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EXHIBIT A

County of Maui, Proposed Resolution No. 65-90, entitled
“RESOLUTION CREATING THE LANAI ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE
COUNTY OF MAUI PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMISSION”
Resolution

RESOLUTION CREATING THE LANAI ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE COUNTY OF MAUI PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMISSION

WHEREAS, it is desirous of having comprehensive master plan and zoning plan for the urban and urbanizing areas of Lanai; and

WHEREAS, the Maui Planning and Traffic Commission feels that because of the physical separation of the Island of Lanai, an advisory committee to advise the Commission and to carry out such duties that may be delegated by the Commission would be expeditious; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Maui that the Lanai Advisory Committee to the Maui Planning and Traffic Commission is hereby created to be composed of five members who shall be bona fide residents of the Island of Lanai, such members of the Committee shall be appointed by the Chairman and Executive Officer of the County of Maui and confirmed by the Board of Supervisors, provided that the supervisor to the Board of Supervisors of the County of Maui from Lanai shall be the chairman and a member of the Advisory Committee.

Seconded by Richard I. C. Caldito, Supervisor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AYES</th>
<th>NOES</th>
<th>AB.</th>
<th>EX.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted on the 26th day of August, 1965.

COUNTY CLERK, COUNTY OF MAUI
STATE OF HAWAII

COUNTY CLERK, COUNTY OF MAUI
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT B

County of Maui, Proposed Resolution No. 88-67, entitled
“PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE REVISED CHARTER OF THE
COUNTY OF MAUI (1983) RELATING TO ESTABLISHING PLANNING
COMMISSIONS FOR LANAI, MAUI AND MAUI”
Resolution

No 88-67  Introduced By GORO HOKAMA Councilmember

PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO
THE REVISED CHARTER OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI (1983)
RELATING TO ESTABLISHING PLANNING COMMISSIONS
FOR LANAI, MOLOKAI, AND MAUI

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the County of Maui:

1. That it does hereby propose that Article 8, Chapter 8
of the Revised Charter of the County of Maui be amended to
provide for separate planning commissions for the Lanai,
Molokai, and Maui, to read as follows:

"CHAPTER 8
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Section 8-8.1. Organization. There shall be
department of planning consisting of a Lanai planning
commission, a Maui planning commission, a Molokai
planning commission, a planning director and the
necessary staff.

Section 8-8.2. Planning Director. The planning
director shall be appointed and may be removed by the
mayor. The planning director shall have had five
years of experience in the field of planning, three of
which shall have been in an administrative capacity.

Section 8-8.3. Powers, Duties and Functions.
The planning director shall:
1. Be the administrative head of the department
of planning.

COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI
STATE OF HAWAII
WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793
2. Serve as the chief planning officer of the county and as the technical advisor to the mayor, council and planning [commission] commissions on all planning and related matters.

3. Recommend revisions of the general plan at least every ten years to guide the development of the county.

4. Prepare proposed zoning ordinances, zoning maps and regulations and any amendments or modifications thereto.

5. Review the lists of proposed capital improvements projects contemplated by the county and recommend the order of their priority to the mayor.

6. Perform such other duties and functions as shall be required by law or as shall be assigned by the mayor.

Section 8-8.4. Planning [Commission] Commissions. [The] Each planning commission shall consist of nine members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The director of the department of public works and the director of the department of water supply shall be non-voting ex-officio members of the commission.

Each planning commission shall exercise its powers, duties and functions as follows:

1. The Lanai planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Lanai and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

2. The Maui planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Maui and Kahoolawe and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

3. The Molokai planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Molokai and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.
within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto, except that portion of the island known as Kalaupapa, Kalawao, and Waikolu and commonly known and designated as the Kalaupapa Settlement.

The appropriate planning commission shall:
1. Advise the mayor, council and the planning director in matters concerning planning programs.
2. Review the general plan and revisions thereof prepared by the planning director or at the request of the council. The commission shall hold public hearings on such plans and revisions thereof and shall transmit them, with its findings and recommendations to the council for consideration and action.
3. Review other proposed land use ordinances and amendments thereto prepared by the director or the council and, after public hearings, transmit such ordinances with its findings and recommendations thereon to the council for consideration and action.
4. Act as the authority in all matters relating to the Coastal Zone Management law.
5. Adopt rules pursuant to land use ordinances or law.
6. Have such other powers and duties as may be provided by law.

Section 8-8.5. General Plan. The general plan shall recognize and state the major problems and opportunities concerning the needs and the development of the county and the social, economic and environmental effects of such development and shall set forth the desired sequence, patterns and characteristics of future development.

Section 8-8.6. Adoption of General Plan and Other Land Use Ordinances.
Resolution No 88-67

1. The county shall adopt revisions to the general plan by ordinance.
2. Any revisions of the general plan, zoning ordinance or other land use ordinance may be proposed by the council and shall be reviewed by the appropriate planning commission as if prepared by the planning director. Any such revision shall be referred to the appropriate planning commission by resolution. If the planning commission disapproves the proposed revision or recommends a modification thereof, not accepted by the council, or fails to make its report within a period of the hundred twenty (120) days after receipt of the referral, the council may nevertheless pass such revision, but only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the council’s entire membership."

2. That this resolution be submitted to the voters of the County of Maui at the next general election.

3. That the County Clerk is hereby requested to prepare the necessary ballot for presentation to the voters at the 1988 General Election.

4. That upon approval by a majority of the voters in favor of amending the above-cited section of the Revised Charter of the County of Maui as indicated by the number of votes cast and upon official certification of such result, the amendment proposed herein shall take effect.

COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI

STATE OF HAWAII

WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793
Resolution No. **88-67**

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Mayor.
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---

**COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI**

**WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793**

**CERTIFICATION OF ADOPTION**

It is HEREBY CERTIFIED that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Council of the County of Maui, State of Hawaii, on the [date] day of [date], 19 by the following vote:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>Linda CROCKETT</th>
<th>Goro HOKAMA</th>
<th>Patrick S. KAWANO</th>
<th>Howard S. KIHUNE</th>
<th>Thomas P. MORROW</th>
<th>Robert H. NAKASONE</th>
<th>Wayne K. NiSHIKI</th>
<th>Veima M. SANTOS</th>
<th>Joe S. TANAKA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7/1/83 - Res. 88-67 failed to pass 1st reading.

---
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT C

County of Maui, Proposed Resolution No. 88-70, entitled
“PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE REVISED CHARTER OF THE
COUNTY OF MAUI (1983) RELATING TO ESTABLISHING PLANNING
COMMISSIONS FOR MAUI AND MOLOKAI”
Resolution

No 88-70
As Amended

Introduced By PATRICK S. KAWANO
Councilmember

PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO
THE REVISED CHARTER OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI (1983)
RELATING TO ESTABLISHING PLANNING COMMISSIONS
FOR MAUI AND MOLOKAI

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the County of Maui:

1. That it does hereby propose that Article 8, Chapter 8
   of the Revised Charter of the County of Maui be amended to
   provide for separate planning commissions for Maui and Molokai,
   to read as follows:

   "CHAPTER 8
   DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

   Section 8-8.1. Organization. There shall be a
department of planning consisting of a Maui planning
commission and a Molokai planning commission, a
planning director and the necessary staff.

   Section 8-8.2. Planning Director. The planning
director shall be appointed and may be removed by the
mayor. The planning director shall have had five
years of experience in the field of planning, three of
which shall have been in an administrative capacity.

   Section 8-8.3. Powers, Duties and Functions.
The planning director shall:
   1. Be the administrative head of the department
      of planning.

COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI

STATE OF HAWAII

WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793
Resolution No. 88-70
As Amended

2. Serve as the chief planning officer of the county and as the technical advisor to the mayor, council and planning [commission] commissions on all planning and related matters.

3. Recommend revisions of the general plan at least every ten years to guide the development of the county.

4. Prepare proposed zoning ordinances, zoning maps and regulations and any amendments or modifications thereto.

5. Review the lists of proposed capital improvements projects contemplated by the county and recommend the order of their priority to the mayor.

6. Perform such other duties and functions as shall be required by law or as shall be assigned by the mayor.

Section 8-8.4. Planning [Commission] Commissions. [The] Each planning commission shall consist of nine members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The director of the department of public works and the director of the department of water supply shall be non-voting ex-officio members of the commission.

Each planning commission shall exercise its powers, duties and functions as follows:

1. The Maui planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the islands of Maui, Lanai, and Kahoolawe and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

2. The Molokai planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Molokai and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto, except that portion of the island known as Kalaupapa, Kalawao, and Waikolu and commonly known and designated as the Kalaupapa Settlement.

The appropriate planning commission shall:
1. Advise the mayor, council and the planning director in matters concerning planning programs.

2. Review the general plan and revisions thereof prepared by the planning director or at the request of the council. The commission shall hold public hearings on such plans and revisions thereof and shall transmit them, with its findings and recommendations to the council for consideration and action.

3. Review other proposed land use ordinances and amendments thereto prepared by the director or the council and, after public hearings, transmit such ordinances with its findings and recommendations thereon to the council for consideration and action.

4. Act as the authority in all matters relating to the Coastal Zone Management law.

5. Adopt rules pursuant to land use ordinances or law.

6. Have such other powers and duties as may be provided by law.

Section 8-8.5. General Plan. The general plan shall recognize and state the major problems and opportunities concerning the needs and the development of the county and the social, economic and environmental effects of such development and shall set forth the desired sequence, patterns and characteristics of future development.

Section 8-8.6. Adoption of General Plan and Other Land Use Ordinances.

1. The county shall adopt revisions to the general plan by ordinance.

2. Any revisions of the general plan, zoning ordinance or other land use ordinance may be proposed by the council and shall be reviewed by the appropriate planning commission as if prepared by the planning director. Any such revision shall be referred to the appropriate planning commission by resolution. If the
planning commission disapproves the proposed revision or recommends a modification thereof, not accepted by the council, or fails to make its report within a period of the hundred twenty (120) days after receipt of the referral, the council may nevertheless pass such revision, but only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the council's entire membership."

2. That this resolution be submitted to the voters of the County of Maui at the next general election.

3. That the County Clerk is hereby requested to prepare the necessary ballot for presentation to the voters at the 1988 General Election.

4. That upon approval by a majority of the voters in favor of amending the above-cited chapter of the Revised Charter of the County of Maui as indicated by the number of votes cast and upon official certification of such result, the amendments proposed herein shall take effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Mayor.

COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI
WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793

CERTIFICATION OF ADOPTION

It is HEREBY CERTIFIED that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Council of the County of Maui, State of Hawaii, on the 22nd day of August, 1988 by the following vote:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>Linda CROCKETT LINGLE</th>
<th>Goro HOKAMA  Vice-Chairman</th>
<th>Patrick S. KAWANO</th>
<th>Howard S. KIHUNE</th>
<th>Thomas P. MORROW</th>
<th>Robert H. NAKASONE  Chairman</th>
<th>Wayne K. NISHI</th>
<th>Velma M. SANTOS</th>
<th>Joe S. TANAKA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROLL CALL</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excused</td>
<td>Aye</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXHIBIT D

County of Maui, Ordinance No. 1859, Bill No. 84 (1989), entitled
“A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.28, 2.36, 2.37, 2.40,
3.36, 16.04, 18.40, 20.08 AND 20.12 OF THE MAUI COUNTY CODE,
PERTAINING TO THE PLANNING COMMISSIONS; THE TRAFFIC SAFETY
COUNCIL; THE COMMISSION ON CULTURE AND THE ARTS; OTHER
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES, AND AGENCIES; THE MAUI
COUNTY GRANTS PROGRAM; THE FIRE APPEALS BOARD; THE
SUBDIVISION ENGINEERING STANDARDS COMMITTEE; THE GRADING
BOARD OF APPEALS; AND THE URBAN DESIGN REVIEW BOARD”
ORDINANCE NO. 1859
BILL NO. 84 (1989)

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTERS 2.28, 2.36, 2.37, 2.40, 3.36, 16.04, 16.40, 20.08, AND 20.12 OF THE MAUI COUNTY CODE, PERTAINING TO: THE PLANNING COMMISSIONS; THE TRAFFIC SAFETY COUNCIL; THE COMMISSION ON CULTURE AND THE ARTS; OTHER BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES, AND AGENCIES; THE MAUI COUNTY GRANTS PROGRAM; THE FIRE APPEALS BOARD; THE SUBDIVISION ENGINEERING STANDARDS COMMITTEE; THE GRADING BOARD OF APPEALS; AND THE URBAN DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI:

SECTION 1. Chapter 2.28, Maui County Code, is amended to read as follows:

"Chapter 2.28

PLANNING [COMMISSION] COMMISSIONS

Sections:

2.28.010 [Membership--Powers and duties.] Maui planning commission--Establishment.
2.28.020 [Voting.] Molokai planning commission--Establishment.
2.28.030 [Public hearings.] General plan and community plans.
2.28.040 [Master plan.] Zoning regulations.
2.28.050 [Copies of master plan to various state agencies.] Lanai advisory committee.
2.28.060 [Prohibition.] Hana advisory committee.
2.28.070 Zoning regulations.
2.28.080 Lanai advisory committee.
2.28.090 Molokai advisory committee.
2.28.100 Hana advisory committee.

2.28.010 [Membership--Powers and duties.] Maui planning commission--Establishment. [A.] The Maui planning commission shall consist of seven members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council for terms of five years. The director of the department of public works and the director of the
department of water supply shall be nonvoting ex officio members of the commission.

B. The planning commission shall:
1. Advise the mayor, council and planning director in matters concerning planning programs;
2. Review the general plan and revisions thereof developed by the director and, after public hearings, transmit such plans with recommendations thereon through the mayor to the council for consideration and action;
3. Review proposed zoning ordinances and amendments thereto developed by the director and, after public hearings, transmit such ordinances with recommendations thereon through the mayor to the council for consideration and action;
4. Adopt rules and regulations having the force and effect of law pursuant to the zoning ordinances to the mayor for adoption;
5. Have such other powers and duties as may be provided by law.

is established pursuant to section 8-8.4 of the revised charter of the county.

[2.28.020 Voting. Four of the appointive members of the commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, and the concurrence of at least four of such appointive members shall be necessary for the exercise of the powers and authority conferred upon the commission, or to validate any action of the commission. Ex officio members of the commission shall not be vested with the right to vote in any matter pending before the commission. The commission shall adopt such rules as may be necessary for the orderly transaction of business and shall keep a record of its resolutions, determinations and other actions, which record shall be a public record.

2.28.030 Public hearings. The commission shall have the power and shall be authorized to call and hold public hearings at any place in the county for the purpose of carrying out its duties. Whenever practicable, the commission shall utilize the facilities, personnel and services of any department or office of the county of Maui, and any such department or office shall, upon the request of the commission, provide such facilities, personnel, or services if they can be made available to the commission without hindering or interfering with the function of such department or office.

2.28.040 Master plan. A. The commission shall, as soon as practicable, prepare and submit to the council a master plan or plans of the urban areas of the county which shall show desirable streets, roads,
highways, and the grades thereof, bridges, parks, parkways and other public ways, playgrounds, sites for public buildings and other structures, building zone districts, use zone districts, waterways, routes of street railroads, omnibus and other public carriers; provided, that such plan or plans shall not affect heretofore legally authorized routes; locations of drainage systems, sewers, sewage treatment plants, incinerators, water conduits and other public utilities, privately or publicly owned, and such other features, changes and additions as will provide for the improvement of the areas mentioned in this subsection and their future growth, development and beautification, and afford adequate facilities for transportation, distribution, comfort, convenience, health and welfare of the population therein; provided, however, that street grades and public utilities may be shown on the master plan or any part thereof subsequent to the time of the submission of the master plan of the commission to the council. The council may adopt the master plan so submitted as a whole or may, from time to time, adopt a part or parts thereof.

B. After the adoption of any master plan by the council under the provisions of subsection A of this section, the commission may, from time to time, in its discretion, prepare modifications of or extensions to such approved and adopted master plan and submit such modifications or extensions to such approved and adopted master plan to the council. The council may, after the submission of such modifications or extensions to the master plan by the commission, adopt the same, or any part thereof, in the manner provided for the adoption of the master plan.

C. The master plan adopted by the council under the provisions of subsection A of this section shall not be modified or extended, except as provided in subsection B of this section, provided, however, that the council may refer to the commission for its consideration and recommendation any proposal for modification or extension of the master plan adopted under the provisions of subsection A or as modified or extended under the provisions of subsection B, and if the commission after considering the proposal for such modification or extension shall recommend to the council the adoption of the modification or extension, then the council may adopt the same, or any part thereof; provided, further, that if the commission shall disapprove or recommend against the adoption of the proposed modification or extension, the council may, nevertheless, adopt the same by not less than three-fourths vote of the entire membership of the board.
D. The commission shall also prepare and submit to the council a master plan or plans for, or extensions of the master plans for urban areas to, other areas of the county as it may deem to be in the best interest of the future growth, development and beautification of the county in its public and private buildings, streets, roads, grounds and vacant lots. Such master plan or plans, or extensions of the urban master plans to other areas, may be adopted by the council in the same manner as prescribed for the adoption of the urban master plans to other areas and shall be modified or extended only in the manner provided for the modification or extension of the urban master plan.

2.28.050 Copies of master plan to state agencies. During the course of the preparation of any master plan or any modification or extension thereof, or during the course of the consideration of any proposal referred to the commission by the council for the modification or extension of any master plan, and prior to the submission to the council of such master plan, or of such modification or extension of such master plan, or of the report or recommendation of the commission on the proposal for modification or extension, the commission shall transmit a copy of such master plan, modification or extension thereof or proposal for modification or extension thereof or proposal for modification or extension of such master plan to the Department of Transportation of the state, Hawaii Aeronautics Commission, Hawaiian Homes Commission, Department of Health of the state, Board of Agriculture of the state, Department of Education of the state, and to any other department or officer of the state who may be affected by such master plan, modification or extension thereof, or proposal for modification or extension of such master plan, and, whenever possible, obtain the views of such state agencies and officers in regard thereto.

2.28.060 Prohibition. After the adoption of the master plan or any part thereof, no subdivision of land affecting such master plan or any part thereof shall be approved, and no public improvement or project, including any state project affecting the master plan, shall be initiated unless such subdivision, improvement or project conforms to the master plan, or is otherwise authorized by law notwithstanding the provision of this chapter, provided, however, that in case of a conflict between the master plan and any future federal aid or state aid project, the council may, by resolution adopted on one reading, set aside the master plan to the extent that such conflict prevents the obtaining or
the granting of federal aid on any such state project, or the persecution of work thereunder. In the event it is impracticable for a subdivision to conform to the master plan, the council may approve such a subdivision which does not conform to the master plan; provided, that the master plan shall be delineated on the subdivision map."

2.28.020 Molokai planning commission—Establishment. The Molokai planning commission is established pursuant to section 8-8.4 of the revised charter of the county.

2.28.030 General plan and community plans. Any revision or amendment to any community plan shall be reviewed by the appropriate planning commission pursuant to chapter 2.8.00 of this code.

[2.28.070] 2.28.040 Zoning regulations. A. The appropriate planning commission shall also have the power to prepare and submit to the council appropriate zoning regulations regulating and limiting the height and bulk of buildings; determining the area of yards, courts and other open spaces; or regulating and restricting the location of trades and industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, including the establishment of building zones; or creating districts for any such purpose. After the submission of such regulations to the council, it may adopt the same, either in the form as submitted by the appropriate planning commission, or with such amendments as may be deemed requisite by the council.

B. The council may also require the appropriate planning commission to perform such other duties as it may hereafter, from time to time, prescribe.

[2.28.080] 2.28.050 Lanai advisory committee. A. Establishment—Members. There is established a Lanai advisory committee to the Maui planning commission [of the county.] which shall consist of seven members, appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. Each appointed member shall be a resident of the Lanai district.

B. [The Lanai advisory committee shall consist of seven members all of whom reside on the island of Lanai. The members shall be appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The members of the committee shall serve for a term of five years; provided, however, such members shall serve staggered terms pursuant to Section 13-2.1 of the Revised Charter of the county.] Member—Residency requirement. To be eligible for appointment as a member to the Lanai advisory committee, a person shall be a resident of the
Lanai district for a period of at least ninety consecutive days immediately preceding the person's appointment by the mayor. For the purposes of this section, the Lanai district shall be delimited by section 4-1 of the Hawaii revised statutes. If a committee member ceases to be a resident of the Lanai district, the committee member shall immediately forfeit office and the office shall thereupon become vacant.

[C. The Lanai advisory committee shall advise the planning commission of the county on matters within its jurisdiction and shall carry out such duties as may be delegated to it by the planning commission.]

C. Terms and operation. Section 13-2 of the revised charter of the county of Maui shall apply to the Lanai advisory committee in the same manner as said provisions apply to boards and commissions recognized by the revised charter; provided that of the seven members appointed, two shall serve for a term of five years, two shall serve for a term of four years, two shall serve for a term of three years, and one shall serve for a term of two years.

D. Powers, duties, and functions. The Lanai advisory committee shall advise the Maui planning commission of the county on matters within its jurisdiction and shall carry out such duties as may be delegated to it by the Maui planning commission.

2.28.090 Molokai advisory committee. A. There is established a Molokai advisory committee to the planning commission of the county.

B. The Molokai advisory committee shall consist of seven members all of whom reside on the island of Molokai. The members shall be appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The members of the committee shall serve for a term of five years; provided, however, such members shall serve for staggered terms pursuant to Section 13-2.1 of the Revised Charter of the county.

C. The Molokai advisory committee shall advise the planning commission on matters within its jurisdiction and shall carry out such duties as may be delegated to it by the planning commission.

2.28.100] 2.28.060 Hana advisory committee. A. Establishment--Members. There is established a Hana advisory committee to the Maui planning commission (of the county) which shall consist of seven members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. Each member shall be a resident of the Hana district.

B. [The Hana advisory committee shall consist of seven members all of whom reside in Hana. The members
shall be appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The members of the committee shall serve for a term of five years; provided, however, such members shall serve for staggered terms pursuant to Section 13-2.1 of the Revised Charter of the county.]

Member--Residency requirement. To be eligible for appointment as a member of the Hana advisory committee, a person shall be a resident of the Hana district for a period of at least ninety consecutive days immediately preceding the person’s appointment by the mayor. For the purposes of this section, the Hana district shall be delimited by section 4-1 of the Hawaii revised statutes. If a committee member ceases to be a resident of the Hana district, the committee member shall immediately forfeit office and the office shall thereupon be deemed vacant.

C. Terms and operation. Section 13-2 of the revised charter of the county of Maui shall apply to the Hana advisory committee in the same manner as said provisions apply to boards and commissions recognized by the revised charter; provided that of the seven members appointed, two shall serve for a term of five years; two shall serve for a term of four years; two shall serve for a term of three years, and one shall serve for a term of two years.

D. Powers, duties, and functions. The Hana advisory committee shall advise the Maui planning commission on matters within its jurisdiction and shall carry out such duties as may be delegated to it by the Maui planning commission.

SECTION 2. Section 2.36.010, Maui County Code, is amended to read as follows:

"2.36.010 [Created--Members.] Establishment. A. Members. In accordance with [Act 214, Session Laws of Hawaii, 1967,] section 286-6 of the Hawaii revised statutes, there is [created] established a traffic safety council of the county[,] which shall consist of the mayor, the chief of police, the prosecuting attorney, the corporation counsel, the director of public works, or their respective representatives, as ex-officio members of the traffic safety council, and nine other persons residing in the county appointed by the mayor.

B. [The traffic safety council shall consist of the mayor, the chief of police, the corporation counsel, the director of public works, or their respective representatives, and not more than fifteen other persons residing in the county who shall be appointed by the mayor on the basis of their interest in traffic safety and their knowledge of local
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT E

ARTICLE 8. CHAPTER 8. SECTIONS 8-8.1 AND 8-8.4

12. RELATING TO PLANNING COMMISSIONS

The charter commission received significant testimony from the residents of the Island of Lanai concerning their desire to have a planning commission comprised of residents of that island. Lanai residents believe that their island is now at a crossroad to the future. No matter how one may perceive Lanai's choices, there is no question that opportunities and choices now confront the island. Residents of Lanai believe that Molokai now possesses a degree of autonomy since the electorate voted to give that island its own planning commission. The perception is that the people of Molokai are now better able to control their future and participate in their choices because they have a planning commission of residents exclusively from that island, and a planning commission that only addresses Molokai's issues. The residents of Lanai believe that its geographic and political circumstances necessitate the same opportunities. The charter commission agrees.

The charter commission has noted that the charter does not require members of an island's planning commission to be residents of the island of the planning commission on which the members serve. It appears that the lack of this requirement was an oversight of the previous charter commission establishing the Molokai charter commission. This charter commission believes that there is no logic in having a Molokai
or Lanai planning commission if the members of those planning commissions are not residents of the respective islands. Consequently, this commission is recommending that Section 8-8.4 of the charter be amended to require that members of each planning commission be residents of the island of the planning commission on which the members serve.

Concerns have been expressed that since the island basically has one employer and that the island's future lies with one employer, that the Lanai planning commission members would be confronted with conflicts of interest which may make business of such a commission difficult. The charter commission does not believe that such difficulty is an adequate reason to deny the participation that the residents have requested. The Commission is aware that there is currently a Lanai advisory committee to the Maui planning commission. The charter commission is not aware that the advisory committee has found its business difficult because of conflicts of interest. If conflicts of interest arise, the charter commission believes that the county council through ordinances and Board of Ethics through its rules and opinions can provide adequate guidelines for conflict resolution in order that the commission will operate and conduct its business in efficient manner.

Consequently, the charter commission is recommending that Sections 8-8.1 and 8-8.4 of the charter be amended to establish a Lanai planning commission. The amended sections of the charter would read as follows:
AMENDED SECTIONS

CHAPTER 8
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Section 8-8.1. Organization. There shall be a department of planning consisting of a Maui planning commission, a Molokai planning commission and a Lanai planning commission, a planning director and the necessary staff.

Section 8-8.4. Planning Commissions. Each planning commission shall consist of nine members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The members of each planning commission shall be residents of the island of the planning commission on which the member serves. The director of the department of public works and waste management and the director of the department of water supply shall be non-voting ex-officio members of each commission.

Each Planning Commission shall exercise its powers, duties and functions as follows:

1. The Maui planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the islands of Maui and Kahoolawe and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

2. The Molokai planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Molokai and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto, except that portion of the island known as Kalaupapa, Kalawao, and Waikolu and commonly known and designated as the Kalaupapa Settlement.

3. The Lanai planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Lanai and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

The appropriate planning commission shall:
1. Advise the mayor, council and the planning director in matters concerning planning programs.

2. Review the general plan and revisions thereof prepared by the planning director or at the request of the council. Each commission shall hold public hearings on such plans and revisions thereof and shall transmit them, with its findings and recommendations to the council for consideration and action.

3. Review other proposed land use ordinances and amendments thereto prepared by the director or the council and, after public hearings, transmit such ordinances with its findings and recommendations thereon to the council for consideration and action.

4. Act as the authority in all matters relating to the Coastal Zone Management law.

5. Adopt rules pursuant to land use ordinances or law.

6. Have such other powers and duties as may be provide by law.
Pursuant to Section 14-3 of the Maui County Charter, the following is a brief digest of the proposed Charter amendments which will appear on the November 3, 1992 general election ballot, and an explanation of the changes which will occur if the amendments are passed by the voters. Copies of the amendments are available at the office of the county clerk.

1. COUNCIL COMPOSITION: Shall all nine Maui County council members be elected by all the voters of the county with the requirement that there be one resident elected from each of the nine residency areas as follows:
   - Kahului
   - Wailuku-Waihee-Waikapu
   - West Maui
   - South Maui (Kihei-Makena-Maalaea)
   - Upcountry (Pukalani-Kula-Ulupalakua)
   - Makawao-Paia-Haiku
   - East Maui (Hana-Keanea-Kailua)
   - Molokai
   - Lanai

If adopted, this amendment would provide that Section 3-1 would be amended to provide that of the nine council members, one shall be a resident of each of the proposed residency areas above.

2. COUNTY COUNCIL (TERM, RESIDENCY, VOTING): Shall the charter provisions concerning the county council members be changed:
   (1) to prohibit council members from serving more than five consecutive two year terms,
   (2) to require a ninety day (90) residency in the county prior to filing nomination papers and in the candidate's residency area at the time of filing nomination papers, and
   (3) to authorize that voting on matters before the council be pursuant to council rules and to require a roll call vote when requested by any council member.

(1) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 3-2 will be amended to provide that the council members shall not serve more than five consecutive two year terms.
(2) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 3-3 will provide to be eligible for the office of council member, a person must be a resident of the County for at least 90 days prior to filing of nomination papers and a resident of the residency area from which the person seeks to be elected at the time of filing.
(3) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 3-6.4 will provide that all voting on motions by the council should be in accordance with Council Rules, except that a roll call vote may be taken if one member of the council requests a roll call vote.

3. LANAI PLANNING COMMISSION: Shall a separate planning commission be established for the island of Lanai?
   (Article 8, Sections 8-8.1 and 8-8.4)

4. APPOINTMENT AND APPROVAL OF CORPORATION COUNSEL AND PROSECUTING ATTORNEY: Shall the mayor be granted the authority to make temporary appointments and shall the procedure for the appointment and approval of the prosecuting attorney and the corporation counsel be further defined to provide that:
   (1) the mayor has 60 days after taking office or the creation of a vacancy to make these appointments,
   (2) the council has 60 days to act on such appointments, and
   (3) persons denied confirmation by the council shall not continue in these offices?

(1) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 6-2 will be amended to provide that the procedures for appointments of the prosecuting attorney and the corporation counsel will be further amended to include the language listed above.
(2) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 6-2.4 will provide that the mayor shall have the authority to appoint on a temporary basis an administrative head of any department.

5. CODE OF ETHICS: Shall the code of ethics be changed:
   (1) to clarify which boards and commissions must file financial disclosure statements,
   (2) to clarify the prohibitions on county employees and officers in representing private interests before county agencies, and
   (3) to authorize that advisory opinions be issued 45 days after filing?
   (1) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 10-3 will be amended to provide that members of any board or commission established by the Charter shall file financial disclosure statements with the Board of Ethics.
   (2) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 10-4 will be amended to prohibit officers and full-time employees of the county from appearing on behalf or representing private interest before any county agency and also provides that the council by ordinance may prescribe further standards, conditions, and guidelines concerning the representation of private interest before county agencies.
   (3) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 10-2 will be amended to provide that the Board of Ethics shall issue advisory opinions within 45 days of filing of a request for an advisory opinion.

6. BOARD OF VARIANCES AND APPEALS: Shall the authority of the board of variances and appeals to grant variances from the general plan be deleted?
   If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-5.4 be amended to delete the ability of the Board of Variances and Appeals to hear applications for variances from the general plan.

7. SALARY COMMISSION: Shall the salary commission establish the salaries of the directors and deputy directors of all county departments, including the Department of Water Supply?
   If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-16.1 will move the Salary Commission from Article 3 to Chapter 16 of Article 8 and provide that the Salary Commission shall set the salaries for all directors and deputy directors of all departments, and that Section 8-11 will delete the Water Commission's power to fix the Water Director's salary.

8. INVESTIGATORS WITH PROSECUTING ATTORNEY: Shall investigators within the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney have powers and privileges of a police officer?
   If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-8.3 will be amended to provide that investigators within the Department of Prosecuting Attorney will have the power and privileges of a police officer in Maui County.

9. QUALIFICATIONS - CORPORATION COUNSEL AND PROSECUTING ATTORNEY: Shall the qualifications of the corporation counsel and prosecuting attorney be changed to require these officers have practiced law at least three years, but not necessarily in the State of Hawaii?
   If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-2.2 will be amended to provide that the requirement that the corporation counsel be required to engage in the practice of law within the State of Hawaii for three years is eliminated, and that Section 8-3.2 will be amended to provide that the requirement that the prosecuting attorney be required to engage in the practice of law within the State of Hawaii for three years is eliminated.

10. DEPARTMENTS OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HUMAN CONCERNS: Shall the names of the Department of Public Works and Department of Human Concerns be changed to the Department of Public Works and Waste Management and the Department of Housing and Human Concerns?
    If adopted, this amendment will change Section 8-4 and Section 8-10 to reflect these new department names.

11. FIRE DEPARTMENT: Shall the duties of the fire chief include responsibility for hazardous materials?
    If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-7.3 will be amended to provide for additional power to the Fire Chief to mitigate and stabilize hazardous materials and incidents relating to the same.
12. FINANCIAL PROCEDURES: Shall the financial procedures be changed, as recommended by the charter commission concerning:
(1) the processing of changes to the legislative and executive operating budgets,
(2) the procedures to prepare and adopt the police department's budget, and
(3) the finance director's authority to issue checks?
(1) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 9-2 will be amended to reflect that on the fifteenth day of March before the ensuing fiscal year begins, the mayor shall submit to the council an operating budget for the ensuing fiscal year including an executive operating budget and legislative operating budget, and that Section 9-10 will be amended to provide that the mayor or the council may initiate changes to their respective operating budgets.
(2) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-12.2 will be amended to delete the obligation of the Police Commission to prepare an operating budget for the Police Department.
(3) If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 8-4.3 will be amended to provide that the Director of Finance will have the power to prepare and issue warrants and checks.

13. INITIATIVE: Shall the procedures for the filing and processing of the initiative petitions be changed as recommended by the charter commission?
If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 11-3 will be amended to provide for petitions for initiative to contain signatures by not less than fifteen percent of the voters registered in the last general election; that Section 11-4 will be amended to provide for the withdrawal of any signature on the petition by an individual by written notice within fifteen days after the filing of the affidavit; and that Section 11-6 will be amended to provide for council action on a petition within 60 days after the date the petition was finally determined sufficient and for copies of the proposed ordinance to be published in a newspaper 45 days prior to submission to the voters.

14. RECALL: Shall the procedures for the filing and processing of petitions to recall elected officials be changed as recommended by the charter commission?
If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 12-3 will be amended to provide for the deletion of the requirement to disclose voting precincts and to add the requirement to print the signer's name and address; that Section 12-4 will be amended to change the time for filing to sixty days instead of thirty days and to allow for the withdrawal of signatures within fifteen days of filing the petition; and that Section 12-6 will provide that a valid recall petition would be submitted to voters within 90 to 180 days after the petition is submitted to the council, at a general election during this period, and if none, then at a special recall election to be scheduled during this time period.

15. CHARTER AMENDMENTS: Shall the charter be changed to provide an additional method for proposing charter amendments and to provide for the appointment of a new charter commission in 2001 and for it to submit a report 16 months after appointment?
If adopted, this amendment will provide that Section 12.1 will be amended to provide an additional method for proposing charter amendments, wherein a petition signed by 20% of the voters registered in the last general election must be submitted to the voters at the next general election without the approval of the council; and that Section 14.3 will be amended to provide for a charter commission to be appointed prior to March 1, 2001 and be allowed 16 months for submittal of its report rather than 15 months under the existing charter.

Submitted by: Robert Nakasone, Chairman
Charter Commission
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EXHIBIT F

County of Maui, Ordinance No. 2218, Bill No. 10 (1993), entitled
“A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 2 OF THE MAUI COUNTY CODE,
PERTAINING TO THE LANAI PLANNING COMMISSION”
ORDINANCE NO. 2218

BILL NO. 10 (1993)

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 2 OF THE MAUI COUNTY CODE, PERTAINING TO THE LANAI PLANNING COMMISSION

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI:

SECTION 1. Title 2 of the Maui County Code is amended by adding a new section to be designated and to read as follows:

"2.28.025 The Lanai planning commission - Establishment. The Lanai planning commission is established pursuant to Section 8-8.4 of the revised charter of the County."

SECTION 2. Section 2.28.050 of the Maui County Code is repealed.

"2.28.050 Lanai advisory committee. A. Establishment - Members. There is established a Lanai advisory committee to the Maui planning commission which shall consist of seven members, appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. Each appointed member shall be a resident of the Lanai district.

B. Member - Residency Requirement. To be eligible for appointment as a member to the Lanai advisory committee, a person shall be a resident of the Lanai district for a period of at least ninety consecutive days immediately preceding the person's appointment by the mayor. For the purposes of this section, the Lanai district shall be delimited by section 4-1 of the Hawaii revised statutes. If a committee member ceases to be a resident of the Lanai district, the committee member shall immediately forfeit office and the office shall thereupon become vacant.

C. Terms and Operation. Section 13-2 of the revised charter of the county of Maui shall apply to the Lanai advisory committee in the same manner as said provisions apply to boards and commissions recognized by the revised charter; provided that of the seven members appointed, two shall serve for a term of five years, two shall serve for a term of four years, two shall serve for a term of three years, and one shall serve for a term of two years.

D. Powers, Duties, and Functions. The Lanai advisory committee shall advise the Maui planning commission of the county on matters within its jurisdiction
and shall carry out such duties as may be delegated to it by the Maui planning commission."

SECTION 3. Section 2.40.120 of the Maui County Code is repealed.

["2.40.120 Lanai advisory committee. There is established a Lanai advisory committee as provided by law. (See section 2.28.060.)"]

SECTION 4. New material is underscored. Material to be repealed is bracketed. In printing this bill, the county clerk need not include the brackets, bracketed material, or underscoring.

SECTION 5. Section 1 of this ordinance shall take effect upon approval of the ordinance. Section 2 and section 3 of this ordinance shall take effect upon impanelment of a majority of the entire membership of the Lanai Planning Commission.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:

[Signature]

BRYAN T. MOTO
Deputy Corporation Counsel
ord\lanai\ma)
WE HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing BILL NO. 10 (1993)

did on the 19th day of March, 1993, by the following votes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goro HOKAMA</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas P. MORROW</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James H. APANA, Jr.</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Lynn BRITTON</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick S. KAWANO</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice L. LEE</td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricardo MEDINA</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuel MONIZ, Jr.</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Y. NAOKAMURA</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Was transmitted to the Mayor of the County of Maui, State of Hawaii, on the 19th day of March, 1993.

DATED AT WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII, this 19th day of March, 1993,

GORO HOKAMA, CHAIR
Council of the County of Maui

DARYL T. YAMAMOTO, COUNTY CLERK
County of Maui

I HEREBY CERTIFY that upon approval of the foregoing BILL by the Mayor of the County of Maui, the said BILL was designated as ORDINANCE NO. 2218 of the County of Maui, State of Hawaii.

DARYL T. YAMAMOTO, COUNTY CLERK
County of Maui

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance No. 2218, the original of which is on file in the Office of the County Clerk, County of Maui, State of Hawaii.

Dated at Wailuku, Hawaii, on
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EXHIBIT G

Agenda & Meeting Minutes from January 2014 – December 2014
Lanai Planning Commission & Molokai Planning Commission
Type of Business Being Conducted

See links to Maui, Lanai and Molokai Agenda & Meeting Minutes listed below **:

** All Agendas and Meeting Minutes are not provided in the EXHIBIT. Attached are the Lanai Planning Commission Meeting Minutes pertaining to EXHIBIT G2 in Final Report, and the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission Agenda Items & Hours Spent Breakdown.
to offer a motion to amend the decision previously made. That is an option of this board. So, you know, whether or not the motion would prevail or not -- I can't say -- but it is an option if the commissioners wishes to offer such a motion.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Stu, you want to make a motion please?

Mr. Marlowe: I'd like to make a motion that we reconsider the time line on the permit and authorize the 30 years that was originally requested.

Mr. Ornellas: Only the 30 year.

Mr. Marlowe: Correct.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Can I have a second on that motion? Seeing none...sorry.

It was moved by Commissioner Stuart Marlowe to reconsider the time line on the permit and authorize 30 years that was originally requested. Due to the lack of a second, the motion died on the floor.

E. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

1. Planning Director William Spence's October 16, 2014 memo to the Lanai Planning Commissioners transmitting the Meeting Schedule survey in order for the Planning Department to determine the feasibility of holding regular Lanai Planning Commission meetings during the daytime rather than in the evening.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, so let's continue with our agenda. Director's Report. Director Spence? I don't know if everybody knows Director Spence. He's the director for the Maui County Planning Department. A really nice guy.

Mr. William Spence: Good evening commissioners and thank you Mr. Chairman for the compliment. First off -- okay, so we're on the...next agenda item, E1, Director's Report. I understand that --. Okay, the Planning Department sent over a memo to the commissioners for the consideration of going to day time meetings. I understand there was quite a reaction to that and, you know, I want to apologize if that came across in any way other than the way it was intended but to get the commissioners feedback towards...towards going to day time meetings. And I'll explain why this becomes an issue for the Planning Department. There's really two reasons. One of them is staffing, the other one is budgetary.

The...the budgetary item, what I, what I handed out -- I didn't do this spreadsheet, but this was, this was done in order to compare the, the cost of staffing the different planning commissions. In summary, the -- it cost approximately twice as much to staff the Lanai Planning Commission than it does for Molokai. And the reason for that primarily because we're staying over night.
It takes -- you know, there's a lodging expense, there's the overtime pay, etcetera.

The reason we stay overnight is -- everybody remembers -- is it use to be that we would come over, we would fly over on a charter flight, come staff the meetings, you know, meeting is pau. Actually, I remember that it was...the end of the meetings were sometimes dictated upon FAA regulations where the pilot says, hey I gotta get out of here because the regulations say I can't fly, you know, the following day, etcetera. You know, the pilot needed some rest. So it was, it was a pretty easy thing to come over here. You know, we would leave the office a half hour in advance of the flight, take off, come over, staff the meetings, take off, everybody was home by about 11 o'clock at night, sometimes a little later. We know February last year rolled around and that changed a lot of things for...for both this commission as well as the Planning Department, not just the people who staffed you, but, you know, everybody, you know, when we lost staff members and the pilot that everybody knew.

As an interesting sideline both Doug Miller -- I think this commission knows Doug Miller -- and Mark King, they're back at work so I'm really happy to announce that. And they're both very enthusiastically back at work. It's been a really a good thing for both of them. So, I'm happy to announce that.

But since then, since we're, since we're not flying, we're not doing the charter and there's no other alternative, we're staying over. It runs in to, besides the additional expense, it runs into staffing issues where --. And I'm not, I'm not bemoaning this. I'm just stating this is what it take. It takes approximately 24 hours for us to staff a planning commission meeting because the additional time needed to drive to Lahaina, take the ferry, check into the hotel, come and staff the meetings, and then catch the ferry back. By the time we're back in the office, it's approximately 24 hours. And, you know, I mean certainly it is our responsibility to staff this...to staff this commission and, you know, I'll say we enjoy doing so, but the past year has, has brought a lot of changes and, you know, we need to be responsible in how we spend tax payers money. We need to be responsible in how staff time is allocated. So --. Certainly.

Ms. Zigmond: So, if, when you go to Molokai, you also stay overnight, and I understand that they have cheaper places to stay. Oh, no, you're not staying overnight.

Mr. Spence: That's correct.

Ms. Zigmond: Ah so ... (inaudible) ... That makes a difference. Okay. The ferry you get to Molokai and back on the same day.

Mr. Spence: Yes.

Ms. Zigmond: What time is their meeting?

Mr. Spence: Clayton? It's 11 or noon. And we do expect the cost of lodging to go up in this coming year. So...so this...and really instead of presenting that memo just via mail or however it came to you, we really should have just come and discussed it with the chair and put it on the
agenda and said, you know, commissioners, we -- this is something that we really need to deal
with and discuss it with you. So is it, is it clear that this is --. I mean, this is something entirely
new for us where...these changes have taken places, the circumstances have changed since
the normal functioning of this commission. The...I expect with the coming budget hearings by
the County Council some of the Council Members are going to be having, you know, they're
going to have questions about how staffing billing for the different commissions and, you know,
so I want to be prepared to also answer some of those questions.

Mr. Oshiro: I have a couple of questions.

Mr. Spence: Sure.

Mr. Oshiro: First of all, the people that on Molokai Council -- the people that is on Molokai, do
they, are they employed? Because right now -- no -- right now there's only two of us that would
be able to make the meetings, okay, because we both retired, okay. The rest of the people
work 8:00 to 5:00 or whatever they work. They work during the day, okay. I just wondering
because, you know, everybody up here, you know, we volunteering our time, okay. And, so if
you asking these people to take four hours of vacation time to come to a meeting. I don't think
that's right.

Mr. Spence: Okay. And this is the kind of feedback that I wanted to hear. You know --

Mr. Oshiro: No, I got one more. One more question.

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.

Mr. Oshiro: Why, why can't you do this -- we all hooked to the internet -- why can't you do this
internet connection? Public testimony you still can do it live on, on live TV, you know. I mean,
it's, it's -- it would be the same thing. You know, you have it live on TV or whatever connection
we got. Why can't you do it that way?

Mr. Spence: Okay, I know that there are, there are practical issues with that as well as there
have been legal issues that have come up. For instance, Department of Health one time held
a public hearing on Oahu into the different counties, and they loss access. So that cut off all
the public testimony and, you know, there's, so there's problems with that. You know, the public
wasn't heard, transcripts, you know, aren't properly done. Those kinds of things happen.

Mr. Oshiro: But if the public need to here, they going be in front of the council member right?
I mean, if you lose connection, you going lose everything. You not going have a meeting at all.

Mr. Spence: I don't think I understand.

Mr. Oshiro: What I'm saying --.

Mr. Spence: We need to be... (inaudible)
Mr. Oshiro: Yeah. Okay, you -- everybody can be on, on, on the internet connection or whatever you want to call that. And we still can see each other. We can have public hearing. And if we lose connection, you lose the meeting totally.

Mr. Spence: That’s correct. We lose the meeting entirely.

Mr. Oshiro: Yeah, you lose the meeting completely. But, we still got your public hearing because the commission is, the person going be right in front of us. And if we lose -- you going have to hook your feed back up. It’s not going down for two hours or three hours. This is 15 minute, 10 minute break.

Ms. Gima: Are you saying, like, if the County staff is on Maui and they come in, like, via polycom, but we’re here and all . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Spence: Okay. I -- this is something that has come up in other forums as well. There have ... there have been -- there’s been some legal issues with it, but there’s also been, I mean, just as a practical matter, if we’re not here to staff you the -- I mean, there’s a, there’s a disconnect with just letting the, the commission meeting go without staff being here to, to answer things. Sometimes meetings would have to be held over if -- I mean, entirely held again -- if there’s a break in the internet connection.

Ms. Zigmond: I’d like to piggy back on what...on what Brad said about it really deterring commissioners, people to apply for the commission unless they are retired. But in addition to the commissioners, most of the public still works, and I think when we had our CPAC on Saturdays there were a fair amount of people, there’s a fair amount of people at night. If you have it during the middle of the day and you’re not going to have that same community turn out, and we need the community to be here, as well as, we need commissioners to be here. And I think it’s really a, an injustice to ask those who are working to have to take our personal time off if we even get that. I, I wished I worked for the state. I know you have a very liberal days off. I don’t.

I don’t know what the solution is. I know John has said, suggested before having, making an exception where you all could stay at an un-licensed bed and breakfast. I, I don’t know what the solution is, but the day time thing isn’t going to work.

Mr. Ornellas: They...they don’t think outside of the box so. Anyway but we do have people on Lanai that are pursuing getting their bed and breakfast and their, their short-term rentals and stuff like that legalized, so you’ll be seeing permits for that coming to you in the very near future. In fact, there’s one, I noticed already on here. Which one is it? It’s the first one. It’s Kepa’s one. So, I mean, I don’t think he has four bedrooms so, but he’s, he’s trying to be legal. So we have others that are going to be trying to be legal, and so that, that money that...the $750, the $750 rooms will not be that in the future, and we would hope that the Planning Department would —
Mr. Spence: Okay and --. Pardon me Mr. Chairman, but we do think outside the box. That’s why we’re bringing this up because otherwise we wouldn’t -- there would be no agenda item. So the –

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. Anyway what about Saturdays? We did, we did Saturdays for, Jesus, at . . . (inaudible) . . ., but maybe one Saturday. Do it on a Saturday. You guys come in on the 10 o’clock boat and go back on the 4:30 boat.

Mr. Spence: We can discuss the Saturdays. That would certainly save a lot on the time. There may be some technical issues with that, but we can, we can certainly discuss that internally.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay because I have the key to the Senior Center so getting in and out of that place is not a problem. Maybe next meeting you can add Saturdays as a, as a –

Mr. Spence: We can discuss that for Saturday.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah. So that way we can see what Lanai, Molokai, and then put it down as a Saturday. Lanai Saturday versus our regular weekday, the cost.

Mr. Spence: Okay. This was just a comparison. I mean, I’m not sure any prices are any different on a Saturday versus –

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, but if we have something to look at, then we have something. It doesn’t have to be dead on, but you know it’s going to cost so much for this, for that, and then for boat trip. Then you need a car, or Rabaca, or -- and lunch, lunch for us.

Mr. Spence: I’m not sure anything is different on Saturday.

Mr. Ornellas: There would be no lodging so it would be a lot cheaper.

Mr. Spence: That’s what we’re discussing. I mean, you can just look at this and delete, you know, certain expenses.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. So -- well, I don’t –

Mr. Spence: No this, this spreadsheet just reflects the current state. That, that’s all this is meant. It was just informative for the commission. I’m not projecting.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. And you’re not, and that you’re also not making this thing . . . you’re not going to enforce it right now.

Mr. Spence: No. We brought this to the commission for discussion.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay. Alright.
Ms. Gima: I have a question. So, would the...would the commission have to vote on this or would this just be something that you guys decide to change after having a discussion with us? I mean, how does it work to get what -- who has the final say on what the new schedule would be like?

Mr. Spence: No, I think we would come back and, after we discuss some things internally, we would come back and talk to the commission about it again.

Ms. Gima: Okay.

Mr. Spence: So -

Ms. Gima: It's not like anything is being changed, like, in the next couple of months or -

Mr. Spence: No, I don't think all of sudden we're going to announce that we're going to do this on Saturday, coming on, you know, day time.

Ms. Gima: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: Questions commissioners? Go ahead.

Mr. Marlowe: Yeah, I have a question. Those that are salaried or hourly employees, has anybody on the commission talked to their employer, asked whether or not if a change were made, let's say it was a 2:00 to 5:00 time line on a Wednesday, once a month, whether those three hours could be made up in the rest of the month? Whether or not if it's a loss of pay and the...the Maui County might be able to in lieu of all of the other expenses that they would avoid, maybe they can make up that salary loss. It's three hours a month. Has anybody talked to their employers and said, if this occurred how would it impact, what can we do to get around it, and I suggest before we become the party of no, we do a little research. I think that the people that come over are making great sacrifices. They've got families, they gotta get kids feed, they gotta pick them up from school. They're not there. And if we can comply, I think it's a reasonable request. I think that there should be --. You know, I employ a lot of people. And if someone came to me doing similar work, something for the good of the community and they said I need three hours on a Wednesday once a month, I'm sure that any reasonable person could work it out. So before we all say, no -- public testimony is another issue -- I think we need to have those employers asked and see what kind of answers we get. I think that's fair.

Ms. Zigmond: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead Bev.

Ms. Zigmond: I'd like to apply for job with Stu. It would probably be a lot less stressful than my social service job. And I know that at least Kelli and myself, providing social services, we don't have quite that flexibility. I truly appreciate the Planning Department's efforts. All of us commissioners have the same kind of efforts, feeding families, and, and spending lots of time
preparing for these things. So it's not a question of being inflexible.

Ms. Gima: And I, and I -- and you're right Stu, I think we could all talk with our employers. I know, for myself, I can go and request vacation for three hours once a month. But I think it also goes back to the public testimony issue. I mean, how many people are we going to have coming to these meetings and giving their valuable, you know, testimony.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so let's --. You're going to come back for more information for us in the future?

Mr. Spence: Sure. You should take public testimony Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright. Yes, Bruce, come forward. And then followed by Butch if he's, if he's got something to say, and then Winnie.

Mr. Harvey: Hi. I'm Bruce again. It seemed like you're really concerned with public testimony and your . . . (inaudible) . . . And you won't have half of the people showing up for these meetings if they're held during, say 11 o'clock during the day. I mean, Molokai nobody's working, so of course you've got people coming. Here's everybody's working. If not one job, two. So, and for us, for everybody to go to their employer and go, oh, yeah, I forgot I gotta take two hours off because I have to go, I wanna go to this meeting. Well, that's not going to fly. I, I don't want to be that pessimistic about it, but I just can't see it working. And it would seem like with the added taxes that Ellison is gonna to be paying, putting money into the Maui County . . . (inaudible) . . . there should be money for the budget for them coming over here and staying overnight. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you Bruce. Butch?

Mr. Gima: Butch Gima. In the time when voter turn out is so low, I think County and State government should do everything possible to be inclusive, not exclusive. And I think if you do not have the Planning Commission meetings or any other type of public meetings in the evening here on Lanai, you're not going to get participation. Now several, several months ago when the Mayor's cabinet came over we met, LSG met, with Sandi Baz and several of the other cabinet members. And so we voiced our opposition to changing the time. But, we also offered a solution or a recommendation and that was two fold. One, instead of flying, why don't you call Expeditions and see if you can take a charter back. You will cut down a lot of costs that way. One, instead of flying, why don't you call Expeditions and see if you can take a charter back. You will cut down a lot of costs that way. Keep in mind that Lanaians when we go over for meetings, take Expeditions, we almost spend a whole day over there and so I think it's only equitable that Maui Island staff do the same.

The second thing that we suggested to the administration was historically representation by County and State representatives were handcuffed because the travel budget was not equitable. Our State representative, our State Senator have the same type of travel budget as their, their counterparts. And so there needs to be some leadership in terms of changing the mind set that for a tri-isle county you have to adjust the budget to reflect that you're gonna to have to increase the budget to properly serve the constituents in the tri-isles. Absent doing that
then I think both the County and State leaders are not doing their job. Now as someone who testifies, I don’t want to take a couple of hours of vacation to come and testify. So again, if you don’t, if you want... if you want people to participate, I think you need to keep it at 5:30, 6:00. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you Butch.

Ms. Gima: Can I just make a comment?

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.

Ms. Gima: I think at that, that meeting with the Mayor and his cabinet came over, and that was the first time that they changed it to the day time, you had -- the participation was so low. I mean, you would have the Senior Center packed with people testifying and, and really passionate about what they’re testifying about. And that last month’s one, it was bad. There was -- the union hall was pretty empty. And then it’s, then it’s a waste for all the County people who came over and just spent all that money to come over and no one showing up and you guys aren’t getting valuable testimony. And that’s why we, we brought that up that day we were there to let them know obviously this, this isn’t going to work.

Mr. Ornellas: Another thing that, that I’ve been thinking about is, you know, we are the only County driven board or commission that have meetings on this island. Nobody else has meetings on --. You know, Police Commission is there. Liquor Commission is there. You know, everybody’s there. So, we, as community members, if you want to be, if you want to be on a board or commission like Bradley Bunn, tomorrow, he’s gotta go Cost of Government... tomorrow. So, you know, there’s a lot of people here sitting in the, that have to go to Maui. But we are the only ones that has this, this opportunity to speak to, to -- and then also listen to our constituents here so. But anyways, let’s -- we can discuss this some more.

Mr. Spence: Absolutely.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright? Alright. So... Winnie?

Ms. Basques: Winifred Basques. I live on the island of Lanai, 52 years, okay. I see all these changes, everything going on, whatever, whatever. Coming down to the nitty gritty, I refuse to see this thing come up on a week day. I refuse, why? Like Brad and Stuart... only two people. Is this go underneath the sunshine law? Are you folks abiding by the sunshine law? Because why, there is not going to be nobody here to listen to what get on the paper, and where is the testimony going come from? The air. ‘Aole pili kia. That is a no-no. When you do something, you folks is obligated to come here and do your thing. Greet the community, greet the people, and what they have to say and what is all about. It’s not like expense --. Like what Butch is saying, you folks can make arrangements with Expeditions to come at night to pick you folks up. When we have festivals, they go home at 10:30 at night with the Expedition. Then you don’t have to stay overnight. You can come in the evening, make arrangements, and go back on the boat. Even the shuttle will take you down to the harbor. So there are reasons why I
don't know what you folks doing. I can tell them, I refuse to hear what you folks have to say about coming that time. For what? For your folks obligation. What about the people here? We live on this island. You folks don't live on this island. We live here day to day, and we see issues that comes up. But who are we gonna talk to? When you folks only come once a month, Wednesday, and then that's it. Then you folks --. There is no feed back to anybody who comes here and say they manao. Saying what it's all about. I hate to say this, but he telling me for tell you folks, no; aole pill kia. That means stop it right now, and go forward what we have been doing all this time. Thank you John.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you Winnie. Appreciate it. Dirty lickings if you don't listen to her. Okay, anyone else wants to talk about this? Go ahead. Come Bart. Tell us who you are. Oh, Gerry wants to talk too? 10-4.

Mr. Bart Baldwin: Bart. My name is Bart Baldwin. I'm just representing myself. And, what I understood earlier in this meeting, people can submit written testimonies as well as presenting orally. So even if you had it at a different time people were absolutely interested in it they can still provide their testimony in written form, correct? Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Ornellas. Thank you Bart. Gerry?

Mr. Gerald "Gerry" Rabaino: Aloha.

Mr. Ornellas: No, wait a minute, Leilani's changing the tape. You not going use the whole tape now. Alright Leilani. Go ahead Gerry.

Mr. Rabaino: Okay, Gerry Rabaino on Lanai. I oppose the day time meeting because much of Lanai people that have issues want to hear face to face conversations, and they like to know their replies to their issues. So as John said earlier come during 4:30 and go back, go whenever you need to go back, or you come early in the morning, on the first boat, go back on the four o'clock boat. That's more feasible. Because we -- well, when I go for union, we go first boat and we come back on the 3:15 leaving Maui. So if we can do that, you guys can do that. But for those that are less fortunate that don't have the budget to do that, it's only fair that you come and present yourself on the island of Lanai where Lanai people can come out and talk to you folks. That's the only way they going get interested especially the younger generation because there's a lot of -- the old folks are disappearing. So we have to get all this government stuff on this island, you know, to get the feedback that we need from you folks and hear our issues. This community is growing and if you're not aware we already have a big housing issue, okay? So you need to be here. I'm just gonna leave on thought for you folks. Three families and one toilet in one house. That's our housing issue. Thank you very much.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you Gerry. Anyone else want to weigh in on this? Okay, so let's move on.

Mr. Spence: One more comment Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Ornellas: Go ahead.
Mr. Spence: I think it is --. I mean, well, I appreciate the one comment about, you know, internet meetings. I, I agree with Gerry. I would much rather be here in person. I think there's something lost through Skype and all that stuff. You know, the personal one on one is very necessary to make the commission run.

Mr. Ornellas: Thank you. Alright, so let's move on with our agenda. What is --? Open, open applications. Are you going to say something, Clayton, or you just came along for the ride?

2. Open Lanai Applications Report as distributed by the Planning Department with the December 17, 2014 agenda

Mr. Clayton Yoshida: Yes, we submitted our list of open Lanai applications if there are any questions about the list.

Mr. Ornellas: Yeah, further down, about half way down, there's a, there's two Four Seasons applications and I think one of them is the SMA. That's SM1. Is that -- am I correct?

Mr. Yoshida: Yeah, that's the one you're going to deal with next month.

Mr. Ornellas: Next January. Okay, so what about PH2?

Mr. Yoshida: Yeah, that's Phase Two Project District approval because it's in the Manele Project. Portion of it is in the Manele Project District.

Mr. Ornellas: Okay, so are we going to deal separately with each one, separately, or are we going to deal with all of them one time?

Mr. Yoshida: We're going to do concurrent processing, so we're going to deal with the Special Management Area Use Permit and the Phase Two Project District approval for improvements to the Manele Bay Hotel, together.

Mr. Ornellas: So all at the same time?

Mr. Yoshida: Yes.

Mr. Ornellas: And then also it says temporary tent, SM2.

Mr. Yoshida: Yeah, that's, that's done. Well, actually, I guess, it was an SM7. It was an SMA Minor Permit. That's when all of the hotel rooms were bought, bought out, and they had a temporary tent erected at Manele, and we did issue an SMA Minor Permit for that.

Mr. Ornellas: Alright, didn't we, didn't we ask that all permits come --. We discussed that, but I guess we didn't vote on it, on --. No, this was, this was us looking at every permit, SM Permits because there's a minor, right? There's a dollar figure that, that determines between minor
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EXHIBIT H

Maui County Charter, 2015 Edition, Section 8-8.4. Pg. 26
This edition sets forth the Charter of the County of Maui, effective as of January 1, 1983, as it was revised by the Charter Commission in 1982 and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Election held on November 2, 1982. This edition also incorporates amendments to the Charter proposed by the County Council and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Elections of 1984, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2002, 2006, 2010, 2012, and 2014, as well as an amendment proposed by a Special Charter Commission and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Election of 1988. Also incorporated in this edition are: amendments proposed by the Charter Commission in 1992 and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Election of 1992, which amendments became effective on November 24, 1992; amendments proposed by the Charter Commission in 2002 and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Election of 2002, which amendments became effective on December 5, 2002; and amendments proposed by the Charter Commission in 2012 and approved by a majority of the voters at the General Election of 2012, which amendments became effective on December 6, 2012. This 2015 edition of the Charter, therefore, replaces all previous editions and contains all those Charter provisions in effect as of January 2, 2015.

This Charter, as revised, establishes the structure and organization of the government of the County of Maui. It is a constitutional document, drafted in accordance with the will of the people, which defines the responsibilities of our local government.
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JANUARY 2, 2015
Section 8-8.4. Planning Commissions. Each planning commission shall consist of nine members appointed by the mayor with the approval of the council. The members of each planning commission shall be residents of the island of the planning commission on which the member serves. The director of the department of public works and environmental management and the director of the department of water supply shall be non-voting ex-officio members of each commission.

Each planning commission shall exercise its powers, duties, and functions as follows:

1. The Maui planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the islands of Maui and Kaho'olawe and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.
2. The Moloka'i planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Moloka'i and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto, except that portion of the island known as Kalaupapa, Kalawao, and Waikolu and commonly known and designated as the Kalaupapa Settlement.
3. The Lāna'i planning commission shall be concerned with the area encompassing the island of Lāna'i and all other islands lying within three nautical miles of the shores thereof, and the waters adjacent thereto.

The appropriate planning commission shall:

1. Advise the mayor, council, and the planning director in matters concerning planning programs.
2. Review the general plan and revisions thereof prepared by the planning director or at the request of the council. The commission shall hold public hearings on such plans and revisions thereof and shall transmit them, with its findings and recommendations to the council for consideration and action no later than one hundred eighty (180) days after the final public hearing.
3. Review other proposed land use ordinances and amendments thereto prepared by the director or the council and, after public hearings, transmit such ordinances with its findings and recommendations thereon to the council for consideration and action no later than one hundred twenty (120) days after the final public hearing.
4. Act as the authority in all matters relating to the Coastal Zone Management law.
5. Adopt rules pursuant to land use ordinances or law.
6. Have such other powers and duties as may be provided by law.

County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT I

Review of the Hana Advisory Committee

See link for Duties and Functions listed below**: http://www.mauicounty.gov/index.aspx/NID=182

** Web link provides access to the Hana Advisory Committee’s Duties & Functions.
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EXHIBIT J

Cost Per Meeting Worksheet for the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission Prepared by the TIG; and Information Filled by the Deputy of Director of Planning, Michele McLean
### COGC - Lanai and Molokai Per Meeting Travel Cost Worksheet

#### Lanai

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Expense</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage Reimbursement</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging (if applicable)</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals / per diem</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Vehicle</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Paid Time</td>
<td>$1,453.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$1,090.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Time</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Total</td>
<td>$4,183.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

- Travel: 4 staff, 1 RT ferry each @ $50/RT
- Mileage: employees use county vehicles
- Lodging: 4 staff, 1 room each @ $250/room
- *Lodging expected to triple in 2015 ($750/room)*
- Meal/per diem: 4 staff nights @ $90/overnight
- Rental vehicle: 4 staff @ $20/ea. for shuttle
- Regular time: 10 hours
- PPA: $54.21/hr.
- Planner: $28.85/hr.
- Secretary: $22.21/hr.
- Attorney (est.): $40/hr.
- Overtime: 5 hours
- PPA: $406.58
- Planner: $216.38
- Secretary: $166.58
- Attorney: $300.00

#### Molokai

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Expense</td>
<td>$480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage Reimbursement</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging (if applicable)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals / per diem</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Vehicle</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Paid Time</td>
<td>$1,162.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Time</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Total</td>
<td>$1,747.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

- Travel: 4 staff, 2 RT ferry @ $140/RT, 2 RT air @ $100/RT
- Mileage: employees use county vehicles
- Lodging:
- Meal/per diem: 1 staff @ $45, 3 staff @ $20
- Rental vehicle: staff uses County vehicle
- Regular time: 8 hours
- PPA: $54.21/hr.
- Planner: $28.85/hr.
- Secretary: $22.21/hr.
- Attorney (est.): $40/hr.
- Overtime:

Overtime and comp time have the same cash value. Staff has the option of paid OT at 1.5 x hourly pay, or 1.5 hours comp time off, for every 1 hour worked over eight hours.

**EXHIBIT J**
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EXHIBIT K

Review of the Hawaii County Planning Commissions and the Kauai County Planning Commission Operating Processes

See links to the Hawaii County Planning Commissions* and the Kauai County Planning Commission Agenda and Meeting Minutes listed below**: 
1. [http://www.hawaiicounty.gov/planning](http://www.hawaiicounty.gov/planning)

---

* Hawaii County has two (2) separate planning commissions with representation for both the Leeward District and Windward District. See web links 1 – 5.
** All Agendas and Meeting Minutes are not provided in this EXHIBIT. Web links provide access to the respective planning commissions.
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EXHIBIT L

T.I.G. Summaries

&

Lanai Planning Commission and Molokai Planning Commission
Breakdown of Agenda Items and Hours Spent per Meeting in 2014
Meeting Date & Time: July 22, 2014 at 3:00 p.m.

Location: Maui Country Club
48 Nonohe Place
Paia, Hawaii 96779

Attendees:
Kent Hiranaga, T.I.G. Chair
Stanford Lanias, Member
Yuki-Lei Sugimura, Member
John Watling, Member

Reason for Meeting: First (1st) T.I.G. Meeting

T.I.G. Chair Hiranaga, whom was a former Chair of the Maui Planning Commission, gave a detailed history of the three planning commissions and the advisory committee for Hana. Commissioner Sugimura provided historical perspective on the different commission based on her former position on the Charter Commission. She stated that there was much debate over keeping the Lanai and Molokai Planning Commissions as separate decision-making entities or moving them to an “advisory” committee as like the Hana Advisory Committee.

T.I.G. Chair Hiranaga further explained the process for the Special Management Area (“SMA”) major vs. minor permits and extensions for each island (Maui, Lanai and Molokai). The general consensus was that the three (3) Planning Commissions operate under separate rules and this method may be a reason for increased costs.

Meeting concluded at 4:15 p.m.
Cost of Government Commission
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.
Summary of T.I.G. Meeting

Meeting Date & Time:  
August 5, 2014 at 3:00 p.m.

Location:  
Maui Country Club  
48 Nonohe Place  
Paia, Hawaii 96779

Attendees:  
Kent Hiranaga, T.I.G. Chair  
John Watling, Member  
Stanford Lanias, Member

Reason for Meeting:  
Second (2nd) T.I.G. Meeting

TOPIC OF DISCUSSION:  
Should the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission be restructured into Advisory Committees?

T.I.G. Chair Hiranaga reviewed minutes from both the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission. He compiled the following statistics:

Lanai Planning Commission:
- Serving a population of approximately 3,000.
- Reviewed meeting minutes for the period of June 9, 2013 – May 28, 2014 (12 meetings).
- Four (4) Lanai Community Plan Meetings; average duration of 3.5 hours.
- Nine (9) Regular Meetings; average duration of 2.75 hours.
- Meetings addressed the following:
  - Three (3) Special Use Permit Applications
  - Two (2) Special Management Area (“SMA”) Permit Extensions
  - One (1) Change in Zoning Application
  - Four (4) Special Management Area Permit Exemptions

Molokai Planning Commission:
- Serving a population of approximately 8,000.
- Reviewed meeting minutes for the period of August 14, 2013 – April 9, 2014 (9 meetings).
- Nine (9) Regular Meetings; average duration of 1.5 hours.
  - Two (2) Short Term Rental Permit Applications
  - One (1) Environmental Assessment Review
  - One (1) Special Use Permit Extension
  - Six (6) Special Management Area (“SMA”) Permit Exemptions
  - Ten (10) Special Management Area Minor Permits

Subsequently, he compared and contrasted which items would be decided by administrative approval or be reviewed by the Maui Planning Commission.

With the information provided and reviewed, the T.I.G. determined it would be best to meet with the Planning Department to identify why the difference in operating rules and review specific costs associated with conducting business on the islands of Lanai and Molokai.

The meeting concluded at 4:00 p.m.

EXHIBIT L

Drafted By: Kent Hiranaga and John Watling
Revised By: Tina Gomes
Cost of Government Commission
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.
Summary of T.I.G. Meeting

Meeting Date & Time: October 14, 2014 at 3:00 p.m.

Location: Maui Country Club
48 Nonohe Place
Paia, Hawaii 96779

Attendees: John Watling, T.I.G. Chair
Kent Hiranaga, Member
Stanford Lanias, Member

Reason for Meeting: Third (3rd) T.I.G. Meeting

The T.I.G. brainstormed and discussed “what” further questions could be asked for Deputy Director of the Planning Department Michele McLean; as well as “what” input would be critical from the Planning Commission Chairpersons. The political sensitivity of how these questions could be perceived was of consideration. It was determined that staying focused on the costs versus operating rules was the best course.

Additionally, it was discussed to request an update on any process changes since the interview with Michele McLean in August. She mentioned the possibility of a few changes in the Molokai Planning Commission operating model.

A discussion to research and provide additional information regarding the operating processes of the Hawaii County and Kauai County Planning Commissions would provide further perspective for the annual report.

Commissioner Watling has also agreed to take on the Chair position for the T.I.G. as Commissioner Hiranaga’s position has changed due to his appointment to the Land Use Commission for the State of Hawaii ("SOH").

Meeting concluded at 4:15 p.m.

EXHIBIT L

Drafted By: John Watling
Revised By: Tina Gomes
Cost of Government Commission  
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.  
Summary of T.I.G. Meeting

**Meeting Date & Time:** December 9, 2014 at 12:00 p.m.

**Location:** Grand Wailea Resort  
3850 Wailea Alanui Drive  
Kihei, Hawaii 96753

**Attendees:** John Watling, T.I.G. Chair  
Kent Hiranaga, Member  
Stanford Lanias, Member

**Reason for Meeting:** Third (3rd) T.I.G. Meeting

The T.I.G. reviewed the cost breakdown provided by Deputy Director of the Planning Department Michele McLean, as well as the response from the Lanai Planning Commission Chair John Ornellas. The following changes were suggested:

1. Conduct meetings during normal business (daytime) hours to reduce payroll, lodging and per diem costs;

2. Propose a charter amendment to reduce Commissions from nine (9) to seven (7) members where quorum may be impacted by a change in meeting time;

3. Hold meetings once per month once community plans are complete; and

4. Adopt a Countywide uniform administrative approval process for Special Management Area ("SMA") process, administrative rules, extensions, etc.

Meeting concluded at 1:00 p.m.
Meeting Date & Time: February 3, 2015 at 12:00 p.m.

Location: Grand Wailea Resort
3850 Wailea Alanui Drive
Kihei, Hawaii 96753

Attendees:
John Watling, T.I.G. Chair
Brad Bunn, Member (Excused due to Ferry)
Kent Hiranaga, Member
Stanford Lanias, Member

Reason for Meeting: Third (5th) T.I.G. Meeting

The T.I.G. met to review the draft of the T.I.G. report, exhibits and suggested recommendations.

Additional information was prepared and provided by Kent Hiranaga. It was a breakdown of the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission from January 2014 – December 2014 of agenda items and the approximate time spent on each agenda item. See Attached.¹

It was decided to request a detailed description of the Special Management Area (“SMA”) rules by area from the Planning Department as a resource.

The T.I.G. requested from the Planning Department Deputy Director Michele McLean’s assistance in providing a summary and/or supportive language on the SMA process. (See Below).²

SMA Minor or Exemption

The SMA established by the Coastal Zone Management Act (HRS 205A); it is intended to give extra review of developments within the SMA to determine impacts to the coastal zone. HRS 205A-22 provides a list of exemptions, including a single-family residence less that 7,500 square feet, roadway repair and maintenance within existing rights-of-way, repair and maintenance of existing structures, agriculture, etc. However, the Planning Department (“Department”) must first do an assessment of such projects to determine whether they will have a significant environmental or ecological effect. If the Department determines that there will be no detrimental effects, then it [will recommend it to] can be exempt. The Lanai Planning Commission makes the final decision on Exemptions. The Molokai Planning Commission can concur with the Department’s recommendation and allow the Department to make the final decision. For the island of Maui, the Department can just issue the Exemption and then notify the Maui Planning Commission at its next meeting.

[The] SMA Minors are permits that are issued for non-exempt actions that are less than $500,000 in valuation. The Lanai Planning Commission does not review these, however the Molokai Planning Commission does. The Maui Planning Commission does not. They get notified as like the

¹ Initial breakdown was spoken briefly at the August 5, 2014 T.I.G. meeting however it did not include all of the months information for both the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission. Revisions were made by Tina Gomes to include all months in the year of 2014.
² Clarification and explanation of the SMA Minor or Exemption Rules provided by Michele McLean were re-confirmed on June 25, 2015.
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Exemptions. Non-exempt actions that are $500,000 or more need SMA Majors.

State Special Use Permits are for “certain unusual and reasonable uses within [state] agricultural and rural districts other than those for which the district is classified” per Hawaii Revised Statutes (“HRS”) 205-6, which delegates the planning commissions as the permitting authority. So there is not a list of special uses – an argument can be made that the use is “unusual and reasonable”.

Meeting concluded at 1:30 p.m.
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT M

E-Mail Communication with Butch Gima
Former Lanai Planning Commission Member
Good Afternoon Mr. Gima,

My name is Tina Gomes and I am the Chair of the Cost of Government Commission ("COGC"). I have received your email address from the current Chair of the Lanai Planning Commission ("LPC"), Mr. John Ornelas. It is my understanding that you served on the LPC in the past and that you currently have an interest in the business conducted by the LPC as a community member/resident of Lanai.

As you may be aware of, the COGC produces an annual report. Two (2) Temporary Investigative Groups ("T.I.G"), which are usually made up of 3-4 COGC members gather this information in the report. Each T.I.G takes on one (1) subject matter decided and approved by the entire Commission. The subject matter varies as it pertains to the efficiency and effectiveness by which our County government uses its resources to conduct business.

One of the T.I.Gs has taken on the task of gathering information (investigate & research) the business of the Planning Commissions, specifically the LPC and the Molokai Planning Commission. The scope of work would be to decipher whether the business conducted by the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission is fitting for the cost associated with conducting such business.

Subsequently, may I ask of you to review the questions below and provide me your feedback?

1. Due to the high cost of travel, it has been mentioned before in previous COGC meetings of the idea LPC becoming "advisory". Do you feel that being "advisory" would be a similar representation of the community of Lanai as it is with the representation of the LPC?

   -No, we already went the Advisory route. It did not meet our needs thus the effort in the 90s to get our own commission.

2. Do you think the cost associated with conducting monthly meetings for the LPC is an appropriate use of funds?

   Yes, but then again we're biased. It is an appropriate and effective use of funds to have home rule. What's the alternative? Non-Lanaians on Maui making decisions about what's important for our community?

3. How would you feel about video-conferencing, teleconferencing or any another approach?

   This is an option but given the hardware, software and connectivity problems we've had for many years this is a risky proposition. Teleconferencing will not work.

---

1 This is a copy and paste of the actual communication transmitted. Minor revisions made due to formatting.
2 Former Lanai Planning Commission Member.
3 Answers provided by Butch Gima are inserted between questions in BOLD BLACK.
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I've had experience with T-1 lines at the school and the hospital and we typically have run into connectivity problems.

4. Are you in support of the reduction in Commission members from nine (9) to seven (7) members for the purposes of securing quorum?

I'm not sure if quorum issues have adversely affected the cost of having our own commission.

5. Currently, the Molokai Planning Commission has, on occasion, waived their right to review SMA Exceptions. Do you feel that it is necessary for the LPC to review all SMA Exceptions? If so, why?

I will yield to the current and prior commissions on this.

6. To your knowledge, do you believe that the County wide administrative approval process for minor SMA, exemptions, etc. is uniform (the same) for all (MPC, LPC, Molokai Planning Commission and Hana Advisory Committee)?

I again will yield to the current and prior commissions but I believe it is not uniform between the commissions and advisory committee.

7. The expected growth and expansion of the Lanai Community is highly dependent on the development lead by Mr. Larry Ellison. It is the hope of the residents of Lanai that they are heard and that any concerns, suggestions and/or recommendations are considered and respected. Do you feel that the LPC is a good representation of the community to Pulama Lanai?

This is a loaded question. Yes, I feel the LPC composition has had a good cross section of the community. Being "good for Pulama Lanai" should not be criteria for determining the composition of the LPC.

8. In your personal opinion, do you feel that the LPC is meeting the expectation(s) of the community?

Yes, for home rule. Yes, for community interest and participation.

9. Would you attend a LPC day meeting (between the hours of 9am - 4pm)? Or, a Saturday LPC day-meeting?

I can because of my job and number of vacation hours but not for the majority of the community. We need the evening meetings. I can do Saturday meetings.

10. Without the LPC, do you feel that the County of Maui Planning Department is receptive to the concerns of the residents of Lanai?

An emphatic NO.

11. Is there anything else you feel as a community member would help in this subject matter, please feel free to indicate?

To cut costs, contract Expeditions to ferry back staff as they do on certain community events that end at 8 or 9p, or for the Lahaina Halloween celebration.

The mindset has to change both at the Council level, at the Leg and in your committee. We
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have a tri-isle county. You cannot operate like Kauai or Oahu. Travel is part of the cost of government and so is fairness and equity.

- B

If I may request your feedback as soon as possible. I will be working on a narrative for review during the next several weeks.

Mahalo for your time and consideration to this matter! And, please feel free to contact me at [Redacted] should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tina Gomes
Chairwoman
Cost of Government Commission
Maui County
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT N

E-Mail Communications with John Ornellas
Chair of the Lanai Planning Commission

* At the time of the communication transmitted, John Ornellas was a member and current Chair of the Lanai Planning Commission.
Cost of Government Commission  
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.  
Summary of E-Mail Communication

John Ornellas

Date of Email Sent: March 7, 2015  
Date of Follow-Up to Email: March 9, 2015  
Date of Response to Email: March 10, 2015

Aloha Tina,

Please read below in red my responses.

Dear Chair Ornellas,

It has been some time since we both served together on the Maui Police Commission. I hope all is well!

As you are aware, the Cost of Government Commission ("COGC") produces an annual report. Two (2) Temporary Investigative Groups ("T.I.G"), which are usually made up of 3-4 COGC members will gather this information. Each T.I.G takes on one (1) subject matter decided and approved by the entire Commission. The subject matter varies as it pertains to the efficiency and effectiveness by which our County government uses its resources to conduct business.

One of the T.I.Gs has taken on the task of gathering information (investigate & research) the business of the Planning Commissions, specifically the Lanai Planning Commission ("LPC") and the Molokai Planning Commission. The scope of work would be to decipher whether the business conducted by the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission is fitting for the cost associated with conducting such business.

Back in September 2014 you viewed a COGC meeting on Akaku and communicated with me regarding the above subject matter as we were in the preliminary stage of brainstorming and concluding which topics should be considered. There was a shared interest in a number of meetings regarding the subject matter. You wasted no time and immediately provided correspondence to the COGC. You shared your thoughts and concerns regarding the consideration and recommendation for LPC to become "advisory". It was then formally put on the agenda as Correspondence and filed. At the same time, I also advised the T.I.G to contact you and follow up with you as they progress in their fact-finding phase. Following in December 2014, the Chair of the T.I.G, COGC Commissioner John Watling, had emailed you with a few brief questions.

Subsequently, may I ask of you to review the questions below and provide me your feedback?

1. Due to the high cost of travel, it has been mentioned before in previous meetings the idea LPC becoming "advisory". Though you have shared your position on this in a previous email specifying that the Lanai community members would like to have a voice, how does becoming "advisory" limit or inhibit the representation of the community?

Response: Sorry Tina That's not what I said. At no time did I say that the LPC should be "Advisory". This community fought for many years in the 80's & 90's to become its own Planning Commission.

---

1 This is a copy and paste of the actual communication transmitted. Minor revisions were made due to formatting.  
2 Chair of the Lanai Planning Commission.  
3 Answers provided by John Ornellas are inserted between questions in RED.
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2. Do you think the cost associated with conducting monthly meetings an appropriate use of funds? Has LPC considered video-conferencing, teleconferencing or any another approach?

Response: Yes I do. We are residents of Lana'i and the County of Maui and deserve the same services as you receive on Maui Island. The LPC has talked to the Planning Dept. about other means to hold meetings but Corp Council would approve none. At a recent LPC meeting most members were ok to hold LPC meetings on Lana'i on Saturdays. The Planning staff would come over on the ferry in the am and depart in the pm. No overnight stays.

3. Are you in support of the reduction in Commission members from nine (9) to seven (7) members for the purposes of securing quorum?

Response: No. We have no problem securing a quorum for our meetings despite what you might here from the Planning Department. Please check the LPC web site for commissioners’ attendance records. Keep it the same.

4. Do you feel that holding meetings once (1x) per month rather than twice (2x) month sufficient until community plan is completed?

Response: The LPC is only scheduled once a month. The Lana'i Community Plan has gone to the Maui County Council.

5. To your knowledge, do you believe that the County wide administrative approval process for minor SMA, extensions, etc. is uniform (the same) for all (MPC, LPC, Molokai Planning Commission and Hana Advisory Committee)?

Response: Let the Molokai Planning Commission and the Hana Advisory Board decide their own rules. One of the problems we have on Lana'i, Molokai and Hana is that Maui continually interfere with our ability to know how to manage our kuliana / homerule. If you would read our minutes you would see that the LPC want to see everything that affects our island. The LPC changed some its rules so that can happen. Every year the Maui County Council's Budget Committee budgets for the planning commissions. This year our Councilman Riki Hokama is the Budget Chair and is well aware how to budget for these commissions since he has done this before. Please go and see him and as to our passion for our Planning Commission.

6. The expected growth and expansion of the Lanai Community is highly dependent on the development lead by Mr. Larry Ellison. It is the hope of the residents of Lanai that they are heard and that any concerns, suggestions and/or recommendations are considered and respected. Has the LPC met with him or his advisors at any time since his involvement & ownership of Lanai? If so, please share your experience. If not, has LPC ever made a request to establish a relationship?

Response: Larry Ellison has not met with any local groups nor does he want to. He leaves that to his management group. Many groups have met with Kurt Matsumoto the COO and his management team. The LPC has talked with Kurt and staff many times when it pertains to permits and discussions about the community plan. The LPC is the only group that regular meets on Lana'i that has the backing and enforcement of the County of Maui government.

7. Overall, how do you feel the residents of Lanai feel about the LPC and the work being conducted?

Response: Most feel that the LPC does a great job. Only the old time Maui residents can remember how a single controlling "Company" has your employment, housing, your health and your children's future in their palm of their hands. The LPC asks the hard questions since most of the 1100+
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employees of Pulama-Lana'i and Four Seasons are not comfortable asking those questions fearing retribution.

8. Are there any other recommendations you feel could improve the way business is conducted for LPC?

Response: Sure it could be better but let the LPC and the communities make those decisions and not some group from Maui who knows nothing about Lana'i. I'm sure those on Molokai would say the same.

9. According to the Planning Department a survey was disseminated requesting feedback from the LPC to consider switching to day meeting(s) to conduct business. I believe that there were only two (2) responses. The others did not return a response. Since then, has the LPC considered having a day meeting to help decrease the cost in travel? If not, please explain.

Response: Shame on them. The LPC discussed it at a recent meeting after the survey was passed out. The majority of the commissioners said no to the mid-day meeting since only 2 members were retired and could attend those meeting and the rest all work during the day and LPC meeting time of 5:30 pm was best for the group. That's when the LPC also discussed that Saturdays could be a solution. We all agreed (including the Planning Dept.) that more discussions were needed.

10. The Molokai Planning Commission has waived their right to review SMA Exemptions and allowed the department to administratively review them. Would the LPC consider the same?

Response: No! The LPC want to see and vote on everything that affects our island. Sometimes the LPC feels that the Planning Dept. staff is advocating for the developer. In the past year the LPC wanted to review the SMA boundaries on Lana'i and the Planning Dept. did not want to hear it and even cancelled meetings not to hear our discussion. There have been other issues that met the same fate. Being a Planning Commissioner requires a lot work and reading. Training is a must, which does not happen especially the Chair. In fact there should be training classes for all chairs within the Maui County Commissions and Boards system.

It has come to my attention that you will be ending your term served on the Lanai Planning Commission this month, March 2015. May I wish you the Best of Luck in your next adventure!

If you should need to speak with me, please feel free to contact me at [REDacted].

Your feedback is greatly appreciated!

Mahalo
John O.

Sincerely,

Tina Gomes
Chairwoman
Cost of Government Commission
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Aloha Mr. Ornellas,

My Name is John Watling and I am with the Cost of Government Commission for Maui County. The T.I.G. (Temporary Investigative Group) I am associated with is looking into expenses for the Planning Department, specifically travel costs. We would appreciate your feedback relating to the salient points, which have been raised below.

Aloha John,

Thanks for asking for my comments. The Lana‘i Planning Commission is very important to this community. It’s the only source of information pertaining to Lana‘i when it comes to land issues. See my remarks below in RED.

1. Are the regular meeting times scheduled to better facilitate public testimony, etc. or to ensure quorum?

   BOTH. Most of the public and virtually all of the commissioners work day jobs.

2. In reviewing the Lanai and Molokai Minutes and agendas, items such as SMA minors are being reviewed by the commissions vs. approved or denied at an administrative level. This seems to differ from other planning commissions in the state. Could you please share your thoughts on why this is the case for your area?

   Because that is what the community and the commission feels is in the best interest of the community. The LPC will be reviewing our SMA rules including that the LPC approve ALL SMA permits and setbacks.

3. Are agenda items regularly deferred to a following meeting if there is insufficient business or a lack of quorum is known in advance?

   This sounds like 2 different things. Our deferrals are not known in advance and are done only when we need more information. Insufficient business and/or lack of quorum are generally known in advance. A lack of quorum has not been an issue. In the last 12 years of LPC meetings the LPC had only 2 meetings where a lack of quorum was an issue.

We would greatly appreciate your thoughts on these points as well as any other commentary relating to the frequency of meetings, approval processes, travel costs, etc. by December 9th. Our investigative group fully supports your efforts and continues to look at every opportunity to gain efficiencies.

---

1 This is a redraft of the actual communication transmitted. Minor revisions were made due to formatting.
2 Chair of the Lanai Planning Commission.
3 Answers provided by John Ornellas are inserted between questions in RED.
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I have persistently requested that the Planning Dept., Corp Council and the Maui County Council look into temporarily housing PD staff in unapproved B&B's on Lanai so housing would be an issue. It's like pulling teeth. Lana'i does have a B&B that is in the process of being "approved". I hope to have the PD stay there instead of the Four Seasons on early 2015.

Mahalo,
John Watling
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT O

Interview Notes with Keone Ball
Vice-Chair of the Maui Planning Commission

* At the time of the In-Person Interview, Keone Ball was the Vice-Chair of the Maui Planning Commission.
Cost of Government Commission
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.
Summary of In-Person Interview
Keone Ball

Date: March 3, 2015 at 12:00 p.m.

Location: Maui Mall
Thailand Cuisine
70 E. Kaahumanu Avenue
Kahului, Hawaii 96732

Attendee: Tina Gomes, Chair

Interviewee: Keone Ball
Vice-Chair of the Maui Planning Commission
Maui County

Reason for Meeting: To discuss the following questions below. The questions are additional research related to the current County Planning Commissions TIG for the 2014-2015 COGC Annual Report.

1. Due to the high costs of travel, it has been considered for the Lanai Planning Commission ("LPC") and the Molokai Planning Commission to be "advisory". Do you agree with this consideration?

I don’t agree with this.

2. If the Lanai Planning Commission and the Molokai Planning Commission were to be restructured to an "advisory" committee as like the Hana Advisory Committee, how would this impact the Maui Planning Commission?

It would impact the Maui Planning Commission greatly. It may be "thought of" that it may be cost-effective and cost-efficient for the LPC and the Molokai Planning Commission to be advisory but the reality is that is could cost more.

For example, if there was a site-visit that needed to be done on the island of Lanai. All nine (9) Commissioners from Maui would, potentially, be travelling plus the staff. Lanai would also advise the Maui Planning Commission and no decision's would be made without going over there. Costs would not be avoided. In my opinion, it would be worst.

That would be the same for the island of Molokai. Molokai Planning Commission would only be advisory but no decisions would be made. The Maui Planning Commission would make the decisions.

3. How does the Hana Advisory Committee work?

The Hana Advisory Committee takes on the duties delegated by the Maui Planning Commission. They make no decisions. It still falls upon the Maui Planning Commission.

1 At the time of the In-Person Interview, Keone Ball was the Vice-Chair of the Maui Planning Commission.

2 Answers provided by Keone Ball are inserted between the questions in Bold Black.
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4. Are there any cost-effective and cost-efficient suggestions to how business can be conducted on the islands of Lanai and Molokai?

Teleconferencing is an option. There are pros & cons to this approach.

5. Is there anything you would like to add pertaining to the subject matter?

Let's say they were to be restructured, then what?! Staff would still need to be provided and meetings would still need to be conducted even at the advisory level, as like the Hana Advisory Committee.

The residents of Lanai and Molokai would “not have a voice.” They know their communities best! Lanai and Molokai have always felt like a “step-child” to the island of Maui, as like Maui is to the island of Oahu.

It is unfair to think that the Maui Planning Commission would want to take on more than it already does. Our meetings are lengthy and twice a month. This is a discussion that should be taken up with the Maui Planning Commission as a whole if there are any recommendations of restructuring to an “advisory” committee.

There is no cost that can be placed upon having a transparent (open) government that includes all communities. The public benefit is – priceless!

Meeting concluded at 1:00 p.m.
County Planning Commissions T.I.G.

EXHIBIT P

Interview Notes & E-Mail Communications with Michele McLean
Deputy Director of the Planning Department
Hi Mike,

I have an inquiry from a COG commissioner regarding the current Maui Planning Commission. I didn't realize that we have a Lanai and Molokai Planning Commission as well. A suggestion was made that the Maui Planning Commission should, at best, have one (1) representative from Lanai and one (1) representative from Molokai rather than to have the separate commissions. Another consideration for thought is to make the Lanai Planning Commission an Advisory Board and similarly for the Molokai Planning Commission to be an Advisory Board.

Prior to this suggestion provided by other commissioner, I assumed that the Maui Planning Commission’s composition was already like that (one representative from Lanai and one from Molokai) since I know that was the ideal for the Police Commission, aside from it being gender (M/F) balanced. It was never a guarantee but it was something to attempt to when filling the commissioner positions.

Correct me if I am wrong, but wasn’t the initial Maui Planning Commission’s composition consisted of one (1) representative from Lanai and one (1) representative from Molokai? Then, at some point, Lanai and Molokai received their own. May I ask for your assistance in explaining why the change?

Please advise.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Tina Gomes
COGC Chair

Hi Tina,

Mike Molina asked us to provide some background information on this question.

Yes, you are right that the Maui Planning Commission used to have one representative from Lanai and one from Molokai. The Charter was amended (I believe in 1988) to create separate planning commissions for each of the three islands. Why? My guess is that Lanai and Molokai have often had political power beyond their populations (Goro Hokama and Pat Kawano were longtime council chairmen). Because the Charter now calls for the three planning commissions, and defines their duties and authority, any changes to those duties or authority would have to be done through a Charter amendment.

In terms of pure financial cost to government, it would be more cost-effective to have one countywide

---

1 This is a copy and paste of the actual communications transmitted. Minor revisions were made due to formatting.
2 Deputy Director of the Planning Department, County of Maui.
3 E-Mail initially sent to Mr. Mike Molina, E.A. of the Mayor’s Office. E-Mail forwarded to Michele McLean.
4 Answers provided by Michele McLean are in BLUE. The E-Mail was also cc’d to Mr. Mike Molina and Mr. John Buck, E.A.’s of the Mayor’s Office; Mr. William Spence, Director of the Planning Department; and Mr. Herman Andaya, Chief of Staff of the Mayor’s Office.
planning commission with Lanai and Molokai representation. Having separate commissions -- whether advisory or fully empowered -- is expensive for staff travel and related costs. Most of the costs are due to the Lanai and Molokai commissions having rules that give them authority beyond the authority of the Maui Planning Commission. Where the Maui Planning Commission typically reviews "major" development applications, the Lanai and Molokai commissions review minor applications and even exemptions (on Maui, these are handled by the Planning Director). If all three commissions had the same rules, then we would not have to travel to Lanai or Molokai nearly as often as we do.

Combining these three commissions into one, and/or amending the Lanai and Molokai rules so that they review fewer projects, would probably not sit well with the Lanai and Molokai communities. Combining the commissions would require a Charter amendment, which would be a difficult political undertaking. Amending their rules can only be done by the commissions themselves, which would be unlikely given the current make up of the commissions. So the only way the status quo can change is if there is political will to propose a charter amendment and/or to appoint commissioners who would propose and support rule changes. Hope this answers your questions.

Aloha,
Michele.
Hi John,

Here are the answers to your questions:

1. Have there been any operating changes with regards to administrative approval processes (i.e., SMA Minor approvals, etc.?)

   Yes, for Molokai. Instead of them reviewing applications for SMA exemptions, we will inform them of new applications for exemptions and request that they waive their review and allow the department to issue the exemption administratively. This saves a lot of staff time, as well as time and items on their meeting agendas.

   No changes have been made for Lanai.

2. Have there been any changes to scheduled meeting times?

   As we previously discussed, Molokai has reduced their meetings from twice per month to once a month, still at 12:00 noon.

   No changes gave been made for Lanai. We surveyed the commissioners to ask their availability for day meetings; one member returned his survey and is willing to meet during the day, two objected to day meetings altogether, and the others did not return their surveys. We will discuss this matter with them at their December 17 meeting -- the Planning Director will go to explain why we want to move to daytime meetings.

3. May I ask you to update the current approximate cost of each commission meeting in the attached sheet?

   Yes, attached.

4. How many meetings have been scheduled where either an agenda item could have been deferred to the following meeting or there were no actionable items on the agenda in the past 12 months?

   For Lanai, one meeting was held that could be considered unnecessary. All other meetings were needed to either hear applications or bills transmitted by Council (which are on a timeline). We...
cancelled four meetings (January, March, September and November) because we did not have “action items” to schedule.
For Molokai, all meetings were needed. We held 10 meetings in 2014 (we had 24 on the calendar), one per month, with two months having no meetings at all due to having no “action items.”

Hope this helps. Feel free to follow-up with any questions or if you need more information.

Aloha,
Michele
Meeting Date & Time: March 10, 2015 at 1:30 p.m.

Location: The Planning Department
One Main Plaza
2200 Main Street, Suite #315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Interviewee: Ms. Michele McLean,
Deputy Director of the Planning Department
Maui County

Reason for Meeting: To discuss the following questions below. The questions are additional research related to the current County Planning Commissions T.I.G for the 2014 - 2015 COGC Annual Report.

1. It was mentioned at the June 12, 2014 COGC meeting that there has been some resistance or apprehension from the LPC to consider conducting business once (1x) a month. In a response provided via email to Commissioner John Watling, Chair of T.I.G, it was mentioned that it would be addressed in an upcoming December meeting. Is there an update to LPC’s position on the matter?

   It has been addressed by Director of Planning, William Spence, and should be reflective in the meeting minutes for that meeting. They were receptive to Saturday day-meetings. The dialogue continues as no decision has been made.

2. It was suggested that an ordinance for all Planning Commissions to meet in the day. You were amendable to that proposal. Do you feel that would be a reasonable recommendation to make?

   Yes, it would be a reasonable recommendation to make. All other Boards and Commissions conduct their business during normal business hours in the day.

3. Due to the high cost in travel, it has been mentioned in previous COGC meetings to recommend the LPC and the Molokai Planning Commission to become “advisory” as like the Hana Advisory Committee. Do you feel that this would be cost effective? If so, would the “advisory” role restrict the people of Lanai or Molokai to have a voice or would it still be as effective as it stands now? If they were to be “advisory”, would this affect the Maui Planning Commission (“MPC”) and how?

   If the LPC and Molokai Planning Commission were to become advisory committees, it will cut some costs. However, the added on responsibilities will be placed upon the Maui Planning Commission.

4. Please explain how business is conducted for the Hana Advisory Committee (e.g., staff costs, site

---

1 Deputy Director of the Planning Department, County of Maui.
2 Questions we provided to Deputy Director of Planning, Michele McLean, via email on March 9, 2015.
3 Lanai Planning Commission Web Link listed below (See pgs. 20 – 29):
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visits, etc.)?

They are only advisory. The Maui Planning Commission delegates their duties. They do not make the final decisions.

5. For the purposes of clarification, please explain what would be considered an “action item”?

An action item requires a vote from the Commission.

6. According to a previous response pertaining to SMA exceptions, Molokai Planning Commission has waived their review and allowed the department to issue exception administratively. Has this consideration been brought to the attention of the LPC? And, do you feel the adoption of a uniform administrative process to solve this issue?

The LPC prefers to be involved in every aspect and the consideration to waive their right to review will probably not happen. There is a sense of control that the LPC feels, and assuming they were to consider waiving their right to review and allowing the Planning Director more authority, it may make residents on Lanai feel as though they may not have control of what is happening on their island. The likelihood is slim.

The adoption of a uniform administrative process that could help would be to allow the Planning Department to have more discretion of what can be handled administratively at our level.

7. The expected growth and expansion of the Lanai Community is highly dependent on the development lead by Mr. Larry Ellison. Has the Maui Planning Department had any communication with him or his advisors to establish a relationship?

A relationship has been formed between the Planning Department and Pulama Lanai. It seems to be a very good relationship. Pulama Lanai seems to be very receptive to the concerns of the people of Lanai.

8. Please clarify what a PPA is?

PPA is Planning Program Administrator. There are four (4) divisions (long range, etc.) each headed by a PPA.

9. Do you feel that a proposed Charter amendment to reduce the number of Commission members from nine (9) to seven (7) for the purposes of securing quorum to be a sensible recommendation?

Possibly, but most Boards/Commission currently have nine (9) members. In the end, it would still end up being who is on the Commission and is able to give their time. You could reduce the number of Commissioners from nine (9) to seven (7); and the chosen seven could still have unforeseen circumstances arise or employment issues (e.g., no time off) making it difficult to commit on a regular basis.

10. Are there any other recommendations you feel could improve the way business is conducted for the LPC and the Molokai Planning Commission?

The Planning Department knows how important it is for the outer-islands (Lanai and Molokai) to feel that they are being heard and that they have support. We would not want to change that. We
would not want to go backwards either and have Lanai and Molokai in advisory roles, but we so need to have the dialogue, especially with the LPC, regarding the costs associated with conducting business.

Meeting concluded at 2:10 p.m.
Summary of E-Mail Communication

Michele McLean

Date of Email Sent: June 3, 2015
Date of Response to Email: June 3, 2015

Good Morning Michele,

Thank you for your response to my questions sent earlier.

I had a few more, if you don’t mind. I wanted to know if the LPC is still meeting 2x a month? I believe the only reason they were meeting 2x a month was due to the community plan review. I was told that it is done. So, are they meeting only 1x a month? Please correct me if I am wrong. Also, has the discussion to conduct business on a Saturday been on agenda since the last time we met in March?

Thank you,

Tina

Hi Tina,

They are back to a once-a-month schedule, but the past few meetings have been canceled because we had no “action items” for them. They get upset when we do this, but we do this when we believe it is justified.

There has been no further discussion on the schedule. The past chair, John Omellas, completed his term at the end of March, and we’ve been waiting until all the vacancies are filled before raising the subject again.

As you probably know, I’m starting vacation today; my flight leaves shortly so I won’t be accessible again for a while!

Aloha,

Michele

---
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EXHIBIT Q

Telephone Call Interview with Mike Jennings
Chair of the Molokai Planning Commission
Date of Telephone Interview: June 3, 2015 at 11:00 a.m.

I Introduced myself, and the reason for my phone call to Molokai Planning Chair Jennings. I also expressed that there has been previous attempts of communication but it was met with no success. I was glad to have, finally, spoken with him. He also expressed the same appreciation for the phone call.

I asked him the following questions:

1. Is the Molokai Planning Commission meetings conducted in the day?
   Yes, they are conducted in the day. Usually at 12:00 p.m. (noon). Lengths of time for the meetings vary. It could be as short as 35-40 minutes – up to 2 hours.

2. How many meetings are conducted in a month?
   They are currently once a month.
   There are no major construction projects going on, just remodeling. In the Summer there may be only one (1) meeting if the agenda is light.

3. How does he feel about the work that the Molokai Planning Commission is doing?
   In his opinion, I feel that the Commission is doing great work.

4. How does he feel about the support and the relationship with the Planning Department?
   The relationship with the Planning Department is going well.

5. Is the Commission aware of the costs associated with conducting business on Molokai?
   The Commission is aware of the costs associated with conducting business. I understand not to waste anyone’s time whether it’s the County or that of the Commissioners. If it is not necessary to have a meeting then we won’t.

6. Due to the high cost in travel, the consideration of the LPC and the Molokai Planning Commission to be restructured and become “advisory” as like the Hana Advisory Committee. Do you feel this would be cost effective? Do you feel that it would restrict the Molokai Planning Commission?

I don’t feel that it would be effective for the Commission to revert back to an advisory role. I am not completely aware of the history of the planning commissions and how it all started. But, I do know that we want to be able to make decisions for our island. We have “great pride to help the community.”

Chair of the Molokai Planning Commission.

Answers provided by Mike Jennings are inserted between questions in BOLD BLACK.
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7. Is there anything else you would like to add?

    This is a small island and we all have jobs but we have an interest of what we want Molokai to be. Whatever it would take to participate in the Commission, I feel my fellow colleagues would do. We care and want to step up and do something for our community.

    I am not a “local”\(^3\). I am a “haole”\(^4\) who cares about this island and the people who live here. I am also very grateful that my colleagues felt I could lead this Commission.

I thanked Molokai Planning Commission Chair Jennings for his time. I advised him that this phone interview was part of the 2014 – 2015 COGC Annual Report. He felt comfortable with his answers.

Telephone interview concluded at 11:30 a.m.

\(^3\) Term used when you are born & raised in Hawaii.

\(^4\) Term used when you are not born & raised in Hawaii.

EXHIBIT Q
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EXHIBIT R

E-Mail Communications from Pat Reilly
Former Member of the Lanai Planning Commission
Aloha Ms. Gomes, John shared some of the concerns related to our Lana'i Planning Commission (LPC) being considered by the Commission.

I am a 36 year resident of Lana'i. I served on the LPC for about five years during the 1990's. I recall attending meetings prior to the formation of LPC when our communication with the Council was through a Lana'i Advisory Committee.

The creation of both the Moloka'i Planning Commission and LPC came about the same time. The community strongly supported the creation of LPC at the purchase of Castle and Cooke by David Murdock and his proposed development plans discontinuing pineapple production and investing in hotels and luxury home sales.

Lana'i needed to have the authority as provided by law to participate in planning and regulating to some extent the details of the anticipated major changes to the island.

With the sale of Lana'i to Larry Ellison the critical issue is strong community participation in future planning by the residents of Lana'i as protected by law through the LPC.

I understand the need to reduce costs of government, however given the very different structure of land ownership on Lana'i and the fragility of resources on the island I believe any change at this time to the role of the LPC is misguided as a matter of local participation and transparency.

Now is not the time to take us back to an "advisory" structure that really had no authority to make decisions before a body of Lana'i residents.

1. Due to the high cost of travel, it has been mentioned before in previous meetings the idea LPC becoming "advisory". Though you have shared your position on this in a previous email specifying that the Lanai community members would like to have a voice, how does becoming "advisory" limit or inhibit the representation of the community?

 Personally, I have never heard John Ornellas indicate he favored changing the role of LPC to "advisory." We discuss issues regularly and I believe this recommendation may be a garbled communication.

2. Do you think the cost associated with conducting monthly meetings an appropriate use of funds? Has LPC considered video-conferencing, teleconferencing or any another approach?

 I believe this question is based on a premise that is invalid. LPC represents the true goal of

---
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government as community participation and transparency of decisions. I believe the commission is looking for savings in exactly the wrong arena. Government should spend to ensure full participation.

Some residents do currently use the telecommunications at the Council Offices on Lana'i. If such an arrangement is to be fully effective the County would be required to invest significant funds to provide a facility for large numbers of participants and the highest speed and capacity dedicated broadband system. I am not sure if these figures have been considered.

2. Are you in support of the reduction in Commission members from nine (9) to seven (7) members for the purposes of securing quorum?

Reducing the size of LPC may not solve the problem of achieving a quorum. The member's specific personal employment and family demands often impact ability to attend. In fact reducing the number may exacerbate achieving a quorum. Often meetings are cancelled because there is no specific item to hear. Cancelled meetings will save expenses. Often items for decision may be consolidated, but regulatory timelines must be retained.

3. Do you feel that holding meetings once (1x) per month rather than twice (2x) month sufficient until community plan is completed?

The CPAC and LPC meetings are completed. The draft Community Plan is before the Council. I believe the Council will bring its amended version to Lana'i for a public hearing shortly as the Planning Committee is completing its review.

4. To your knowledge, do you believe that the County wide administrative approval process for minor SMA, extensions, etc. is uniform (the same) for all (MPC, LPC, Molokai Planning Commission and Hana Advisory Committee)?

I believe that the timelines for permits for the most part are determined by Maui County Code or regulations. The Planning Department staff always apprises LPC of the timelines involved based on the requirements of the items before LPC. I would think it would create a greater expense for the County if different areas established different timelines. The key element is that each island and local community should have the same framework unless it is determined that each island or community requires extensions due to other factors.

5. The expected growth and expansion of the Lanai Community is highly dependent on the development lead by Mr. Larry Ellison. It is the hope of the residents of Lanai that they are heard and that any concerns, suggestions and/or recommendations are considered and respected. Has the LPC met with him or his advisors at any time since his involvement & ownership of Lanai? If so, please share your experience. If not, has LPC ever made a request to establish a relationship?

Your question is based on an inaccurate premise. Such a meeting would need to be open to the public as this is the whole point of transparency of government. As permits come before LPC the representatives of Mr. Ellison are required to present their plans and are available in public for questions from the commissioners and the public if permitted. Certainly Pulama and Four Seasons have every opportunity to hold general community meetings to keep the residents fully informed of their planning for the future. As an example, Pulama Lana'i has held regular meetings for almost a year on the proposed renovations of the Manele Bay Hotel, which will close in June for full renovations. Employees will maintain their compensation and benefits while working at other sites throughout the community.

EXHIBIT R
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6. Overall, how do you feel the residents of Lanai feel about the LPC and the work being conducted?

Not all residents think alike. Lana'i remains unique in that of the approximately 1750-member labor force as many as 1500 work for essentially one employer through Pulama Lana'i or Four Seasons. In this sense Lana'i is very unique in Hawai'i and most places in the U.S. Issues focus on our residents to earn sufficient income to pay for housing, food, utilities, healthcare, send children to post-secondary education and more. With the current prices of housing on Lana'i many will never be able to save for a home or qualify for a mortgage. Protecting the fragile resources of water, hunting, fishing, native rights are all very unique on Lana'i.

For this very reason the LPC serves these residents best in providing them with the citizen opportunities to testify during permit hearings.

7. Are there any other recommendations you feel could improve the way business is conducted for LPC?

I am not sure that the focus on saving expenses should be focused on reduction of services of the LPC. As a skeptic I might reflect that this "savings" is more a political issue of parties that may not agree with some of the decisions of the LPC. Now that is a whole other issue. Lana'i needs a fully functioning planning commission and government should feel obligated to fund it.

8. According to the Planning Department a survey was disseminated requesting feedback from the LPC to consider switching to day-meeting(s) to conduct business. I believe that there were only two (2) responses. The others did not return a response. Since then, has the LPC considered having a day meeting to help decrease the cost in travel? If not, please explain.

The member's personal demands for employment and family determine their ability to attend day meetings. Obviously some work evenings and nights as the hotel operates day and night. I would hope that Pulama and Four Seasons might accommodate an employee who is serving on a board or commission as a community service.

9. The Molokai Planning Commission has waived their right to review SMA Exemptions and allowed the department to administratively review them. Would the LPC consider the same?

SMA and development within SMA are very important issues for residents as far as I can see. SMA boundaries and development within and near these boundaries will determine forever the availability of resources important to residents. Maintain the SMA permit for LPC.

Mahalo,
Pat Reilly
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Aloha Pat,

Tina Gomes here of the COGC. I am finalizing the COGC’s 2014-2015 Annual Report and I wanted to clarify your position. I am indicating that you are a former Lanai Planning Commissioner and a Resident of Lanai. May I ask, specifically, what years did you serve on the LPC as I want to include them? I know you mentioned the 90’s.

Additionally, I was able to locate the proposed resolution (no. 88-67) that amended the Maui County Charter (1983) so that Lanai and Molokai would have their own commission. In your response, you indicated that Lanai was “advisory”. Michele McLean indicated in previous correspondence that, in the past, Lanai and Molokai had one representative each appointed to the Maui Planning Commission. I tried to locate the history and I am having some difficulties. Was Lanai and Molokai advisory (e.g., similar to Hana Advisory Committee) or was there just the one (1) representative on the Maui Planning Commission? I would like to get clarification as an advisory committee like the one currently composed for Hana is a group of individuals vs. a singular individual appointed to the commission as a whole. Please advise.

Again, thank you for your time and attention to this subject matter. I am hoping that the report will represent the importance of having representation from the outer islands (e.g., Lanai and Molokai). It is my goal to not only indicate cost-minimization efforts but to assure that the voices of the people are counted.

Thank you,

Tina Gomes
Cost of Government Chair

Aloha Tina,

Pat Reilly here and resident of Lana‘i since 1978.

In 1978 the Council had Lana‘i Advisory Committee to the Council. I believe Moloka‘i also had an Advisory Committee to the Council.

The members of the Advisory Committee were appointed and approved by the Council through an application procedure similar to that for boards and commissions.

---
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Shortly after David Murdock acquired Castle and Cooke in 1985...and Lana'i with it...Lanaians for Sensible Growth and several organizations lobbied for a separate official Planning Commission. I believe Moloka'i preceded us.

I believe the unique land ownership and characteristics of Lana'i society including employment; land and culture stimulated the strong acceptance of the need which still remains. Preserve the Lana'i Planning Commission.
- Mahalo
  Pat Reilly
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