

**URBAN DESIGN REVIEW BOARD  
REGULAR MEETING  
NOVEMBER 18, 2008**

*Approved 01-06-09*

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular meeting of the Urban Design Review Board (Board) was called to order by Chair Demetrios Callinicos, at approximately 9:10 a.m., Tuesday, November 18, 2008, in the Planning Department Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Island of Maui.

Mr. Demetrios Callinicos: We're going to start this meeting now, so we'll call the meeting to order. Thank you.

**B. ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL OF THE NOVEMBER 3, 2008 MEETING MINUTES**

Mr. Callinicos: All right, the first item that we'll attend to this morning is the approval of the minutes of the meeting of November 3<sup>rd</sup>, which was mailed out to everybody. Did everybody have a chance to read them? Any comments? Any corrections? Thank you. Okay, that will be approved administratively.

**The November 3, 2008 meeting minutes were administratively approved as presented.**

**C. COMMUNICATIONS**

- 1. MR. JEFFREY S. HUNT, Planning Director requesting comments on the revised proposed design changes to the previously approved plans by ELLEAIR HAWAII, INC. for the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project at TMK: 3-7-003: 007 and 009, Kahului, Island of Maui. (SM1 2001/0012) (Joe Prutch)**

**The Board may provide its comments on the revised design plans.**

Mr. Callinicos: We'll move on to communications. The first item under communications this morning – we've only got one – the item is Mr. Jeffrey S. Hunt, Planning Director, requesting comments on the revised proposed design changes to the previously approved plans by Elleair Hawaii, Inc – I apologize for the pronouncing of that name – for the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project at TMK: 3-7-003:007 and 009, in Kahului, Island of Maui. And Joe, do you want to take it from here? Thank you.

Mr. Joe Prutch: Yes. Good morning. Thank you. This is an application for the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project. It was previously approved in January of 2002, as

SM1 2001-12. The applicant has come up with a revision for the north facing side, facing Kaahumanu Avenue. Essentially removing the lanais and replacing them with windows and some architectural design work to kind of try to keep the look of what it looked like from the street with lanais. But I'll let the applicant go ahead and go forward with their presentation so they can show you what their change is. Thank you.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you.

Mr. Mark Roy: Thank you Joe. Good morning Chair, Vice-Chair, Members of the Urban Design Review Board. We have a brief power point presentation that we'd like to –

Mr. Callinicos: Your name please for the record. State your name for the record.

Mr. Roy: My name is Mark Roy with Munekiyo & Hiraga. So if it's okay with the Chair, we'd like to turn the lights down now.

Mr. Callinicos: Yes, go ahead.

Mr. Roy: Thank you. We'll just keep the lights on for the Board Members as I know a handout was distributed to you all ahead of this meeting, so you may just want to refer to it as we go through the presentation. As I noted just now, my name is Mark Roy with Munekiyo & Hiraga. I'm here today as part of the project team representing the owner of the Maui Beach/Maui Palm Hotel property Elleair Hawaii. Joining me, and present in the audience this morning is Hiroaki Taniguchi of Elleair Hawaii; Mark Stockwell, also of Elleair Hawaii; and Henry Inouye of IM International. Henry is the architect for the Maui Palms Redevelopment Project.

The Maui Beach/Maui Palms Hotel properties as I'm sure most of you know is located in Central Kahului on the edge of Kahului Harbor. The property is bordered by Kaahumanu Avenue to the south; Kahului Beach Road to the west; Maui Seaside Hotel to the east; and as I just noted Kahului Harbor to the north. As you see here, on the left side, denoted by A, this is the existing Maui Beach Hotel; B denotes the former Maui Palms Hotel; and you see this thin sliver of white space here, it's actually a Federally owned United States Coast Guard parcel that sits in the middle of the property.

The small piece of land contains a navigational light aid that is used by vessels operating in and out of the harbor. Access to the light aid is currently provided on a 24/7 basis by an easement across the Maui Beach property.

This 1997 aerial photo gives an idea of what the property looked like over 10-years ago when the Maui Beach Hotel and Maui Palms Hotel were both in operation. The Maui Palms Hotel is situated to the left of the yellow box here. The former Maui Palms Hotel can be seen within the yellow box here. The yellow box is just for the member's attention is the

approximate limits of the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project site.

The SMA Use Permit for the redevelopment of the Maui Palms Hotel was approved by the Maui Planning Commission in 2002. The scope of the project included demolition of the old Maui Palms Hotel structures and construction of a new four-story hotel wing and lobby building. The intent of the new wing is to provide additional rooms to the existing Maui Beach Hotel, at rates conducive more to business travelers and local families staying on Maui. Other related improvements approved back in 2002 included modifications to the existing Maui Beach Hotel to provide a physical connection to the new lobby and hotel wing, construction of an outdoor swimming pool area, installation of a wedding gazebo, and also a relocation of the access paths to the US Coastal Guard parcel that sits in the middle of the property.

Since SMA approval, some design revisions has been made to the project. These design changes received approval from the Urban Design Review Board in 2004, and included the use of a new staggered zig-zagged footprint design for the new hotel wing. Whereas previous plans has utilized a regular block foundation design. Lanais were also added on floors two and three of the mauka side of the new hotel wing. Whereas previous plans had incorporated lanais on just the ground of the fourth floor of the building. Other revisions contained in the 2004 approval include modifications to the location of the wedding gazebo and various other minor interior and exterior alterations as noted on the slide.

This slide reflects the current site plan for the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project as approved in 2002, and as revised in 2004. Here with the laser pointer we have existing Maui Beach Hotel structures attached to the new staggered hotel wing. And both of these structures are connected by a single lobby connection. Of note also is the realigned Coast Guard access easement between the existing paved parking lot and the US Coast Guard navigational aid. So it goes around the new hotel wing now to provide 24/7 access to the Coast Guard.

Since receiving its SMA Permit in 2002, Elleair Hawaii has been working on a number of coordination tasks to facilitate the implementation of the project. The main project master summarized on this slide, all but one of the old Maui Palms Hotel buildings have now been demolished in preparation for construction. Construction documents for the new structures were completed in 2006. Building permit applications have since been submitted and are currently under review by the County. In order to demolish the last remaining Maui Palms Hotel building, referred to as Building K, the owners were required to obtain a shoreline setback variance from the County. The variance from the shoreline rules was required because a small portion of the building falls within the shoreline setback area of the property. The variance was approved in 2007, and demolition permits for this building are now in the process of being coordinated by the owner.

Elleair Hawaii is also in the midst of coordinating the relocation of the access path to the

US Coast Guard parcel to ensure the continual access is provided to the navigational light aid. I think it is important to note at this point that the relocation of the access path needs to be completed before the foundation work for the new hotel can be initiated. The requirement is for the Coast Guard to have 24/7 access to the navigational light aid. Apologizes for this photo being relatively dark, but this is a 2007 photo showing the current condition of the site today which has the existing paved parking lot, Building K, along this side of the yellow box. But the rest of the site is a grassed area at present. This is a ground level shot which is looking out towards the harbor. For reference purposes here, Building K is on the left side of the photo. This is looking out towards the harbor.

The reason Elleair Hawaii is here today is to present some further design refinements that have been completed as part of a value engineering process for the Maui Palms Hotel Redevelopment Project. Our present current design of the new hotel shows lanais on all four floors, on both the makai and mauka sides of the building. Elleair is seeking to revise these plans to remove the lanais from all floors on the mauka – that's the Kaahumanu Avenue facing side of the new hotel wing. The lanais would therefore be retained on all floors on the makai side of the building. That is the side of the building – the side of the new hotel wing that looks out across the harbor. In place of lanais on the mauka side, Elleair Hawaii is proposing to use a textured paneling affect to articulate the individual appearance of rooms in the context of the overall staggered building facade.

The next couple of slides, which I believe were included in the handout that was distributed to members, is included in this presentation to provide a visual before and after interpretation to the proposal at hand. This slide taken with lanais is a before perspective and looks at the new hotel wing from across Kaahumanu Avenue. This image reflects the current plans for the project and shows lanais on all four floors of the mauka facade of the new building. Here are the lanais on all four of the floors.

The next slide here, and again, feel free to refer to your handouts. I think you may have a better image on the actually handout. We have a perspective from the same location on Kaahumanu Avenue, which shows the appearance of the new hotel wing with the use of textured paneling in place of the lanais. This after perspective illustrates how the new hotel wing would look upon implementation of the design refinements being presented to the board today. If agreeable to the Chair, at this point, I'd like to invite the project architect, Henry Inouye to give a brief overview of the textured paneling materials that are proposed to replace the lanais. We actually have a sample board, and if I may, I'd like to request at this point that maybe if you have questions for Henry Inouye that they be held until the end of the presentation.

Mr. Callinicos: Okay. That's fine.

Mr. Roy: Thank you.

Mr. Henry Inouye: Good morning. My name is Henry Inouye. I'm with IM International. We're the architect of the project. Let me add a few things that Mark explained. Actually, the overall design of the building hasn't been changed. The height of the building is the same. The overall footprint, as you see, this is the site plan that we presented the last time, 2004, and actually, this is the newest owner's drawing. Basically the layout is the same. The shape of the building is all staggered. And a number of the structures, they are still the same. And the components of the public area, the lobby area, is the same. So the only change we're proposing is removing the lanais on this side of the property. And again, I apologize that the color of the computer graphic image in the handout and even the panel, one is little bit white and one is a little bit yellowish. It's just a matter of a color adjustment of the printer. But as Mark explained, this is what is now the current approved plan, and this is what we are proposing – removing the lanais.

And as far as colors and the material, you may refer to these color boards. Actually the color and the finishes are basically the same from 2002 and 2004 that the roof, ceramic tile, green colors, and basically the general wall finish is a typical store beige color. And for this new design, we're proposing two different colors. One darker color for post and beam, and one lighter color for overall general wall color. And after removing the lanais, what we are proposing is just a window and this wainscoting wall. And I would like to bring in a little bit more of a natural feeling in this facade, which is natural wood – wood color, and natural wood – actually a grain pattern which is what these are. Actually this is aluminum panel. It's not the real wood. And as far as window frame, it's a standard, the bronze aluminum frame. But basically the overall color and the material is the same except after the lanais – removing the lanais, we're proposing this texture panel. I guess I'll give this back to Mark and he'll finish up his presentation.

Mr. Roy: Thank you Henry. As staff noted in the beginning of this morning's presentation, Elleair Hawaii is here today to respectfully request review and comment by the Urban Design Review Board on the proposed removal of the lanais from the mauka facade of the new building. With that, that concludes our presentation this morning. We would be, of course, happy at this point to answer any questions that members may have on the proposed design revisions. Thank you very much.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. At this time, we'll open it up for questions then from Boards Members. We'll start with you Susan.

Ms. Susan Liscombe: No questions. Do you have any questions?

Mr. Callinicos: Darryl?

Mr. Darryl Canady: Only one question on the landscaping. How many of the existing trees on the property are going to be there after the new construction of this building?

Mr. Inouye: We don't have our landscape architect here today, but I as I believe we tried to relocate as much as possible. And there's one big tree in the middle of the old site, the hotel site. According to the landscape architect, they investigated and it's too old to relocate. So that, that one, unfortunately, may have to go. But most of the trees that are existing, we'll incorporate in the new design to relocate it and it's going to be at the new site.

Mr. Canady: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you Darryl. Linda?

Ms. Linda Kay Okamoto: I have a couple questions. The picture that you show looks like the building is right up to the edge of the road. But then on the site plan, the parking lot is still between the road and the building?

Mr. Inouye: It's a montage computer graphics. Yes, site plan represents the exact location.

Ms. Okamoto: And then my second question are the rooms getting larger because you're taking out the lanais, or is the building getting smaller?

Mr. Inouye: The building size is still the same.

Ms. Okamoto: So the rooms would be larger to take up the lanai space.

Mr. Inouye: No. It's just removing the lanai. Actually room net area is still the same.

Ms. Okamoto: Okay.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you Linda. Anthony?

Mr. Anthony Riecke-Gonzales: I have a couple fo follow up questions, I think, clarifying what the other questions were. On, on that parking lot that's between the building and the roadway, are there trees in the parking lot? Like one tree for five stalls? And if so, what types of trees are they? Because they don't show up in your rendering, and actually if they were there, then they're probably be up to the first or second story.

Mr. Inouye: Again, I think that's probably the landscape architect can verify and answer. But as far as the code requires, everything is in the drawing, and has been submitted to the County.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: The parking lot already exists, right?

Mr. Inouye: Yes. We're adding more trees in the parking lot.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: I see. So, the trees aren't there right now, but the parking lot is?

Mr. Inouye: You mean, is the trees in the parking lot?

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Yes.

Mr. Inouye: There's only few small trees. But for our new design, we're adding more trees.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: And that was on the original SMA landscape plan that we approved?

Mr. Inouye: Uh-huh.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Then my other question is I think that where you're removing the lanais is a south facing frontage. So what will you be doing for sun shading of those windows on that south facade?

Mr. Inouye: We're providing, of course, the blinds or curtains for the rooms. We haven't gone into that detail yet, but maybe the block out kind of curtain, kind of, double.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Did you look at any other solutions that might be, you know, since you're putting in an aluminum panel along anyway, that you would put like louvers of something that would break the facade and provide sun shading for the windows?

Mr. Inouye: Yeah, we had a couple of options and presented it to the owner, and this is what the owner liked.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Okay. Those were my only questions.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. Gary?

Mr. Gary Brauner: Are the windows open?

Mr. Inouye: Yeah.

Mr. Brauner: Have you considered the energy conservation? The fact you get afternoon sun – nothing left but air-conditioning.

Mr. Inouye: The windows are all tinted, probably in the bronze color. And probably, I would propose a double glaze to save some energy.

Mr. Brauner: Thank you.

Mr. Callinicos: Russ?

Mr. Russ Riley: I have no comment at this time.

Mr. Callinicos: Hunton?

Mr. Hunton Conrad: No questions.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you all. If there no further questions from the Board, I have just one question following up on what Anthony has said about the parking lot. I don't think you've answered it. The parking lot, as we're looking at it now on this handout, is that there or is it part of – or you're going to have to add on it after having demolish the Palms Hotel?

Mr. Roy: Yes, Chair, you're correct that the parking lot is existing, and there will be no expansion of the parking lot.

Mr. Callinicos: So what we see here is there already?

Mr. Roy: Exactly.

Mr. Callinicos: And then Anthony's question about the trees, there are trees there already on this entire parking lot? Already some trees?

Mr. Inouye: Some.

Mr. Callinicos: And you're going to add to those?

Mr. Inouye: Yes.

Mr. Callinicos: Well then I have one other question and that is, and it doesn't pertain to the building, but I'm just curious. You show here this access path or road to the Coast Guard building, is that a vehicular road? Is that right? They can drive a vehicle along that road? Correct, you're showing it here on the handout.

Mr. Roy: Yes Chair, you're correct. The intent is to provide vehicular access to the Coast Guard, and it would be constructed using a grass crete material.

Mr. Callinicos: It appears to be a very narrow road. Because obviously you only need to go in one direction.

Mr. Inouye: We coordinated with the US Coast Guard, and they required a six-foot wide.

Mr. Callinicos: How do they turn their vehicles around to come out?

Mr. Inouye: Well actually that drawing doesn't show but with the newest design we provided



a turn around area which is US Coast Guard request to us.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. That answers my question. Well if there are no other questions from the Board, I'll open it up to questions from the public for public testimony. Seeing none, public testimony is closed. We'll move now to comments about this project from Board Members and the Planning Department. We'll start with you Hunton. Do you have any comments?

Mr. Conrad: My only comment is that I don't see the balconies being removed as a deterrent because it's the traffic side of the hotel anyway, so it seems to people occupying those rooms would have a tendency to keep the windows closed other than for air circulation in order to minimize sound impact from Kaahumanu Avenue.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. Russ?

Mr. Riley: I have no comment.

Mr. Callinicos: Gary?

Mr. Brauner: No comment.

Mr. Callinicos: Anthony?

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: My only comment is that I want to make it clear that the rendering here, actually the first two floors if I understood the applicant correctly would actually not be visible from this roadside view point because what you would actually see once they started to grow is the trees in the parking lot. So that certainly helps the aesthetic a lot. I do have a concern on the sun shading, and I would expect that their mechanical engineer has run their numbers and they meet the energy code, but it's still objectionable to me to have a four-story wall with windows in it on the south side that has no sun shading at all.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. Linda?

Ms. Okamoto: No further comments.

Mr. Callinicos: Darryl?

Mr. Canady: My only comments again are about the parking area, and the side without the lanais shows what looks to be a hedge on Kaahumanu Avenue. I just, having not gone through the first one, I just can't figure out the architectural plans or the landscaping plans by looking at the site plan. It just isn't clear to me what is going to be what in that parking area and where it's going to be as far as landscaping. Thank you.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. Susan?

Ms. Liscombe: No comments.

Mr. Callinicos: I'd like to make one comment again to follow up on what Anthony has been saying. I'm also concerned about the south facing wall having any form of sun shading or any way to tenuate this heat that's going to be beating down on this wall. With that being said, and I understand it's the economics that have driven you to this particular solution. As you said, it was value engineering taking the lanais probably brought this within your budget. Have you considered or has your electrical engineer or air-conditioning engineer considered these automatic switches on the windows? That if people open the windows which they will do it will turn of your air-conditioning. When you close it again, it will turn it on.

Mr. Inouye: Yes, we have that feature in our design.

Mr. Callinicos: You do have?

Mr. Inouye: Yes.

Mr. Callinicos: That's a very good idea. That's my only comment. No other comments? Okay then how about you over there Mr. Latecomer. I'm going to humiliate you as much as possible.

Mr. Prutch: Feel free. It was raining.

Mr. Callinicos: Do you have any questions or comments? I left you out of the questions. I apologize.

Mr. Prutch: No. I don't have any questions or comments.

Mr. Callinicos: Have you written down anything?

Mr. Prutch: Yes, I'm writing down all of the comments about trees in the parking and some kind of shading for energy, or some kind of energy efficiency on the south side.

Mr. Callinicos: Could you read them back to us please?

Mr. Prutch: I've got that the removal of the lanais seems to be okay because it's the traffic side of the building anyway. Trees, either street trees or trees within the parking lot will probably end blocking the first two floors for aesthetic purposes. Shading on the south side is going to be a problem, or the lack of shading for energy efficiency. More trees needed in the parking lot seems to be one of the consensus. And it sounds like the use of the auto

switch for the windows – they're going to do that so that's a good thing already. So the things I'm seeing is possible trees, additional trees in parking area, and some kind of way of either shading the south windows or another method to increase energy efficiency on that side.

Mr. Callinicos: Thank you. All right based on those comments that will go into the approval of the project, can I have a motion to approve?

Mr. Canady: I so move.

Mr. Callinicos: I have a motion to approve. Can I get a second?

Mr. Conrad: I'll second it.

Mr. Callinicos: I have a second. Any further discussion?

Mr. Canady: It's only one brief one. Again I bring up, I don't see the shrubs and is on the with lanais picture that are showing next to the road that would at least block one or two of the first. I don't see that.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: I think, Darryl, actually what the applicant is coming before us for is not that, it's the building. So I think we have to trust that when they were granted the previous SMA that was reviewed by whoever the Board Members were at that time. And since it got approval, that it would meet the minimum standards which would be one shade tree per five parking stalls.

Mr. Canady: I withdraw my comment. Thank you.

Mr. Callinicos: Except Anthony, I was on the Board at that time and I remember this project. With lanais there, there was no real need at that point in the landscaping to provide any, let's say, groves of palms in the strip here just south of the building to perhaps help to shade the building. I'm hoping that your landscape architect is going to do something along those lines. To have something along there to perhaps throw some shade on the building. All right, if there are no further comments and we have a motion to approve and we have a second, all those in favor, raise your right hand. It's approved unanimously. Thank you.

Mr. Roy: Thank you very much.

**It was moved by Mr. Darryl Canady, seconded by Mr. Hunton Conrad,  
then unanimously**

**VOTED: To approve the application as presented.**

## **D. DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

### **1. Revised Meeting Schedule for 2009.**

Mr. Callinicos: All right, we'll now move on to the Director's Report.

Mr. Clayton Yoshida: Thank you Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. I'm sure all of you read yesterday's Maui News and saw the article entitle *County Ordered to Slice Spending*. That's why I wanted to talk to you about revising your meeting schedule as such. The Departments have been, based on revenues received and expenses anticipated, the Departments have been ordered by the Mayor to cut their spending by 16% for the current fiscal year which was until June 30, 2009. We've also been asked to reduce our spending over what was appropriated for this year by 10% for the upcoming FY 10 budget which starts on July 1, 2009 and runs until June 30, 2010. With that in mind, I guess the Department is looking at our expenses. I guess it's obvious to many of you that our revenues are down and our expenses are up, and so we have look at ways of possibly spending less. What I'm proposing to the Board is potentially if we could go to one meeting a month instead of two meetings a month. Possibly longer, maybe we start from 8:30 a.m., and we go to 12:30 p.m., instead of our regular 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.. I think that way –. Of course the planners and the applicants have to be better prepared. I mean, they have to be fully prepared because if there is a deferral then it's going to be four to five weeks from that meeting. And I'd say if we could assess it at say at the March meeting. You know try it from January and assess it at the March meeting on how it is impacting you all and how it's impacting the applicants.

I would say in terms of volume, our volume of SMA applications is up over last year. We received SMA Major Permit applications for 2007. Right now, we're at 24 for the calendar year of 2008. Several of the permits from last year were for second main dwellings on an existing large lot, which didn't come before you. Many of the applications that we received now are for public projects. You've seen them – well some them – the harbor improvements project, the MCC Nursing Program Classrooms, the tennis court additions at the Lahaina Civic Center. And so we're running about maybe 50-50 now public versus private projects. And you know, we are trying to move some of these public projects along because it helps with the infrastructure to catch up with growth. I propose this to you and you know we can fine tune as we go along, but that's just the realities of where we're at due to the economic down turn.

Mr. Callinicos: Clayton, you recall earlier this year I had actually suggested that we have one meeting a month and not two because sometimes like today, we go through this whole process in ½ an hour and we all had to come here. And the expense of bringing people from the islands and everything, I just don't understand it. I agree with you and I agree with

the idea of having one meeting a month. I think it makes a lot more sense under these circumstances, and I welcome comments from the rest of the Board.

Mr. Conrad: I concur.

Mr. Riley: I agree.

Mr. Brauner: It's so far to come – three blocks.

Mr. Callinicos: Does anybody disagree with cutting back to one meeting?

Ms. Okamoto: I would certainly agree. You know, the every other week gets to be a real – that's a lot.

Mr. Callinicos: You know, when you have, like we have had on this Board and I'm coming to the end of my term now on this Board, but I've seen us go through periods where we had humongous agenda – items on the agenda. And now we're down to scratching around to get one item on the agenda. The reality is we really should cut back.

Ms. Okamoto: I'm not sure and this may legally not be, but if there is only one item, can it be postponed to another meeting? I don't know if you can legally do that.

Mr. Callinicos: I think we should have one meeting a month.

Mr. Yoshida: It depends on how –. Before we go to the Planning Commission for the SMA, we come to you folks. So if we delay it for a month, then it will delay possibly going to the Planning Commission by a month.

Ms. Okamoto: The other one then would be if say you had more than three at a time, would you then be open to add a meeting for that month? Three – we've had a lot of times when we had three fairly long ones, and by the third one, you're kind of not doing justice to it.

Mr. Callinicos: Well I think what's going to happen if we – let's say we hit a month with four or five projects, we're all going to have to be prepared to stay longer than 12 o'clock.

Ms. Okamoto: We may need to take a short break.

Mr. Callinicos: Take a short break for lunch or something like that, and then come back afterwards. Having said that, I must say that I'm retired so it doesn't bother me. But the guys that have jobs and working. You've got a practice. You've got a practice. You've got a practice. You're retired as well. You know –

Mr. Canady: I've got a flight schedule.

Mr. Callinicos: We have –

Mr. Canady: Right now, it's four o'clock and that's it sports fans.

Mr. Callinicos: We'll try to accommodate you.

Mr. Canady: I would like to comment on this. I for sure would be very happy to cut it down. Like my friend from Lana`i, twice a month, coming over, it can be a chore, and so I would be very happy to go to one.

Mr. Callinicos: Well we all signed on for the twice a month, but we're facing different circumstances now. Apparently, Clayton, the Board is in agreement.

Mr. Canady: I don't mind. It could be, as far as me traveling from Moloka`i, if we had to put a second day in on that one time, that would not be unheard of as far as we're concerned, traveling.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Clayton, I have a question. Would this be only for this year and then it would be looked at again next year, or would this become a permanent change?

Mr. Yoshida: Well again if you believe the economic forecasters, 2009 is still going to be not in good – a bad year. It will be a bad year. That is what they're forecasting. Again, you know how these economic forecasters – the Council on revenues. Well we have the 1.6%.

Mr. Callinicos: It depends on how much they polish that crystal ball.

Mr. Yoshida: I think we can probably look at it periodically. Like look at in March and then ever six months and kind of see how things are going, both for the economy and as far as being able to serve the public in reviewing the applications. That would be my suggestion. My only clarification, we have this room reserved for the first and third Tuesday's of the month, so is the Board's preference to have the meeting on the first Tuesday or the third Tuesday?

Mr. Callinicos: That was going to be my next question. What do you guys want?

Ms. Liscombe: It doesn't matter.

Mr. Callinicos: Doesn't matter to anybody?

Mr. Canady: . . .(Inaudible) . . .

Mr. Callinicos: Well we can't because in December we only have one meeting, and it's the first Tuesday.

Mr. Canady: Well he's talking about starting in March, I think.

Mr. Callinicos: No, he's talking about starting right away.

Mr. Yoshida: We're talking about starting in 2009.

Mr. Conrad: There's only one next month anyway.

Mr. Callinicos: Only for 2009?

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Yeah.

Mr. Callinicos: So we'll continue as we are at the moment.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: Well we only have one more meeting this year.

Mr. Yoshida: We only have one meeting in December because it's –

Mr. Callinicos: But in January you want to start with one meeting.

Mr. Yoshida: One meeting a month.

Mr. Callinicos: Okay.

Mr. Canady: And I guess what we're saying is you can make it on a Tuesday I would assume, and whatever you feel is necessary to have it.

Mr. Yoshida: Yeah, I was just wondering if the Board has a preference, if it's one meeting a month, if it's the first Tuesday of the month or the third?

Mr. Callinicos: Is it easier for you administratively to have the second – you know later in the month?

Mr. Canady: Or could it vary based on your load that is coming up?

Mr. Yoshida: I just want to try to be fair to the Board Members too because you are volunteers. You realize that you are volunteers, and you have your own personal business and schedules. So we want to have – we don't want to impede on your ability to plan. You know, maybe you're going to take a vacation on the third Tuesday of the month and then we call a meeting.

Mr. Callinicos: Clayton, why don't I do this. Why don't I ask all those in favor of doing it the first Tuesday of the month, raise your right hand.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: I have a question first for Clayton. When does the Planning Commission usually meet?

Mr. Yoshida: The Planning Commission meet – Maui meets on the second and fourth Tuesday of the month. Moloka`i meets on the second and fourth Wednesday of the month. Though we are suggesting that possibly we go to one meeting a month for Moloka`i. And Lana`i meets on the third Wednesday of the month. And then Mr. Giroux – I guess Mr. Hopper has Moloka`i Planning Commission, so Mr. Hopper would be occupied on the second and fourth Wednesday of the month.

Mr. Canady: You might be saying that it would be better if we were to meet as early in the month as we could so that our job could be done and it could be spread out to the other meetings later on in that month.

Mr. Riecke-Gonzales: I'm looking at this from a professional aspect because I come before this Board sometimes, and the Planning Commission, and it's always a timing thing, where you want to get your Urban Design Review Board out of the way. But you don't want to wait a month after you get your Urban Design Review Board before you go before the Planning Commission. Sometimes you have to anyway. But having fewer Urban Design Review Board means you know that timing becomes more important. So my gut feeling is, you know, the first of the month would work a little better because then it give them two weeks to say go for the fourth week in the month before the Planning Commission. So you'll only have to wait two weeks. It gives you time usually to do any revisions that we might request.

Mr. Canady: And I generally totally agree with you Sir.

Mr. Callinicos: All right, those in favor of the first Tuesday of the month? The other right hand. It's unanimous. We'll have it on the first Tuesday.

Mr. Yoshida: The first Tuesday of the month. With that, I guess, we're coming to the close of the calendar year. With that, we're soon, the Mayor will be nominating members to Boards and Commissions. We'll say probably the end of January. So if you know of someone who would like to serve on this Board – I know they always have problems getting people to serve on some of these Boards and Commissions – I guess now is the time to submit their application to the Mayor's Office so that she has a full range of choices. With that, I guess, we'd like to wish all of you a Happy Thanksgiving and our next meeting is on December 2<sup>nd</sup>. Our last meeting for this calendar year is on December 2<sup>nd</sup>.

**It was unanimously approved to hold the Urban Design Review Board meeting on the first Tuesday of every month.**

**E. NEXT MEETING DATE: December 2, 2008**



Mr. Conrad: Same to you. Happy Thanksgiving.

Ms. Liscombe: Thank you.

Mr. Callinicos: Happy Thanksgiving to you as well. Any other matters before that you want to discuss?

Ms. Liscombe: How many people do we lose this year?

Mr. Callinicos: How many did we lose?

Ms. Liscombe: Terms that expire?

Mr. Conrad: Your term expires in March. Mines expires in March.

Mr. Callinicos: Mines does too. I think there's another one or two.

Mr. Riley: I think mines.

Mr. Callinicos: You've had so many, you haven't got a clue!

Mr. Conrad: He's a permanent fixture.

Mr. Callinicos: All right, if there's nothing else to discuss, this meeting is adjourned.

## **F. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business brought forward to the Board, the UDRB meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:55 a.m..

Respectfully transmitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO  
Secretary to Boards and Commission I

**RECORD OF ATTENDANCE:**

Demetrios Callinicos, Chair  
Anthony Riecke-Gonzales, Vice-Chair  
Russ Riley  
Hunton Conrad  
Gary Brauner  
Susan Liscombe  
Linda Kay Okamoto  
Darryl Canady

**EXCUSED:**

Eric Nakagawa

**OTHERS:**

Clayton Yoshida, Planning Program Administrator  
Joe Prutch, Staff Planner  
James Giroux, Deputy, Corporation Counsel  
Carolyn Takayama-Corden, Secretary to Boards and Commissions II