questioning for the Planning Department, as well 1.5 as the Applicant, who is here. And by the way, 16 I neglected to mention the Applicant himself, 17 Mr. Earl Stoner is here, as well, for any 18 questions that you may have in addition. Mr. Pontanilla? 19 20 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Yeah, just for clarification to 2.1 the Department in regards to the conditions. 22 Are these the only three conditions that is set 23 for this particular project? 24 MS. LOUDERMILK: For the Change in Zoning, yes. 25 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: There's other conditions that

1 need to be complied? 2 MS. LOUDERMILK: No. Those are the only conditions. 3 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Okay. The other question that I have is that, you know, Mr. Hokama brings out 4 5 a very good point, in regards to our workforce 6 housing in regards to the, you know, industrial 7 subdivision or business parts. The question 8 that I have is maybe for Corporation Counsel, is 9 that if the property is leased, does the lessor 10 need to comply with the workforce housing 11 policy? 12 MR. GIROUX: I don't think this is something that we've 1.3 looked at, but it seems pretty novel in that 14 sense, as far as I think we've always looked at 1.5 aspects of the developer, development, people 16 who are investing to -- to buy and sell the 17 property. 18 VICE-CHAIR PONTANTILIA: Uh-huh. 19 MR. GIROUX: I need to research that and maybe talk to 20 the other attorneys who participated in drafting 2.1 that bill, to see how that would apply to 22 somebody who is actually only leasing 23 properties. 2.4 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Thank you. And, Chair, under 25 your signature, maybe something can be sent to

1 Corporation Counsel in regards to the question 2 that I posed? 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pontanilla. Staff 4 will make note of that. 5 Members, any other questions for the 6 Department, the Applicant, or Corporation 7 Counsel at this time? Mr. Medeiros? 8 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Chair. I'm looking 9 at, you know, what is allowed in Heavy 10 Industrial, and Lot 1C borders Mokulele Highway, 11 and did you consider the prevailing winds in that area, that blow through that industrial 12 area across Mokulele in setting some conditions? 1.3 14 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. And part of the conditions have 15 to do with the landscape buffering. And in 16 terms of the types of uses, processing has to 17 occur in an enclosed structure. But that was 18 taken into consideration, as well the proximity 19 to Mokulele Highway. We worked with the 20 Department of Transportation to ensure that 2.1 their project for the widening and the proposed 22 improvements that would be required for the 23 widening, as well as the expansion of the 2.4 Central Maui Baseyard into this area were 25 compatible uses.

1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: So who would develop and 2 approve the landscaping plan? 3 MS. LOUDERMILK: The -- the Applicant would be required 4 to develop the landscaping plan. Once 5 developed, it will be submitted to the Planning 6 Department and probably assigned to myself for 7 review and approval, and that would need to be 8 done prior to the issuance of some of the permits that would be needed to -- to go 10 forward. So the Planning Department will be the 11 final authority. 12 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: And who would be responsible 1.3 for the continuous maintenance of the 14 landscaping? 15 MS. LOUDERMILK: The Applicant has indicated that his 16 entity would maintain the landscape planting, as 17 long as the facility is being used as such. 18 He's been doing it right now, he's been working 19 with Department of Transportation. 20 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: The entity being the owner or 2.1 the leaseholder or... 22 MS. LOUDERMILK: The leaseholder. COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: The leaseholder. Because, you 23 2.4 know, in M-2, Heavy Industrial District, there 25 are some things that are allowed, even with

1 Special Use Permits, that seem to be of concern when you have that much traffic going by such an 2. 3 area. And, so, the landscaping plan would be able to mitigate, you know, like dust, noxious 4 5 and offensive fumes, smoke, noise, vibrations? 6 Because Heavy Industrial is some heavy 7 operation. 8 MS. LOUDERMILK: Maybe not all of that, but I think 9 the -- the reason the request for Heavy 10 Industrial came in, is that to operate a 11 junkyard, you have to be Heavy Industrial, you 12 cannot be Light Industrial. And the proposed 1.3 conditions were based on that there would not be 14 more noxious-type uses. However, that should 1.5 the Committee decide that they want to limit, 16 that would be the -- the will of the Committee. 17 Because our intent was basically Heavy 18 Industrial to allow for the junkyard processing, 19 and uses allowed in Heavy Industrial are also 20 light industrial and business in nature. 2.1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Because under Heavy Industrial 22 Special Use Permits, you know, you can 23 manufacture chemicals, and oil, and alcohol and, 24 you know, ammonia, chlorine. 25 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah.

1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Lot of flammable, creosol, 2. explosives, fertilizer. It seems like that lot 3 would be of concern, being as close as it is to the public's travel. And I was just wondering 4 5 if, you know, the Planning Department made those 6 observations in the Maui County Code. 7 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes, we did. We did make those 8 observations. 9 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. And so after those 10 observations, you just had three conditions to 11 put on this project? 12 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Thank you, Chair. 14 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Member Medeiros. 15 Just following up on Member Medeiros' 16 question with regard to the landscaping issue, 17 if I could ask Corporation Counsel to comment. 18 Based on the language that's written for 19 Condition No. 2, under Exhibit B, is that 20 language appropriate or, I guess for lack of a 2.1 better word, strong enough to ensure that full 22 mitigation of the visual impacts of the 23 industrial activity will be, I guess, buffered 2.4 by the, you know, I guess the landscaping or the 25 vegetation that's -- that would be part of the

1 landscaping plan? Would we need to add 2 additional language or is that -- will that 3 suffice? 4 MR. GIROUX: Let me -- let me pull my copy out. 5 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Mr. Giroux, while you're looking 6 at that, while you're doing that, I guess I'll 7 get my next question out to Ms. Loudermilk. 8 Was there any recommendation with regards 9 to Condition 1, on the restaurant, as far as the 10 hours of operation? I know there's a -- I quess 11 there was thought of I guess having this 12 restaurant be open for breakfast and lunch. 1.3 Were there any recommendations that the 14 Committee can consider, for example, like from 1.5 5:00 a.m. till 2:00 p.m., can you comment on 16 that? 17 MS. LOUDERMILK: There were no recommendations for 18 hours of operation --19 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 2.0 MS. LOUDERMILK: -- that was discussed. 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. I guess at a later point, we can 2.2 continue this discussion possibly with the 23 Applicant as well. 2.4 Mr. Giroux, are you ready to respond to 25 the earlier question?

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

MR. GIROUX: Thank you, Chair. Yes, I did -- I did look at that condition and it did raise some concern for me, as far as just maybe adding some clarity that not only will a plan be submitted, but that within the condition, that it would be enforced to maintain a continual, you know, continual buffer. Meaning that, you know, that once the plan was submitted to the Department, that wouldn't be the end of the condition. The plan or the condition should probably read that not only the plan be submitted, but that -- that the continual visual buffer shall -- shall remain once they are established.

I think the way it's written, it kind of leaves some ambiguity, and just being an attorney, I just hate ambiguity. So I think, you know, it would be defensible, you know, but it really leaves the Department kind of having to make almost a legal argument that the plan needs to be enforced. I think the language should be clear within the condition that not only are you going to submit a plan, but that that plan shall remain in full force and effect to -- to maintain that continual buffer.

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Giroux.

1 Member Baisa, questions? 2 COUNCILMEMBER BAISA: I quess I might as well continue 3 along with the landscaping plan because the first time I heard it, kind of a little alarm 4 5 went off in my head. Just want to follow up to 6 make sure that we are, in doing landscaping, 7 that we are being sensitive to water use and 8 conservation, and that we're considering 9 Xeriscaping so that -- it's such a dry, hot 10 area, and yet we know we need to have the visual 11 taken care of, but I want us to be careful about 12 water. 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Member Baisa. 14 Members, any other questions for the 1.5 Department or the Applicant? Member Hokama? 16 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Mr. Chairman, your request is 17 regarding all Departments or just this 18 Department? 19 CHAIR MOLINA: This Department or if you have any 20 request for our resource personnel, that we have 2.1 Solid Waste -- excuse me, Environmental 22 Management Division, Public Works, we have them 23 in the gallery as well, if you have questions 2.4 for them. 25 I would like to ask Lieutenant COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA:

1 English, if you would come up, regarding the 2 Fire Department's comments, please. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. So noted, Member Hokama. 4 Lieutenant English? 5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you, Lieutenant. In your 6 written comments, I am made aware of the 7 Department's concerns regarding some of the 8 vehicular fluids that obviously some will be 9 very flammable, as well as the, I guess the 10 level of fire, a water fill fire -- fire -- fire 11 response, whether it be through the hydrants or 12 whatnot. Has anything changed since your letter 1.3 of 2005, Lieutenant, that has been addressed by 14 the Applicant working with you in your 1.5 Department to mitigate potential fire issues or 16 fire prevention issues that you could share with 17 the Committee this afternoon, please? 18 CHAIR MOLINA: Lieutenant English? 19 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Good afternoon, Council Chair and 20 Council. At this point, that letter from 2005 2.1 addressed basically the fire flow requirement 22 for the Heavy Industrial, which is 2500 gallons 23 a minute. My only concern, I mean, I was --2.4 when he wrote that letter, I think Captain 25 Martin wrote that letter. We were looking at 1C

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

for the SS -- SOS sheet metal process. As I know of, the Water Department brought in a new 12-inch water main along Mokulele, tapped into the Central Maui Baseyard.

I'm thinking, you know, Central Maui
Baseyard, we only have 8-inch water mains, and
my concern is the further we get away from
Mokulele, we might not have that fire flow for
that 2500 gallons a minute due to friction loss,
the further you get away from the 12-inch. So,
I think SOS, being so close to Mokulele, will be
okay with that, but I wasn't aware of the other
lots being zoned Heavy Industrial further away,
so we may have to do some flow tests in the
baseyard itself, to see we have that fire flow.

COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And, Lieutenant, I thank you for
that information regarding the Water
Department's efforts to upgrade the 12-inch line
that will be running by this project site.

Would you -- do you think it would be appropriate for this Committee to consider a condition whereby on-site storage might be one way to mitigate your concern of the need for water for fire purposes, fire prevention, or fire response? I noticed in one of the letters,

1 it was mentioned about storage. Is that 2. something that your vision of the Fire 3 Department is open to or wish to have us 4 consider regarding as one way to mitigate some 5 of your issues? 6 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: I think the storage was for when 7 they drained the cars of the fluids, they would 8 need to get provisions for aboveground tanks 9 that will contain, say, like gasoline or the 10 motor oil, until it can be properly disposed of. 11 And I think that's what the container storage 12 permit that they need to apply for, I think they 1.3 may have done it by now. 14 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. 15 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: To just contain the combustible 16 and flammable liquids. 17 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. What about a storage 18 tank? Does that make sense? To have a water 19 storage tank on the site, as backup for either 20 pressure or additional water resources to 2.1 respond? 22 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah, if the system cannot provide 23 the adequate fire flow, they can put like an 2.4 on-site storage tank, probably with a fire pump 25 to maintain that flow of 2500 gallons a minute,

1 because the area is pretty flat. We're not 2. going to get no gravity, high pressure gravity 3 fed from a tank that's put in that area, so they have to be off some kind of fire pump. 4 5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Well, one of my concerns with 6 this area, Lieutenant, is wind velocity and the 7 regularity of the wind, particularly the trade 8 winds, and that's why we know why we use Kahului 9 Airport, so I can envision a rapidly spreading 10 brushfire out of control. So that's one of my 11 concerns. Would you, in your Department, think 12 it might be appropriate for us to require that 1.3 maybe annually or twice a year, the Applicant 14 maintain firebreaks around the perimeter of the 1.5 property as a way of prevention? Would that be 16 something that, from a public safety standpoint, 17 might make good policy for us to consider? 18 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah, that would be an excellent 19 suggestion to maintain, say, a hundred-foot 20 buffer around the perimeter of the baseyard. 2.1 Yeah, because we have Mokulele on one side, that 22 gives a pretty good buffer. Puunene side or back towards Kahului, I think we have, say, a 23 2.4 30- or 40-foot road, then we have cane fields

right there. So it would be a good idea to try

25

and maintain at least a hundred-foot buffer, so. 1 2. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I'm more concerned about, you 3 know, downwind, which would be the south Maui 4 side of the property. Because that would be, 5 you know, the way the trades typically blow, go 6 that way; right, down towards south? 7 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah. So if it's downwind, so the 8 best buffer would be on the plantation site where HC&S is right now, because the brushfire, 10 the threat would be from the plantation side. 11 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: When they burn the cane? 12 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah, going into the baseyard. As 1.3 far as the baseyard, somewhere in the baseyard 14 catch fire, and it spreads to the Kihei side --1.5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Right. 16 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: -- then we have, I would say, a 17 lot of cane field before we threat, then would 18 be the armory would be the next. 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Right. 20 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: And they maintain the area pretty 2.1 good, as far as around the armory. 22 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay, Lieutenant. Is there 23 something that we need to be informed by you and 2.4 your Department regarding the permitted uses of 25 a Light Industrial/Heavy Industrial that could

1 be a cause of spontaneous combustion? Is there 2. things that we need to know about, so that we 3 can appropriately try and mitigate it through 4 conditions that you're aware that can happen in 5 an industrial area? LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: 6 That... 7 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Whether it be the storage piles 8 or things... 9 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah, that is very true, of 10 different kind of storage area. As far as for 11 metal, not a big problem. I know that the 12 processing plant, we did have installed fire 1.3 sprinklers in that existing building now. If it 14 was ever to change, not a change of use but 1.5 change of operation, that may be a concern. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. Is there something you 16 17 could recommend to us this afternoon on how to 18 structure language that the Applicant could 19 comply with, to mitigate this concern of ours? 20 And I'm sorry, Lieutenant, to put you on the 2.1 spot, but if you have something to recommend, 22 you know, I am very open to your suggestions. 23 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: I would say maybe a condition should put on the properties, that before they 2.4 25 use a change of operation, we should get some

approval by the Fire Department. If they do some kind of recycle operation, compost recycle plant, you know, that it has spontaneous combustion, dangerous, so that kind of operations, yeah, we want to know what's going to happen in that properties.

COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. Lieutenant, thank you very much for your responses to my questions.

Chairman, thank you.

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Hokama.

Mr. Pontanilla?

VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

You know, I agree with the Fire

Department as well as Mr. Hokama on the downwind side, that we should create a buffer zone. This buffer zone that we're talking about, you know, in looking at different buffer zones, it's all cleared land, right? But when I look at this map, here, it seems like there's -- I know there's a ditch that runs along the perimeter off the property on the south side, and there's lot of kiawe growth. For it to create a buffer, you know, it means taking out all those trees that's on that side of the property, south side of the property. I don't know, you know, the

1 Fire Department's policy in regards to if 2. there's green trees growing along an open ditch, 3 would that be sufficient as a buffer zone, although there's, you know, vegetation, forestry 4 5 along that ditch? 6 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah, as far as the -- as the fire 7 code ruling as far as combustible brush, it's 8 like anything dried, over 18 inches. Living and 9 standing trees is not considered a combustible 10 vegetation. So, I mean, if they're concerned 11 about water to try and maintain a green property 12 around the area, I mean, you're going to be 1.3 using a lot of water. If they just would clear 14 it out, maybe gravel it, keep all the vegetation 1.5 from growing, that would be a -- probably be the 16 ultimate buffer and probably less dust, too. 17 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: So the ground vegetation? 18 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yes. 19 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Okay. Fine. Thank you. 20 Mr. Chair? 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Pontanilla. 22 Mr. Victorino? 23 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Thank you, and I agree with 2.4 my colleagues, but I think I have a bigger 25 concern than -- than -- than fires

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

24

25

because I look downwind, and I would be very concerned if a major fire was to erupt in this area with flammable fumes, because those would travel a great deal with very little control. And I don't know, you know -- I know we've had many complaints about cane fires being burnt and the soot going down to Kihei, and those would be my concerns, that if we were to have a major industrial fire in that area, especially what my 10 colleague, Mr. Medeiros, brought up about other 11 things being done on property and some of the 12 uses that Heavy Industrial is allowed to, I 1.3 think the only condition -- one of the 14 conditions I'd like to see is that any change 1.5 from what their original intent, has to be 16 approved by the Fire Department and whoever 17 other regulatory bodies, that there would be no chance of what the Fire Department is looking 19 at, is a possibility, not only of a big fire. 20 Because I think -- the big fire doesn't 2.1 bother me, so long as we don't have homes in 22 this area. Maybe one day, that might change. 23 Right now, we've got a lot of land between this

point, and you can't even see in the picture,

you can't even see the national armory, the

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

armory. So the National Guard Armory is far enough, we can't see it, so I'm not worried about fires, except I worry about fumes that could be fanned by the strong winds that would occur. Does that make sense, Lieutenant English?

LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Yeah. If, say, one of their storage tanks that hold in, say, the waste oil, catches — ignites on fire, as far as the fuel storage, it will stay right there. As far as the air pollution or the contaminants in the area blowing towards Kihei, that would be a problem.

As far as -- I know we have a standard, you know, DOT transportation book, as far as different types of hazard, how much evacuation we need, and, worst-case scenario, I think it's a one-mile evacuation around from certain types of hazardous chemicals, which we have that right now. So if the smoke reach the Kihei area, it may cause some problem, but like I said, they are more than one mile away, as far as from the true hazard.

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So you wouldn't see that as a major concern as --

1 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Not... 2. COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: -- as far as... 3 LIEUTENANT ENGLISH: Not at this time, yeah. It's just as bad as the tank farms being right next to 4 5 Maui Mall. 6 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Victorino. 8 All righty, Members. If there -- do we have any other questions before the Chair offers 10 a recommendation at this point? 11 Okay. Mr. Pontanilla. 12 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: This is for, not for the 1.3 Department but Mr. Glenn Tadaki, when he did his 14 presentation, there's one slide that was kind of 1.5 interesting -- interested in. This is almost 16 towards the -- maybe the middle of his 17 presentation. There's this one photo that shows 18 the -- the old Mokulele Highway as well as the 19 utility poles, and I'm assuming that Lot C is 20 that big open field. 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Can you tell us, Mr. Pontanilla, 22 which -- what the title of the... 23 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Lot 1C, View from SOS Metal 24 Recycling Facility camera facing north. 25 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. And you want the Applicant to

1 respond? 2 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Yeah. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Do you have a question? VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: I see all those fire hydrants 4 5 on that old Mokulele Highway location, and where 6 this turn-off is located, is that the end of the 7 property for Lot 1C? 8 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, we're going to have the 9 Applicant or the Applicant's representative come 10 up to respond to Member Pontanilla's questions. 11 Any objections? 12 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Stoner, if you 14 could come up and have a seat and join us here. 1.5 I know you've recently had knee surgery. 16 MR. STONER: I think I'm okay for the moment. 17 CHAIR MOLINA: You're okay? 18 MR. STONER: If I don't have to stay up here for too 19 long. Thank you. 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 2.1 MR. STONER: I think I could put a lot of these 22 questions to rest very quickly, and I appreciate 23 the opportunity to testify. I'm Earl Stoner, 2.4 the president of S&F Land Company, which is the 25 Applicant. Mr. Chair, Members of the Committee,

thank you.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

In response to the question, the -- the old Mokulele Highway, new Mokulele Highway is the western boundary of our entire property at this time. The northern boundary is the former Waiko Road. The easterly boundary is an awai for about half of the property and then the balance is a sugar cane field, and the extreme east boundary is a major cane haul road, which serves the north-south service for HC&S, and the south boundary is Pulehu Stream.

The provision of a hundred-foot buffer, by taking out the vegetation at Pulehu Stream would fly directly in the face of both the Corps of Engineers and other entities from the Land Use Commission. We are required under our approval from the Land Use Commission to keep that stream vegetation in place, to fence it and to keep the wildlife, et cetera, safe in that location. So six, half a dozen or the other, we kind of have to live with that situation.

I think that this stream vegetation is generally quite green in that location, so I think it provides an adequate buffer similar to green sugar cane in there, and I don't think we

have any other buffer problems.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

With respect to landscaping, which was one of the questions that came up, we have had, in effect, for the past 15 years, a landscape plan for the baseyard, which included oleander hedges, koa trees, and be-still hedges. If you go to the baseyard and look at it, you'll see a lot of that is presently in place. There's already a be-still hedge along the highway at Lot 1C, and we intend to continue adding to that as we develop Lot 1C, assuming we receive approval of that.

With respect to our facility, it is a leasehold facility, it is not a for-sale facility. It has been a leasehold facility since 1984, and as far as I'm concerned, will continue in that vein for the foreseeable future. I think that responds to the question of housing. We're in a situation where we're not benefiting by the sale of property, and we do not intend to benefit by the sale of property.

Let's see, I think there were couple of other questions that were raised that -- oh.

The fire flow situation, we presently come off

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

the 36-inch high pressure main at Mehameha Loop. We have installed all of the off-site water improvements for the baseyard, approximately three and a half million dollars worth of improvements along Mokulele Highway and along Mehameha Loop, which also takes care now of the armory and assorted other areas. So that was done by us during the past 15 years, and that water system is in place.

We constantly, as we have developed the project, have met with the Fire Department, the fire marshal's office, provided them drawings of our fire system, we have appropriate hydrant and fire system throughout the baseyard and we've constantly pressure tested that, to the levels that are required by law, from improvements in that area.

As to M-2 zoning, to be very honest with you, with the exception of SOS Metals, the M-2 zoning request was strictly in response to a request from the former Administration. They asked that we add approximately 9 and a half acres to our request, to add more M-2 zoning which they felt was necessary at that time. We do have the ability to provide M-2 zoned area to

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

future users, if we have users of that type. However, at this time we don't have anybody that is M-2 required except SOS Metals.

It would be my anticipation that the properties, as they are infilled in that area, that they would be M-1 primarily, but we will always have the ability to go to M-2 uses, if necessary. However, our lease with A&B restricts our uses substantially with respect to environmental hazard, et cetera, so I think some of the concerns that were expressed with respect to noxious fumes, smoke, et cetera, are items that we would not allow on the property, even if we had an M-2 zone user that wanted to do that type of use. We're not gonna have a tanning plant or a chemical plant at Central Maui Baseyard, even if we have M-2 zoning.

We may have other metal -- metal processing facilities or similar type of uses which do not create a large fire hazard or other problem, but we do not want to have uses that would in any way create a noxious problem for us, because we're responsible for that cleanup. We're the first online people with that responsibility.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

We conduct presently an annual, substantial annual environmental inspection by a third-party engineering entity that is strictly in the environmental engineering business, that we have to provide both to our lessor, A&B, and to other entities, statewide, to assure that we are operating in a -- an efficient and safe manner, and that we're using best management practices, particularly with respect to fire hazard and related things. Storage of oil, storage of other flammables, automotive fluids, et cetera, storage of any noxious items like paints, thinners, et cetera, this is all covered in that annual report, and it is -- it is done on an annual basis.

We do an internal semiannual report to supplement that, to assure that we do not have problems of that type, cropping up at the baseyard because I can tell you that we're probably more concerned than any single Council Member here, with respect to clean management of that facility.

And I would -- I would welcome your review of the facility at any time. I'd be happy to go over annual reports with you, and

show you what we've done for the last 15 or 20 1 2. years out there. I think we've improved it on a regular basis and we would continue to do so. 3 If there are other questions, I'll be happy to 4 5 try to respond. 6 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Stoner. I think you've 7 answered a lot of questions. 8 Mr. Pontanilla, do you have any other 9 questions, because the Chair has one question 10 for the... VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: No. I think he answered a lot 11 12 of questions. 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: He covered all 14 that...(inaudible)... 1.5 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you. All right. Mr. Stoner, one 16 question I have is with regards to the condition 17 for the restaurant. I guess no set hours were 18 established. Your tenants, I presume you must 19 have heard feedback as to, you know, what times 20 that would be suggested or recommended. 2.1 you look at maybe a 5:00 a.m. till 2:00 p.m. 22 type of... 23 MR. STONER: Absolutely. No problem there at all. 2.4 suggestion for a restaurant came from the former 25 Planning Director at the meeting. I did not

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

bring it up. It was a surprise to me and,
frankly, I think it was a good idea. We have
since instituted a lunch wagon operation at the
baseyard, which is operating successfully at
this time. He operates presently from 5:30,
6:00 in the morning until 2:00 in the afternoon.
I would say lunch -- lunch and breakfast are the
only things that we would have out there.

I would also point out that the baseyard is closed off at seven o'clock every evening with a gated facility which minimizes access.

The only access is by card for tenants and their employees, so that would resolve that issue.

And if somebody is concerned about something that would be serving people driving by, on the highway, they'd have to come inside the baseyard, if they could see it, and they won't be able to see it with our landscaping buffer.

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. And, typically, most of your tenants begin — their businesses open up at

MR. STONER: Yeah. Some even earlier than that. Some of the trucking agencies and contractors are out there four -- we have suggested to the lunch wagon guy that he operate maybe from 4:00 till

5:30, six o'clock?

1 2:00. But we would certainly have no problem 2 with a restriction of that type. As I say, we 3 were pleased with the suggestion and if it needs to be restricted, we'll be happy to go along 4 5 with any restriction you'd like to put on it. 6 CHAIR MOLINA: And no request for liquor at the 7 restaurant at this time? 8 MR. STONER: Not at all. 9 CHAIR MOLINA: We will incorporate that as... 10 MR. STONER: I don't think any of our trucking entities 11 want their drivers drinking. 12 CHAIR MOLINA: Yeah, okay. Thank you. I just thought 1.3 I'd ask. 14 Members, any other questions for the Applicant? Mr. Medeiros? 1.5 16 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Thank you, Mr. Stoner, for 17 that information. So you lease the property 18 from A&B? 19 MR. STONER: Yes, sir. 20 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: And if I may ask you, how long 2.1 a lease do you have? 22 MR. STONER: Thirty-five years. 23 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Thirty-five? 2.4 MR. STONER: Yes. 25 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. You know, I take your

word, you know, to be somebody that has a lot of integrity, that you don't plan on doing the other possible uses with the property, but my concern would be, you may not have the property forever and somebody else may lease it after your lease is done, and we may not have the same intent by a new lessee. So that would be my concern, because under M-2 Heavy Industrial, and I think it's a fit in there, except the location is right along Mokulele.

MR. STONER: Uh-huh.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: And my concern is with the traveling public, you know, going by there, and there's quite a bit of traffic that goes by there.

MR. STONER: Well, it was an interesting discussion that we had with respect to that. By the time we got around the M-2 zoning, which again I would point out was requested and suggested by the former Administration, this was the only large property, with the exception of the other two smaller pieces, that was available at the baseyard for such use.

I think there's -- there's a two-edge sword here. I think it's for -- for uses of

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

that type, which are noxious by their nature, to a certain extent, I think it's a good idea to have them in the public eye, you know, readily visible. The other side of that coin is, there potentially could be uses. I'd have to say to you, you'd have to rely on me at this particular juncture to assure you that we will not have other noxious uses like that, that would in any way impact on traffic on Mokulele Highway.

I would further point out, you may have noticed or you may not have, but during the recent harvesting in that area was substantial easterly trades, which would tend to push smoke onto the highway, that even with the substantially easterly trades that we've had this year, the dust has gone to the east of the highway from the west, so that the wind during northeast and easterly periods generally pushes back onto the baseyard rather than going the other way, at that location.

That may be little to put your mind at rest, but I would say if you -- if you're interested in it, watch the harvest in that area and we've noticed it very much this year, because our SOS Metal guys got inundated when

1 they did the field just to the northwest of us, 2. they just got obliterated, whereas we didn't 3 have anything when we were rough-grading Lot 1C, we had nothing going across the highway. 4 5 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. And my other question 6 is, currently, how high is your landscape 7 buffer? 8 MR. STONER: The current -- well, I -- I wish that we still had wiliwili, it would be 30 feet. We 9 10 presently have a be-still hedge that is -- the 11 highest point of it right now, which was planted 12 early at the north end of Lot 1C, is at about 1.3 15 feet. The lower end, which was planted at 14 the latter part, as SOS moved in, is at about 8 1.5 to 10 feet, presently. 16 We've instructed our landscape 17 maintenance guys to cut that hedge at the 18 bottom, on the sides to increase the height. So 19 we hope to maintain it about a 15-foot height, 20 and if we are able to kill those little rascals

maintenance guys to cut that hedge at the bottom, on the sides to increase the height. So we hope to maintain it about a 15-foot height, and if we are able to kill those little rascals that attack the wiliwilis, I think we'd probably go back in with wiliwili hedges at that location 'cause they provide a pretty good 30-foot buffer there. That would be ideal for the highway.

COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stoner.

2.1

22

23

24

25

1 MR. STONER: You're very welcome. 2 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Thank you, Chair. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Medeiros. 4 I see no other request for questions for 5 the Applicant. I'd like to thank you, 6 Mr. Stoner, for... 7 MR. STONER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the 8 Committee. Thank you very much. CHAIR MOLINA: And thank you for responding to those 10 questions as well. Members, the Chair would like to ask for 11 a consideration of -- we have six bills to 12 1.3 consider here, and the Chair is going to offer a 14 recommendation first, on the first three bills, 1.5 which is on the back page of your agenda. 16 like to proceed in making a motion for those 17 three bills in one motion and then when we get 18 to bill four, that is where we will discuss the 19 conditions, and at that point, Members can make 20 any amendments or additional conditions as well, 2.1 so, if there are no objections, the Chair would 22 like to proceed in that fashion. 23 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. 2.4 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, at this point, the Chair 25 will entertain a motion to -- for "A BILL FOR AN

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE KIHEI-MAKENA COMMUNITY
PLAN AND LAND USE MAP FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO
HEAVY INDUSTRIAL FOR APPROXIMATELY 9.811 ACRES
SITUATED AT PUUNENE, MAUI, HAWAII." The purpose
of the revised proposed bill is to grant S&F's
request to amend the Kihei-Makena Community Plan
from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial for
the 9.811-acre property, to accommodate heavy
industrial uses, including the existing metal
recycling facility.

The next bill is a bill entitled "A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE KIHEI-MAKENA COMMUNITY PLAN AND LAND USE MAP FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO HEAVY INDUSTRIAL FOR APPROXIMATELY 58,091 SQUARE FEET SITUATED AT PUUNENE, MAUI, HAWAII." The purpose of the revised proposed bill is to grant S&F's request to amend the Kihei-Makena Community Plan from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial for the 58,091-square-foot property, to accommodate heavy industrial uses.

And the third bill is a bill entitled "A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE KIHEI-MAKENA COMMUNITY PLAN AND LAND USE MAP FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO HEAVY INDUSTRIAL FOR APPROXIMATELY

1	43,810 SQUARE FEET SITUATED AT PUUNENE, MAUI,
2	HAWAII." The purpose of the revised proposed
3	bill is to grant S&F's request to amend the
4	Kihei-Makena Community Plan from Light
5	Industrial to Heavy Industrial for the
6	43,810-square-foot property, to accommodate
7	heavy industrial uses.
8	COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.
9	VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Second.
10	CHAIR MOLINA: It's been moved by Member Victorino,
11	seconded by Member Pontanilla.
12	Any discussion on the motion for the
13	first three bills?
14	Seeing none, all those in favor, signify
15	by saying aye.
16	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
17	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed?
18	Okay. The Chair will mark it 6 ayes with
19	3 excusals, Members Johnson, Anderson, and
20	Mateo.
21	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
22	Medeiros, Victorino,
23	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
24	Chair Molina.
25	NOES: None.

1	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
2	Johnson, and Mateo.
3	ABSENT: None.
4	ABSTAIN: None.
5	MOTION CARRIED
6	ACTION: Recommending FIRST READING of revised
7	proposed bills.
8	CHAIR MOLINA: Members, we are on the fourth bill
9	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman?
10	CHAIR MOLINA: which is a yes, Member Hokama?
11	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: May I have a short recess to
12	confer with you, please?
13	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, this meeting will be in
14	recess until 2:50. (Gavel).
15	RECESS: 2:40 p.m.
16	RECONVENE: 2:50 p.m.
17	CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). Land Use Committee Meeting for
18	August 1st, 2007 is now back in session. Thank
19	you very much for that break, Members. When we
20	last left off, we had just approved the three
21	early bills which relate to the amendment of the
22	Kihei-Makena Community Plan. And we are now on
23	the fourth bill, which relates to a change in
24	zoning from Agriculture to M-2 Heavy Industrial
25	for approximately 9.811 acres situated at

1 Puunene, Maui, Hawaii. And the intent of this bill of the -- I should say revised proposed 3 bill is to grant S&F's request to change the 4 zoning for the 9.811-acre property from Ag. to 5 M-2 Heavy Industrial District, to accommodate 6 heavy industrial uses, and, of course, this 7 relates to the conditions that had been 8 discussed prior. So, with that being said, Members, the Chair will entertain a motion. 9 10 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: So moved. VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Second. 11 12 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. It's been moved by Member Hokama, 1.3 seconded by Member Pontanilla. 14 Member Hokama, as the maker of the 1.5 motion, you have the floor, and at this point, 16 the Chair will consider amendments through the 17 conditions or additional conditions as well. 18 Member Hokama. 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, thank you very much, 20 and for the recess. I had an opportunity to 2.1 inform the Applicant of some of my 22 considerations for conditions to the zoning 23 ordinance as part of conditions of zoning. 2.4 Chairman, I would ask that the Committee 25 consider as additional conditions of the subject

1 request under the rezoning for 9.811 acres, three 2. things. One, that the Fire Department be 3 consulted prior to any change of permitted uses within the subject property. Two, that they 4 5 continue at a minimum, an annual third-party 6 environmental assessment. And three, Chairman, 7 would be the -- excuse me, Chairman, I lost my 8 notes. CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Member Hokama, maybe what we can 10 do... 11 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Maybe if we can work from the 12 first... 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Yeah. Let's go ahead and work maybe, 14 Staff, should we consider that just as one 1.5 separate amendment, which is the request to have 16 the Fire Department be consulted if there are 17 any additional uses, shall we consider that as, 18 say, Condition No. 4? 19 MS. NAKATA: Okay. 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay? Members, any objections to having 2.1 Member Hokama's proposed amendment be considered 22 as Amendment No. 4? 23 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. 24 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. So, Staff, would it be 25 appropriate that a motion be placed on the floor

to amend for this condition? 1 2. MS. NAKATA: Yes, Mr. Chair. Motion to amend to insert 3 a new Condition No. 4 to read: That the Department of Fire and Public Safety shall be 4 5 consulted prior to any change of permitted uses 6 within the subject property. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Chair is ready for a motion to the amendment. Mr. Hokama? 8 9 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, I -- I'm happy to make 10 the motion to amend, by the language recommended 11 by Committee Staff regarding the notification 12 for consulting with the Fire Department. 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Is there a second? VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Second. 14 15 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. It's been moved by Member Hokama 16 and seconded by Member Pontanilla to amend the 17 proposed bill. 18 Mr. Hokama, any additional discussion on 19 your proposed amendment? 20 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, I am open that if 2.1 Committee wishes, since this would be part of a 22 unilateral agreement that will be recorded with 23 the Land Court, that if the Applicant wishes to 2.4 provide comment, whether or not he's agreeable, 25 I'm very open to the Committee requesting that

1	consideration.
2	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Any other discussion, Members?
3	Okay. Seeing none, all those in favor of
4	the proposed amendment or additional condition
5	to the bill, signify by saying aye.
6	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed?
8	Thank you. The Chair mark it $6-0$, with
9	three excusals, Members Mateo, Anderson, and
10	Johnson.
11	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
12	Medeiros, Victorino,
13	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
14	Chair Molina.
15	NOES: None.
16	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
17	Johnson, and Mateo.
18	ABSENT: None.
19	ABSTAIN: None.
20	MOTION CARRIED
21	ACTION: APPROVE amendment to the main motion.
22	CHAIR MOLINA: And, now, Member Hokama, your next
23	amendment, we shall have that incorporated as
24	Amendment Number or, excuse me, Condition
25	No. 5. Can you restate the amendment

COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Sure. Condition No. 5 would be 1 2. that the Applicant would continue, at a minimum, 3 an annual third-party environmental assessment 4 regarding the rezone acreage. 5 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. The Chair will... 6 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And, again, I'm open to the 7 Staff doing non-substantive editing to clarify intent of the Committee. 8 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. The Chair will entertain a motion for that condition. Mr. Hokama? 10 11 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: So moved, Chairman. 12 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Second. 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. It's been moved by Member Hokama, 14 seconded by Mr. Victorino. 15 Additional comment, Mr. Hokama? 16 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: No additional comment, Chair. 17 MS. NAKATA: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Could Staff just 18 clarify, is the condition limited to the conduct 19 of the E.A., or is there a requirement that the 20 E.A. be submitted to the Council? 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Hokama, your preference? 22 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: You know, this is more in regard 23 to -- well, this -- that was the term the 2.4 Applicant used in describing their annual 25 ongoing efforts as part of, I would consider,

1 their mitigation plan to minimize any type of either fire incidents or on-site situations that 2. 3 can occur from those permitted uses, and I'm not 4 looking for the traditional environmental 5 assessment study that is done for satisfying 6 Chapter 243. 7 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah, yeah, yeah. 8 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And so that might not be the 9 appropriate language, Mr. Chairman. That was 10 what the Applicant had stated, but my -- my --11 my intent was for them to continue that ongoing 12 review, that they are complying and they're 1.3 taking care of their needs, one, ensure safety 14 margins regarding firebreaks, potential other 1.5 mitigating factors, whether it be with erosion 16 or other things that could impact negatively 17 that the Committee had brought up, concerning 18 its issues of safety and welfare. 19 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 20 All right. Committee Members, is that 2.1 clear? Mr. Medeiros? 22 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: I just want to get some 23 clarification, Chair. Thank you. 2.4 The conditions are placed on all three 25 lots or just 1C? I mean, as far as your

1 existing conditions and the additional 2 conditions suggested by Council Chair Hokama. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Planning Department? 4 MS. LOUDERMILK: I believe at this point in time, we 5 are just addressing Lot 1C for these amendments 6 to the proposed conditions. 7 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Because your condition 8 sheet is attached to all three lots. Did you do 9 separate conditions for each lot? 10 MS. LOUDERMILK: I would defer to Corporation Counsel. 11 They put together the ordinance. CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Giroux? 12 1.3 MR. GIROUX: I believe early on, that there was 14 confusion because the way that the motion went 1.5 down at the -- at the Commission level, that when we received it, we -- we just put all of 16 17 the conditions on all three bills, and then 18 later on, it was clarified by the Planning 19 staff, that the intent was to break it up. 20 there are conditions to -- to each bill, but the 2.1 way we're working with it now, is that each bill 22 will have its own set of conditions. So, the 23 Lot 1C, right now, has the most conditions on 2.4 it, and that's the one we're working on, and 25 then the other two lots only have two conditions

1 currently. And so we'll -- once we're done with 2 1C, I believe the Chair wants to go to the next 3 bills, and we can incorporate the ones that we agree with, with Lot 1C, to those, when they 4 5 come up. 6 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Thank you for that 7 clarification. 8 Thank you, Chair. 9 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Member Medeiros. 10 Any other discussion on the proposed condition to the bill? 11 MS. NAKATA: Mr. -- Mr. Chair? 12 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Yes. 14 MS. NAKATA: Would you like Staff to just read the 15 wording of proposed Condition 5? 16 CHAIR MOLINA: Proceed. 17 MS. NAKATA: That S&F Land Company, Inc., shall 18 continue to conduct, at minimum, an annual 19 third-party environmental inspection for the 20 subject property. 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, is the condition as 22 stated by Staff? 23 Okay. Seeing no other discussion, all 2.4 those in favor, signify by saying aye. 25 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed?
2	Thank you. The Chair mark it $6-0$, with
3	two [sic] excusals, Members Mateo, Anderson, and
4	Johnson.
5	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
6	Medeiros, Victorino,
7	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
8	Chair Molina.
9	NOES: None.
10	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
11	Johnson, and Mateo.
12	ABSENT: None.
13	ABSTAIN: None.
14	MOTION CARRIED
15	ACTION: APPROVE amendment to the main motion.
16	CHAIR MOLINA: Member Hokama, have you come up with
17	your third proposal? If not, I can give you a
18	little more time, 'cause I have
19	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: No, Chairman. I am happy to
20	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay.
21	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: recommend a third amendment
22	to condition zoning, and I believe this is
23	something that my fellow Committee Members had
24	brought up earlier, and the Applicant,
25	Mr. Stoner, is agreeable. That would be a

third -- an additional new condition, Chairman, 1 2 regarding the landscaping. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 4 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: The Applicant is very willing to 5 work with the appropriate County agency. I 6 don't know if that would be Planning Department 7 or Department of Environmental Control, now that 8 we have a new Department, that the Applicant would be -- would work to have an acceptable 9 10 landscaping plan in place, to address the 11 various fracas, including water usage, native 12 plants, and other appropriate criteria for 1.3 consideration in a landscape plan. 14 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 1.5 Corp. Counsel, can I get comment from 16

you? Should Member Hokama's proposal rather
than being incorporated as a separate condition,
could that be incorporated into Condition No. 2,
which relates to the landscaping? Would that be
more preferable, or would that -- would it be
better off as a separate proposed condition?

MR. GIROUX: I think you could do it both ways. You
know, when you're talking with the plan, maybe
the plan shall include, add the language on the
second para- -- the para- -- second sentence,

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

1 you know, said plan shall address the needs to 2. provide, and maybe add language that, and it 3 shall include concerns regarding the water, native landscape, native fauna, something like 4 5 that, incorporate the language into that second 6 sentence maybe. 7 Because I also, Chair, was also looking 8 at additional language that may tighten up the requirement that the buffer remain maintained, 10 so. 11 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. What is the body's preference? 12 Shall we just incorporate it into Condition 2 or 1.3 establish a separate condition? 14 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Incorporate. CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Hokama? 15 16 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, you know, I would 17 defer to --18 CHAIR MOLINA: Staff? 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: -- our legal staff and 20 Corporation Counsel to recommend the most 2.1 appropriate format, so that, one, it is very 22 clear whoever reads the conditions of zoning, 23 that it's understandable and in plain English. 2.4 Okay. And I say that because that's part of our 25 State Constitution, in order for any person to

1 understand our laws. And, two, again, down the road, heaven forbid, if we don't have 2. 3 Mr. Stoner, who I have faith in and confidence in not to be around, that whoever succeeds, can 4 5 understand plain English and continue to fulfill 6 and comply with all conditions of zoning. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Hokama. 8 Staff and I quess Corporation Counsel, if 9 you can work on incorporating the appropriate, 10 whether it be done as a separate condition or 11 incorporated into Condition No. 2, whichever is 12 the best route... 1.3 MS. NAKATA: Yes, Mr. Chair. Could Staff please 14 clarify, in addition to addressing water 1.5 conservation measures, what were the other 16 factors that Member Hokama wanted inserted? 17 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I would like consideration of 18 native plants that would meet the part of the 19 criteria of water conservation. And I believe 20 Ms. Baisa and Mr. Victorino had some other 2.1 suggestions that I'm very open for their input, 22 Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 23 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 2.4 Member Baisa or Member Victorino, any 25 additional comment?

1 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: I'll yield to Ms. Baisa. 2 ahead. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Member Baisa? 4 COUNCILMEMBER BAISA: Just quickly. I had used the 5 term "Xeriscaping," which I think covers their 6 water piece, but does not address the native 7 Hawaiian plants, which tend to really be low in 8 terms of use of water. We just want to be sure that, you know, we are attentive to water 10 conservation. I think it's something that all 11 of us need to pay more attention to. 12 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Baisa. 1.3 With that being said, Mr. Medeiros? 14 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Yeah. I think Member Baisa 1.5 said it, but, that the landscaping be arid-area 16 tolerant, okay? 17 CHAIR MOLINA: Arid-area tolerant. Okay. 18 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Or dry-area tolerant. 19 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Doesn't like water. 20 2.1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Doesn't need water, okay. 22 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Doesn't use much water. CHAIR MOLINA: The word "arid" sounds a little fancy, I 23 24 think... Plain English, right? 25 MR. GIROUX: I think the term they use is "drought

1 resistant." 2 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Drought resistant. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 4 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Yeah. "Arid" sounds like a 5 deodorant. 6 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay, Member. I'm sure Staff and 7 Corporation Counsel will come up with the most, 8 I quess, understandable language possible for this consideration. So... 9 10 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: And, Mr. Chair, I have one 11 follow-up question, please. 12 CHAIR MOLINA: Proceed. 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: I just want to be sure that 14 the conditions will run with the land, if it 1.5 changes ownership? 16 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Mr. Chair? 17 CHAIR MOLINA: Good point. Mr. Victorino? 18 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Well, that was another 19 condition that I wanted to bring forth somehow, 20 some way that we could put language in, that not 2.1 only run with -- with the land and the contract 22 that presented this. If for any reason 23 Mr. Stoner was to decide to step away or some 2.4 change in his company, that because we're going 25 from M-1 to M-2, that it'll have to come back to

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

this body or some body, that no one will just buy out that agreement and then put, you know, what we just talked about, a chemical plant or something of that nature. We don't want to leave future Councils or the future generations at harm's way, because we changed it to M-2.

Now, I don't know how that condition needs to be worded, but I am very concerned that today we all know, and we trust, and we're all okay, but 35 years from now or 30 years from now, which is, like that, all of a sudden we are now faced with another Council looking at a Dow chemical plant, for example, being built there, and all of a sudden because it's M-2, dah-dah-dah, eh, you, we have a whole bunch of problems, so.

I'm not sure how the wording, and maybe Corp. Counsel can guide us on that, but I just want to make sure that there's chance that things could change because ownership changed, because whatever may -- may -- may make changes that that M-2 is only good for this, and if there's changes, that that change will have to come back to -- to this body or a body of governmental status.

1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay, Mr. Victorino. COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mr. Chair? 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Medeiros? 4 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: I just wanted to finish up my 5 questioning. So, I think in my conversation, 6 it's by Code, that it runs with the -- the land, 7 so they actually doesn't need any special 8 language? CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Giroux? 9 10 MR. GIROUX: Chair, to answer that, according to Code, 11 what needs to be done, is that a unilateral 12 agreement will be filed on the land, and that 1.3 will run in perpetuity. 14 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. 1.5 MR. GIROUX: And what -- what happens is that this will 16 not get to, I believe, first or second reading 17 without it first being filed, on the land. For 18 the second question about the use, there's two 19 ways that it can be done. One, standard 20 condition can be that -- that the property shall 2.1 be developed as represented, meaning the 22 representative came here and said he was only 23 going to use it for scrap metal. The other one 2.4 is, you can say -- you can have a condition that 25 says that the M-1 or M-2 uses shall be

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

restricted to scrap metal and recycling. It's a very restrictive M-2 zoning.

So, you -- you can do it both ways. The first one means that somebody is going to have to research what happened today. But it is a standard condition. We do pass ordinances that just say, you know, as represented by the, you know. But the other way, which is a little more clearer but might be more restrictive, is to actually say what use you do want to use it in, as M-2 and restricted to said use, and put in what use you want to -- that's remained. So it can only be used for that type of M-2 operation.

COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: So would that be conditional M-2, Heavy Industrial zoning?

MR. GIROUX: Right, it would be conditional zoning,

and -- and already we are working with

conditions. So it would run with, the

unilateral would be filed, if that is adopted as
a condition, and that would run with the land.

COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. Yeah, I would accept,

Corp. Counsel, your, you know, explanation about

it's a standard condition, about the conditions

running with the land, and I'd accept that.

Okay. Thanks, Mr. Chair.

1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Medeiros. 2. COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Mr. Chair? CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Victorino? 3 4 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Again, I'm -- I -- not to 5 oppose my colleague in any way, shape, or form, 6 and it's up to the rest of the Members here, but 7 I would prefer some things to that nature where 8 it is spelled out in the condition, that there 9 is no possibility of change in the future, 10 because I just want to make sure that the public 11 safety is protected down the road, you know, and 12 I think what you've just said is, leaves a 1.3 little bit more opening because you gotta go 14 back and research and what was decided on, et 1.5 cetera, right? 16 MR. GIROUX: Right. In any case, with both 17 recommendation or both ways, right now, the conditions don't have that. 18 19 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Right. 20 MR. GIROUX: So, you know, you -- if that's your 2.1 intent, you can choose one way. One way is to 22 put a condition that says it will be developed 23 as represented, or -- or, put a condition that 2.4 says that it will be restricted to said use. 25 But right now, as the bill is, it has neither.

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So -- okay. Mr. Chair, could we have a recess to kind of decide, I mean, real quick?

CHAIR MOLINA: Hang on, Mr. Victorino.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

Mr. Hokama?

COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, before you make your decision on the request for recess, I would just share couple points with the Committee this afternoon. One, unless a unilateral agreement is executed after first reading, it has been a practice of the Chair not to schedule second and final until the recorded document has been confirmed by the recording agency, normally the State Land Court, that they have recorded that executed agreement, we do not take final action on the zoning ordinance.

Second, Mr. Chairman, my intent of the first amendment whereby consultation with the Fire Department prior to any change of permitted use, was trying to address their comments that Mr. Medeiros and Mr. Victorino sharing with us at this present time, and that's already an amendment that has been received the support of this Committee. So I would ask if that's insufficient, then we might need to re-review

that and reword it to ensure the concerns. 1 2. Unless this zoning bill comes back for 3 amendment, to change the conditions of zoning, 4 Mr. Chair, it cannot be changed. And regardless 5 of who is the owner, the agreement runs with the 6 land, through the Land Court execution -- I 7 mean, recordation. So I just wish to share that 8 with the Members, that that is how the County 9 has, in the past and currently, ensures 10 compliance on the conditions of zoning. And I 11 thank you for this opportunity, Chair. 12 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 1.3 Mr. Victorino, is it still your intent to 14 request for a recess to consult with Corporation 1.5 Counsel or Staff to consider a proposed 16 condition as it relates to the comments from 17 Member Hokama? 18 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yes, please, Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Two-minute recess. (Gavel). 20 RECESS: 3:13 p.m. 2.1 RECONVENE: 3:15 p.m. 22 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). Land Use Committee Meeting for 23 August 1st, 2007 is now reconvened. When we 2.4 last left, Mr. Victorino had a consideration. 25 Mr. Victorino.

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yes. I will defer to Council 1 2 Chair Hokama for the -- an amendment change that 3 we have discussed. CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Mr. Hokama. 4 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: On behalf of Mr. Victorino... 5 6 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Oh, shucks. Come on, you 7 guys. 8 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, I would ask that the 9 Committee consider a motion to amend, I believe, 10 Condition No. 4? Oh, wait. CHAIR MOLINA: Was this related to the lands? I think 11 12 that was... 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Relating to the Fire Department, 14 Chairman. 15 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. That was -- Staff, that was 4? 16 Yeah, okay. 17 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Yeah. That we amend Condition 4 18 by replacing the word "consult" with the word 19 "approved." 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Is there a second? 2.1 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Second. 22 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Been moved by Member Hokama and 23 seconded by Member Victorino. Additional discussion? Mr. Hokama. 2.4 25 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I believe this would take care

of some of the Committee Members' concern, 1 2. Mr. Chairman, regarding the permitted uses and 3 change of actual operations at the project site, 4 to allay fears that something that we may not 5 want, could be -- that could occur in the 6 future. We heard from presentations from the 7 Applicant specifically what he does not intend 8 to permit within his project site, but this 9 would very clearly state, by a condition, take 10 care of the concerns of some of the Members that 11 would like a governmental review prior to change of a current permitted practice, and I believe 12 1.3 this would address it. It would run with the 14 land, so even if Mr. Stoner was no longer 1.5 associated with the project, unless there is a 16 change of zoning amendment, it'll stay, in 17 effect, in perpetuity, Chairman. Thank you. 18 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 19 Any other discussion before the Chair 20 calls for the vote on the proposed amendment? 2.1 MS. NAKATA: Mr. Chair? 22 CHAIR MOLINA: Yes. MS. NAKATA: Could Staff please clarify? Currently, 23 2.4 the wording of the Condition 4 is that the 25 Department of Fire and Public Safety shall be

1 consulted prior to any change of permitted uses 2. within the subject property. So, is the 3 proposal to amend that, to state, that the Department of Fire and Public Safety shall 4 5 approve any proposed use, prior to any change or 6 permitted uses within the subject property? 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Hokama? I believe that's the intent of 8 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: 9 the Committee. And, again, the key concern with 10 Fire Department was some of the public safety 11 issues that was brought up in the earlier discussions, Mr. Chairman, whether it be with 12 1.3 noxious fumes, fire and smoke, you know, other 14 concerns that the Committee brought up, 1.5 regarding the proposed permitted M-2 uses. That 16 is why we believe that fire, again, knowing full 17 well what is the capabilities and what is 18 available on site of the project to mitigate or 19 respond to any fire issues, I believe, would be 20 an appropriate agency to take this matter up. 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hokama. 22 I see no other discussion on a proposed 23 amendment. All those in favor, signify by 24 saying aye. 25 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

1 CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed? 2 Thank you. The Chair mark it 6-0, with 3 three excusals, Mateo, Anderson, and Johnson. 4 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama, 5 Medeiros, Victorino, 6 Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and 7 Chair Molina. 8 NOES: None. EXC.: 9 Councilmembers Anderson, 10 Johnson, and Mateo. 11 ABSENT: None. 12 ABSTAIN: None. MOTION CARRIED 1.3 ACTION: APPROVE amendment to the main motion. 14 CHAIR MOLINA: The Chair would like to offer an 15 16 amendment to Amendment No. -- excuse me, 17 Condition No. 1, to provide additional language 18 after the word "property," and to state as read, 19 hopefully: Restaurant hours of operation will 20 be from 4:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., and adding an 2.1 additional sentence, if that is appropriate, 22 liquor sales will be prohibited. 23 Chair would --24 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved. 25 CHAIR MOLINA: -- like to ask for a motion?

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved. 1 2 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Moved by Member Victorino. Ιs 3 there a second? VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Second. 4 5 CHAIR MOLINA: Seconded by Member Pontanilla. 6 Any discussion, Members? Mr. Hokama? 7 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, just a question of 8 clarification. CHAIR MOLINA: Uh-huh. 9 10 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: As I understand it, and you say 11 a restaurant, we're just talking about one 12 restaurant? 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: That is correct. Maybe we should add 14 the word -- Staff, maybe would it be appropriate 15 to -- I guess we're talking about one 16 restaurant. 17 MS. NAKATA: Mr. Chair, I believe... 18 CHAIR MOLINA: The restaurant hours of operation, 19 should that -- would that be more appropriate? 20 MS. NAKATA: I believe in the current last sentence of 2.1 Condition No. 1, it does say "except for a 22 restaurant not to exceed a total of 1,000 square 23 feet." 24 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. So that covers that, that issue 25 of it being just one restaurant on the subject

1	property, which is Lot 1C, I believe.
2	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you, Chairman.
3	CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Hokama.
4	Any other discussion on the proposed
5	amendment to Condition 1?
6	Seeing none, all those in favor, signify
7	by saying aye.
8	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed?
10	Thank you. Chair will mark it 6-0, with
11	three excusals, Members Mateo, Anderson, and
12	Johnson.
13	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
14	Medeiros, Victorino,
15	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
16	Chair Molina.
17	NOES: None.
18	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
19	Johnson, and Mateo.
20	ABSENT: None.
21	ABSTAIN: None.
22	MOTION CARRIED
23	ACTION: APPROVE amendment to the main motion.
24	CHAIR MOLINA: Any other considerations for Bill No. 4?
25	Staff?

1 MS. NAKATA: Mr. Chair, there was no motion to amend 2. with respect to Condition No. 2, to address the 3 water conservation measures, Xeriscaping, 4 drought-resistant plants. 5 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you for reminding the Chair of 6 that. I guess we got caught up in another 7 discussion. We forgot to put into play, the 8 proposed amendment from Member Hokama to 9 incorporate the additional language with regards 10 to the landscaping. Member Hokama? 11 12 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, I move to amend by 1.3 adding the -- a new condition to the ordinance 14 regarding the landscaping as stated by Committee Staff. 1.5 16 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Second. 17 CHAIR MOLINA: It's been moved by Member Hokama and 18 seconded by Member Victorino, to add a 19 condition, so we will call that Condition 20 Number -- Staff, would that be Condition No. 5 2.1 or 6? 22 MS. NAKATA: We currently have five conditions. One 23 alternative would be to amend current Condition 2.4 No. 2 that also addresses the landscaping plan. 25 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, maybe to make it easier,

1 we'll just incorporate that into Condition 2, if there are no objections. 2 3 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. COUNCIL MEMBERS VOICED NO OBJECTIONS. (excused 4 5 Councilmembers Anderson, Johnson, 6 and Mateo.) 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. The proposed amendment is for 8 Condition 2. Member Hokama, you have the floor as the 10 maker of the motion. 11 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, again, one, this is --12 follows the practice that the Applicant has 1.3 informed this Committee that it's currently 14 practicing as part of the fulfillment of a State 1.5 Land Use Commission condition and, again, 16 Chairman, for the -- for your Committee, it just 17 makes good sense and good practice. 18 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Hokama. 19 Any other discussion? Seeing none, all 20 those in favor of amending Condition No. 2, 2.1 signify by saying aye. 22 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye. 23 CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed? 2.4 Okay. Thank you. We'll mark it 6-0, 25 with three excusals, Members Mateo, Anderson,

1	and Johnson.	
2	VOTE: AYES:	Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
3		Medeiros, Victorino,
4		Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
5		Chair Molina.
6	NOES:	None.
7	EXC.:	Councilmembers Anderson,
8		Johnson, and Mateo.
9	ABSENT:	None.
10	ABSTAIN:	None.
11	MOTION CARRIED	
12	ACTION: APPROVE amen	dment to the main motion.
13	CHAIR MOLINA: Any other	considerations before the
14	Chair calls for th	e bill the vote for the
15	bill as amended?	
16	Okay. Seei	ng none, Chair will call for
17	the vote for a bil	l for an ordinance to change
18	zoning from Ag. Di	strict to M-2 Heavy Industrial
19	District.	
20	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Ch	airman?
21	CHAIR MOLINA: Yes, sir,	Mr. Hokama?
22	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I	apologize.
23	CHAIR MOLINA: No.	
24	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: An	d I just wanted to bring up
25	one point for the	Committee's consideration

because this is how I understand it in my
discussions with Public Works through its Deputy
Director Mr. Miyamoto. You know, we had -this, we talked about traffic impact fees, and
its relationship to projects on the State's
STIP. My understanding is that this project
would not be part of what would be impacted or
be part of the impact fee program at this time,
as we've understood how the current proposal is
being considered.

And, so, I just want that straight, so that down the road, if someone says, "Shouldn't this be part of this assessment?" again, the Deputy Director is here, but I would just state, it is my understanding, for the record, that this project would not be part of consideration under that new traffic impact fee proposal, which is what it is at this time, a proposal, Chairman.

CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Member Hokama, and I guess

that can be confirmed by the Planning Department
as well. Am I correct, Mr. Yoshida or

Ms. Loudermilk?

24 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

25 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you.

1	All right, Members. Seeing no other
2	considerations, the Chair will call for the vote
3	on the bill as amended. All those in favor,
4	signify by saying aye?
5	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
6	CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed?
7	Thank you. The Chair will mark it $6-0$,
8	with three excusals, Mateo, Anderson, and
9	Johnson.
10	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
11	Medeiros, Victorino,
12	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
13	Chair Molina.
14	NOES: None.
15	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
16	Johnson, and Mateo.
17	ABSENT: None.
18	ABSTAIN: None.
19	MOTION CARRIED
20	ACTION: Recommending FIRST READING of revised
21	proposal bill (9.811-acre property); and
22	RECORDATION of unilateral agreement.
23	CHAIR MOLINA: We're almost there, Members. We have
24	two more bills to consider, which is "A BILL FOR
25	AN ORDINANCE TO CHANGE ZONING FROM M-1 LIGHT

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT TO M-2 HEAVY INDUSTRIAL

DISTRICT (CONDITIONAL ZONING) FOR APPROXIMATELY

58,091 SQUARE FEET SITUATED AT PUUNENE, MAUI,

HAWAII." The purpose of the revised proposed

bill is to grant S&F's request to change the

zoning for the 58,091-square-foot property from

M-1 Light Industrial District to M-2 Heavy

Industrial District to accommodate heavy

industrial uses.

And if there are no objections, the Chair will read the last bill. The Chair's intent is to incorporate in one motion, both bills. The last bill is "A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE TO CHANGE ZONING FROM M-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT TO M-2 HEAVY INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT (CONDITIONAL ZONING)

FOR APPROXIMATELY 43,810 SQUARE FEET SITUATED AT PUUNENE, MAUI, HAWAII." The purpose of the revised proposed bill is to grant S&F's request to change the zoning for the 43,810-square-foot property from M-1 Light Industrial District to M-2 Heavy Industrial District to accommodate heavy industrial uses.

Is there a motion?

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: So moved.

25 | VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Second.

1 CHAIR MOLINA: It's moved by Member Victorino. 2 Seconded by Member Pontanilla. 3 Any discussion, Members? COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman? 4 5 CHAIR MOLINA: Mr. Hokama? 6 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I would ask if you'd allow a 7 motion to include all three of my additional 8 conditions for the first bill to be part of the second and third bill, if you would consider a 10 motion to amend --11 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 12 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: -- to proceed in that manner? 1.3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. The motion has been made to 14 incorporate Member Hokama's into these two 1.5 bills; am I correct, Mr. Hokama? 16 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Yes, sir. 17 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 18 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Second. 19 MS. NAKATA: Mr. Chair? 2.0 CHAIR MOLINA: Staff? 2.1 MS. NAKATA: Staff is clear with respect to new 22 conditions, 4 and 5, which would, I guess, be 23 new conditions 3 and 4 to these bills, but there 2.4 is not a landscape planting plan condition on 25 these two Change in Zoning bills.

CHAIR MOLINA: Chairman Hokama? 1 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I would recommend, then, that we 3 then recreate a fifth condition. 4 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. 5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: So that we can place that 6 landscaping condition in its proper place. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okav. 8 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And that would be my -- part of 9 my motion to amend, Chairman. 10 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Members, you heard the proposal to add a fifth condition to address Member 11 Hokama's concern. Is there a second? 12 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Second. 14 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Now, hang on, Members, you know 1.5 what? I think we had a motion on the floor, and 16 a second. 17 Staff, do we need to... 18 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Those needs to be clarified, 19 Chairman. 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. It was already -- okay. All 2.1 right. 22 Okay, Members, any discussion? 23 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: No. 24 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Seeing none, all those in favor, 25 signify by saying aye.

1 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIR MOLINA: All those oppose? 3 Okay. The motion passes. Okay. We have a count of 6-0, with three excusals, Mateo, 4 5 Anderson, and Johnson. 6 VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama, 7 Medeiros, Victorino, 8 Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and Chair Molina. 9 10 NOES: None. Councilmembers Anderson, 11 EXC.: 12 Johnson, and Mateo. 1.3 ABSENT: None. 14 ABSTAIN: None. MOTION CARRIED 1.5 ACTION: APPROVE amendment to the main motion. 16 17 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. We are back to the bills as 18 amended. Any other discussion? 19 Seeing none, all those in favor, signify 20 by saying aye. 2.1 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye. 22 CHAIR MOLINA: All those opposed? Thank you. The last two bills have been 23 2.4 passed by a vote of 6 to nothing. Three 25 excusals, Mateo, Anderson, and Johnson.

1	And, Staff, would at this point, do we
2	need to consider any filing to be incorporated
3	into the motions? Okay, Members, we'll
4	incorporate filing into the motion that just
5	passed as well. Okay. Any objections?
6	COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections.
7	VOTE: AYES: Councilmembers Baisa, Hokama,
8	Medeiros, Victorino,
9	Vice-Chair Pontanilla, and
10	Chair Molina.
11	NOES: None.
12	EXC.: Councilmembers Anderson,
13	Johnson, and Mateo.
14	ABSENT: None.
15	ABSTAIN: None.
16	MOTION CARRIED
17	ACTION: Recommending FIRST READING of revised
18	proposed bills (58,091-square-foot property
19	<pre>and 43,810-square-foot property);</pre>
20	RECORDATION of unilateral agreements; and
21	FILING of the communication.
22	CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you very much, Members. I
23	believe that concludes matter for Land Use Item
24	No. 8.
25	We have one more item, Members, and that

1 is LU-3, a Change in Zoning for the Waihee Mauka 2. Project. We have the Applicant's representative 3 here, and we will be setting the Chambers up for a brief presentation, so we will take a recess. 4 5 The Land Use Meeting of August 1st is now in 6 recess. (Gavel). 7 RECESS: 3:27 p.m. 8 RECONVENE: 3:30 p.m. 9 ITEM NO. 3: CHANGE IN ZONING FOR WAIHEE MAUKA PROJECT 10 (C.C. No. 06-314)11 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). Land Use Committee meeting for August 1st, 2007 is now back in session. 12 1.3 Members, we are on Land Use Item 3, which 14 is a proposed Change in Zoning for the Waihee 1.5 Mauka Project. To give us a presentation will 16 be the Department of Planning, Ms. Robyn 17 Loudermilk. 18 (Computer-generated presentation.) 19 MS. LOUDERMILK: Good afternoon, Members. This is an 20 application for a Change in Zoning by RDD, 2.1 Limited Liability Corporation. The request is a 22 Change in Zoning from the Agricultural District 23 to the Open Space District to bring the property 24 in compliance with the Open Space Designation on 25 the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan Land Use Map.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

Excuse me. This property will then be part of a proposed agricultural subdivision. No development is proposed for the property.

The project location is in the
Waihee-Waiehu area, mauka of Kahekili Highway.

I apologize, this is a photo of the property, on
more on the left-hand side, there's some black
lines going up, that's the property. To the
south is Kahekili Highway and the Waihee-Kou, a
Hawaiian Homes subdivision.

This is the northern portion of the property. As you can see, it's currently being utilized as a macadamia nut orchards.

And right next door to the property is an agricultural processing plant. And so that's some of the processing that was occurring, that they had to do with the macadamia nuts that were being harvested.

Right now, the property is leased to

Kapuna Ranch, and the property is an existing

macadamia orchard. The existing natural

drainage way that comprises the Open Space

designation is associated with Kope Gulch, and

there are no proposed changes to the existing

use of the property.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

Currently, it's in the State Land Use
Agricultural District, designated Open Space in
the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan, and zoned
Agriculture, and it is located outside of the
Special Management Area.

The map that we have to the left identifies the Open Space designation of the property, and this designation follows the 100-and 500-year flood areas that were identified in the Flood Insurance Rate Maps issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

There are two categories of Open Space.

We have Open Space 1, which is passive, and Open Space 2, which is active.

Passive Open Space is mainly for sensitive ecological resources and endangered species habitats. So the focus is more on the natural and cultural resources for their preservation.

The Active Open Space identifies scenic and recreational resources, hazardous areas, drainage ways, and open space greenbelts that will provide visual relief and can also buffer sensitive ecological resources or agriculture activities from urbanized areas.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

What I've listed is the identified permitted uses within the O-2 Open Space, so I believe this is either the first or second application coming before this body, to the -- this newer designation. Permitted uses are agriculture, Native Hawaiian traditional and customary uses, outdoor recreation, park, passive land use, passive recreation, restoration of cultural sites. And on the right-hand side, they're identified special conditions associated with -- with these permitted uses.

Next, we have accessory uses and special uses that are identified. As accessory uses, we have assembly areas. Special uses allowed in the 0-2 Open Space District is agriculture, which would allow for processing on site, cemetery, outdoor recreation, a more intensified use. A resource extraction, which in this case is limited to the island of Lanai, and then structures. So we've identified the types of structures that would be allowed under the Special Use provisions.

Outstanding issues on this project dealt with potential for traffic, historic and

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

cultural resources. Regarding the traffic assessment, it was based upon the most intensive use allowed by the zoning district, based upon the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation for a park. The counts were done in July 2005. We see up there, there's a lot of vehicles going through this particular area in the morning and in the evening.

The existing access to the properties via Kahekili Highway there is no separate turn lanes to enter the property. Access from the property to the highway is controlled by a stop sign.

The level of service at the entrance of the property would be C. Traffic along the highway would operate at a Level of Service A. Level of Service going north of the property will be continued to operate at E, with or without the development of the property. Then lastly, the DOT Highways Division reviewed the application and verbally commented that they had no objections to the proposed action.

Regarding archeological, historic, and cultural resources, the archeological and cultural assessment was done on the property.

Two sites have been identified on the property.

These consist of traditional agricultural terraces, as well as cultural materials.

On the right-hand side, top and bottom, are the best photos that we could find at that particular time to identify the resources. And they are located in the portion of the property in which minimal or no orchard growing is occurring. So this is part of the scattered cultural remains.

The DLNR initially commented that no action be taken until an archeological inventory survey has been done. And then they revised their comments to a no effect, once it was relayed that there will be no changes on this particular property resulting from the Change in Zoning.

Then lastly, questions, and I'll defer that till we get back to the...

CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Loudermilk.

Okay, Members, we shall take a brief recess and lift up the screens and begin our discussion on Land Use Item No. 3. Land Use Meeting for August 1st, is in recess. (Gavel).

RECESS: 3:37 p.m.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

RECONVENE: 3:38 p.m.

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). Land Use Committee Meeting for August 1st, 2007 is now back in session. We are discussing Land Use Item No. 3, which is a Change in Zoning for the Waihee Mauka Project, and it relates to County Communication

No. 06-314, from the Planning Director, transmitting a proposed bill to grant a request from RDD, LLC, for a Change in Zoning from Ag.

District to OS-2 Open Space District for the Waihee Mauka Project on approximately

17.559 acres along Kahekili Highway in Waihee, Maui.

A correspondence dated January 19, 2007, from the Corporation Counsel's office, transmitting a revised proposed bill entitled, "A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE TO CHANGE ZONING FROM AG. DISTRICT TO OS-2 OPEN SPACE DISTRICT FOR PROPERTY SITUATED AT WAIHEE MAUKA" -- excuse me, "WAIHEE, MAUI, HAWAII." The purpose of the revised proposed bill is to grant the request for the subject property, which would allow for the development of a 14-lot agricultural subdivision on the parcel.

Before we begin the question-and-answer session, Members, Committee Secretary, have we

1 had any sign-ups for the item? 2 MS. FRIAS: No, Mr. Chair. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. If there are no objections, the 4 Chair will close public testimony for Land Use 5 Item No. 3. 6 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you very much. Public 8 testimony is closed on that item. 9 Okay, Members, you have heard the 10 presentation from the Planning Department. 11 floor is now open for questions, and, by the 12 way, we do have the representative from the 1.3 Applicant, Mr. Vince Bagoyo, available for your 14 questions as well. Committee Members, the floor 1.5 is now open. 16 Mr. Hokama? 17 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, maybe for the 18 Department, when the -- our Chairman read that 19 this bill is to grant -- is requesting Ag. to 20 Open Space, which would allow for the 2.1 development of a 14-lot agricultural subdivision 22 on the parcel, can you -- I'm trying to have an 23 understanding --24 MS. LOUDERMILK: I'll -- I'll... 25 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: -- of this description.

1 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes, I'll clarify. The -- the 2. property before you is the portion of a larger 3 parcel owned by the Applicant, in which they 4 initially came in to request subdivision into 14 5 lots. Based upon the strict standards of Title 6 18, the Subdivision Code, the Land Use 7 designations for the properties have to be like 8 for like...for example, the Open Space is 9 designated on the Community Plan. In order for 10 that portion to be subdivided, it would have to 11 be rezoned Open Space 2. This Open Space portion would be one of 14 lots that could be 12 1.3 created, should the Applicant come back in for 14 subdivision of the property. So this would be 1.5 one of 14, and this is only a portion of a 16 larger lot, in which the Applicant owns in the 17 area. So I do see how the title can be 18 misleading in that sense. 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Chairman, if you please... 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Proceed, Mr. Hokama. 2.1 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you. 22 So as we look at Exhibit 16 that is part 23 of the Committee's -- the Department's submittal 2.4 to the Committee, the 14-lot subdivision is on 25 either side of the Open Space proposal; is that

1 correct? 2 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes, and it includes that property in 3 Open Space. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. So my question is, one, 4 5 was this whole parcel subdivided under the 6 current agricultural scale and sliding rule 7 ordinance? MS. LOUDERMILK: It was not even allowed to be 8 9 processed because the State Land Use District in 10 the community plan and the zoning did not allow for the subdivision to occur because... 11 12 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: No, the original... 1.3 MS. LOUDERMILK: The original... 14 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: This original... 15 MS. LOUDERMILK: This original one, yes, would have 16 been under the existing agricultural zoning 17 ordinance and subdivision. They would be 18 subject to the provisions of ... 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay, 'cause what I'm trying 20 to --2.1 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah. 22 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: -- find out, Ms. Loudermilk, and 23 the Planning Department, if this has already 2.4 gone through a subdivision, wants to create this 25 big parcel, then our understanding of the

1 lawyers, they cannot come back for additional 2 subdivision. 3 MS. LOUDERMILK: Clarification. My understanding that 4 this property has not been subject to any 5 previous subdivision, subject to the 6 agricultural zoning ordinance. 7 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. And, so, I'm still trying 8 to understand how this Open Space will allow the development of potentially 14 more lots. 10 Because under our Code, part of the reason of 11 Open Space was to create a buffer between 12 urbanization and agriculture. This is trying to 1.3 segregate agriculture with agriculture. 14 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes, in addition to the additional 15 identification of areas for drainage, natural 16 resource area, so, yes, that is one of those. 17 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Isn't this the same project that 18 the community was not supportive of, earlier? 19 MS. LOUDERMILK: I'm not aware of any previous activity 20 up here. Maybe I can ask -- I have Vince Bagoyo 2.1 here. He might be able to answer the question 22 about was this a development that the community 23 had reservations about. I'm not aware at this 24 point in time, but I... 25 CHAIR MOLINA: Excuse me. Mr. Hokama, would you want

1 the Applicant's representative to respond to 2 your question? 3 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: I would like to go through the 4 Departments before we request the Applicant's 5 representative to respond to questions, 6 Chairman. 7 CHAIR MOLINA: Continue, Mr. Hokama. 8 Mr. Bagoyo, you may have a seat, yeah? 9 At a later point, we'll call you up. 10 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. So the Department, the 11 Planning Department is not aware of community 12 concerns regarding... 1.3 MS. LOUDERMILK: No. In fact, we have received two 14 letters in support. 1.5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. But wasn't it mentioned 16 earlier to this Committee, that this was 17 resubmitted because the original one was declined? 18 19 MS. LOUDERMILK: As of right now, there is no 20 subdivision application in. This action is 2.1 result of a subdivision application which was 22 denied, based on this portion of the property 23 not meeting the Community Plan designation. So 2.4 at this point in time, I do not believe there is 25 a new request for subdivision at this point in

1 time. This is a result of a request that was previously denied because it did not meet the 3 Community Plan land use designation. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Have you had a chance to review 4 5 the letter from Mr. Clyde Namu`o, Office of 6 Hawaiian Affairs? Because they gave a very 7 definitive response to the request of this 8 application, and they questioned why we are even 9 considering this. 10 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. Yes, the Department did, and we 11 weighed that against the response that we got from the State Historic Preservation Division in 12 1.3 relation to the cultural resources on the 14 property. So it was a balance, and... 1.5 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Well, their more point is that 16 the purpose can be met under the current 17 agriculture designation. 18 MS. LOUDERMILK: That is not correct. 19 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And that is not correct? 2.0 MS. LOUDERMILK: That is not correct. For the 2.1 subdivision. Should the... 22 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Regarding use, though? 23 MS. LOUDERMILK: Regarding use, the current zoning does 2.4 allow for the agricultural use. 25 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: So on that point, they're

1 correct? 2 MS. LOUDERMILK: That point, they are correct, that the 3 existing zoning does allow for the existing use. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Okay. Is the current acreage 4 5 under agricultural cultivation? 6 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes, it is. 7 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And that... 8 MS. LOUDERMILK: Macadamia orchards. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: It's macadamia orchard. 9 10 MS. LOUDERMILK: And it's -- it's an active orchard. 11 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Did our Planning Commission 12 consider a condition that those agricul- -- some 1.3 type of agriculture activity must continue on 14 the land? 15 MS. LOUDERMILK: No. For this particular reason, 16 agricultural use is an outright permitted use 17 on -- in the 0-2 Open Space. And the Open Space 18 also allows for preservation and restoration of 19 the cultural sites on the property that is not 20 allowed in the State -- in the County 2.1 Agricultural Zoning District. And lastly, what 22 they were most impressed with, is that property 23 zoned Open Space, you can't build a house on it. 2.4 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Council was very deliberate in 25 ensuring that that does not squeak through.

MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah. So the benefits of the -- so 1 2. that's -- that was the benefits of the Open 3 Space designation on this particular portion that -- that the -- that the Commission did 4 5 deliberate. There was a lot of deliberations, 6 again, regarding the larger subdivision issue. 7 And in terms of what is there now and what is --8 was being requested, the Commission determined that this is something that they would recommend 10 to this body for consideration for approval. COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: When the Commission discussed 11 12 the area of transportation and potential roadway 1.3 impacts, is it the Department's understanding 14 should Hale Mua move forward, how it impacts 1.5 level of service for the general community 16 entering and leaving this project area? 17 MS. LOUDERMILK: In discussion with the State 18 Department of Transportation Highways Division, 19 Hale Mua -- Hale Mua did not emerge at that 20 time. The... 2.1 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And I just bring it up 22 because --23 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah. 2.4 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: -- there are -- my understanding 25 of the project we approved, was that there was

1 three major transportation improvements. lower -- the lower route, which would go through 2. 3 Hawaiian Homes, Mr. Chairman, and then Waiehu Beach Road area. 4 5 MS. LOUDERMILK: Okay. 6 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: The upper road, which would 7 eventually go and enter into Piihana, Happy 8 Valley. And then third, the road that is to connect by a new bridge to connect to Wailuku 10 Industrial is another reliever of traffic 11 pressure. 12 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah. 1.3 COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: So for me, you know, I'm 14 thinking maybe I would only agree if those three 1.5 improvements are in place before I even consider 16 this. 17 Thank you, Chairman. 18 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Member Hokama. 19 Committee Members, any other questions 20 for the Department? Mr. Pontanilla. 2.1 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Thank you. And I asked this 22 question when the House Committee was here on 23 Maui, in regards to the traffic coming from 2.4 Waihee and going to Waihee. Because we have lot 25 of developments by Department of Hawaiian

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

Homelands, that, you know, what is taking into consideration as far as the traffic going in and out, out of Waihee. As Mr. Hokama had pointed out, when Hale Mua came about, that there were three areas that was considered in and out for Waihee Valley, and Mr. Hokama mentioned the existing Waiehu Beach Road, Kahekili Highway, and the proposed connection up to Lower Main going into Waiale Drive.

My question is that the -- the information that you provided on the overhead, was Department of Hawaiian Home Land's vehicle traffic considered in any of the studies that, you know, going from and coming out of Waihee Valley?

MS. LOUDERMILK: Well, the existing -- yes. The
existing traffic counts that occurred included
the existing traffic that goes through the
Hawaiian Homes area. In terms of the
extrapolation, that was not required by State
DOT for Kahekili Highway. Their concern was
more not with the Change in Zoning. Their
concern was more with, should the subdivision be
approved, then that would be the time in which
they could trigger their requirements and

which -- which are separate from the County. 1 So, yes, Hawaiian Homes was considered in the 3 initial count. 4 Secondly, in terms of further details, 5 State DOT did not require that. It did not 6 warrant a more extensive study. 7 And then thirdly, the action of the 8 Change in Zoning itself was not as much of a concern for them versus should the actual 10 subdivision go forward, and at that point, that 11 would be when they would make their 12 determination in relation to Kahekili Highway. 1.3 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Long answer. No solution. 14 MS. LOUDERMILK: Um -- yeah. Yeah. 15 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Thank you very much. Do you --16 does the Department know of any more 17 developments being considered by Department of 18 Hawaiian Home Lands in this particular area? 19 MS. LOUDERMILK: At this point, no. That would be 20 their last portion of property that they have at 2.1 this point in time. We are not aware of any 22 potential purchases for property in that 23 particular area. 2.4 VICE-CHAIR PONTANILLA: Thank you. 25 Thank you, Chair.

1 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Pontanilla. Mr. Victorino? 2 3 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yes. Just to add on or to 4 continue on the line of questioning on traffic, 5 my first question is, you stated that this study 6 was done in July of 2005? 7 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. 8 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Okay. And if I'm not 9 mistaken, most July of any year is summer 10 months? 11 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yes. 12 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Okay. So you know where my 1.3 question is going. Why July, and not when the 14 peak traffic times when during school, when 1.5 school is on, because I'm very familiar with the 16 area, I live in the area, and I can tell you, 17 there is a tremendous difference, and it's 18 changing very radically. Right now, the traffic 19 yes...today, if you went there today, was 20 immensely backed up. 2.1 MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah. I cannot answer that. 22 COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Yeah. So I'm curious when 23 you say no impact and -- I don't see that being 24 a reality. 25 CHAIR MOLINA: Ms. Loudermilk?

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.4

MS. LOUDERMILK: Yeah, in term -- I understand in terms of there is an impact. Based -- unfortunately, based upon the criteria that the State DOT follows for their roadway, that is what their determination was, to -- to the Department. But we realize that it's a regional issue and, you know, I cannot speak for the DOT other than saying that those -- those were their comments based upon what they've required for, and specifically on Kahekili Highway in this particular instance.

COUNCILMEMBER VICTORINO: Unfortunately, I don't like when big brother comes along, and has been in the past and probably in the future, telling us what is right and wrong, because they're not there to be impacted.

about, and I concur with Council Chair Hokama, is that something like this or any other major project, other than the Hawaiian Homes because we are bound to do that, should not come forward until we get that extension, which will go into actually the mill area, not the industrial area, which will come across by Wai- -- Waiale, thank you, Waiale, and then, you know, which then

2.

1.3

1.5

2.1

breaks up the traffic that is right now presently backing up, in Happy Valley, all the way down Market Street because of that congestion there.

So, any projects coming up now in that area already that hasn't been approved, I think this Committee would have a hard time, I mean, at least from my side, because I know of the traffic impact, not only talking schools and all the other things, but the traffic impact is so bad right now. It takes people 25 to 30 minutes to come from Kahekili, by the graveyard, to get into Wailuku town both ways because of the backup coming out of all these homes.

So when you say, oh, when this, this, this statement is made not -- no major impact, I beg to differ with anybody, and I'd like them to come back and make a real thorough study, and not in July, but do it when September, August, when school is back into session, which is really a reality check, as far as traffic is concerned. Thank you.

And I'm not personally against you. It's just that I'm not really happy with what this, this whole study has come up with.

1 MS. LOUDERMILK: Thank you. CHAIR MOLINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Victorino. 3 Committee Members, any other questions 4 for the Department? Mr. Medeiros? 5 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Mahalo, Mr. Chair. 6 What is the intent of the Applicant, in 7 the Change in Zoning? Because in -- what is 8 this, OS, Open Space 2, which is active, are 9 buildings allowed? 10 MS. LOUDERMILK: Only in relation to agricultural or recreational facilities. The intent for this 11 12 particular portion of the property is for it to 1.3 remain inactive agriculture, which it is right 14 now, it's one of the remnants of the macadamia 1.5 orchards that was located in that region. And -- so for that portion, the intent is for it 16 17 to remain in its existing use. 18 As part of the larger property, should 19 the Change in Zoning be granted, it would allow 20 the Applicant then to submit a subdivision 2.1 application to the County of Maui, for the 14-lot subdivision, which is on either side of 22 23 that piece of property. 2.4 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: So which is more restrictive, 25 Agriculture or Open Space?

MS. LOUDERMILK: I think it would depend on what you want to restrict.

1.3

2.1

2.4

COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: As far as development of homes or structures?

MS. LOUDERMILK: Open -- In terms of Open Space, the -the key advantage for Open Space is that it does
not -- it allows for active agricultural uses,
associated uses, structures, recreational uses.
And the only types of structures allowed are
related to the processing. You cannot have a
house on the property. So I think in terms
of -- that's the largest restriction in terms of
you can do your agriculture on the property, but
you cannot have the house on the property,
whereas if you're in the Agricultural Zoning
District, you're -- it's questionable the types
of structures that you are allowed in relation
to the use, as well as it does allow for
housing.

So if housing is the concern, the Open Space is the more restrictive, but still allows the flexibility to do all of the agricultural operations, to provide open space for natural resources and hazards that we would not necessarily want to have developed.

1 In this particular case, it was identified as a 100- and 500-year flood area, 2 3 and it was deemed significant enough to identify 4 that open space to keep -- to ensure, further 5 ensure that no housing or urban-type development 6 will occur. 7 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: So what is the possible 8 advantage to the Applicant, to possibly have it subdivided? 9 10 CHAIR MOLINA: Excuse me, Mr. Medeiros and 11 Ms. Loudermilk, the Chair would like to just 12 make a quick announcement. 1.3 First of all, turn off your cell phones 14 and pagers, please. I don't like the disruption 1.5 in our Committee meeting here. 16 And, Mr. Medeiros, at the conclusion, 17 when you get a response from Ms. Loudermilk, the 18 Chair will be calling for a brief recess. 19 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Okay. 20 CHAIR MOLINA: Ms. Loudermilk, you may respond to 2.1 Mr. Medeiros' question. 22 MS. LOUDERMILK: I believe the intent, should the 23 Change in Zoning would be granted, would be to 2.4 subdivide the property and sell the property or 25 lease the property out as an ag. subdivision.

1 COUNCILMEMBER MEDEIROS: Thank you very much. 2 Thank you, Chair. 3 CHAIR MOLINA: Thank you, Mr. Medeiros. The Chair is 4 going to call for a brief recess. The 5 August 1st, 2007 Land Use Committee Meeting is 6 in recess. (Gavel). 7 RECESS: 4:04 p.m. 8 RECONVENE: 4:15 p.m. 9 CHAIR MOLINA: (Gavel). The Land use Committee meeting 10 of August 1, 2007, is now back in session. 11 Members, we left off on Land Use Item No. 3, 12 which is a proposed Change in Zoning for Waihee 1.3 Mauka project. The Chair would like to offer a 14 recommendation. In light of the time 1.5 considerations and other matters as well as some 16 issues that have been brought up by Members, I 17 think it's in the best interest at this time 18 that we defer Land Use Item No. 3 for discussion 19 on another meeting date. Any objections? 20 COUNCIL MEMBERS: No objections. 2.1 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay, Land Use Item 3 is now deferred. 22 ACTION: DEFER pending further discussion. 23 CHAIR MOLINA: Okay, so that concludes the agenda for 2.4 our Land Use meeting of August 1, 2007. Any 25 announcements, Members? Seeing none. It is

```
4:15. This Land Use meeting for August 1, 2007
 1
 2
            is now adjourned. (Gavel).
 3
    ADJOURN: 4:17 p.m.
 4
                              -000-
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)
3) SS,
4	CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
5	
6	I, Elsie Terada, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter for the State of Hawaii, hereby certify
8	that the proceedings were taken down by me in
9	machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form under my supervision; that the
11	foregoing represents to the best of my ability, a
12	true and correct transcript of the proceedings had
13	in the foregoing matter.
14	I further certify that I am not attorney for
15	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way concerned
16	with the cause.
17	DATED this 24th day of August, 2007, in
18	Honolulu, Hawaii.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Eluitande
24	ELSIE TERADA, CSR NO. 437 Notary Public, State of Hawaii
25	My Commission Expires: 4-07-2010