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1. Executive Summary

The County of Maui Department of Public Works, in conjunction with the Department of Planning, commissioned Dixon Resources Unlimited to examine and recommend parking solutions for Lahaina Town and Wailuku Town. This Parking Action Plan (PAP) addresses the unique parking and mobility challenges that each town faces.

Lahaina Town was found to be in dire need of a parking solution that will meet the varying needs of residents, visitors and businesses. Currently, it can be challenging for locals and tourists to find convenient parking, making it difficult to visit Lahaina Town. The most desirable parking, located on the County roads and within the County parking lots, is not being optimized or managed effectively. Other than the Shaw Street Lot, all County-owned parking in Lahaina Town is available for free. Meanwhile, the nearby private operators charge for parking. Furthermore, existing time limits are ineffective due to inconsistent enforcement and a lack of dedicated resources. The parking problems are further exasperated by the lack of an employee permit parking program. Lahaina Town also faces major congestion issues, especially during special event and cruise ship days. There is no formalized enforcement or traffic plan in place to address safety concerns. Stakeholder feedback was overwhelmingly in favor of implementing parking program changes, including the introduction of paid parking to improve the overall parking experience in Lahaina Town.

As in Lahaina Town, the County’s parking resources in Wailuku Town are also not being effectively managed or optimized. Wailuku Town faces its own unique set of parking challenges. The County currently offers 12-hour parking spaces in the Municipal Lot for free, which are commonly utilized by employees for long-term parking. Additionally, since MPD Officer Keith Taguma retired, time limits are rarely enforced, leading to a general disregard of posted parking regulations. Data analysis shows low occupancy rates in most locations, highlighting that there is likely only a perception of a parking shortage amongst stakeholders. However, the town will be facing parking challenges with the upcoming construction of the Civic Hub Garage. This will require an interim parking plan to address the needs of the community.

The County needs to take a comprehensive approach in both towns to make parking a more seamless experience for everyone. The recommendations in the PAP include considerations for paid on- and off-street parking and time limits, revenue management, enforcement enhancements, automated permit management, alternative modes of transportation, permit parking, and wayfinding. Each of the strategies are outlined based on a recommended timeline for implementation in each town.

1 The industry standard optimal parking occupancy rate is 85%. For the purposes of this study, an occupancy rate less than 60% is considered low.
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2. Introduction

This Parking Action Plan (PAP) is intended to outline the recommended short, mid, and long-term implementation steps to establish an effective and efficient parking program in Lahaina Town and Wailuku Town. The recommendations have taken into consideration stakeholder feedback, data analysis, and on-site operational audits. Each recommendation has been organized by phase into the PAP with a list of detailed implementation steps. Any required follow up actions have also been highlighted in this plan. Many of the recommendations are meant to be implemented County-wide, but due to the unique challenges that each town is facing, there are individualized strategies outlined for each town where applicable. These recommendations are meant to address the current, upcoming and long-term parking challenges and needs of the communities. Many of the recommendations will have an immediate impact on the parking management in each town, and will establish the basis for future improvements. The recommended steps and timelines are meant to be realistic and achievable.
3. Education and Outreach

To successfully implement the recommendations throughout this report, such as time zones, increased enforcement, and paid parking, Maui County should begin by launching an education and outreach program to inform the public about the upcoming program changes. The paid parking solicitation should include levels of public outreach and marketing support. Successful campaigns in other municipalities have included social media pages, online video instructions, flyers, press releases and field parking ambassadors to assist with education and demonstrations. A useful example is the City of Sacramento’s online pricing sheet that explains the City’s tiered pricing program using easy to understand graphics (Image 1). This sheet includes instructions on how to understand the signage, how to pay for parking including mobile payment information, and how the pricing structure works in the different tiered zones. The sheet is also branded with the “SacPark” brand that is included on all the parking outreach materials and signage. Sacramento also has an instructional video posted on their website to demonstrate how to use their smart meters.
When communicating to the residents and the public about paid parking, it will be important for the County to explain the program purpose, goals, and benefits of paid parking. The County should define and communicate the Town’s overall parking ethos. The parking ethos should be consistent across both towns, but the application of strategies will vary based on each town’s unique needs.

The Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) has a good website example\(^2\) about the importance of managing on-street parking (Quotes 1 and 2).

\(^2\) https://www.seattle.gov/transportation/permits-and-services/permits/parking-permits
SDOT is also effective in using **positive wording** to communicate parking regulations. Seattle’s “Can I Park Here?” brochure (Image 2) shifts the focus to what is allowed instead of what is prohibited. It concisely identifies signage information, how to avoid parking tickets, and how to “park like a pro”. Additionally, it is a one-stop-shop for parking information and resources with regard to paying parking tickets, digital tools, and contacts.
Seattle also implemented the “Play Like a Parking Pro” program. Using Monopoly-style card signage, along with a series of funny informational videos, the City communicates new parking program changes and regulations. This campaign is meant to educate drivers about the parking system so they can park smart, understand the rules, and use tools like mobile payment and online maps to improve their experience. By taking a fun approach to an education campaign, the City improves the overall perception of parking while providing useful information. The City uses playful flags along with the Monopoly signage at the meters (Images 3 and 4).
Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps:

1. The County should establish an easily recognizable unified parking brand with a graphic or symbol to represent paid parking. This should be included on all outreach materials, signage, and parking meters. This will allow the program to maintain cohesiveness. For ease of understanding, the parking brand should be consistent across both towns. However, the design could be altered slightly for each town to mimic town character and aesthetics.

2. Flyers should be mailed out to Maui residents, business owners, and employees with information about upcoming parking program changes. Additionally, all information should be available on the County of Maui website and any business community webpages. For paid parking, information should include the type of meters, meter locations, the program start date, how to use the meters, and the
program purpose. Any information about residential or employee permits should also be incorporated into the County’s education and outreach campaign. Equally important, the flyers should incorporate the County’s parking brand, which will help to provide residents and employees a familiar marker when they visit the towns.

a. The program purpose should focus on program benefits and improving the visitor experience in both Wailuku Town and Lahaina Town through effective parking management. Parking should be simple and easy to find and pay for. As identified on the SDOT webpage, there are also several proven benefits of paid parking that may be highlighted.

b. The County should also consider using positive language to communicate parking regulations.

3. The County should host forums for public feedback and comments in preparation for a paid parking program in both towns. This will allow the County to incorporate public feedback into planning what type of meters to utilize and where they will be located. Once installed, an email address should be established to receive any comments or complaints about the installed equipment.
4. Paid Parking and Time Limits

Single-Space Meters vs. Pay Stations

The convenience and ease of use of single-space meters is what makes them effective for dense commercial areas. Smart single-space meters accept credit card (and therefore debit card) payments and are enabled with back office tools and real-time access to information and data. As opposed to any customer-facing services, the back office tools are the software or web applications that are utilized by municipal staff to access information like data, maintenance updates, reporting tools, transaction histories, payment processing, noticing, and more. This would allow the County to monitor the meters and be notified of any maintenance issues. The selected equipment must meet the Payment Card Industry (PCI) security standards for credit card transactions to make sure only the last four digits of each card number is stored. Additionally, all payment information can be tracked and audited to ensure proper revenue reconciliation during collections. Most single-space meter vendors offer meter management systems to edit the display screen, manage rate structures and run reports. Smart single-space meters range in price from around $400 to $600 per meter mechanism plus approximately $250 to $400 for the meter housing and pole (not including shipping). There is an ongoing $6 to $8 per meter per month for data management in addition to transaction fees.

Multi-space pay stations, depending on configurations, are approximately $8,500 per unit with monthly data management fees of approximately $70.00 per pay station per month. This pay station rate estimate does not include installation and freight. The County should consider including the optional added features such as a motion-controlled light bar and a tilt board security feature with a siren. The typical pay station vendor also provides a meter management system that provides real-time access to pay station information and maintenance support requirements. Additionally, following year one, the County should budget approximately $30.00 per month for the pay station warranties. While not required, the warranties are recommended to safeguard the program and ensure equipment performance and system uptime. Pay stations normally support 7 to 12 on-street parking spaces. A typical off-street surface lot requires 1 to 4 pay stations, depending upon the configuration and number of access points.

There are three main operational configurations for multi-space pay stations: pay and display, pay by space, and pay by plate:

- **Pay and Display:** The driver parks, purchases parking session time at the pay station, and then returns to their vehicle to display the receipt on their dashboard.
- **Pay by Space:** The driver parks in a numbered space, and then pays at the pay station using the parking space number. The driver is not required to return to their vehicle because their payment is electronically tied to their space number. Parking enforcement is able to use a web application to verify payment status by parking space number.
• **Pay by Plate:** Similar to pay by space, but the driver enters their license plate number at the pay station to record their payment. This method does not require drivers to return to their car as well and, similarly, parking enforcement verifies payment status by license plate using a web application.

The County should also consider developing a “No Re-Parking” ordinance. A ‘No Re-Parking’ ordinance would prohibit drivers from shuffling their cars on the same block, within a parking lot, or within a structure to avoid time limit restrictions. For time limits to be fully effective, a driver should not be allowed to re-park their car within the same block or zone on the same day or within a defined period of time. This will help ensure that long-term parkers are not utilizing the spaces meant for short-term visitors. Furthermore, employees would be more likely to purchase a long-term parking permit instead of utilizing the short-term spaces meant for customer parking. Some examples of existing no re-parking ordinances are listed below:

**City of Portland, OR (16.20.260 Time Zones)**

- a. A vehicle may park in a time zone only for a period not to exceed the posted time limit.
- b. A vehicle may not return to a time zone in the same block face or within 500 feet of where previously parked on the same block face for a 3-hour period.
- c. Upon expiration of the designated time limit, as indicated by the parking zone sign, a citation may be issued if a vehicle remains parked or stopped on the same block face unless:
  - I. The vehicle has moved 500 or more lineal feet, measured along the curb or edge line;
  - II. The vehicle has moved to an unregulated parking area in the same block face; or
  - III. The vehicle has vacated the block face for 3 hours.

**City of San Francisco, CA (Sec. 1002. Shifting of Parking Vehicles)**

For the purpose of Parking regulations other than a violation of Division I, Section 7.2.29 (Parking Prohibited for More than 72 Hours), any vehicle moved a distance of not more than one block or one-tenth of a mile during the limited Parking period shall be deemed to have remained stationary.
Prerequisites to a Successful Program

To adequately support a paid parking program, a consistent parking enforcement staffing model needs to be implemented. Paid parking will not be successful without consistent enforcement to ensure compliance. Additionally, it will be important to consider safeguarding the neighborhoods with a residential permit parking program to prevent spillover parking. Identifying alternative employee parking locations along with an affordable employee permit parking program should be established prior to implementing paid parking. This will ensure that there is an affordable location for employees to park without impacting the surrounding residential community.

The implementation of paid parking will require extensive updates to the Maui County Code. Maui County Code Section 19.52.090 states: “It is unlawful for any person to carry on or solicit business in any location on any street, highway, or sidewalk. The same is also unlawful in any location, in any park or open space that is owned or maintained by a government agency without the approval of the cultural resources commission, and the responsible government agency having administrative authority over the park or open space”. The County may need to amend the code to ensure that paid parking is implemented without issue. Additionally, a detailed ordinance review, along with recommended updates and reference ordinances is included in Appendix C.

Lahaina Town

The County-owned roads and parking lots offer the most convenient parking in Lahaina Town, currently for free. Meanwhile, the private operators have capitalized on the parking demand in the Town and have been charging for parking for years. The County should not be providing this resource for free because of the significant cost to maintain, enforce, and manage parking. Additionally, paid parking can be an effective parking management tool to achieve more parking space turnover. Ideally, a paid parking operation in Lahaina should be self-sustaining, and a successful program will allow the County to invest in the development of parking resources that directly benefit the community. If the County proceeds with the recommended implementation of paid parking in Lahaina Town, the County is projected to generate over $3.4 million in the first 3 years.

On-Street Parking

It is recommended that the County immediately proceed with the implementation of paid parking for the proposed on-street locations outlined below in Figure 2. Based on a survey of the sidewalk space and street layout, dual-mounted single-space meters would
be ideal in the locations highlighted in yellow. The County may also consider dual-head meters instead, where one meter head serves two parking spaces. There is a cost saving with this type of equipment, but the user interface is not as simplified and user-friendly. The amount of signage required for the single space meters is minimal, while pay stations will require increased signage to ensure that drivers are aware of the paid parking zones. Any on-street metered parking locations should have marked spaces with T-bars to align parked cars with their respective meters. The on-street stalls are not currently marked today.

The blue highlighted locations on Figure 1 are optimized for pay stations. Ideally, the County should utilize the pay and display configuration with the pay stations for ease of enforcement. Pay and display will not require the County to mark and number every parking space, which could detract from the historical aesthetic of the community. Marked and numbered spaces also add additional maintenance and upkeep over time. Furthermore, pay by plate and pay by space are not ideal for the County because of the required enforcement equipment necessary for the Police Department to effectively enforce.

To minimize revenue collection schedules and maintenance issues, it is recommended that the County implement a credit card only payment option for both single space meters and pay stations supported by a mobile payment feature for customer convenience. If the County decides to accept cash/coin, the County should only include bill note acceptors with a limited number of the pay stations. Bill note acceptors typically require a substantial amount of maintenance support. Based upon the proposed rate structure, it is not recommended that the paid parking equipment accept coin.
The parking spaces alongside the Kamehameha III elementary school are on school property and are reserved for school staff only. However, these could be utilized for paid parking through a shared parking arrangement. These spaces are currently reserved for school staff between 6:00am and 4:30pm during school days only. With the installation of meters, the County would be able to charge for hourly public parking in these spaces after 4:30pm, or on non-school days. A revenue share program with the Department of Education would need to be established.

The school loading zone along Front Street could also be utilized for paid parking during non-loading hours. To do so, signage would need to clearly indicate "no parking" between certain hours on school days. Pay stations would allow for paid parking in this location without cluttering the space or inhibiting drop-off and pick-up.

The County also may choose to charge for hourly parking in the other loading zone spaces during non-loading zone hours. The County could install meters with yellow heads to serve these locations. Signage would also need to clearly indicate the loading zone hours to ensure that visitors are notified when the spaces are available for paid hourly parking. For example, the County could choose to limit loading zone hours before 2:00pm, and charge for hourly parking for the remainder of the day. Some loading zones could be converted into designated drop off/pick up areas, however this would need to be strictly enforced.

Griffith Park, a popular tourist destination in Los Angeles, recently implemented a paid parking solution using pay and display pay stations. The Park implemented an hourly rate of $4.00 using credit card only pay station equipment. Staff have received minimal complaints and observed a significant rate of compliance. Like Griffith Park, Lahaina Town attracts a sizeable number of tourists but retains a limited amount of parking supply. Based upon the existing demand in Lahaina, a reasonable hourly rate for on-street parking could be initiated at $4.00 per hour.

The $4.00 per hour rate would also ensure that on-street parking is notably more expensive than the off-street parking offered by both the County (see page 17 for County parking lot rates) and by private operators. The goal of this rate structure would be to
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encourage longer-term parking off-street. This will create more turnover in the convenient on-street parking spaces.

The County should also consider implementing a 2 to 3-hour time limit for paid on-street parking spaces. With consistent enforcement, this will ensure turnover and require longer-term parking to locate their cars in off-street or remote parking locations. A time limit will also help ensure that employees do not park their cars in these spaces.

Based on the Lahaina Town Paid Parking Revenue Modeling Workbook estimates, paid on-street parking with the proposed hourly rates is projected to cover the estimated equipment and operating costs within the first year. If the County charges $4.00 per hour for the estimated existing 186 on-street spaces outlined in Figure 2 between 10:00am and 10:00pm, it is projected that the County will generate approximately $977,000 per year in revenue. Additionally, if the County proceeds with the suggested shared parking arrangement with the 43 reserved elementary school on-street parking spaces and school loading spaces, the County could generate an additional $68,000 per year with the same occupancy and compliance rates (assuming that the County retains 50% of the revenue generated from the reserved on-street school spaces). Finally, it is estimated that the loading zone spaces could generate an additional $87,600 per year if the County charges for parking between 2:00pm and 10:00pm. The combined estimated on-street revenue is projected to exceed $1 million annually.

With an on-street equipment and operating cost estimate of $320,000 during Year 1, the County is forecasted to net almost $780,000 in the first year from paid on-street parking. With consideration of the ongoing equipment fees, the County is projected to net over $2.8 million by Year 3 from paid on-street parking. This projection is based upon a conservative estimate of 50% average daily occupancy and a 60% compliance rate. Based on results from similar cities, it is likely that the occupancy and compliance rates will exceed this estimated amount. Additionally, for purpose of being conservative, the equipment cost forecast assumes the use of dual-mounted single-space meters, which are more expensive than the dual-space meters. Consistent enforcement will be critical to the overall success of the paid parking operation.

Below, Table 1 outlines the anticipated number of meters and pay stations that would be required for the implementation of on-street paid parking in Lahaina Town. Due to the limited amount of sidewalk space in Lahaina Town, renderings were developed to envision the spatial and visual impact of the equipment; Appendix B includes these images with some recommended installation locations for the equipment based on sidewalk space.
### Table 1. Estimated On-Street Equipment by Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dual Head Meters</th>
<th>Single Space Meters</th>
<th>Pay Stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papalaua St (between Waine’e and Front St)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahainaluna Rd (between Waine’e and Front St)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickenson St (between Waine’e and Front St)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market St</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel St (between Front St and Canal St)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal St (between Wharf St and Front St)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front St (between Papalaua and Lahainaluna Rd)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front St (between Lahainaluna Rd and Market St)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front St (between Market St and Hotel St)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front St (between Canal St and Prison St)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front St (between Prison St and Shaw St)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharf St (between Papele Kane St and Hotel St)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharf St (between Hotel and Canal St)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>101</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Off-Street Parking

The County should also implement hourly **paid parking in the Luakini and Prison Street Lots.** While a portion of the spaces may be allocated for permit parking, the County can utilize the Financial Modeling Workbook to set different rate structures and operating hours for both hourly and permit spaces.

A **rate survey of the private operator parking lots** in Lahaina Town was completed to determine the current market rate for off-street parking. One Prison Street lot charges $5.00 for the first 2 hours and $7.00 for hours 2-5 with an evening rate of $10.00. A Waine’e Street lot charges $5.00 per day. Another location charges $7.00 for the first two hours, and $12.00 for hours 2-8, with a daily maximum of $20.00.

Based on the private operator rates and the recommended $4.00 per hour on-street rate, the County should consider charging a **rate of $2.00 per hour** in the Luakini and Prison Street Lots. This rate will allow the County to be competitive with the private lot locations, while still encouraging longer-term parkers to store their cars in the off-street locations rather than parking on-street. Typically, municipalities choose to offer off-street parking at a discounted rate compared to on-street parking to reduce congestion and promote turnover in the more convenient on-street spaces. To encourage long-term parking off-street, other than overnight restrictions, time limits are not recommended for the off-street parking lots.
A private operator lot currently charges $50.00 per month for daily parking and $75.00 for both daily and evening parking. Based on this market rate, the County could charge $65.00 for a monthly permit. Additionally, the County could consider offering a low-income permit rate of $40.00 per month for those who qualify. These rates will encourage employees to park off-street, while still being a relatively affordable rate. Ideally, the County should pursue an agreement with the nearby outlet mall for employee parking. In this case, this location should cost significantly less to encourage employees to park more remotely. A rate of $20.00 per month, or a $10.00 low-income rate, is recommended for remote employee parking.

Section 11 outlines the specific employee permit parking recommendations for monthly and low-income permits. The County could choose to set aside a portion of the parking spaces in the Prison Street and/or Luakini Street Lots for permit parking.

It is estimated that the County will need to install three pay stations to serve the Luakini Lot, and six for the Prison Street Lot. The necessary inventory is dependent on the number of spaces that are allocated for permit parking. Prior to implementing paid parking within the Prison Street Lot, the County should improve the condition of the parking lot. This will ensure ADA accessibility, and improve the visitor experience. If visitors are expected to pay for parking, the lot should be paved and accessible.

With the proposed off-street hourly and permit rates, the County is projected to generate approximately $442,000 from off-street hourly and permit parking in the Luakini and Prison Street Lots during Year 1, which will completely cover the estimated Year 1 equipment and operating cost of $101,000. By Year 3, the County is projected to net over $1.1 million from off-street parking. For the purposes of the financial model, a 40% occupancy rate and 60% compliance rate were assumed for hourly parking. Additionally, 25% of each lot was allocated for permit parking, as well as 50 of the parking spaces at the outlet mall. Based on the potential agreement with the outlet mall, the County should modify the permit allocation percentages and prices.

While more remote, the County could also consider including paid parking at the Shaw Street Lot and/or the Kamehameha Iki Park Lots. Currently, the Shaw Street Lot is managed by Diamond Parking, but the County may benefit from self-managing this property moving forward. These parking lot locations could be ideal locations for a portion of the employee permit parking, potentially with access by shuttle. The Shaw Street lot has 78 parking stalls, and the Kamehameha Iki Park Lot has 25 stalls in the front. The County should work with the Parks Department on potentially metering these stalls and providing enforcement.

**Maui Resident Discount**

The County may consider implementing a discounted parking program for Maui residents. Though the paid parking operating hours of 10:00am to 10:00pm is intended to provide convenient morning access to the shoreline, additional accommodations for Maui residents could be implemented. This would help encourage locals to visit Lahaina Town by offering a certain amount of free or discounted parking. For example, the County
could offer $10 of free parking per household per year. Or instead, residents could park at a discounted hourly rate. The County has the option of offering either a preloaded resident parking card that can be used to pay at the meter, or the resident discount program can be administered through a mobile payment application. Offering the program through the mobile payment vendor would be the ideal solution for Maui to reduce administrative costs and offer more flexibility. Applicants would be required to show proof of residency to qualify, which could be reviewed by a designated County employee or by the vendor if additional support services are offered. While there would be an added administrative cost, offering a discounted parking program to residents could be a helpful way to gain community support for the implementation of paid parking in Lahaina Town. The mobile payment solution could be used to promote special deals or events to locals and provide more flexibility for the County.

**Wailuku Town**

Due to the unique parking challenges and needs within each community, Wailuku Town will require a different approach to paid parking. It is a common perception of Wailuku Town employees and residents that the town has insufficient parking supply. To examine this perception, data was collected in Wailuku Town to better understand the actual parking trends. On- and off-street parking occupancy and utilization data was collected on Thursday, September 21st and Saturday, September 23rd, 2017. However, it should be noted that there were no active court cases on the days of data collection. On a typical day of court operations in Hoapili Hale, it is estimated that anywhere between 100 – 150 people occupy a public parking space while at Hoapili Hale. On a peak day, such as a jury selection day for a large case, there may be as many as 200 – 250 people participating in judiciary activities who need to utilize public parking. Therefore, this data collection likely occurred during a typical, non-peak period. More information on the data collection methodology and data analysis results can be found in Appendix A.
In summary, the average on-street occupancy throughout Thursday was 54%, compared to 31% on Saturday, when considering the complete study area. Furthermore, on-street occupancy in the study area peaked at 61% on Thursday during the midday. Market Street alone peaked at 72% occupancy during Thursday afternoon. The average daily occupancy on Market Street was 63% on Thursday and 54% on Saturday. For off-street parking, the average occupancy throughout Thursday was 57%, compared to 16% on Saturday. During the morning through the afternoon on Thursday, the average occupancy in the Municipal Lot was 87%, which is considered high. During the same time, the County Public Lot averaged at 74% occupancy. However, both lots had low occupancy on Saturday, with 21% and 2% average daily occupancy rates, respectively.
It is the industry standard that the target occupancy rate is 85%, because at this level, there is enough vacant parking spaces to minimize congestion from drivers searching for a space. At the same time, the 85% rate ensures that a location is not providing too much parking supply, which is an inefficient and costly use of valuable land. Another factor to consider is that the proper management of parking resources through enforcement, wayfinding, time limits and rate structures, would further improve the use of parking resources in Wailuku Town.

Based on this study's findings, Wailuku Town is likely facing a parking management issue rather than a lack of parking supply for its existing level of development. It is recognized that during major court cases there can be a larger strain on the Town's parking supply, however this could be managed through the use of remote parking supply during peak periods if necessary. Currently, jurors are instructed to park either in nearby public lots such as the Municipal Lot or in the 2-hour zones on-street on Wells Street and Main Street. Parking supply was sufficient in most locations throughout the two data collection days without the impact of a court case. Furthermore, the data analysis shows a significant difference between occupancy rates on weekdays and weekends. Weekend occupancy was extremely low in Wailuku Town at all locations. While the Municipal Lot occupancy did peak above 85% on Thursday, on this same day there were at least 30 cars observed that overstayed the Municipal Lot time limit (See Appendix A). None of these vehicles received a parking citation that day. Additionally, there is currently no Municipal Lot parking permit program and vehicles can park in 12-hour spaces for free. With a permit program and proper enforcement, it is anticipated that some vehicles utilizing the Municipal Lot would likely choose to park elsewhere which may have an impact in the neighboring areas. See Section 11 for more information about the recommendation to implement a permit program in the Municipal Lot.
The County has plans to build the Wailuku Civic Hub, a parking and events facility which will increase both the number of downtown employees and visitors as well as parking supply in the town by approximately 210 spaces. A number of these spaces may be dedicated to long-term employee and resident parking, which could be beneficial to serve the primary needs of the community and enable more housing to be built in the immediate neighborhood. However, the Civic Hub design is also primarily intended to provide space for events. Most events at this facility will likely take place during the weekends or evenings, when parking occupancy in the town is at its lowest. The intention of the project is to spur economic growth and development in Wailuku Town, which may encourage more tourists and locals to visit the town. By building additional supply, the County intends to offer parking reductions for developers, along with a portfolio of other incentives to encourage investment. If successful, parking demand may increase overtime, and the Civic Hub will likely have the supply to meet the town’s growing needs.

Based on the data analysis, financial modeling, and stakeholder feedback, the following paid parking recommendations have been developed for Wailuku Town. Overall, it is estimated that in 10 years the County will have a total parking revenue of $2,367,000 from on- and off-street hourly and permit parking in Wailuku Town. With the estimated total expenses of $1,247,000, the County is estimated to net approximately $1,120,000 in 10 years. More information on the financial estimates is explained below.

**On-Street Parking**

It is recommended that the County wait to proceed with the implementation of paid on-street parking in Wailuku Town until the construction of the Wailuku Civic Hub Garage begins. At that point, the temporary reduction in downtown parking supply will place an increased strain on the town’s on-street parking resources. At that time, to
ensure adequate occupancy and turnover rates, the County should consider implementing a low hourly rate of **$0.50 per hour**. The implementation of paid parking could discourage employees from utilizing on-street parking. At the same time, a low hourly rate will provide convenient and affordable parking for Wailuku Town visitors and customers during construction. This is especially important during construction because many business owners are concerned about the impact of construction on sales. The recommended paid parking hours are weekdays from **9:00am until 5:00pm** to cover the typical business day, the peak occupancy times for Wailuku. Outside of special event days, the County should not charge for parking in the evenings until occupancy rates begin to reach 85% in the evening. Following the completion of the Civic Hub Garage, if Wailuku Town congestion increases, the County should, at that point, consider increasing the on-street hourly rate to $0.75 per hour.

Based on a survey of the sidewalk space and street layout, dual-space meters would be ideal in the locations highlighted in orange (Figure 2). There is a cost saving with the dual-space meters compared to the single-space meters, but the user interface is not as simplified or user-friendly. However, due to the recommended hourly rates, the County could save money on equipment by choosing the dual-space meters. The amount of signage required for the dual-space meters is minimal, while pay stations will require increased signage to ensure that drivers are aware of the paid parking zones. Any on-street dual-space metered parking locations should have marked spaces with T-bars to align parked cars with their respective meters.

The blue highlighted locations in Figure 2 are optimized for pay stations. As recommended for Lahaina Town, the County should utilize the **pay and display** configuration with the pay stations for ease of enforcement. Pay and display will not require the County to mark and number every parking space, which would add additional maintenance and upkeep over time. Furthermore, pay by plate and pay by space are not ideal for the County because of the required enforcement equipment necessary for the Police Department to effectively enforce.

To minimize revenue collection schedules and maintenance issues, it is recommended that the County implement a **credit card only payment option** for the dual-space meters and pay stations, supported by a mobile payment feature for customer convenience. If the County decides to accept cash/coin, the County should only include bill note acceptors with a limited number of the pay stations. Bill note acceptors typically require a substantial amount of maintenance support.
Below, Table 2 outlines the anticipated number of meters and pay stations that would be required for the implementation of on-street paid parking in Wailuku Town. Knowing that Main Street is a state highway, the County will need to assess the potential of installing and managing meters on that street.

**Table 2. Wailuku On-Street Proposed Paid Parking Zone**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Inventory</th>
<th>Dual Space Meters</th>
<th>Pay Stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main St btwn High St and Church St</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St btwn Church St and Market St</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St btwn Market St and Central Ave</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells St btwn Market St and Church St</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church St btwn Vineyard St and Main St</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church St btwn Main St and Wells St</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S High St btwn Wells St and Main St</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S High St btwn Main St and Vineyard St</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Vineyard St btwn High St and Church St</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Vineyard St btwn Church St and Market St</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard St btwn Market St and Central Ave</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Ave btwn Vineyard St and Loke St</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the Financial Modeling Workbook, Wailuku Town is projected to earn approximately $82,000 per year by implementing an on-street rate of $0.50 per hour in the proposed paid parking locations. The County should apply the existing time limits within the paid parking area. This projection is assuming that meter operating days will be on weekdays only, and the hours will be from 9:00am until 5:00pm. Additionally, this projection assumes a 55% average daily occupancy, based on data collection results, and a 60% compliance rate. This amount increases to almost $124,000 in revenue per year with the $0.75 hourly rate proposed for Year 4 and onward. It is estimated that the on-street equipment and operating costs will be around $270,000 during the implementation year, and an additional $60,000 per year for ongoing operating and warranty costs.

**Off-Street Parking**

It is recommended that the County immediately implement employee permit parking for 142 spaces in the Municipal Lot and 20 spaces in the Vineyard Street Lot for a total of 162 permits. For ease of enforcement, the County should designate one area of the lot for permit parking, and one for hourly parking. A $35 per month rate is recommended to be consistent with the existing County permit rate. Due to the short implementation schedule and the administrative burden without an existing automated permit management system, it is not realistic to expect the County to implement a low-income permit during the first year. However, the model does allow the County to forecast with the inclusion of low-income permits if desired. The revenue for the first year from the off-street permits is estimated at approximately $68,000.

Once the construction of the Civic Hub Garage begins during the second year, the County will not be able to offer permits for the Municipal Lot. Therefore, it is recommended that the County continue to offer the Vineyard Street permits, along with another interim location. The County should identify an interim location for employee parking during construction and offer a low-cost permit. One location to consider is the War Memorial Park Lot. The recommended rate for these permits is $5.00 per month. Assuming that the County identifies 100 interim permit spaces, along with the 20 Vineyard Street Lot permits, the permit revenue during that year is forecasted to be around $9,000. Additionally, it is recommended that the County implement paid hourly parking in the remaining Vineyard Street Lot spaces at a rate of $0.25 per hour with no time limit. The off-street rate should be less than the on-street rate to encourage longer-term parkers to store their cars off-street. However, the County could implement a higher rate of $0.50 per hour in the County Lot because it is a high-demand and convenient location to park,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Spaces</th>
<th>Permits</th>
<th>Hourly Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maluhia Dr</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market St btwn Wells St and Main St</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market St btwn Main St and Vineyard St</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market St btwn Vineyard and Mill</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells St btwn Church St and High St</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aupuni to Koali</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>255</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compared to the surrounding on-street parking. The financial model assumes a 74% occupancy rate for the County Lot and a 35% occupancy rate for the Vineyard Street Lot based on the occupancy data analysis. With the recommended 9:00am to 5:00pm operating hours, the County is projected to generate approximately $22,800 each year from hourly parking in those two lots. The estimated equipment cost to install pay stations in the two lots is $70,000 initially, with an estimated annual amount of $13,000 for operating and warranty costs for the following years.

The Civic Hub Garage construction is estimated to be completed halfway through Year 3. Upon completion, it is recommended that the County allocate 150 spaces for hourly parking and the remaining 278 for permit parking. The recommended hourly rate is $0.25 per hour, and the permit rate could be $40. Additionally, it is recommended that the County offer a $10 low-income permit to qualifying employees. For the purposes of the financial model, it is estimated to 25% of these permits will be sold at the low-income rate. The estimated revenue from the Civic Hub Garage for half of Year 3 is $13,000 from hourly parking and $104,000 from permit parking. This projection assumes a 35% occupancy rate and a 95% compliance rate for hourly parking. The higher compliance rate is because it is anticipated that the garage will be gated with a Parking Access Revenue Control System (PARCS), which will help ensure payment. The estimated cost for the PARCS is $188,000 during the first year, with an additional $20,700 per year for operating costs.

By the fourth year, it is anticipated that the full paid parking program will be implemented in Wailuku Town. At this point, it is recommended that the County consider raising the on-street hourly rate to $0.75 per hour. The off-street rate is recommended to remain at $0.25 per hour until occupancy rates approach 85%. The ability to charge for parking in the Wells Park Lot and the Tennis Court Lot will depend on whether the County Parking Benefit District pursues a shared parking agreement with the Parks Department (See Section 20 for more information on shared parking). It is unlikely that the County will need to utilize a remote parking lot location after construction, so the remaining locations have not been factored into the financial model estimates.

There may also be an opportunity for the County to partner with the State to manage their paid surface lots. The State currently has 84 public parking stalls in the Wailuku State Campus area. 35 of those are in Hoapili Hale and will soon be converted to State employee permit parking only for security purposes. This leaves the 49 meters stalls in surface lots in three locations around the State buildings. The State has been considering discontinuing their current metered stall program because the management and maintenance costs for only 49 stalls outweigh the benefits. However, if these were folded into the County’s operations plan it could benefit both parties.
Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps

1. Use the Revenue Modeling Workbook to determine the optimal rate model and forecast revenue for on-street and off-street parking in both towns.
   a. It is recommended that the County always utilize a higher on-street hourly rate than the off-street rate, with the exception of the County Lot outside of the Public Works Department building entrance at 200 S High Street. This will encourage the longer-term parkers to store their cars off-street, and it will encourage increased turnover in the convenient on-street spaces.
   b. Based on the Paid Parking Revenue Modeling Workbook projections, the County will be able to fund the proposed paid parking equipment in less than one year with the suggested rate model in Lahaina Town, and in five years in Wailuku Town.

2. Review and update the County ordinances for the viability of paid parking and parking benefit districts in Maui, as outlined in Appendix C.
   a. If determined necessary, update Section 19.52.090 of the Maui County Code to allow for paid parking.
   b. Define the distribution schedule for paid parking revenue. This step should be incorporated into the planning of a Parking Benefit District as outlined in Section 5.
   c. Develop a “No Re-Parking” ordinance.
   d. Work with the State to determine the viability of implementing and managing parking meters along Main Street.

3. Begin education and outreach about the upcoming implementation of paid parking in Maui. This should include notification of the planned rate structure and how to use the paid parking equipment. Outreach should also include information about the residential and/or employee parking zones and their restrictions. Outreach should include both print and online materials.
   a. If the County implements a resident discount program, the education and outreach campaign should inform residents about the application process and requirements.

4. Consider a paid parking pilot program in Lahaina Town. Parking technology vendors typically offer municipalities a 60-day pilot to test their equipment solutions. The upfront costs would include shipping, installation, training, paper (if applicable) and software fees. If the pilot is successful, the County would have the opportunity to purchase the paid parking technology at a discounted rate, or begin a 3-year leasing agreement.

5. Improve the condition of the Prison Street Lot to prepare for the implementation of paid parking. The lot should be paved and ADA accessible.

6. Draft and issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for paid parking technology in Lahaina Town. Equipment should primarily accept credit/debit card only and pay stations should be in the ‘Pay and Display’ configuration. The vendor solicitation should be a turnkey solution that includes the following services:
   a. Communications testing,
b. Installation,
c. Level 2 maintenance support (see Section 9),
d. Signage, and
e. System testing

7. Implement the employee permit parking program in Wailuku, as described in Section 11.

8. Parking technology should be installed in Lahaina Town:
   a. A thorough assessment by the selected vendor should be conducted to determine the specific locations for the pay stations or single space poles in Lahaina Town. Safety and accessibility should be considered in the evaluation. Based on the number of proposed paid parking spaces, it is estimated that approximately 101 dual-mounted single space meters, 10 single-space meters and 14 pay stations will be required for on-street parking.
   b. Ground preparation: When choosing the equipment locations, the level of traffic, customer accessibility, and safety should be considered. The pay stations should also be mounted on concrete with appropriate anchoring as determined by the Department of Public Works.
   c. Accessibility: In order for the paid parking equipment to be ADA compliant, they must have a clear floor space of at least 30” x 48” for wheelchairs.

9. In Lahaina Town, consider extending the paid parking program to the Shaw Street and Kamehameha Iki Park Lots. The County will need to review and potentially end the contract with Diamond Parking at the Shaw Street Lot. Additionally, the County will need to work with the Parks Department regarding the Kamehameha Iki Park Lot.

10. Consider offering a discounted parking program for Maui residents in Lahaina Town. If the County decides to proceed with this approach, program details should be tied into the education and outreach campaign.
    a. Ideally, the resident discounted parking program should be offered through the selected mobile payment vendor.

11. Draft and issue an RFP for paid parking technology in Wailuku Town for the upcoming implementation of paid parking. The County should take a phased implementation approach to work around the Civic Hub construction timeline.

**Mid-Term Steps**

1. Implement paid parking equipment in Wailuku Town on-street, in the County Lot, and the Vineyard Street Lot.

2. Issue an RFP and select a vendor to implement mobile payment as another payment option in both towns. This will provide an additional payment option and customer convenience that does not require direct interaction with the pay station or single space meter. Customers will be able to pay for parking using a mobile application, which gives them an additional payment method. This also allows customers to pay for parking from the comfort of their vehicle. The application can also be configured to allow customers to extend their parking session remotely without requiring them to return to the pay station.
a. Typically, the mobile payment vendors supply the necessary signage and labels, but charge a small fee to users. The mobile payment application should be branded with the County’s parking brand.
b. Utilization of mobile payment falls between 3% and 10% in most cities, and users pay a small transaction fee, usually between $0.10 and $0.35. Mobile payment can be integrated with both the single space meters and pay stations. While the current utilization may seem low, with the continued widespread use of smart phone technology, it is recommended that Lahaina implement a mobile payment system for all paid hourly parking locations once the paid parking program is operational.
   i. Verification of mobile payment will require enforcement staff to use of a web application to verify payment status.
   ii. Single space meters can receive a real-time update of mobile payment status to provide a visual verification for enforcement purposes but there is a drain impact on the parking meter battery.

3. Utilize the selected mobile payment vendor to promote the residential discount program, special events, and deals on parking. During non-peak occupancy days, the County may choose to offer additional discounted parking to locals.

**Long-Term Steps**

1. Implement paid parking technology in the Wailuku Civic Hub Garage. Depending on potential agreements with the Parks & Recreation Department, the County may choose to implement paid parking equipment in the Wells Park Lot and/or the Tennis Court Lot. Depending on parking demand, the County may also consider implementing paid parking in a remote parking location, such as the War Memorial Parking Lot.

2. Continuous monitoring of occupancy on a bi-annual basis can help determine any necessary rate or program adjustments. Active monitoring can help ensure program efficiency by keeping the parking rate structure up to date with current occupancy statistics. It is recommended that the County evaluate parking occupancy on a week day and a weekend day on at least an annual basis to understand how parking rates and time limits are impacting occupancy rates. Ideally, occupancy rates by block should be collected during the morning (9:00am), afternoon (12:00pm), mid-afternoon (3:00pm), and evening (6:00pm). Based on occupancy results, the County may benefit from adjusting hourly rates and/or time limits in certain areas of each town.
5. Parking Benefit Districts

Ideally, the parking programs in Lahaina Town and Wailuku Town should be **self-sustaining**, with a portion of the **revenue reinvested into the towns**. Parking Benefit Districts (PBDs) would allow revenue from permit fees and paid parking to be directed into **Special Parking Funds** for each town. Ideally, parking citations should also go into the funds, if and when State law allows it. PBDs have been successfully implemented in many municipalities to help fund special projects and program improvements. Program improvements could include but are not limited to improved enforcement, technology, security enhancements, signage, transportation programs, and maintenance.

From a **fiscal equity** standpoint, dedicating all the parking revenue only to the towns it originated from could be a concern. Based on the financial modeling, it is estimated that significantly more parking revenue will be generated from Lahaina Town compared to Wailuku Town. This is a result of the disproportionate amount of tourism expenditures between the two towns. The higher recommended rate in Lahaina Town will also allow the town to fund the parking equipment on a shorter timeline. A typical PBD would restrain the County from spending parking revenue on enhancements in areas outside the PBD town. To minimize this issue, it is recommended that the County develop PBD distribution schedules that **distinguish between County-wide and town-specific revenue allocations**. By retaining a portion of the revenue from each town to be reinvested within the community, there may be more community support for paid parking. This could allow the County to achieve the intended effect of the PBDs while still maintaining the ability to pursue important projects across the County. There must be a mutual relationship that recognizes the initial equipment funding source and the importance to provide self-sustaining resources to support the ongoing parking operation. A proposed distribution schedule for the PBDs is outlined below in Table 3.

**Table 3. Sample Parking Revenue Distribution Schedule for a Parking Benefit District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Allocation</th>
<th>County-Wide</th>
<th>Town-Specific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Equipment</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Personnel</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ongoing Maintenance and Upkeep</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking Program Improvement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Parking Supply</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wayfinding</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Safety/Security</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit Alternative Programs/ Discretionary</strong></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shuttle</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3 Per the Hawaii Revised Statue (HRS) Section §291C-111 (a), all County parking citation revenue is “collected by the state general fund for state use”.

---
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Each town could establish a **Parking Advisory Committee (PAC)** that will require ordinance language to allow the authority and oversight of the parking program. Selected members of the Advisory Committee should represent a cross-section of the Lahaina and Wailuku stakeholders. With the establishment of committee rules, procedures and a defined meeting schedule, the PACs can oversee the implementation of the PBDs. PACs & PBDs have been successfully implemented in several cities including Newport Beach and San Diego, California.

**Potential Revenue Allocations**

Paid parking revenue would first be utilized to support the program costs including equipment, personnel, and ongoing maintenance and upkeep. Additionally, a portion of the revenue should be set aside for program enhancements like technology, wayfinding, and increasing parking supply. Beyond supporting and enhancing the parking program, any remaining revenue could then be allocated for town improvements.

### Lahaina Town

Based on stakeholder feedback in Lahaina Town, below are some examples of potential revenue allocations that could be considered. A portion of the revenue could be allocated to study the **possibility of converting Front Street to a one-way street** or closing it to traffic along the main business corridor. Stakeholder outreach has revealed public interest in considering this option to improve the visitor experience in Lahaina Town. These roadway reconfigurations could allow for more pedestrian and vendor space, and the central hub of Lahaina Town could then become a more attractive destination. The design could also continue to allow commercial loading during designated morning hours, as outlined in Section 14. The current design of Front Street prioritizes access to cars, the sidewalks are extremely narrow, and parked vehicles often block the view of the storefronts and restaurants. A remote parking location could be utilized instead to supplement for the reduction in parking supply. This solution for Front Street is a long-term option that must be thoroughly vetted by the County to determine feasibility. The recommended implementation of paid parking technology along Front Street could be a temporary parking management solution that would allow the County to generate the revenue for future Town improvements such as this one.

Another option for the County to consider is allocating a portion of the revenue towards the **relocation of the current bus center**. The bus hub in Lahaina Town is currently located at the Wharf Cinema Center along Luakini Street. Luakini and the surrounding streets are extremely narrow, and are not ideal for high occupancy vehicles. One potential location to consider instead is across Honoapiilani Highway, next to the Lahaina Aquatic Center and the Lahaina Recreation Center Park. This area could become a regional bus hub, and the additional space would allow the County to add more routes and make the
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existing routes more efficient. Due to its more remote location, a shuttle system would be required to transport bus riders to and from the core of Lahaina Town. Smaller shuttles would function better along the narrow roadways in Lahaina Town, thus reducing congestion and improving access. This location would have to be evaluated further to determine location feasibility.

Finally, there are many ongoing repairs to the Front Street roadway infrastructure that are in need, including sidewalk, boardwalk, revetment, pavement, and sign repairs that these funds could support.

**Wailuku Town**

Based on stakeholder feedback in Wailuku Town, below are some examples of potential revenue allocations that could be considered. Paid parking revenue could be allocated to support and/or expand the current **Clean and Safe program** in Wailuku Town. Safety is a priority for many of the stakeholders, and adding more ‘eyes and ears’ on the street will improve safety throughout the town. Additional funding could be used to support more social services for the homeless.

Parking revenue could also be used to help coordinate and plan events in Wailuku at the Civic Hub. **Event planning will require extensive community outreach and administrative support.** There are several unique opportunities for events that will bring the surrounding community and tourists into Wailuku Town. One idea is to develop a partnership with the University of Hawaii to **shuttle students** into Wailuku for entertainment. Another idea is to bring in more **pop-up shops and vendors** to display their work within the Hub. Image 8 shows the line outside of a pop-up shop the Saturday of data collection in Wailuku at Market and Main Street.

PBD revenue could also be used to support a **public art program** to improve community character and promote local culture. The North Park neighborhood in San Diego, CA is an example of a community that has been transformed by public art. The Business Improvement District (BID) in North Park collects fees from local business that get allocated for services like marketing campaigns.

**Image 8. Line Outside Pop-Up Shop in Wailuku Town**
and graffiti removal. With these funds, a local art-supply store and gallery was able to fund the Visual Public Art Project. This Project is meant to engage the community in transforming neglected public space. Local artists have painted trash cans, utility boxes, and wall murals throughout the neighborhood, and it has also curated several events to exhibit local artists. Art throughout the neighborhood has helped to revitalize the community and make it more inviting to visitors.

Similarly, a Wailuku Town ‘Greetings Tour’ mural could be developed as part of mural artist, Victor Ving’s cross-country program. These murals can be found throughout the Country, and are typically sought out by tourists for a photo opportunity to document their travels. These murals are designed like postcards, and the name of the location is decorated with different local landmarks and historical elements. PBD revenue could be utilized to support art projects like this that would increase tourism. Some example murals are pictured below in Images 9-11.

Image 9. Greeting from Tucson (source: greetingstour.com)
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Short-Term Steps

1. Evaluate the feasibility of implementing Parking Benefit Districts in Maui.
2. Adopt the necessary ordinances updates to support the program.
3. Establish an authorized oversight committee, like a Parking Advisory Committee (PAC) in each town.
4. Define the paid parking revenue distribution schedules. A set of predefined allocation rates will ensure transparency for the community and will allow for a series of community and program improvements.
   a. The County should consider developing a distribution schedule that sets allocations for both County-wide and town-based projects.
Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps

1. Continue to allocate revenue from each PBD based on the PAC goals and objectives.
6. State vs. Local Citation Revenue Management

Currently the **State retains all County parking citation revenue** and the District Court manages the adjudication and collection processes. A review of the State and County ordinances has been conducted to examine the possibility of distributing a portion of the parking citation revenue for use by the County (Appendix C). Many states have removed parking citations from the court system thereby **alleviating the administrative burden** and processes from an already overloaded system. If parking citations were removed from the court system, it would be necessary for the County to establish a parking management system that supports citation issuance, hardware/infrastructure, payments, noticing, collections and adjudication processes. This will be a major transition from the current state-supported parking operation. State statutes and revenue distribution models would need to be evaluated and negotiated. A complete ordinance review based on industry best practices is outlined in Appendix C.

Based upon the current state-supported citation processes, the County of Maui should take a **compliance-based approach to parking enforcement** to maximize paid parking revenue. This has the added benefit of being a customer service focused method of parking management, and it has been successfully implemented using the Parking Ambassador model in many municipalities. More information on the Parking Ambassador approach is outlined in Section 7.

Even with a parking ambassador compliance-based enforcement approach, parking citations will still need to be issued. Parking citations are particularly important for managing traffic and safety issues. Ideally, revenue from these parking citations should return to support the local community to **incentivize proper parking management** and enforcement. It is important for the County to generate a revenue stream to support the ideal enforcement staffing and technology enhancements. Maui County staff should work to form a coalition with other agencies throughout the State to push policymakers towards a more sustainable and effective enforcement and parking management model.

The City and County of Honolulu uses a combination of sworn and non-sworn enforcement officers for parking enforcement, but the State continues to retain all parking citation revenue. A partnership with the City and County of Honolulu will play a key role in any potential State-level ordinance changes moving forward.

**Lahaina Town**

Citation issuance data provided from January 2015 through June 2017 shows that an **average of 14 parking citations were issued per day in Lahaina**. As shown below in Table 4, each violation type is ranked by the total number of citations issued during these 2.5 years. The Prison Street Parking Lot had the highest number of citations issued, with
a total of 4,691. ‘Parking Prohibited in Certain Places’ and ‘Parking Outside of Stall’ were the second and third most cited violations.

Table 4. Total Number of Citations by Violation Type in Lahaina Town

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Total # Citations (Jan 2015-Jun 2017)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prison Street Parking Lot</td>
<td>4691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited In Certain Places</td>
<td>3192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Outside Of Stall</td>
<td>1272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Overtime</td>
<td>1107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Or Parking Requirements</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking In Loading Zone</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tow Away Zones</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking For Certain Purposes Prohibited</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 10` Of Fire Hydrant</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 30` Of Flashing Beacon/Stop Sign</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 20` Of Crosswalk</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Parking</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping Outside Of Business Or Residence District</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Display Of Disabled Placard</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Night Parking</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Disabled Person Stall</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamehameha III School Parking Lot</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Parked For Human Habitation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited In Certain Places-On Crosswalk</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired Meter/ Unauthorized Parking Area</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Parking</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited On Certain Streets And Highways</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahaina Shaw Street Parking Lot</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses Of Parked Vehicle Prohibited 6pm - 6am</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below, Figure 3 displays the average number of citations issued per month in Lahaina between January 2015 and June 2017. The average amount only varies slightly between each month, with a total range of 165 citations. The highest amount of citations was issued during April on average, and the lowest was during November.
Figure 3. Average Number of Citations Issued per Month in Lahaina (January 2015 - June 2017)

Citation issuance data provided from January 2015 through June 2017 for Wailuku Town demonstrates that **an average of less than 5 parking citations were issued per day in Wailuku**. As shown below in Table 5, each violation type is ranked by the total number of citations issued during these 2.5 years. Limited Parking, Parking Prohibited in Certain Places, and Parking Outside of Stall were the three most commonly issued citations. On average, July and August had the highest number of citations issued out of the year, with an average of 140 in both months.

During the Thursday data collection (See Appendix A) in the Municipal Lot, there were at least 30 cars observed that overstayed the time limit. None of these vehicles received a citation that day. Furthermore, the January 2015-June 2017 citation data shows that only a total of 7 “Parking Overtime” violations were issued. It is evident that **time limits are not being adequately enforced** in Wailuku Town. Unfortunately, the citation revenue does not fund the parking enforcement support needed to support the regulations. A negative side effect of this is that parking remains unregulated in the town, and there is a **low rate of compliance**. Moving forward, this will make it difficult for the County to achieve its parking occupancy goals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Total # Citations (Jan 2015-Jun 2017)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limited Parking</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited In Certain Places</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Outside Of Stall</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Or Parking Requirements</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking In Loading Zone</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking For Certain Purposes Prohibited</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Parking</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Parking-Sixty Minutes</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Night Parking</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Spaces Reserved For Electric Vehicles</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 10` Of Fire Hydrant</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauth Parking Disabled Person Stall</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 20` Of Crosswalk</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Display Of Disabled Placard</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Overtime</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tow Away Zones</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited-Within 30` Of Flashing Beacon/Stop Sign</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Parked For Human Habitation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses Of Parked Veh Prohibited 6pm - 6am</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited On Certain Streets And Highways</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Prohibited In Certain Places-On Crosswalk</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired Meter/ Unauthorized Parking Area</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Implement a compliance-based approach to parking enforcement to maximize paid parking revenue versus citation revenue.

2. Continue to review current local and State ordinances to determine feasibility of maintaining either all or a portion of citation revenue within the County.

3. Form a coalition with other counties throughout the State to urge policymakers towards creating a localized citation management and revenue distribution model.
   a. Extensive education and outreach will be required. Efforts should be focused around what is currently successfully being done in the other states. Additionally, the importance of adequate enforcement staffing and technology for the successful management of parking resources should be stressed.

4. State statutes and revenue distribution models would need to be evaluated and negotiated. The County should form a coalition with the City and County of Honolulu and other interested counties to lobby for a localized citation management system.
   a. Review current parking citation revenue rate structure.
   b. Develop a proposed rate distribution schedule that benefits the County and the State.
Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps

1. Establish a County-based adjudication process that ties in with the overall citation management system as outlined in Section 8.
2. At a minimum, any increase in citation penalty fines, above the baseline amount that currently goes to the State, should be allocated back to the County. If possible, the County should maintain all local citation revenue.
7. Enforcement Staffing

Currently the County utilizes sworn police officers for parking enforcement. Ideally, police officers should be focused on public safety matters, not parking enforcement. Additionally, using sworn officers to manage parking adds a significant cost. Instead, dedicated non-sworn resources should be allocated to support the parking enforcement needs of each town. The County should take a compliance-based Parking Ambassador approach to enforcement. These Ambassadors could be a subset of the Police Department, and they would still carry Police radios. This will improve the level of “eye and ears” on the streets from a safety standpoint.

The County can also consider outsourcing parking enforcement support services. This would require the County to establish the number of labor hours, uniforms, equipment, vehicles, and any office space needed to support the County along with the specified enforcement services. Most vendors will offer an existing employee transition program, subject to minimum qualifications, background checks, and specified hiring criteria. The County could specific this approach in any solicitation. Private parking operators offer both union and non-union labor. The County can specific this requirement. The Type of labor will impact the cost of the enforcement support services. A County Attorney should confirm the ability to outsource enforcement services. This approach should be evaluated for feasibility and to understand the level of cost savings.

The Parking Ambassadors can also be trained to provide Level 1 maintenance and revenue collections for the parking pay stations. Level 1 maintenance is the basic and preventative maintenance as discussed in Section 9. Ideally, Parking Ambassadors should also have towing and/or booting ability.

Currently, Maui County Ordinance No. 10.48.110 limits parking to no longer than 1 hour between 2:00am and 6:00am, 7 days per week on any roadway. This overnight parking ban is not currently enforced, and it is unrealistic for the County to allocate the resources to do so.
County Park Rangers currently can issue parking citations at War Memorial Park. The Parks & Recreation Department staff operate as an extension of MPD when they issue citations. Following a day-long training course with MPD, they are then sworn in by a judge and provided with MPD ticket books. The City and County of Honolulu also uses some non-sworn civilian officers for enforcement. A similar approach could be implemented for the Parking Ambassadors in Maui.

Meanwhile, the priority should be to encourage compliance with the parking meters to support the program financially. It is recommended that the Parking Ambassadors serve as community liaisons and parking educators for a more customer-friendly approach to compliance. Ideally in the future, the Parking Ambassador positions would be fully funded and sustained by citation revenue. This is dependent on the County’s ability to localize citation management and revenue, which is why the County will likely need to supplement the funding for the Parking Ambassador positions with the paid parking revenue.

While we are not encouraging an enforcement state, consistency and compliance should be mandates to safeguard the community and visitors.

**Lahaina Town**

Parking is enforced in Lahaina Town between 7:00am and 3:30pm. Typically, officer training is scheduled on Fridays, meaning that parking is only enforced Monday through Thursday. Currently there is no enforcement on weekends, evenings or overnight. The police officer that manages parking enforcement typically dedicates more than half of each day to parking enforcement in Lahaina Town. His constrained schedule means that time limit zones are typically only being chalked up to two times per day, which makes time limit enforcement inconsistent and difficult to manage.

To adequately and consistently enforce parking in Lahaina Town, including any residential permit parking zones and employee permit programs, the County should
employ a minimum of 3 dedicated Parking Ambassador positions. These positions could be a mix of full and part time employees if necessary. Lahaina Town should consider implementing enforcement between the hours of 10:00am and 10:00pm, 7 days per week. The suggested 10:00am start time will allow everyone to visit the area for free in the morning, but will still adequately cover the peak tourist visitation times and operating hours that require parking management.

There should also be an enforcement staffing plan in place for special event days, such as cruise ship days. A Special Event Plan should be in place for enforcement, safety and traffic control. More information on special event planning is in Section 15.

Wailuku Town

Recently, the Town’s enforcement resource, Officer Taguma, retired. This means that parking enforcement is not occurring in Wailuku Town at this time. Parking was being enforced in Wailuku Town by him from Monday through Friday. Officer Taguma spent approximately 4 hours per day supporting parking enforcement, sometimes 2 hours per day on crossing guard work, and supported mental health cases, safety patrols and other related duties. Based on citation issuance data, as described in Section 6, time limits were rarely being enforced. The lack of consistent parking enforcement in Wailuku Town likely results in inflated parking occupancy rates. Enforcement is important to achieve compliance.

To adequately and consistently enforce parking in Wailuku Town, including any residential permit parking zones and employee permit programs, the County should employ a minimum of 2 dedicated Parking Ambassador positions. These positions could be a combination of full and part time employees if necessary. The County should consider implementing enforcement between the hours of 9:00am and 5:00pm on weekdays. The suggested hours will cover the peak occupancy times.

Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps

1. Work with the Maui Police Department to identify potential for Parking Ambassador positions.
2. Update Maui County enforcement ordinances, as outlined in Appendix C.
   a. Consider updating the current overnight parking ban (Maui County Ordinance No. 10.48.110).
3. Write a job description for the Parking Ambassadors.
   a. The Parking Ambassadors may also be responsible for preventative maintenance and revenue collections. More information can be found in Section 9.
b. The Parking Ambassadors, under general supervision, should patrol the assigned areas to enforce parking regulations and ordinances, maintain records, and issue citations.

c. Examples of duties include observing vehicles for parking violations, issuing citations, operating computer equipment and handhelds, filling out data fields related to code violations and VINs, acting as an ambassador to the public to answer questions, and notifying police when appropriate.

4. Create a training manual with detailed job guidelines and policy and procedures for dedicated Parking Ambassadors. This should cover all aspects of the enforcement, maintenance, and revenue collections work. A manual of policies and procedures is necessary for guidance and direction for the enforcement officers. A manual is not just about personnel issues; it is also a "how to do the job" guideline, detailing enforcement policies so that every officer enforces in the same manner.

5. Create at least 3 dedicated Parking Ambassador positions in Lahaina Town, and at least 1.5 in Wailuku Town. These positions may be staffed using a mix of full-time and part-time enforcement officers. Consistent enforcement will be critical for the success of a paid parking program.

   a. Additional Parking Ambassador positions should be considered based on the volume of citations and the level of infrastructure to be enforced. For example, if the County is authorized to enforce shared parking locations, increased enforcement should be considered based upon support needs.

   b. In Lahaina Town, parking should be enforced from 10:00 am-10:00 pm, 365 days per year.

   c. In Wailuku Town, parking should be enforced between 9:00 am-5:00 pm on weekdays only. This could likely be managed by 1 full-time Parking Ambassador and one half-time Ambassador that each work from Monday – Friday.

   d. Three potential staffing plans are outlined in Figure 5 below.

---

**Figure 5. Potential Staffing Plans:**

**Lahaina Town:**

**5 PTEs Staff Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hrs.</th>
<th>Sun</th>
<th>Mon</th>
<th>Tue</th>
<th>Wed</th>
<th>Thu</th>
<th>Fri</th>
<th>Sat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30-16:30</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-16:30</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-18:00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>PTE-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-3</td>
<td>PTE-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-20:00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-4</td>
<td>PTE-4</td>
<td>PTE-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-22:00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>PTE-5</td>
<td>PTE-5</td>
<td>PTE-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 FTEs & 2 PTEs Staff Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hrs.</th>
<th>Sun</th>
<th>Mon</th>
<th>Tue</th>
<th>Wed</th>
<th>Thu</th>
<th>Fri</th>
<th>Sat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30-18:30</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-22:00</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>FTE-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTE-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTE-2</td>
<td>FTE-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00-19:30</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-22:00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
<td>PTE-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Walluku Town:

1 FTE & 1 PTE Staff Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hrs.</th>
<th>Sun</th>
<th>Mon</th>
<th>Tue</th>
<th>Wed</th>
<th>Thu</th>
<th>Fri</th>
<th>Sat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30-17:00</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
<td>FTE-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-15:00</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
<td>PTE-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Hire and train the Parking Ambassadors.

7. Cyclical enforcement beats, or routes, should be established to allow for a minimum of 3-4 patrols per shift for each enforcement area. The highest priority should be regulating on-street parking within the downtown core along Front Street in Lahaina Town and along Market Street and the Municipal Lot in Wailuku Town.

8. Provide bikes or scooters for the Parking Ambassadors, as well as the option to enforce on foot, based upon the established beats/routes.
   a. The Port of San Diego recently purchased scooters for their CSO team for approximately $8,000.00 each (Image 13). This type of vehicle could be ideal for Lahaina Town.

9. Establish a transportation/public safety project fund for any surplus parking and future citation revenue, depending on the outcome of Section 6.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. Continually monitor and evaluate citation data and enforcement demand to make any necessary adjustments to enforcement staffing, hours, or beats.
   a. Staffing requirements may change due to efficiencies provided by any future investments in enforcement technology.

*Image 13. Port of San Diego Scooter*
8. Enforcement Technology

MPD uses the **Thin Blue Line e-ticket program** for issuing citations. However, due to issues with the software, the officers still issue a **large amount of paper tickets**. The officers are also responsible for manually inputting these tickets into the backend system which is a time-consuming process. Thin Blue Line does not specialize in parking citations and does not offer many of the services that are available in the market for parking citation processing management systems. Further research should be done to determine the viability of the current software system, particularly if the County proceeds with the localization of citation management. The recommended increase in enforcement will likely result in a significant increase in citations—the **efficiencies and automations** offered by a vendor solution will be essential for citation management by the County. Furthermore, the County should select a citation management vendor that will also provide automated permit management services.

The County should consider implementing a parking citation management system that can interface and automatically transfer citation data to the Court. This will require further discussions with the Court Information Technology staff to determine if interface specification is available with the current court system. Regardless, if the management of parking citations is transferred from the State to the local level, the County will need to solicit a vendor for a parking citation management system, including collections, adjudication and customer service. This solution will automate the administrative processes, including DMV look ups and notice generation. Adjudication management is also typically a service offered by the parking management software vendors. Citation processing software solutions typically offer delinquent collection services specific to parking, with **collection rates above 90%**. The citation management system should also integrate with the County’s permit management system.
Regardless of legislative updates, the Parking Ambassadors should utilize citation issuance handheld devices rather than issuing handwritten tickets. This will ease the burden of required management support as well as provide violators with immediate and accessible payment options. The handheld devices can also integrate in real-time with the permit management vendor. And, more important, if the Court provides an interface specification, parking citation data can be automatically transferred into the court’s database for processing.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Consider system pricing and feature options to anticipate budget requirements.
   a. There are vendors that provide comprehensive citation and permit management solutions. The County may choose to utilize all or a portion of the offered solutions.
   b. It is anticipated that the citation management system will cost the County approximately $25,000 in the first year, and additional licensing fees for each following year (approximately $15,000). This cost could be funded through paid parking revenue and/or citation revenue and the system can be utilized throughout the County.

2. Draft and issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a Citation and Permit Processing Management System, including enforcement handhelds.
   a. The County should select a vendor that can provide increased automation in the short-term, and allow for comprehensive citation management in the future. This will allow the system to adapt to the County’s needs depending on the whether the State relinquishes citation processing and management to the local level.
   b. Determine the citation and permit processing tasks to be completed by the County versus those that will be managed by a vendor. The following checklist (Figure 6) includes the typical parking citation and permit processing tasks. The County may use this checklist to decide which tasks should be either outsourced to a vendor, included as an optional service in an RFP, or kept in-house to be managed by the County. Dependent on the recommended legislative updates, it is recommended that the County eventually process the citations in-house while utilizing vendor software to automate tasks such as DMV lookups and noticing. The vendor solution will allow the County to scale up the size of the operation due to the efficiencies and automations offered by the software.


**Figure 6. Maui Processing Tasks Checklist**

### Parking Citation Processing Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Outsource To vendor</th>
<th>Optional vendor service</th>
<th>Keep In-house</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citation entry (handwritten citations)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-in payment processing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal processing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer support (phone/email)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV lookups</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice mailing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV holds</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt collections</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop/supply handheld citation stock</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop/supply handwritten citation books</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parking Permit Processing Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Outsource to vendor</th>
<th>Optional vendor service</th>
<th>Keep in-house</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renewal mailing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Application review (validate eligibility)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfillment of physical permit</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop/supply permit stock</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer support (phone/email)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**c.** Additionally, the County should consider the following features for the handheld ticket writers:

i. Real-time transmission;

ii. Ability to take, send, and view color photos;

iii. Ability to view prior citations, warnings, and valid permit information during the citation issuance process;

iv. The use of a chalking feature;

v. The use of a default citation;

vi. A simple and user-friendly user interface; and

vii. Customizable public-facing web user interface to pay and appeal parking citation.
viii. A toll-free telephone number to accept citation payments over the phone.

3. Implement the citation and permit management system ideally prior to the implementation of paid parking. This solution should include an online web portal for permit applications and payments.

4. Based on the outcome of Section 6, utilize the selected citation management vendor for parking citation collections and noticing. The following graphic (Figure 7) depicts a recommended timeline for noticing and collections, and when to advance to a 3rd party collections agency.

   a. Ensure that local ordinances allow for the recommended escalation schedule.

**Figure 7. Parking Citation Typical Collections Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner name is obtained</th>
<th>Fine escalation</th>
<th>Additional noticing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Day 1</td>
<td>• Day 45</td>
<td>• Day 90-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtesy Notice is sent • Day 21</td>
<td>DMV hold is placed • Fine escalated • Day 60</td>
<td>3rd party collections begin • Day 180-365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Maintenance and Revenue Collections

With the recommended installation of paid parking technology, the County will need to identify an internal resource to handle Level 1 maintenance, service calls, and, depending on the equipment configuration, paid parking revenue collections in each town. Currently, Lahaina Restoration Foundation (LRF) employs trash pick-up staff, who could be trained to support meter maintenance and cleaning during their routes in Lahaina Town. It is also not uncommon for municipalities to cross-train Parking Ambassador staff in these additional duties. Fortunately, the recommendation to limit most paid parking technology to credit/debit card only (Section 4) will significantly cut back on the level of maintenance and revenue collections support required. The County may also choose to have maintenance and revenue collections handled by the Public Works Department. It is anticipated that maintenance and revenue collections will be fully funded by paid parking revenue. Level 1 maintenance includes basic preventative maintenance and responding to service calls such as a jammed credit card.

Level 2 maintenance is typically managed by the parking technology vendor. Additionally, even though most of the equipment should be credit card only, there may still be some element of coin and cash. The frequency of revenue collections will depend on utilization. If the County decides to accept cash and/or coin, meter revenue should be collected at least once per week as a starting point. The revenue collections schedule can be reassessed once demand and utilization are understood. The paid parking technology software is also able to notify staff of any maintenance issues and collection requirements. While this is a helpful tool, the County should not rely solely on the parking software. It is recommended that the maintenance staff visit each location at least once every two weeks to ensure that there are no unidentified issues such as graffiti, vandalism, etc.

Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps

1. Identify an internal resource, such as the LRF staff or Parking Ambassadors, to handle Level 1 maintenance and revenue collections in each town. County staff should be trained by the paid parking vendor(s) on how to respond to common service calls and how any monies are securely collected.

2. Establish a protocol for paid parking collections and revenue reconciliation. The technology will keep track of the deposited money. Therefore, the amount of cash and coin collected and counted should be cross-referenced with the meter management systems to ensure that all the monies are being reconciled. It is important that the paid parking collection process is securely managed.
a. Equipment keys should be stored securely, key access should be monitored and only a limited number of staff should have authorization to access the paid parking keys.
b. Revenue counting and reconciliation procedures must be established and monitored by designated County accounting staff, including:
   i. cash and coin counting processes
   ii. credit card variance and verification
   iii. deposit monies into a County bank account.
c. There are cases where the improper use of the paid parking technology may result in a minor variance. An acceptable variance threshold should be identified upon implementation and then reevaluated 90 days after initiation.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. Adjust revenue collections schedule as needed based on demand patterns.
10. **Residential Permit Parking**

In preparation for the implementation of paid parking, the County should initiate a residential parking permit (RPP) program to prevent spillover parking in the residential neighborhoods. This program would only allow residents of these areas, and their guests, to park on street. The County should update the ordinances to allow the residential neighborhoods to be permit eligible. The policies will be available, if needed. This will allow the residents to determine the impacts in their neighborhood and allow them the opportunity to consider an RPP program. More information on the specific required ordinances is outlined in Appendix C. Typically, a petitioning process is established that allows residents to enact the specific permit regulations allowed by ordinance. Qualifying residents would then obtain a hangtag or sticker based upon proof of residency. A progressive outreach campaign should be implemented by the County that would allow surrounding neighborhoods to ‘opt in’ to the RPP program based upon their proximity to the paid parking program. There is usually a minimal charge to residents, at a minimum, to cover the administrative and support costs associated with the RPP program.

Many municipalities choose to have an online customer portal for residents to apply for a permit and upload supporting documentation. Typically, RPP programs also allow for a certain number of guest parking permits per household. Hangtags or stickers should be color coordinated by the year to make enforcement simple. Parking Ambassadors will then be able to visually verify whether the correct color permit is attached to the vehicles parked in the RPP zones. Ideally, for ease of enforcement, a license plate would be linked to a permit for easy verification by a Parking Ambassador. It will also be important for the County to install signage identifying the RPP zones in the towns. This will ensure that visitors are notified of the parking restrictions in the residential areas, and that it will be easily enforceable. There is an administrative support aspect of this service that can be outsourced, however, the program still needs to be supported and managed by a County resource.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Establish a set of predefined residential permit restrictions that neighborhoods may be eligible for. Neighborhoods should be required to agree upon one of the standardized permit restriction formats to apply for the program.
   a. The County should consider the appropriate hours and days of the week that permits could be required based on occupancy rates and enforcement resources.
2. Update the ordinances to make the residential neighborhoods in each town permit eligible through a petitioning process.
   a. A threshold should be set that requires a certain percentage of each neighborhood to sign the petition to implement a residential permit parking restriction.
b. The County should proactively recommend the residential permit parking program to neighborhoods prior to the implementation of paid parking. An educational outreach campaign will be necessary to safeguard the neighborhoods and prevent spillover parking.

3. Determine any limitations on permits or guest permits. Typically, municipalities limit the number of guest permits per property and/or the number of valid days per guest permit to prevent fraud and over-parking.

4. Determine the permit cost, if any, and the costs associated with operating and supporting the program. There is a cost associated with administering and enforcing the permits. A small fee for the permit can help the County recover this cost. To keep the cost low, the County may want to subsidize the permit program with other revenue streams generated from paid parking and/or citations.

5. Design and order the necessary signage and permit stickers or hangtags. Permits should include a license plate number to prevent residents from sharing them with guests or visitors. Guest permits should be printed with the valid dates.

6. The permits should be color coordinated by year for ease of enforcement. Mail out stickers or hangtags to the residents.

7. Install signage at the entrances to the zones that indicate residential permit parking only.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. Implement a software system that allows residents to sign up online to participate. An online web portal should request that users create an account and upload documents for proof of residency. This software solution should be included within the permit and citation management RFP solicitation described above in Section 8.

   a. Residents should also have the ability to sign up in person at a designated location in Lahaina or Wailuku Town. Residents should be required to have the required documents with them when applying in person. County staff or an outsourced vendor should verify and enter the information into the software system. This will allow the information to be fully integrated with the enforcement handhelds for validation.

   b. Uploaded proof of residency documentation should be reviewed and verified by a designated administrator. Typically, acceptable proof of residency includes a utility bill, bank statement, or credit card bill from the last 30 days.

   c. This web portal should also be used for employee permit applications. More information on employee permits is below in Section 11.

2. Publish information online and send mailers to the residents that will be affected by the residential permit parking zones. Instructions for how to sign up for the program should be provided.

3. Require that participants renew their permits on an annual basis. This will ensure that residency status is up to date. It is recommended that the County deny renewal to any residents with outstanding parking tickets.

   a. Renewal notices should be sent by mail at least 30 days in advance of the permit expiration date.
11. Employee Permit Parking

Employee parking should be proactively addressed prior to the implementation of paid parking to ensure that employees have an affordable location to park. Based upon stakeholder feedback, it appears that employees currently park for extended periods throughout downtown Lahaina and within the short-term Wailuku Municipal Lot spaces. Ideally, the most convenient parking should only be utilized for short-term customer parking if possible, and employees should be parking their cars off-site. Like the RPP program, employees could pay a small administrative cost to sustain the employee permit parking operation. However, to encourage employees to park in this location rather than on-street, the cost of the remote parking needs to be significantly less expensive than the metered parking on a per hour and per day basis.

The County should also consider implementing a “Cafeteria Plan” for transportation subsidies for County employees. This type of pre-tax allocation can be used as an incentive for County employees to utilize public transportation. This can reduce the demand for permit parking, therefore providing more parking availability to be allocated for visitor or customer parking.

Lahaina Town

One potential location for employee parking is at the Outlets of Maui. There are approximately 250 spaces on the upper deck of the outlet parking garage that are underutilized. Additionally, this location is within reasonable walking distance (quarter-mile) for the businesses north of Lahainaluna Road. The County could also implement a circulating shuttle for this location, to serve the employees that work further South in Lahaina. This shuttle system could be funded by permit and hourly parking revenue. More information on the proposed shuttle system is discussed in Section 12. The County could also consider implementing a bike share program in place of, or to supplement the shuttle system. Bike sharing has been successfully implemented in municipalities across the country, including Denver and San Francisco, and it is a low-cost option to make remote parking viable in Lahaina. These programs can be outsourced at no cost to the County, funded by advertising monies.

A strategic partnership between the County and the Outlet Mall would be necessary to make this shared parking location a possible solution. The County-operated shuttle system would also help connect the Outlets to downtown Lahaina, and will ultimately bring more customers into the mall. Visitors could be encouraged to park at the mall due to the impacted parking availability in Lahaina, especially along Front Street. By utilizing the parking resources more efficiently, more visitors will be able to access Lahaina. There is also an opportunity for the mall and the County to do a revenue share so that the outlet mall is generating revenue from the parking spaces that would otherwise be sitting vacant. More information on non-monetized vs. monetized shared parking can be found in...
Section 20. The Outlet Mall has development plans that will reduce the parking supply, but in the interim, the existing spaces could be leveraged as a source of revenue. This could be a temporary solution for employee parking in Lahaina Town while the County raises the funds and locates an alternate remote location to develop more parking supply.

The County may also need to consider implementing an employee permit parking program within the Luakini and Prison Street Lots. A small portion of the lot could be set aside for employee permit parking during business hours. For example, if the County decides to assign 25% of the Luakini and Prison Street Lot spaces for employee permit parking, 15 spaces would be available in the Luakini Lot and 41 in the Prison Street Lot between 8:00am and 10:00pm for employee permit parking. The amount of parking to allocate for employee permit parking will depend on a partnership with the Outlet Mall.

Furthermore, Luakini Street and Mokuhina Place are two on-street locations that could be considered for employee permit parking (Figure 8). While on-street paid parking is recommended in downtown Lahaina, Luakini Street has very limited space to support paid parking equipment. There are not enough locations along Luakini Street where parking meters or pay stations could be installed without becoming a traffic impediment. Instead, this street could be easily signed for employee permit parking only, and it would be a convenient location for employees to store their cars within walking distance from many businesses along Front Street. Mokuhina Place has also been identified as an ideal location for employee permit parking because of its proximity to the elementary school. However, this location is currently being used by some school employees. Mokuhina Place is currently unregulated and should be monitored and controlled.

**Figure 8. Potential On-Street Employee Permit Parking Locations**

The County and/or the Outlet Mall should ideally offer a monthly employee parking permit. These permits could be in the form of a hangtag or a sticker. Monthly permits will provide flexibility and will make it easier for employees to afford the permit. Ideally, permits would be linked to a license plate that can be easily managed and verified by the Parking Ambassador staff. The County should also offer a low-income permit option for employees that qualify. With proof of income under a certain threshold, employees could receive a heavily discounted monthly permit rate. Supporting documentation can be
uploaded online and approved by a County staff or an outsourced vendor. It is important to highlight that these are services that are not currently provided that will require staffing and resources to support the program to be successful.

Currently, Article II, 10.76.050 Section B of the Maui County Code limits all parking within the Prison Street Parking lot to a maximum of 3 hours. To allow for permit parking, the County will need to update this ordinance to give employee permit holders an exception to this time limit.

**Wailuku Town**

Converting the existing 12-hour parking spaces within the Municipal Lot to permit parking, as well as a portion of the Vineyard Street Lot (See Section 4) will be an effective **interim plan** prior to the development of the Wailuku Civic Hub Garage. The introduction of paid permit parking will prepare downtown employees and the community prior to the widespread implementation of paid parking. This will be an opportunity for the County to **determine the effect of paid parking** on occupancy rates and driver behavior throughout downtown. If priced appropriately, County employees that currently park in the Municipal Lot may choose to pay for parking at a County facility instead. The results of a permit parking program in the Municipal Lot can be used as **justification for future program changes** moving forward, and it will allow for more accurate financial and occupancy forecasting. It is possible that the deficiency in parking supply could be improved through parking management strategies such as permit parking and enforcement. Therefore, prior to investing in the Civic Hub Garage, the County should effectively manage the existing parking supply.

The County should launch a comprehensive education and outreach campaign to ensure that drivers know of upcoming program changes, the purpose of the program, how to apply for a permit, permit cost, where to park, new enforcement regulations, and the permit program launch date.

The permit rate should be comparable to the existing County permit rate. Ideally, the County would offer a reduced rate at a remote parking location with a circulating shuttle. However, due to time constraints, this comprehensive solution is unlikely in the short-term. Therefore, the County should be mindful that the price of the permit needs to be reasonable and affordable. Refer to Section 4 for pricing recommendations and financial modeling results.

Designated permit versus time limited areas will ensure efficient enforcement. The enforcement officer will be able to quickly monitor the time limited spaces, without having to check each car for a permit. This will make time limit enforcement more efficient.

Consistent enforcement will be critical for the County to determine the true impact of the new parking permit program and the no re-parking ordinance. The County should
consider these changes as an opportunity to ‘test the waters’ and determine what to expect regarding future program changes. Adequate enforcement will ensure compliance with the parking regulations.

The County should also consider identifying an alternative location to store fleet vehicles. Ideally, the fleet could be reduced by implementing a shared fleet vehicle program. Many municipalities have utilized fleet management tools to both reduce the number of vehicles and share the resources throughout a variety of departments.

In the long-term, if parking supply becomes impacted in Wailuku Town, the County could consider expanding the employee permit parking program to the War Memorial Lot. This lot is currently being utilized by many hotel employees, who park and ride a shuttle to other parts of the island. A similar approach could be implemented with a circulating shuttle and bike share service to serve downtown Wailuku business. Ideally, employees should be parked remotely to allow for more convenient customer parking availability. There are many tactics that may be used to encourage remote employee parking. For example, the County should offer employee permits at the War Memorial lot for free or a reduced rate. Additionally, the shuttle system should be reliable, efficient, frequent, safe, and potentially even fun. Some suggestions include the use of music and handing out goodies or snacks. The County should consider utilizing the FRED program (Section 12) to implement either an on-demand or fixed route shuttle system for employee use. If possible, a fixed route program may be more cost effective because many employees may arrive to and leave from work at similar times. If demand is more varied and spread out, the typical FRED on-demand program would be ideal.

Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps

1. Update Section 10.76.050 of the Maui County Code to allow for longer term paid and employee permit parking.
2. Consider implementing a “Cafeteria Plan” for County employee transportation subsidies to reduce the demand for employee permit parking.
3. Implement employee permit parking in the Wailuku Town Municipal Lot and a portion of the Vineyard Street Lot to begin to regulate employee parking prior to the upcoming construction project. The cost of the employee permits should be consistent with the rate that County employees are charged.
   a. Launch education and outreach campaign.
   b. Distribute hangtags or stickers.
   c. Install appropriate signage.
4. Begin outreach to the Outlets of Maui to develop a strategic partnership to manage employee parking in Lahaina Town. Without the support of the Outlets, the County will need to designate a different remote parking location for employee permit parking.
a. Consider the marketing and outreach programs that would benefit the Outlets.
b. If necessary, pursue a shared revenue or maintenance agreement. More information on shared parking agreements can be found in Section 20.
c. Due to development plans, this employee parking solution would be temporary. In the meantime, the County will need to allocate revenue and determine a remote location for the development of additional parking supply.

5. Determine the amount of spaces in the Luakini and Prison Street Lots that will be allocated for employee permit parking, if any.
   a. The hours of operation should be set during typical business hours such as between 8:00am and 10:00pm.

6. Determine a nominal cost for employee parking in Lahaina Town that will sustain the program while allowing employees to park at a discount compared to on-street parking.
   a. For example, if on-street paid parking costs $4.00 per hour, then a 4-hour shift would cost $16.00. To encourage remote parking, the employee permit should cost significantly less than that amount. It is recommended that the County initiate a monthly rate of around $65.00, with a $40.00 low-income rate. The County could charge just $20.00 for a more remote location that could be negotiated with the outlet mall. More information on the rate recommendations can be found in Section 4. These rates will allow the County to sustain the program by covering administrative and enforcement costs. It could also help fund a bike share program for access to the remote parking, as detailed below.

7. Distribute stickers or hangtags to the employees in Lahaina Town. Permits should be linked to a vehicle license plate and color coded based on the quarter that they are issued.

8. Install the appropriate signage in Lahaina Town to indicate employee parking areas.

9. Identify an alternative location in Wailuku Town to store County fleet vehicles.
   a. Consider implementing a shared fleet vehicle program to optimize and potentially reduce fleet size.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. Utilize the same web portal as the residential permit program to accept applications and payments for the employee permits. Employees should be able to upload supporting documentation to the website and register their license plate. Proof of employment could be in the form of a paystub or a letter of authorization from an employer.

2. Employees should also have the ability to sign up in person with the supporting documentation. County staff or an outsourced vendor should verify and enter the information into the software system. This will allow the information to be fully integrated with the enforcement handhelds for validation.

3. Uploaded proof of employment documentation should be reviewed and verified by a designated County staff or outsourced vendor.
4. Publish information online and send mailers to inform businesses and employees of the upcoming permit program. Instructions for how to sign up for the program should be provided.

5. Require that employees renew their permits on a quarterly or annual basis. This will ensure that employment status is up to date.

6. Renewal notices should be sent by mail at least 30 days in advance of the permit expiration date.
   a. Monitor employee parking occupancy rates to determine whether the County is supplying a sufficient employee parking.

7. Remote parking locations may be supported by an on-demand or fixed route shuttle and/or a bike share program.
   a. The County should consider offering these alternative modes of transportation for free or for a small cost.
   b. The shuttle needs to be consistent and reliable. Rather than a dedicated shuttle route, an on-demand circulator similar to the City of San Diego FRED program (Free Ride Everywhere Downtown) would likely be a more cost-effective model. More information on the FRED program is in Section 12.
   c. There are many types of bike share programs. The County should vet these options to determine the best solution for the community. The County may want to consider solar powered electric bikes.
   d. Bike stations should be placed at the remote parking location and throughout the downtown core for convenience.
12. Free Shuttle Program

The Free Ride is a free shuttle program that has been successfully implemented in several cities throughout the country. The shuttle program is free to the users because the staffing and operating costs are completely funded by advertisements. There are moving billboards, videos for passengers and even sample products that are given out during the rides. The vehicles are all electric and each fit up to 5 passengers. Additionally, a mobile application will allow users to request a ride within certain boundaries; users are prompted to select their pick up and drop of locations, and the application provides real time driver ETAs and notifications.

So far, The Free Ride has been implemented in South Florida, California, the Hamptons, and the Jersey Shore.

In the City of San Diego, The Free Ride operates under a partnership between the City, Civic San Diego and the Downtown San Diego Partnership. In San Diego, the program is called “FRED”, which stands for “Free Ride Everywhere Downtown”. The initial funding of $500,000.00 for the program came from downtown parking meter revenue. The City purchased a fleet of 15 vehicles for $200,000.00, and the additional $300,000.00 of funding went towards storage, charging stations and start-up personnel costs. The shuttles operate between 7:00am and 9:00pm, Monday through Thursday, until Midnight on Friday and Saturday, and from 9:00am to 9:00pm on Sundays. The drivers earn $14.66 per hour.

The staffing and operating costs are funded by advertisement revenue.

A program like FRED has the potential to be very successful in Maui. The level of tourism
would be ideal to support The Free Ride’s platform. The County could pursue a partnership with a free shuttle program such as FRED to improve access and mobility throughout downtown Lahaina and Wailuku Town. These shuttles could be utilized for remote employee and visitor parking, and they would be a convenient service for any visitors who may have difficulty getting around the towns by foot or bike. Extensive outreach will be necessary to inform visitors and employees about the shuttle service. Signage and flyers should encourage visitors to download the application. Typically, the FRED program is structured as an on-demand service, however the County should solicit the company about the potential for a fixed route program if desired.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Pursue a partnership with a free shuttle company such as The Free Ride.
   a. A portion of the projected paid parking revenue should be earmarked for the purchase of the vehicle fleet, vehicle storage, charging station and start-up personnel costs.
   b. The County should work with The Free Ride to determine the feasibility of implementing both on-demand versus fixed route services.

**Mid-Term Steps**

1. For Lahaina Town, procure 3 electric shuttles through the program and hire shuttle drivers.
2. Promote the program through extensive outreach to both employees and visitors.
   a. The shuttle program can be advertised through flyers and signage, as well as online. The program should be most heavily advertised at any peripheral parking locations, such as the outlet mall or the Prison Street Lot.

**Long-Term Steps**

1. For Wailuku Town, procure 3 electronic shuttles through the program and hire shuttle drivers.
2. Initially, the FRED shuttles could be utilized along a fixed route in Wailuku Town as an employee shuttle service to and from remote parking. This would allow the County to build clientele for the program, prior to implementing it town-wide.
3. Continually assess the ridership levels and wait times to determine any necessary program changes. As the program gains users, the County may need to expand the fleet to meet demand.
13. Scooter and Bike Parking

**Lahaina Town**

There has been a recent effort to install more bike racks in Lahaina. Many of the restaurant employees bike to work, and the bicycle racks typically fill up. One issue that Lahaina Town is facing is that the bicycle parking often takes up the already limited sidewalk space. The County should consider replacing a small number of on-street parking spaces in Lahaina with designated bicycle and motor scooter parking. Bicycle parking should be prioritized over car parking, especially in the downtown core along Front Street. Another problem that Lahaina Town encounters is when motor scooters occupy entire parking spaces meant for cars. Currently, there are no designated motor scooter parking, and sometimes they are even parked on the sidewalks (Image 16). If the County proceeds with the recommendation to mark the on-street spaces for cars, any additional space leftover that isn’t large enough for cars should indicate motor scooter and/or bicycle parking.

**Wailuku Town**

Currently, scooter and bike parking does not appear to be a significant issue in Wailuku Town. However, as the town continues to grow, especially after the completion of the Civic Hub, implementing secure bike storage locations would help encourage their use. Many of the stakeholders were concerned about security, and some indicated that if they had a secure place to store their bike that they would be more likely to bike to downtown.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Assess the most impacted bike and scooter parking locations in Lahaina Town and determine the feasibility of replacing a small number of nearby on-street parking spaces with designated bike and motor scooter parking.
   a. Ensure that bike rack placements will not be a hazard to oncoming traffic.
b. Ideally, bike and motor scooter parking should be centrally located to reduce chances of theft.

2. Consider installing secure bike parking locations in Wailuku Town to encourage bike ridership.

3. Any extra on-street space in downtown Lahaina that cannot accommodate an automobile should be marked specifically for motor scooter and/or bike parking.
14. Loading Zones

Lahaina Town

Lahaina Town currently has several 15-minute loading zone spaces that are restricted to loading only between 5:00am and 5:00pm on Monday through Saturday. Rather than restrict the spaces to loading only for 10 hours per day, the County could consider requiring commercial loading to occur up until a certain time, such as 2:00pm. After that, paid parking could be required. This would allow for paid hourly parking in some or all the loading zone spaces for the rest of the day.

Additionally, the County may consider designating certain loading zone areas as designated rideshare drop-off/pick-up locations. Encouraging the use of ridesharing will help reduce the demand on the County’s parking resources. By creating designated drop-off/pick-up zones, this can help reduce the amount of congestion that would otherwise be caused from the ridesharing vehicles blocking the road or stopping illegally for passengers. This method has been implemented in many cities, and the zones are displayed within the ridesharing applications. Regardless of the loading zone requirements that are implemented, clear and consistent signage as well as curb markings will help ensure compliance and ease of enforcement. Signage should indicate active loading only to prevent queuing. Yellow single space meters can also be utilized within the loading zones spaces to help notify drivers of the parking restrictions.

Ideally, the County should eliminate on-street parking on Front Street between Papalaua and Lahainaluna and develop these spaces for bike parking, commercial loading and active loading/drop off areas.

There is also a bus loading zone along Wharf Street that limits parking to 15-minute bus stop parking only. The sign also indicates that no parking is allowed...
between 7:00am and 7:00pm. It is not clear to visitors that parking is permitted in the bus zone after 7:00pm. The language on the signage should be simplified to either indicate no parking any time, or it should say bus loading only between 7:00am and 7:00pm.

**Wailuku Town**

There does not appear to be any significant issues with loading zones in Wailuku Town currently. However, as the town continues to change and grow, the County should be aware of potential loading zone impacts that may need to be addressed. As recommended for Lahaina Town, the County could consider requiring loading in Wailuku Town between certain hours of the day, depending on peak and non-peak occupancy times.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Determine designated loading zone hours in the morning prior to the paid parking hours.
   a. As outlined in Section 4, pay stations can be used to charge for parking in the loading zones.
2. Loading zone signage should clearly describe the loading zone time of day restrictions. Signage should indicate active loading only.
3. Clarify the bus loading zone regulations and update the signage.
4. Work with ridesharing companies to designate drop-off/pick-up zones.
15. Special Events

Paid Parking
The paid parking technology rates can be easily modified for special events that impact downtown parking in Wailuku Town and Lahaina Town. Special event rates may help motivate drivers to park farther away or seek alternative modes of transportation. A flat special event rate can be integrated and implemented for both on- and off-street parking utilizing the paid parking technology. Any flat rate should be commensurate with the value of the existing rates for on- and off-street parking locations.

To apply a special event rate, the County will need to establish criteria for when the rate would apply, the amount and the advanced notification requirements. Based upon these criteria, the County will have the option to increase special event pricing for any downtown special events, depending upon the need. It is important to keep in mind that special event rates will require increased hours of enforcement for any extended paid parking hours.

Alternative Modes of Transportation
Promoting alternative transportation options should be encouraged throughout all levels of special event planning and promotions. For example, there are many cross-promotions occurring with services such as Lyft and Uber that both promote the Town event and their services to encourage other transportation sources and reduce parking demand. Municipalities across the country are coordinating directly with these resources to encourage alternative transportation. Special event planning should incorporate an accessible location for the drop-off and pick-up of passengers and a designated location for bus parking. More information on tour bus parking is outlined in Section 18.

Lahaina Town

Traffic and Transportation Plan
A Traffic and Transportation Plan for special event days, including cruise ship days, will improve the overall traffic flow in Lahaina Town. There are an estimated 51 cruise ship days per year, and the influx of visitors is not being managed efficiently. The tour bus operators have already established an off-site queuing system, but there are ways to further optimize traffic flow. One idea is to consider establishing a taxi and ridesharing pick-up/drop-off zone next to the Lahaina Loading Dock. In this case, Papelekane Street would become a one-way street. This would direct traffic out and away from the tour bus pick-up/drop-off zone along Wharf Street.

The County should also work with the Outlet Mall to potentially establish a tour bus pick-up and/or drop-off location at their property. This would improve traffic flow within Lahaina Town, and tourists would be more likely to shop and dine in the Town. Front Street shopping and dining could become part of the visitor experience for those who go on tours. After getting dropped off, they could then walk from the Outlet Mall along Front
Street, before returning to the ship. This is an ideal way to promote the businesses within the Mall and along Front Street, while at the same time improving traffic and congestion.

**Special Event Enforcement Plan**

The County should develop an enforcement plan for special event days, including cruise ship days, to manage access and improve safety in Lahaina Town. **Safety is a major concern** for the tour bus operators, particularly along Hotel Street and Wharf Street. The congestion in this area is not currently being managed by the County during their pick-up and drop-off times. While parking is technically not allowed, it is not adequately enforced. It is crucial that the County allocates the necessary enforcement resources to manage this location.

The Special Event Enforcement Plan will require committed resources to install traffic cones and temporary signage to help manage the congestion impacts. The County should consider supplementing the Special Event Enforcement Plan with resources from the **Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP)**. This is an existing community resource pool that can be trained and uniformed to provide the support resources needed to assist with the mitigation and safety needs for these impacted days. Currently RSVPs already support the County and the Police Department, and this is a tremendous opportunity to extend their valuable contributions.

**Wailuku Town**

Currently, there is not enough parking demand in Wailuku Town to justify the need for an immediate enforcement plan for special events. However, as the town continues to grow in popularity, parking may become impacted on weekends or evenings. If this becomes the case, the County could consider implementing weekend and/or evening paid parking and enforcement on special event days. However, the construction of the Civic Hub Garage will likely provide enough parking supply for special events, and the gated design will minimize the need for additional enforcement. Also, based upon the garage design
and the entrance from Market Street, there is an opportunity to develop a valet parking program in support of special events.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Establish criteria for when a special event parking rate will apply.
2. Begin outreach to ridesharing companies, Uber and Lyft about the potential to implement ridesharing incentive programs and drop-off/pick-up zones for special events.
3. Develop a Special Event Traffic and Transportation Plan.
   a. Consider establishing a taxi and ridesharing drop-off/pick-up zone near the Lahaina Loading Dock.
   b. Consider making Papelekane Street a one-way to direct taxis and ridesharing vehicles away from the tour bus zone along Wharf Street.
   c. Begin outreach to the Outlet Mall to consider potentially allowing a number of tour bus drop-offs and/or pick-ups at the mall.
4. Develop a Special Event Enforcement Plan and allocate the necessary enforcement resources to manage access, traffic flow and safety issues in Lahaina Town.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. If parking supply becomes impacting in Wailuku Town on weekends and/or evenings, the County should at that point consider implementing weekend and/or evening paid parking, a valet program and enforcement on special event days.
16. **Wayfinding Program**

The *signage and parking brand should be consistent* throughout each town, including sign format, symbols and colors. Any County-managed parking area including those established with a shared parking agreement needs to be clearly identified and the signage should promote the parking location, any time restrictions and any applicable rates/fees. The parking brand/signage would be required to be used in conjunction with the terms and conditions of the shared parking agreement. The County can deliver parking information through multiple outlets including vehicle messaging systems, wayfinding signage, and various websites, including the tourism board, hotel, travel and parking sources. The technology exists to provide parking and transportation information in real-time. The County must be proactive to **educate and inform** those preparing to visit Lahaina Town or Wailuku Town.

The County should consider hiring a consultant to develop a wayfinding strategy. Typically, a wayfinding strategy is based upon short-, mid-, and long-term scenarios. The first phase of developing a wayfinding strategy is the research and documentation phase. During this phase, the consultant should evaluate existing roadways and parking locations, outline street jurisdictions, and develop preliminary designs. Based upon County feedback, the final designs would be developed next, along with the construction documentation. Following that, a request for proposals (RFP) can be released to procure the recommended wayfinding technology and signage.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short Term Steps**

1. Consider hiring a branding consultant to develop the County’s overall parking brand and wayfinding strategy. Each town could have its distinct parking brand as part of the overall county system. The comprehensive wayfinding signage system should have a unified and consistent parking brand or theme that is easily recognizable between the towns. This branding should be utilized on all County-owned parking signage as well as by participating private operators that qualify for the program.

2. Install branded wayfinding signage throughout the towns to direct visitors to available parking using arrows.
   a. Any remote parking locations should be included in the wayfinding program with signage to encourage remote parking.
   b. “Pay Here” signage should be included with all pay stations.
   c. Include signage that indicates the location of disabled accessible paid on-street parking. The areas without disabled accessible pay stations should be clearly marked.
**Mid-Term Steps**

1. Develop an online map to display locations with public parking. This map should only include locations owned by the County and by private operators who are participating in the wayfinding program. This map should be displayed on the Town’s website and promoted to visitors through nearby hotels and travel sites. The map will help visitors plan their trip and make informed decisions about where to park.

**Long-Term Steps**

1. Any County-owned lot or garage could be equipped with a vehicle counting system so that available parking information may be displayed and promoted in real-time.
   a. In-ground induction loop systems can provide real-time occupancy counts. This is the simplest and most cost-effective method of aggregating the number of available spaces throughout a lot. The loop system would be installed at the ingress and egress points of the lots, and software algorithm uses a simple formula based on the total inventory of the lot to determine how many spaces are available at any time.
   b. Occupancy data can be displayed via the internet for real time parking availability information.
   c. The number of available spaces should be displayed on digital messaging monument signage.
17. Wailuku Civic Hub Garage

The County is planning to construct a Parking and Events Facility in Wailuku, which will include the Civic Hub Garage. Through the project, the County aims to **stimulate economic development and revitalize downtown** Wailuku Town. In conjunction with the project, the County intends to offer a portfolio of incentives to local property owners, business owners, and developers to invest in the town. For example, property owners may be able to obtain a parking reduction for property development projects through the allocation of spaces in the Civic Hub Garage. Furthermore, the County is encouraging the formation of a **Special Improvement District (SID)** that will operate partially from PBD funds. The SID is intended to drive and advocate for improvement projects, plan events, and encourage investment in Wailuku Town. While parking occupancy is fairly low today, through the project, the County aims to provide sufficient parking supply for the growing needs of the community.

Based on the current draft plans, the garage is estimated to have a total of **428 parking stalls**. It is recommended that the County install **Parking Access Revenue Control Systems (PARCS)** for all ingress and egress points of the garage. PARCS include gate arms that will improve the compliance rate and reduce the need for enforcement. Drivers would pull a ticket upon entry and either pay at a kiosk or pay at the exit from the garage. Typically, municipalities prefer to implement the ‘pay on foot’ equipment to reduce congestion at the exit points. The PARCS equipment typically costs around $27,500 per access point, and the ‘pay on foot’ pay station equipment costs an additional $19,000 per unit. With a bill note acceptor, the ‘pay on foot’ pay station equipment cost around $34,000. In addition to the equipment costs, there are ongoing software, warranty, and monthly credit card fees. While the equipment may seem costly, the County should consider the improved compliance rate for parking revenue, as well as the cost savings of reducing the amount of necessary enforcement staff.

It is recommended that the County allocate approximately 65% of the spaces for permit parking, and leave the remaining 35% for paid hourly parking. The project will include additional office space, which will increase the demand for long-term parking. If the County proceeds with the recommendation to implement a permit program in the short-term for the Municipal Lot, this will also help the County gauge what the true parking demand will be once the Civic Hub is constructed. Ideally, the permit spaces should be open and **unassigned**, and located on the **upper floors** of the facility. The lower floors, with the most convenient parking, should be available for visitors. One parking management method to address this is to prohibit parking on the lower floor between **7:00am and 10:00am**. This typically forces most daytime employees to park on the upper levels. It would also make permit enforcement easier by only requiring enforcement during the morning hours on the lower floors. From a safety standpoint, the nighttime employees would still be able to utilize the lower floors, which are closer to the garage entrances. This would also accommodate returning to their vehicles at night more convenient and accessible. See Section 4 for rate and time limit recommendations.
Wayfinding will be an important factor to locate and identify the garage. Directional signage should be placed along main arterials and leading up to the entrance of the garage. The County should also consider installing vehicle detection equipment, like in-ground loop counters, at the entrance and exit points of the garage. The detection equipment would be able to determine real-time occupancy, which could be displayed on external digital signage. A simple “full/open” sign can be helpful for parking guidance.

The embedded travel lane leading up to the entrance of the garage from Market Street would serve as an ideal location for a valet program and/or passenger/ridesharing loading zone. During special events, the County will be able to fit more cars into the facility using valet. Additionally, a passenger loading zone would be ideal for quick pick-ups and drop-offs for events, and it could serve the nearby MAPA facility. Ideally, retractable bollards or planters could be used to temporarily screen off this area when necessary.

The County should be sure to consider garbage truck and emergency vehicle access into and out of the garage. Due to the project’s position next to neighboring buildings, the County will need allow for garbage truck access into the garage. The design of the garage should consider the wide turning radius of the trucks. This is critical to avoid damage to the infrastructure and concrete, which will drastically increase maintenance requirements overtime and detract from the garage’s aesthetic. Furthermore, emergency vehicle access needs to be considered, especially because the facility is meant to host several events. The County could consider installing retractable bollards that will provide emergency vehicles the option of driving straight into the facility from Vineyard, rather than the proposed Market Street entrance.

During construction, it will be critical for the County to implement an interim parking plan. The 12-hour spaces in the existing Municipal Lot are currently highly utilized during the day, so the County would benefit from identifying an interim location for long-term parking during construction. One potential location is at the War Memorial Park Lot. More information can be found in Sections 4 and 11.

Furthermore, the County should consider the impact that construction will have on the nearby businesses. A variety of stakeholders have indicated their concerns about the upcoming construction project. Some are even considering moving out of the town if the County proceeds with the project. The Parking and Events Facility will be a major investment for the County, and the success relies heavily on the success of nearby businesses. Knowing this, it is critical for the County to carefully decide the appropriate design elements and project phasing that will benefit the existing community.
Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps
1. The County should develop an interim parking location for during construction where the displaced long-term parkers that utilize the existing Municipal Lot can park.
2. The County should continue with its plans to release a portfolio of incentives to local property owners, business owners, and developers for during construction.
3. Project designs should incorporate considerations for garbage truck and emergency vehicle access, PARCS, valet and ridesharing zones, and wayfinding.
4. The County should ensure that the finalized designs will benefit the existing community.

Mid-Term Steps
1. The upper levels of the garage should be dedicated to permit parking, and the lower spaces for paid hourly parking.
   a. The County should consider prohibiting parking on the lower floors between 7:00am and 10:00am.

Long-Term Steps
1. Overtime, the County should monitor facility occupancy and utilization to determine any necessary program changes. As occupancy reaches 85%, the County should consider raising rates, implementing a time limit, or changing the space allocations.
18. Prison Street Lot Improvements

It is unclear whether the Prison Street Lot may be developed into a permanent parking location for Lahaina Town due to the Historic District regulations. Regardless, the County should consider how the effective management of parking could benefit Lahaina. The efficient use of the limited parking in the Town could ultimately make parking less of a nuisance and distraction from the Town. Additionally, parking is typically the first and last experience that a visitor will have when visiting Lahaina, so it is important for their parking experience to be positive. It is recommended that the Prison Street Lot be improved in the short-term, and possibly converted into a two-level garage in the future. An assessment of the existing lease agreements should be conducted to determine the feasibility of any proposed site improvements or alterations. At a minimum, the lot should be paved, and all the spaces should be marked. The County should consider allocating additional ADA accessible parking stalls within Prison Street Lot. The County should also consider enhancing the parking lot lighting to improve visitor safety at night.

Currently approximately 6 bus spaces are identified for tour bus parking within the Prison Street lot daily from 8:00am until 10:00pm. The tour bus operators have identified that only 4 bus parking spaces are necessary within Prison Street Lot. Ideally, the two spaces furthest north would be eliminated. Additionally, due to the tour drop-off and pick-up times, these spaces can be dual-purposed for parent queuing during the elementary school drop-off and pick-up times.

Implementation Guide

Short-Term Steps

1. Reevaluate the allowed use of the Prison Street Lot for a permanent parking location.
2. Assess the existing Prison Street Lot lease agreements to determine the feasibility of any upcoming alterations and improvements.
3. Hire a parking facility design firm to create an aesthetically pleasing and functional parking lot that may later be converted into a two-level parking structure.
   a. All spaces should be marked and signed clearly.
b. Ideally, an increase in ADA accessible spaces should be incorporated into the design.

4. Eliminate two of the six bus parking spaces, and allow parent queuing in the remaining spaces during elementary school drop-off and pick-up times.

**Mid-Term Steps**

1. Implement paid parking at the Prison Street Lot as outlined in Section 4.
2. Consider creating a set-aside fund from the Prison Street Lot revenue to help fund Lahaina Historic District projects.

**Long-Term Steps**

1. Improve the various parking areas into a cohesive parking lot.
19. Kamehameha III Elementary School

During the elementary school morning and afternoon pick-up and drop-off times, there is a significant amount of traffic congestion along Front Street. While the issue is specific to certain times of day, it is important to ensure that the traffic flow is managed efficiently, and that pedestrian safety hazards are minimized. It was observed that many parents drop their kids off directly outside of the gate to make sure they can see their child enter the school property safely. One idea to improve the flow of traffic is to install another gate opening at the end of the street to allow for the cars to pull all the way forward and utilize more of the block’s temporary loading zone (Image 21).

Image 21. Proposed Second Entry Gate

Many of the parents also choose to use the adjacent Prison Street Lot for drop-off and pick-up. Currently, the main congestion times do not seem to pose a major issue for the Prison Street Lot location. However, with the recommended implementation of paid parking, it will be important to ensure that the standing times in the lot are kept to a minimum. One possibility is to designate a certain loading zone within the lot for during the drop-off and pick-up times only. For the other hours of the day, paid parking should be required. All rules should be clearly marked for ease of enforcement.

The elementary school also relies on the Prison Street lot for certain school events. The County should work with the school staff to develop a special events plan for the Prison Street Lot. For the events that are too large to be accommodated by this parking lot alone, it has been identified that a nearby Baptist Church parking lot could serve as an ideal location for shared parking with the school.

Elementary school employees should also be considered in the development of the Town’s parking plan. While the school currently has some dedicated parking for employees, their current supply is not sufficient. The school has 100 staff members, but just 60 parking stalls on the campus. There is potential for implementing a permit parking...
zone on the school side of Front Street south of the school. The spaces at Mokuhina Place and along Front Street are currently underutilized. Ideally, these locations could be posted with permit only signs for a school staff member permit that would be effective between 6:30am and 4:30pm.

For the hours that school is not in session, there is an opportunity to charge for public parking at the reserved school parking. The County should pursue a **shared parking agreement for the designated school parking spaces**. The County would maintain the spaces and provide enforcement, and a portion of the revenue could be allocated towards safety programs. More information on shared parking agreements can be found below in Section 20.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Encourage the elementary school to install a second gate opening further down the road on Front Street to improve traffic congestion.
2. Work with the school to develop a special events parking plan that makes use of the Prison Street Lot as well as other opportunities for shared parking agreements.
3. Designate a school employee parking permit zone in an underutilized location along the Southern side of Front Street or at Mokuhina Place.
   a. Permits should be mandated between 6:30am and 4:30pm for longer-term parking.
4. Pursue a shared parking agreement with the school to allow the public to pay for parking in reserved school spaces while school is not in session.
   a. Install pay stations and signage to serve the shared parking locations.
   b. Allocate the necessary enforcement resources to manage the shared parking.
   c. A portion of the revenue should go towards funding safety programs around the school.

**Mid-Term Steps**

1. Designate a small number of passenger loading zones spaces within the Prison Street Lot that are effective during the typical drop-off and pick-up times. Parent queuing could be allowed in the bus parking spaces during non-bus loading hours, as outlined in Section 18.
20. **County Shared Parking**

**Standard, Non-Monetized:**
The County could pursue shared parking agreements with businesses and land owners that may have parking availability. It is important to **utilize the empty parking spaces** within the town’s limits and consider all potential solutions.

**Implementation Guide**

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Amend the County ordinances to allow for shared parking between multiple properties and businesses, including restaurants, shops and hotels.
2. Establish a framework for a negotiation process for off-street shared/public parking agreements in areas with high parking demand. This process would occur between owners of privately-operated off-street parking facilities, property owners and applicants for new developments. Appendix D has example shared use agreements from the City of Saratoga, CA, and the Village of Oak Park, IL. Some considerations to have when pursuing shared parking agreements with business owners are:
   a. Term and extension: evaluate return on investment and ensure terms that allow for potential redevelopment.
   b. Use of Facilities: establish available hours, number of spaces, time limitations and ensure base user will retain use at the end of the sharing period.
   c. Maintenance: evaluate the added cost of maintenance and operation.
   d. Operations: consider revenue collection operations (when applicable) and needed signage.
   e. Utilities and Taxes: determine the responsible parties and any cost sharing agreements.
   f. Signage: consistency with County signage can improve the public experience.
   g. Enforcement/Security: determine who handles enforcement and towing.
   h. Insurance and Indemnification: consider litigation with any cost sharing.
   i. Termination
3. Explore the possibility of shared parking agreements with any potential locations such as:
   **Lahaina Town**
   a. Kamehameha III Elementary School reserved parking
   b. The Outlets of Maui
   c. Holy Innocents Episcopal Church
   **Wailuku Town**
   d. Wells Park Lot
   e. Tennis Court Lot
4. Incorporate the County’s unified parking brand into the shared parking agreement contract. Each location should also be required to participate in the wayfinding program.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. Implement any opportunities for shared parking agreements. Continue to evaluate new opportunities to utilize parking more efficiently.

**Shared Parking - Monetized:**

The County should consider offering a monetized shared parking option to supplement the standard shared parking agreement method outlined above. A monetized solution would be **mutually beneficial to the private lot owners and the County**, and it will allow for a more **comprehensive approach** to parking management in Lahaina. A portion of the revenue from shared parking should be set aside to support the enforcement, maintenance and upkeep of shared parking locations. Additionally, funds could be used to **guarantee certain parking lot enhancements** as an additional value add from the shared parking program. A portion of funds received through a shared parking agreement with the elementary school could go towards safety enhancement programs. The County would install the necessary meters or pay stations, help establish the appropriate parking rates, designate any necessary time limits, and provide enforcement and basic maintenance. The shared parking agreement would establish any **potential revenue splits**. For example, if the County pursues a monetized shared parking agreement with the elementary school, Lahaina could retain 25% of the revenue, with the other 75% going to the school.

**Prerequisites**

For the County to pursue shared parking agreements the ordinances must be amended as discussed above in the non-monetized section.

**Short-Term Steps**

1. (See steps a.1-4 above in the non-monetized section)
2. Work with property owners to determine the appropriate hourly rates and time limits for each location. Ideally, the convenient parking outside of businesses should be time limited to ensure turnover and accessibility to the businesses.
3. Determine the appropriate revenue split rates to sustain the program.
4. Ensure that existing paid parking vendor contract allows for the ordering of additional infrastructure and order the additional paid parking technology needed.
5. Allocate the necessary parking ambassador resources to manage the participating locations. This may require additional staff as discussed in Section 7.
6. Install paid parking technology at participating shared parking locations. The actual amount of equipment depends on the unique geography and configuration of each location, and it is typically 1 pay station for every 30 parking spaces. Like on-street, the pay stations should be in the pay and display configuration for ease of enforcement.
7. Install the appropriate signage to indicate paid parking and time limits. This signage should incorporate the County’s unified parking brand as outlined in Section 16.

**Mid-Term and Long-Term Steps**

1. The County should assess the parking rates and time limits on an annual basis to make any necessary adjustments to support the overall parking program.
21. Parking Minimums and Phantom Parking

Maui County Code Chapter 19.36A, Section 10 establishes the minimum number of accessible off-street parking spaces required for a variety of uses. For example, 1 parking space for every 100 square feet of serving and dining areas is required for restaurants and bars. Additionally, art galleries are required to provide 1 parking space for every 300 square feet of floor area. Parking space requirements are also outlined for uses such as single-family dwellings, apartments, churches, hotels, etc. Parking spaces are also required to be located on the same lot they serve or within 400 feet of the nearest point of the lot, and “as approved by the planning director”.

The County allows property owners to satisfy the off-street parking requirements through off-site parking agreements. This allows property owners to identify parking resources at a privately-owned parking lot to be counted towards the parking minimums through an Off-Site Permit (OSP). Parking Applications are submitted to the Department of Planning, and the off-site parking is reviewed to determine compliance. A comprehensive parking analysis is required as part of the application to outline parking ratio requirements. Additionally, a $55 non-refundable filing fee, payable to the Director of Finance is required.

Several problems have arisen from the current OSP system. The County does not track or monitor the off-site parking agreements to ensure compliance and utilization. A result of this is that the private lot owners will typically resell their spaces once agreements expire or when spaces are underutilized. The County’s OSP approvals never expire and are not reevaluated. Spaces are also sold to the highest bidding property owner, meaning that the private lot owners are profiting from the County’s lack of parking supply. The lack of regulation has created a “parking space black market”, and the County is unable to determine whether the parking space goals are being met. The term “Phantom Parking” has evolved to describe these issues resulting from the lack of regulation.

The County should consider designating an employee in the Department of Planning who will be responsible for reviewing and monitoring the OSP agreements. Ideally, every OSP should be verified on an annual basis to ensure that each agreement is still in place. An in-lieu of fee could be established to allow the County to collect a fee instead of providing some or all on-site parking spaces required by the parking minimums. The fee would provide developers with an alternate option to meet parking requirements, while creating a revenue stream for the County that may be used for parking management and the development of new supply if necessary. Typically, in-lieu of fees are charged at a per space rate, and the rate is based on construction costs. This could be an upfront cost, or the County could choose to establish an annual fee. This would mean that property owners need to either develop their own parking, have their off-site parking agreement(s) verified on an annual basis, pay the in-lieu of fee, or a combination of the three to satisfy their requirements. This is a concept that was introduced in 1997; Maui
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County Code Section 14.72.020 from Ordinance No. 2575 requires a needs assessment study relating to parking assessment fees “before the council may consider the enactment of an ordinance providing for parking assessment fees in lieu of the off-street parking and loading requirements”. This ordinance states that the revenue from parking assessment fees would be dedicated to a fund for “constructing public parking stalls and similar public facilities”. However, an in-lieu of fee has not been introduced to Lahaina Town.

In addition to considering an in-lieu of fee, the County could also consider reducing or removing parking minimums. As parking economics expert, Donald Shoup describes, the purpose of parking minimums is often to ensure that sufficient parking supply is provided to allow for access by car, during peak demand periods\(^4\). However, these minimums are often arbitrary numbers, and they do not necessarily reflect the needs of the community. Because parking is free and unregulated in Lahaina Town today, off-street parking requirements were likely enacted to prevent spillover parking. Instead, spillover parking could be addressed through paid on-street parking and residential permit parking zones, as outlined in Sections 4 and 10. The price of parking can instead be used as a tool by the County to achieve adequate parking space vacancy rates and supply. Priced correctly, paid parking can cause a shift in behavior towards more walking, cycling, transit use, or visiting the Town during non-peak hours. Additionally, developers will then be able to supply parking based on the market instead of based on minimum parking ratio requirements. Unbundling the cost of parking from development, and implementing paid parking, will reduce the cost of development while allowing developers to supply the appropriate amount of parking. Currently, the cost burden for developers of providing parking spaces, either on or off-site, is likely reflected through the cost of goods and services. This means that whether a visitor is using the parking or not, they are still paying for it indirectly. Eliminating parking minimum requirements will be a more equitable approach to parking management, and it would allow for more efficient management of parking in Lahaina Town.

Implementation Guide

**Short-Term Steps**

1. Designate a Planning Department employee to track and monitor all OSP permits and agreements.
   a. Ideally, OSP agreements should be verified on an annual basis.
2. Assess the feasibility of implementing an off-site parking in-lieu of fee in Lahaina Town and/or reducing or removing the parking minimum requirements.

**Mid-Term Steps**

1. Update Maui County Code Chapter 19.36A, Section 10 if the County proceeds with either removing or reducing parking minimum requirements.

2. If the County proceeds with an in-lieu of fee for Lahaina Town, determine an appropriate rate and update the County codes and application process.
22. Appendix A: Wailuku Town Data Analysis

Data Collection Methodology

On- and off-street parking occupancy and utilization data was collected in Wailuku Town on Thursday, September 21st and Saturday, September 23rd, 2017. The purpose of the data collection dates was to capture data on both a weekday and a weekend day. The off-street locations that are not County-owned were included in the study for the purposes of comparison, and to take a comprehensive approach to understanding parking impacts and utilization in Wailuku Town. Parking occupancy data records the number of parked cars that were counted at each location. On the other hand, utilization data is the capture of license plate numbers to determine length of stay and turnover.

Occupancy and utilization data was collected at each location during the morning (9-11am), midday (12-2pm), afternoon (3-5pm), and evening (6-8pm) time periods. The Study Area is outlined below in Figure 1. Additionally, Tables 1 and 2 outline the specific locations for data collection and parking space inventory for on- and off-street parking. Due to a discrepancy during data collection, only a portion of the Vineyard Street Lot was included in the occupancy counts.
Figure 1. Study Area Map
Table 1. Locations and days of on-street occupancy counts and utilization data collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inventory (357)</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street (<em>High Street to Central Av.</em>)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Street (<em>High Street to Kinipopo Street</em>)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Street (<em>Vineyard Street to Kaohu Street</em>)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napua Street (<em>Kaohu Street to Uluwehi Street</em>)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaohu Street (<em>Napua Street to High Street</em>)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Street (<em>Wells Street to Vineyard Street</em>)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard Street (<em>High Street to Central Avenue</em>)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Avenue (<em>Vineyard Street to Main Street</em>)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maluhia Drive</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Street (<em>Mill Street to Well Street</em>)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aupuni Street (<em>Koeli Street to High Street</em>)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Street (<em>Wells Street to Vineyard Street</em>)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Street (<em>Mill Street to Well Street</em>)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Locations and days of off-street occupancy counts and utilization data collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inventory (414)</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Lot</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard Street Lot (<em>portion of</em>)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Public Lot</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Park Lot</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Court Lot</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Metered Lot (<em>metered spaces only</em>)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoapili Hale State Lot (<em>metered spaces only</em>)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aupuni State Metered Lot (<em>metered spaces only</em>)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Lot</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Parking Occupancy

On-Street

Over the two-day collection period, higher on-street occupancy rates were observed on Thursday (Figure 2). Across all time periods, Thursday averaged 54% occupancy compared to 31% on Saturday. Both days, however, exhibited a similar pattern of occupancy throughout the course of each day. Occupancy rates were higher during the morning and midday periods, before decreasing in each successive time period. Peak occupancy was observed at 61% during the midday period on Thursday.

Figure 2. Wailuku Total On-Street Occupancy Rates:

Wailuku’s main commercial center runs along Market Street bordered by Vineyard Street and Wells Street to the north and south, respectively. As Wailuku’s main commercial area, it is important to analyze on-street occupancy separately due to the impact that parking availability can have on economic development. Reviewing the data, it is evident that parking demand is considerably higher in this area (Figure 3). To illustrate this point, across all time periods, Thursday’s average occupancy rate increases to 63% and Saturday’s to 54%.

Within the Market Street Zone, occupancy rates display a different pattern throughout the day in comparison to the whole Wailuku Town Study Area. The fundamental difference was observed on Thursday. Throughout Thursday, on-street occupancy increased throughout each time period and peaked at 72% occupancy during the afternoon. Occupancy than declined sharply during the evening period, as it did also on Saturday. Another difference to highlight, is the similarity in occupancy rates between Thursday and Saturday within this area.
On-street occupancy was also analyzed separately along streets that are recommended for inclusion in Wailuku’s Proposed Paid Parking Zone. The proposed zone consists of 255 on-street spaces with recommended weekday operating hours of 9am-6pm. Along these streets, Thursday occupancy rates averaged 59% across the morning, midday and afternoon time periods (Figure 4). Occupancy increased throughout the morning to midday hours, before decreasing in the afternoon time period. Peak occupancy was observed at 63% during the midday period, indicating ample parking supply within this area.

Figure 4. Proposed Paid Parking Zone Occupancy
Off-Street

Examining off-street occupancy data reveals a wider difference between occupancy rates between Thursday and Saturday (Figure 5). Across all time periods, Thursday averaged 57% occupancy compared to 16% on Saturday. This implies that off-street spaces are typically not as heavily utilized during the weekend. On Thursday, occupancy peaked at 75%, before decreasing in each successive time period. During the evening time period, occupancy sharply decreased on Thursday to 19%, comparable to Saturday.

Figure 5. Wailuku Total Off-Street Occupancy:

Within the Wailuku Town Study Area, three off-street lots are County-owned – the Municipal Lot, Vineyard Street Lot and the County Public Lot. Due to a discrepancy during data collection, only a portion of Vineyard Street Lot was accounted for. Therefore, only the Municipal Lot and County Public Lot are included in the “County-Owned” analysis below. Collectively, both lots experienced higher parking demand during both days, particularly on Thursday (Figure 6). To demonstrate, across all time periods Thursday’s average occupancy rate increases to 69% and Saturday’s to 18%. Once again, it is evident that parking spaces at these facilities are not typically utilized during the weekend.

Notably, occupancy exceeded 85% on Thursday during the morning and midday periods. On Thursday, occupancy peaked at 88%, before decreasing in the afternoon and sharply decreasing to 22% during the evening. It is important to note that once occupancy reaches 85% and above, vehicle turnover is often inconsistent and available spaces are minimal leading to increased congestion from vehicles searching for parking spaces. Typically, 85% occupancy equates to 1-2 parking spaces being available at all times.
In addition to County-owned lots in the Wailuku Town Study Area, occupancy rates were also recorded at metered spaces at three State-owned lots – the Hoapili Hale State Lot, Aupuni State Lot, and the State Metered Lot. Collectively, these lots experienced far less parking demand than the County-owned lots described above (Figure 7). Across all time periods, average occupancy on Thursday and Saturday was only 34% and 1% respectively. State-owned lots experienced the highest occupancy rate on Thursday morning at 65%, and had moderate use below 40% occupancy during the midday and evening periods. Finally, these lots were not utilized at all during the evening period on both days.
Finally, the Tennis Court Lot and the Wells Park Lot were analyzed separately. Collectively, parking demand at these lots were low. However, due to the nature of the destination that they serve, occupancy rates on Thursday and Saturday were far more comparable. To illustrate this point, across all time periods Thursday averaged 27% occupancy compared to 16% on Saturday. The majority of parking demand on both days occurred during the morning period. The greatest difference between both days occurred during the evening period where Thursday witnessed 33% occupancy compared to 4% on Saturday.
Table 1 displays Thursday occupancies by time period at the eight off-street facilities within the Wailuku Town Study Area. The highest parking demand occurred at the Municipal Lot which averaged 72% occupancy across the day. Table 5 further demonstrates that parking demand is higher during the earlier time periods and is extremely low during the evening.

Table 3. Thursday off-street occupancy counts by time period, Wailuku Town Study Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inventory</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Mid-Day</th>
<th>Afternoon</th>
<th>Evening</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Lot</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard Street Lot (portion of)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Public Lot</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Park Lot</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Court Lot</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Metered Lot (metered spaces only)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoapili Hale State Lot (metered spaces only)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aupuni State Lot (metered spaces only)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>414</strong></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 displays Saturday occupancies by time period at the eight off-street facilities within the Wailuku Town Study Area. It is evident in the data that parking demand is extremely low on Saturday throughout all time periods observed.

Table 6. Saturday off-street occupancy counts by time period, Wailuku Town Study Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wailuku Off-Street Occupancy: Saturday</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inventory</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Mid-Day</th>
<th>Afternoon</th>
<th>Evening</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal Lot</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vineyard Street Lot</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(portion of)</td>
<td>County Public Lot</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wells Park Lot</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis Court Lot</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State Metered Lot</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(metered spaces only)</td>
<td>Hoapili Hale State Lot</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(metered spaces only)</td>
<td>Aupuni State Lot</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>414</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>20%</strong></td>
<td><strong>16%</strong></td>
<td><strong>11%</strong></td>
<td><strong>16%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-Street vs. Off-Street

In this section, on-street occupancy rates are compared to off-street rates at the Municipal and County Public Lots. Comparing these occupancies paints a different picture of parking demand within the Wailuku Town Study Area.

On Thursday, higher occupancy rates were recorded off-street (Figure 9). Across all time periods, occupancy averaged 69% off-street and 54% on-street. Both on- and off-street occupancies exhibit the same pattern of occupancy throughout the day. Occupancy rates were consistent across the morning and midday periods, decreased in the afternoon, and then sharply decreased in the evening period. Additionally, off-street occupancy exceeded 85% during both the morning and midday periods peaking at 88% during the midday period.
Figure 9. Wailuku Total On vs. County Off-Street Occupancy:

Comparing occupancy rates on Saturday, reveals a greater similarity between on-street and County-owned off-street locations. Across all time periods, Saturday’s on-street occupancy decreased to 31% and off-street occupancy decreased to 18% (Figure 10). At both on- and off-street locations, occupancy rates fluctuated less throughout the day with higher occupancies observed during the midday period. The highest observed occupancy was 36% recorded on-street during the midday period.

Figure 10. Total Saturday on-street and County off-street occupancy rates by time period, Wailuku Town Study Area:

Combining on- and off-street occupancies at County-owned facilities, makes it possible to view occupancy at locations within the County’s control. Clearly, on-street occupancies are far higher during the week, as indicated throughout this report. This is further
illustrated by a daily average occupancy rate of 62% on Thursday compared to 24% on Saturday (Figure 11). It is clear that the general trend is higher parking demand throughout the morning, midday, and afternoon periods, with relatively low demand during the evening hours. Peak combined occupancy is 74% observed on Thursday during the midday period.

**Figure 11. Wailuku Average Combined Occupancy**

![Bar chart showing average combined occupancy percentages for different times of day on Thursday and Saturday.]

**Parking Utilization**

Utilization data supplements occupancy data by tracking the frequency and estimated length of stay of visitors. License plates were recorded on Thursday and Saturday during the morning (9am), midday (12pm), afternoon (3pm), and evening (6pm) time periods. This section discusses vehicle observation counts recorded in the following locations. This data was meant to be a sampling of the Study Area for utilization analysis.

- Market Street between Wells Street and Vineyard Street
- High Street between Main Street and Vineyard Street
- Municipal Lot 2-hour spaces

A total of 239 vehicles were observed on Thursday across all three locations. Of those 239 vehicles, 75% were observed once, 12% three times, and 11% twice throughout the course of the day (Figure 12). Fewer vehicles were observed on Saturday. Of the 170 vehicles observed, 84% were observed once, 9% twice, 5% three times, and 2% four times (Figure 13). In comparison to Thursday, a higher proportion of visitors were observed only once, indicating that on Saturday visitors stay for less time than on Thursday and tend to comply with the time limits at a higher rate. However, it is clear that large portion of drivers are overstaying the posted time limit.
Figure 12. Thursday Vehicle Observations: Wailuku Town Study Area
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Figure 13. Saturday Vehicle Observations: Wailuku Town Study Area
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On-Street
Along Market Street between Wells Street and Vineyard Street, a total of 106 vehicles were observed on Thursday. The overwhelming majority, 91%, were observed once, and 9% of vehicles were observed twice (Figure 14). The same general trend was observed on Saturday. Of the 119 vehicles recorded on Saturday, 94% were observed once, 5% twice, and 1% three times (Figure 15). The sampling of utilization data along Market Street is to get an idea of the effectiveness and consistency of enforcement in Wailuku Town.

Figure 14. Thursday Vehicle Observations: Market Street Zone
Of the 10 vehicles observed on Thursday along High Street, 90% of vehicles were observed once and 10% of vehicles were observed twice (Figure 16). On Saturday, only one vehicle was observed throughout the course of the day. It is important to note, that due to the low number of vehicles observed at this location, it is difficult to draw clear trends. This was meant to be a sampling of utilization data to better understand the effectiveness of enforcement in this area.
Off-Street

A total of 116 vehicles were observed in the 2-hour spaces on Thursday within the Municipal Lot. The majority of vehicles (58%) were observed only once (Figure 17). However, a greater percentage of vehicles were observed more than once in comparison to the other sampled locations. For example, 16% of vehicles were observed twice, 22% three times, and 4% four times in the Municipal Lot 2-hour spaces. A similar pattern was observed on Saturday. Of the 50 total vehicles observed, 60% were observed once, 18% twice, 14% three times, and 8% four times (Figure 18).

This confirms that the Municipal Lot attracts longer-term parkers, as expected for an off-street facility, but also because it is free compared to the County campus parking lots. Long-term parkers are often encouraged to park at off-street locations as a means of providing more convenient on-street spaces for short-term parkers. However, the Municipal Lot is likely being used as a free alternative rather than pay for a County parking permit. Furthermore, the sampling of data reveals that 2-hour time limit in the Municipal Lot 2-hour is not being consistently enforced.
Figure 17. Thursday Vehicle Observations: Municipal Lot

- 58% (Green)
- 22% (Yellow)
- 16% (Light Blue)
- 4% (Dark Green and Black)

Figure 18. Saturday Vehicle Observations: Municipal Lot
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23. Appendix B: Potential Lahaina Town Meter Equipment Placement

The following images summarize recommended meter and pay station placement throughout Lahaina.

Front Street
In this scenario, the County could consider installing the meters along the back side of the sidewalk to minimize their infringement along the sidewalk:

School Loading Zone Pay Stations
24. Appendix C: Ordinance Review

The following list of reference ordinances are meant to allow the County of Maui to develop the proper ordinance language for the implementation of the recommendations made throughout the Parking Action Plan. Important components for consideration have been highlighted in yellow, and a list of comments have been included to further guide the County.

### PAID PARKING ORDINANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Ordinance Text</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0123</td>
<td>(a) It is the intent of the City Council to establish a target utilization rate of 85 percent for all parking meters within the City of San Diego. Utilization rate refers to the amount of time that vehicles occupy a parking meter space during the allowed hours of operation of the parking meter. The establishment of the target utilization rate of 85 percent is based on well-accepted planning studies as well as the example of other municipalities. The City Council finds that the establishment of the target utilization rate of 85 percent is one of the most effective strategies for managing on-street parking and for recovering at least a portion of the estimated reasonable costs associated with parking and traffic control and management impacting the parking of vehicles within parking meter zones.</td>
<td>The County of Maui should consider setting the same target utilization rate as outlined in San Diego’s code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) All parking meter rates heretofore established shall be and remain in effect, unless otherwise set or adjusted by the City Manager or City Council as proscribed in this subsection. Under the authority of California Vehicle Code section 22508, the City Council establishes a range of hourly parking meter rates from $0.25 to $2.50. The City Manager shall set the rate of a parking meter, consistent with achieving the 85 percent target utilization rate, based upon parking utilization data and community input as set forth in Council Policy No. 100-18; except that, whenever the Council of the City of San Diego, by resolution or ordinance, sets the parking meter rate, the effective rate shall be the rate set by the Council.</td>
<td>Maui should designate a County employee or board/commission with the authority to adjust parking meter rates. The County should establish a larger range of rates, with maximum rates at or above $6.00.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica Municipal Code: 3.16.170</td>
<td>(2) At least annually and not more frequently than quarterly, the City shall survey the average occupancy for each parking meter zone or area in the City that has parking meters. Based on the survey results, the City may adjust the parking rates up or down in twenty-five cent intervals to seek to achieve the target occupancy rate. The base parking meter rate, and any adjustments to that rate made pursuant to this Chapter, shall become effective upon the programming of the parking meter for that rate. A current schedule of parking meter rates shall be available at the City Clerk’s office.</td>
<td>A parking study can be conducted to determine any necessary adjustments to meet target utilization goals. A limit to the rate of change will ensure an incremental approach.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Use of Meters | San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0123 | (c) When any vehicle is parked in any space alongside of or next to a single-space parking meter which is located in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, or when any vehicle is parked in any space or zone adjacent to which a multi-space parking meter is located in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, the operator of said vehicle shall, upon entering said parking space or zone, immediately cause to be deposited coins in the appropriate denomination, or otherwise immediately purchase time using an approved method of payment, according to the time interval desired within the maximum limit and the posted parking rates. |

| Santa Monica Municipal Code: 3.16.120 | (b) Subsection (a) of this Section shall not require an operator of a vehicle to deposit payment in a parking meter when: (1) The parking meter indicates an unexpired portion remains of the period for which payment had been previously made; or (2) An officer of the Fire or Police Department has determined a period of emergency exists; or (3) In compliance with the directions of a police officer or traffic control sign or signal; or (4) A posted sign at the parking space indicates words similar to “Except Sunday” or “Except Sundays and Holidays” and the day is a Sunday or a holiday. | While the Sunday and Holiday exceptions are not recommended in Lahaina Town, it is important to have the flexibility to change operating days. |

| Parking Meters – Parking Regulated | San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0124 | (a) The City Manager is instructed to have lines or markings painted or placed upon the curb or upon the street adjacent to each single-space parking meter for the purpose of designating the parking space for which said meter is to be used, and each vehicle parking alongside of or next to any single- Space markings are important to ensure that metered spaces are not utilized improperly. |
space parking meter shall park within the lines or markings so established, and the City Manager is instructed to have lines or markings painted or placed upon the curb or upon the street in any parking meter zone that is controlled by a multi-space parking meter that does not produce a receipt to be used by the parking user as proof of valid parking as described in section 86.0126.

(b) No person shall park any vehicle across any line or marking or park said vehicle in such position that the same shall not be entirely within the area so designated by such lines or markings.

(c) When a parking space in any parking meter zone is parallel to the adjacent curb or sidewalk, any vehicle parked in such parking space shall be parked so that the foremost part of such vehicle shall be alongside of and nearest the single-space parking meter except where the single-space parking meter is mounted to the rear of the parking space, in which case, any vehicle parked in such parking space shall be parked so that the rearmost part of such vehicle shall be alongside of and nearest the single-space parking meter.

(d) When a parking space in any parking meter zone is diagonal to the curb or sidewalk, any vehicle parked in such parking space shall be parked with the foremost part of such vehicle directly at and nearest to such single-space meter except where the single-space parking meter is mounted to the rear of the parking space, in which case, any vehicle parked in such parking space shall be parked so that the rearmost part of such vehicle shall be directly at and nearest to such single-space parking meter.

Parking Meter Zones – Established

San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0125

(a) All parking meter zones heretofore established shall be and remain in effect, unless revised or adjusted in accordance with section 82.04.

(b) When parking meter zones are hereafter established or when it is determined by the City Council that there is a need for reconsideration and revision of the existing parking meter zones, the City Manager shall conduct a study of the area involved.
(c) The City Manager’s study shall be directed toward an analysis of the efficiency, safety and regulation of the traffic upon the public streets. The City Manager shall recommend areas in which new parking meter zones shall be established and recommend changes in existing parking meter zones. The City Manager’s recommendation shall be based upon the following considerations:

1. Character of the neighborhood;
2. Density of metering;
3. Amount and type of off-street parking;
4. Relative vehicle turnover;
5. Such other information as the Council may require or the Manager may deem appropriate.

The regulation of traffic by parking meters and the use of any approved method of payment in such meters shall become effective upon the installation of appropriate parking

### Parking Meter – Extra Time Prohibited

San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0127

(a) No person shall permit a vehicle to remain parked beyond the period of legal parking time established for any parking meter zone.

(b) No person shall purchase time from any parking meter using any method of payment for the purpose of increasing or extending the parking time of any vehicle beyond the legal parking time which has been established for the parking space or zone adjacent to which said parking meter is placed.

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, car share vehicles that are operated as part of a fleet owned and operated by a City-approved car share vendor that was selected through a competitive process to participate in a City car share program are exempt from this section, except when the meter, receipt, card, or electronic device is located in a parking meter zone with a time limit of less than 2 hours. Section (c) may be disregarded; car sharing is not recommended for Maui at this time.

### Parking Meter – Time of Operation

San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0128

(a) Parking meters shall be operated in parking meter zones every day between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., except Sundays and holidays, unless otherwise determined by the City Manager as set forth in section 86.16 (b) or (c); provided, however, that whenever the Council of the City of In Lahaina, the recommended hours of operation are between 10:00am and
San Diego specifies by resolution or ordinance the time period of operation of parking meters, the parking meters shall operate during the times set by the City Council.

(b) The City Manager may set and adjust the time period of operation of parking meters before 8:00 a.m., but no earlier than 7:00 a.m., and later than 6:00 p.m., but no later than 11:00 p.m., every day except on Sundays and holidays, but in no event for a length of time less than ten hours each day, consistent with achieving the target utilization rate of 85 percent, based upon parking utilization data and community input as set forth in City Council Policy No. 100-18.

(c) The City Manager may set and adjust the time period of operation of said parking meters for any length of time between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. on Sundays and holidays, consistent with achieving the target utilization rate of 85 percent, based upon parking utilization data and community input as set forth in City Council Policy 100-18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Parking Meter – Tampering With</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>San Diego Municipal Code:</strong> 86.0129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Parking Beyond Meter Space</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Santa Monica Municipal Code:</strong> 3.16.260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Slugs</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Santa Monica Municipal Code:</strong> 3.16.280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Proper display of proof of payment receipt</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seattle Municipal Code:</strong> 11.76.005 and 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(d) It is unlawful to park or permit to be parked any vehicle in a space controlled by a parking pay station without payment properly recorded as described by the instructions posted on the parking payment device.

### Parking Meter Zones – Authority

| San Diego Municipal Code: 82.04 | The Council of the City of San Diego, on the recommendation of the City Manager, shall by ordinance from time to time as traffic conditions require, establish zones to be known as “Parking Meter Zones,” upon such streets or portions of streets of the City of San Diego as are selected for the location of said parking meter zones; and the City Manager shall cause parking meters to be installed and shall cause parking meter spaces to be designated, as hereinafter provided. The Council, on recommendation of the City Manager, may change or eliminate any of said zones. |

### Parking Meters – Installation

| San Diego Municipal Code: 82.05 | The City Manager is hereby authorized to install or place parking meters in such parking meter zones provided for herein, or to be provided hereafter; and the City Manager is hereby directed to maintain said meters in good workable condition. | Maui must designate a department director for this responsibility |

### Parking Meters – Installation and Operation

| San Diego Municipal Code: 82.06 | Where the Council of the City of San Diego creates a parking meter zone, the installation and operation of parking meters shall be as follows:

(a) Single-space parking meters installed in parking meter zones established as provided in Section 82.04 shall be placed upon the curb immediately adjacent to individual parking spaces. Each single-space parking meter shall be placed or set in such manner as to display whether the parking space adjacent to that meter is legally in use or not. Upon the deposit of coins or the purchase of time using an approved method of payment, each single-space parking meter shall be set to display a sign indicating legal parking and shall continue to operate for that period of time not exceeding the limit of parking time which has been established for that area or zone. Upon the expiration of legal parking time, each single-space parking meter shall indicate by proper signal that the lawful parking period has expired. |
(b) Multi-space parking meters installed in parking meter zones established as provided in Section 82.04 shall be placed upon the curb immediately within the parking meter zone(s) or portions thereof to which they apply.

(c) Upon the purchase of time using an approved method of payment, a multi-space parking meter shall either produce a receipt to be used by the parking user as proof of valid parking as described in section 86.14, or electronically record the expiration of the time purchased for an individual parking space entered by the parking user, which may be checked for enforcement or other purposes. A receipt produced by a multi-space parking meter shall be displayed by the parking user in a fully visible and conspicuous location as instructed on the receipt, in order to be valid or otherwise considered effective. A vehicle is lawfully parked in a parking meter zone if:

1. the vehicle displays a receipt which is legible to an enforcement officer, evidencing purchase of parking meter time at the posted parking rate and within the maximum time limit at the multi-space parking meter located immediately adjacent to the parking meter zone where the vehicle is parked. The receipt, shall indicate the expiration of parking time, which shall be the equivalent of an expiration time indicated by the parking meter; or

2. the vehicle is parked in a designated parking space which has a multi-space parking meter that does not produce a receipt for display, but which indicates a valid parking time.

**ENFORCEMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enforcement of all parking regulations by Parking Meter Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Monterey Municipal Code: Sec. 20-18</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All persons duly assigned by the City Manager to the Parking Meter Division, either as permanent or temporary personnel of such division, are hereby empowered and directed to enforce all laws of the state and the City regulating the parking of motor vehicles. Such regulations include but are not limited to time limits, meter violations, loading zones, no stopping zones, passenger zones, permit parking zones and double parking. Such persons shall have the same authority as peace officers in the enforcement of such regulations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The County of Maui should determine the appropriate division to manage parking meter enforcement. Ideally, non-sworn officers should manage parking enforcement.
including the authority to issue citations, or notices to appear and to execute complaints for violations thereof.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLLECTIONS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting for Money</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| San Diego Municipal Code: 82.09                                           |                                                                 |
| (a) The City Manager is authorized, to designate a person or persons to make regular collections of the money deposited in said parking meters. The person or persons so designated shall collect and deliver to the Treasurer of the City of San Diego all money deposited in the parking meters; the Treasurer shall keep accurate account of all the parking meter money so delivered to him and any parking meter funds generated through other methods of payment. | If Maui County decides to accept cash or coin in addition to credit card payments, this will require collections and revenue reconciliation. |
| (b) Money deposited in the parking meters and any parking meter funds generated through other methods of payment may be expended to meet the costs and expenditures involved in the inspection, repair, regulation, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein, and the costs involved in the regulation, management, and control of the parking of vehicles and the control of traffic, which may affect or be affected by the parking of vehicles in the parking meter zones created hereby, including the purchase, replacement, installation, repair, servicing and operation of mechanical or electrical traffic signals for the direction of said traffic or said parking, and the cost of painting streets, curbs and sidewalks with appropriate markings, lines and signs, and the purchase, construction, erection, repair and replacement of street and curb signs for the direction of said traffic or said parking, and for the cost of patrolling said parking meter zones and enforcing therein all traffic laws and regulations concerning the parking of vehicles and the movement of traffic which may affect or be affected by such parking of vehicles, or for any of said purposes. | The County Council should determine what meter revenue will be utilized for. It is recommended the County establish Parking Benefit Districts. Examples can be found below. |

| PARKING BENEFIT DISTRICT                                                   |                                                                 |
| EXAMPLE #1: CITY OF VENTURA, CA                                           |                                                                 |
| Establishment of district and of district boundaries                       |                                                                 |

<p>| Ventura Municipal Code: Sec. 4.400.010                                     |                                                                 |
| A Downtown Parking District is hereby established. The boundaries of the district shall be the same as the Downtown Specific Plan | District boundaries will determine the |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Allocation of revenue.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Downtown Parking District is established to manage public parking</td>
<td>Typically, Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supply and demand within the district boundaries as well as improve</td>
<td>Benefit Districts are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transportation and parking related facilities and programs.</td>
<td>meant to improve parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>related programs and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>facilities within the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boundaries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui County should establish a special parking fund. The Hawaiian cultural restoration fund established in Section 3.38.010 could set precedent for a special parking fund.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ventura Municipal Code: Sec. 4.400.020</th>
<th>Ventura Municipal Code: Sec. 4.400.030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boundary as approved by the City Council in March 2007, as it may be amended from time to time.</td>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must be managed for the benefit of the parking district.</td>
<td>(1) For purchasing, leasing, installing, repairing, maintaining, operating, removing, regulating and policing of pay stations and/or parking meters in the parking district and for the payment of any and all expenses relating thereto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district.</td>
<td>(2) For purchasing, leasing, acquiring, improving, operating and maintaining on- or off-street parking facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
<td>(3) For installation and maintenance of alternative mode programs, landscaping, pedestrian linkages, sidewalk cleaning, street furniture, way finding systems, and traffic-control devices and signals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
<td>(4) For the painting and marking of streets and curbs required for the direction of traffic and parking of motor vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
<td>(5) For proper security within the district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
<td>(6) For the proper regulation, control, enforcement and inspection of parking and traffic upon the public streets and off-street parking facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All revenues collected from parking pay stations, meters, leases, and permits, in the Downtown Parking District shall be placed in a special fund, which fund shall be used exclusively for activities benefiting the parking district. The specific authorized use of revenues shall be as follows:</td>
<td>(7) To be pledged as security for the payment of principal of and interest on financing mechanisms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
used by the city to meet any of the purposes authorized by this section.

(8) For transportation and parking planning, marketing and education programs related to the Downtown Parking District.

(9) For construction and maintenance of public restrooms that enhance parking facilities.

(10) Revenues from residential parking permits may, in addition to the foregoing, be used for sidewalk, landscaping and other transportation, pedestrian or bicycle enhancements on streets where the residential permit parking is provided.

EXAMPLE #2: CITY OF HOUSTON, TX

Designation of district; time limit, continuation, modification or termination of district

Houston Municipal Code Sec. 26-711

(a) City council hereby designates the Washington Avenue Corridor as a parking benefit district for a period ending on the 180th day following the first anniversary of the effective date of its designation (December 12, 2012), provided that the parking benefit district shall continue after the expiration of this period unless city council takes action to terminate the district.

(b) As soon as practicable after the time period provided in subsection (a) of this section:

(1) The director shall report to city council on the effectiveness of the parking benefit district and provide his recommendations for continuation, modification or termination of the district; and

(2) The Washington Avenue Corridor Advisory Committee created in division 4 of this article may make recommendations to the mayor and city council regarding modifications to the parking benefit district.

(c) Upon recommendation from the traffic engineer, city council may modify or terminate the parking benefit district prior to or after the time period provided in subsection (a) of this section if the traffic engineer determines that termination or modification is necessary for public safety or mobility purposes.

Disposition of revenue
| **Houston Municipal Code Sec. 26-714** | (a) All fees and revenues generated from the use of parking meters in a parking benefit district and the issuance of permits under this article shall be allocated to the parking benefit district. All fees and revenues generated from the issuance of parking citations in a parking benefit district shall be allocated to the parking management special revenue fund.  

(b) All the total combined fees and revenue of a parking benefit district and the parking management special revenue fund shall be first expended to defray the total administrative costs, signage, enforcement, debt service, and the installation, operation and maintenance of parking meters placed in service in a parking benefit district on or after the effective date of designation of the parking benefit district. The total administrative costs shall be shared and paid by a parking benefit district and from the parking management special revenue fund in proportion to the total combined fees and revenue generated by or deposited into each respectively for the previous year.  

(c) Sixty percent of fees and revenues in excess of the total administrative costs shall be applied to the projects recommended by the advisory committee. The remaining funds shall be deposited in the parking management special revenue fund.  

(d) The threshold amount of net revenue (the remaining total combined fees and revenue of a parking benefit district and the parking management special revenue fund after the total administrative costs are paid) that must be generated before a project may be initiated is $100,000.  

(e) Fees and revenue generated from a parking benefit district may be used in conjunction with other public funds or public-private partnership funds available for projects to benefit the district.  

(f) In the event that a parking benefit district is terminated, any fees and revenues generated from the use of parking meters in the parking benefit district that have not been expended shall | The County may want to consider establishing an advisory committee to determine the use of a portion of revenue. |
be transferred to the parking management special revenue fund.

### TIME LIMITS

#### Limited Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0106</th>
<th>The City Manager is authorized to determine the parking time limit on any designated street or portion thereof. Such limitations shall be effective when appropriate signs giving notice thereof are erected upon such street.</th>
<th>Rather than listing each location, the County should consider simplifying County Code Section 10.48.050-096 with general language, similar to that found in San Diego’s municipal code. Posted signage can indicate the parking requirements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0108</td>
<td>The City Manager is hereby authorized to determine the location of Loading Zones, Passenger Loading Zones, Bus Loading Zones, Taxi Zones, prohibited Standing or Parking Zones, Time-Limited Parking Zones of 30 Minutes or Less, Time-Limited Parking Zones for Ballpark Event Residential Permit Parking Districts, Safety Zones, Disabled Persons Parking Zones, Alley Parking Zones, Consular Parking Zones, Street Sweeping Zones, Pedicab Resting Zones, Pedicab Parking Zones, Electric Vehicle Charging Station Zones, and Car Share Parking Zones. Such zones shall be effective when appropriate signs or curb markings giving notice thereof are erected upon such zones.</td>
<td>The County could allow a designated department to authorize particular locations or zones, with their implementation and enforcement based on signage and curb markings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Parking in excess of 72-hours prohibited

| San Diego Municipal Code: 86.0118 | No person shall park or cause to be parked or allow to remain standing any vehicle upon any street or highway in excess of seventy-two (72) consecutive hours. | A 72-hour rule helps prevent vehicle storage on public streets and lots. |

### RESIDENTIAL PERMIT PARKING

#### Legislative purpose

| San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2001 | This Division is enacted in response to the serious adverse effects caused certain areas and neighborhoods of the City of San Diego by motor vehicle congestion, particularly the parking of motor vehicles on the streets of such areas and neighborhoods by non-residents thereof. As set | Maui should consider proactively enacting a residential permit parking program instead. The goal is |
forth in more specific detail in Section 86.2002 of this Division, such long-term parking by non-residents threatens the health, safety and welfare of all the residents of the City of San Diego. In order to protect and promote the integrity of these areas and neighborhoods, it is necessary to enact parking regulations restricting unlimited parking by non-residents therein, while providing the opportunity for residents to park near their homes. Uniform parking regulations restricting residents and non-residents alike would not serve the public interest. Rather such regulation would contribute to neighborhood decline while ignoring the public transit alternatives to automobile travel available to non-residents. For the reasons set forth in this Division, a system of preferential resident parking is enacted hereby for the City of San Diego.

to make the neighborhoods “permit ready” prior to the implementation of paid parking.

### Legislative findings

| San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2002 | (a) General Finding. The City Council finds as a result of public testimony, evidence generated by both professional planning studies and derived from other sources, that the continued vitality of the City of San Diego depends on the preservation of safe, healthy and attractive neighborhoods and other residential areas therein. The Council further finds that the flight of residents and property owners from major metropolitan cities can be traced in part to the deterioration of such cities as attractive and comfortable places in which to reside. The Council further finds that one factor that has contributed to this deterioration is the excessive and burdensome practice of non-residents of certain areas and neighborhoods parking their motor vehicles for extended periods of time therein. Since there is in certain areas of the city at any one time a large surplus of motor vehicles over available on and off-street parking spaces, this condition detracts from a healthy and complete environment. A system of preferential resident parking will serve to reduce a number of strains on residents of the city and thus promote the general public welfare. |
|-----------------------------------| (b) Specific Findings. The following specific legislative findings of the City Council in support of preferential resident parking are set forth as illustrations of the need compelling the enactment of this Division. They are intended as illustrations only and do not exhaust the subject of the factual basis supporting its adoption: |
| Implementing a residential parking program will help Maui County maintain urban neighborhoods as attractive and comfortable places to reside. |
(1) The safety, health and welfare of the residents of the city can be greatly enhanced by maintenance of the attractiveness and livability of its neighborhoods and other residential areas;

(2) It is a fact of modern living in the city that a large number of San Diego residents possess automobiles and as a result are daily faced with the need to store these automobiles in or near their residences;

(3) Certain neighborhoods and areas of the city do not have sufficient on or off-street space to accommodate the convenient parking of motor vehicles by residents thereof in the vicinity of their homes;

(4) Such areas as described in (3) above are often further burdened by influxes of motor vehicles owned by non-residents which compete for the inadequate available on-street parking spaces;

(5) There further exist certain parking “attractors” within the City of San Diego, i.e. hospital, university, and industrial complexes, military bases, beaches, and locations convenient for commuter parking, which further aggravate resident parking problems;

(6) Unnecessary vehicle miles, noise, pollution, and strains on inter-personal relationships caused by the conditions set forth herein work unacceptable hardships on residents of these neighborhoods and other residential areas by causing the deterioration of air quality, safety, tranquility, aesthetics and other values available in a residential environment;

(7) If allowed to continue unchecked, these adverse effects on the residents of the city will contribute to a further decline of the living conditions therein, a reduction in the attractiveness of residing within said city and consequent injury to the general public welfare;

(8) A system of preferential residential parking as enacted in this Division will serve to promote the safety, health and welfare of all the residents of the city by reducing unnecessary personal motor vehicle travel, noise and pollution, and by promoting improvements in air quality, the
convenience and attractiveness of urban residential living, and the increased use of public mass transit facilities available now and in the future. The public welfare will also be served by ensuring a more stable and valuable property tax base in order to generate the revenues necessary to provide essential public services.

### Designation of residential permit parking areas

**San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2004**

The City Council shall upon recommendation of the City Manager, consider for designation as residential permit parking areas those residential areas meeting and satisfying the objective criteria therefor established in this Division. It may in its discretion then designate by resolution certain residential areas as residential permit parking areas in which resident motor vehicles displaying a valid parking permit may stand or be parked without limitation by parking time or parking area restrictions established by this Division. Said resolution shall also state the applicable parking regulation and period of the day for its application, and the fee to be charged upon permit issuance.

Maui should prepare urban neighborhoods for permit parking.

### Designation criteria

**San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2005**

(a) A residential area shall be deemed eligible for consideration as a residential permit parking area if based on surveys and studies prepared at the direction of the City Manager or his designee, objective criteria establish that the residential area is impacted by commuter vehicles for any extended period during the day or night, on weekends, or during holidays.

(b) In determining whether a residential area identified as eligible for residential permit parking may be designated as a residential permit parking area, the City Manager and the City Council shall take into account factors which include but are not limited to the following:

1. The extent of the desire and need of the residents for residential permit parking and their willingness to bear the administrative costs in connection therewith;

2. The extent to which legal on–street parking spaces are occupied by motor vehicles during the period proposed for parking restriction;
(3) The extent to which vehicles parking in the area during the period proposed for parking restriction are commuter vehicles rather than resident vehicles; and

(4) The extent to which motor vehicles registered to persons residing in the residential area cannot be accommodated by the number of available off–street parking spaces.

### Designation process

| San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2006 | (a) Upon receipt of a verified petition by residents of at least 50% of the living units in the area proposed for designation, the City Manager or his designee shall undertake or cause to be undertaken such surveys or studies as are deemed necessary to determine whether a residential area is eligible for residential permit parking. Such surveys or studies shall be completed within 90 days of receipt of a petition calling for such surveys or studies to be undertaken, unless otherwise provided by the City Council.

(b) Within thirty days of the completion of surveys and studies to determine whether designation criteria are met, the City Manager or his designee shall notice as herein provided a public hearing or hearings in or as close to the neighborhood as possible on the subject of the eligibility of the residential area under consideration for residential permit parking. Said hearing or hearings shall also be conducted for the purpose of ascertaining boundaries for the proposed residential permit parking area as well as the appropriate area prohibition or time limitation on parking and the period of the day for its application.

The City Clerk shall cause notice of such hearing or hearings to be published twice in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in this city. The first publication shall be not less than ten days prior to the date of such hearing.

The City Manager or his designee shall direct the Superintendent of Streets to, and such Superintendent shall cause notice of such hearing to be conspicuously posted in the proposed residential permit parking area.

The notice shall clearly state the purpose of the hearing, the location and boundaries tentatively

It is recommended that the County implement a petitioning process for residents that reside in the permit-ready neighborhoods.
considered for the proposed residential permit parking area and, if applicable, the permit fee to be charged therefor. During such hearing or hearings, any interested person shall be entitled to appear and be heard, subject to appropriate rules of order adopted by the City Manager or his designee.

### Recommendation of the City Manager

**San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2007**

(a) Within sixty days of the completion of the hearing or hearings conducted with regard to a particular residential area, the City manager shall recommend by written report to the City Council, based on the record of such hearing or hearings and the surveys and studies performed, whether to designate the residential area under consideration as a residential permit parking area.

(b) In the report of the City Manager, he shall set forth the evidence generated as a result of surveys and studies performed, significant subjects and concerns raised at the public hearing or hearings conducted, the findings relative to those designation criteria listed in Section 86.2005 deemed applicable to the residential area and conclusions as to whether the findings justify preferential residential parking for that particular area, the proposed boundaries of the residential permit parking area, any proposed area prohibition or time limitation and period of day for its application.

(c) The designation process and designation criteria set forth in this Division shall also be utilized by the City Manager and the City Council in determining whether to remove designation as a residential permit parking area from a particular residential area.

### Issuance of Permits

**San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2008**

(a) The City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to issue, upon written application therefor, a parking permit. Each such permit shall be designated by the City Manager or designee to state or reflect thereon the particular residential permit parking area as well as the license number of the motor vehicle for which it is issued. No more than one parking permit shall be issued to each motor vehicle for which application is made. The City Manager is authorized to issue such rules and regulations, not inconsistent with this Division, License plate numbers should be used as the unique identifier for each permit.
governing the manner in which persons shall qualify for parking permits.

(b) Parking permits may be issued for motor vehicles only upon application of the following persons:

(1) A legal resident of the residential permit parking area who has a motor vehicle registered in his name, or who has a motor vehicle for his exclusive use and under his control;

(2) A person who owns or leases commercial property and actively engages in business activity within a residential permit parking area. However, no more than one parking permit may be issued for each business establishment for a motor vehicle registered to or under the control of such a person.

(c) Proof of residency or ownership shall be demonstrated in a manner determined by the City Manager.

(d) The City Council may, by resolution, limit the number of permits issued to any resident or dwelling unit if such limitation would further the goals of the residential permit parking program.

(e) Proof of motor vehicle ownership or vehicle use and control shall be demonstrated in a manner determined by the City Manager.

(f) Temporary residential parking permits may be issued for vehicles which are:

(1) owned, rented or under the operational control of any person who owns or leases property in the residential permit area; or

(2) used in providing services to persons or property in the residential permit area. Temporary residential parking permits may also be issued to vehicles owned by temporary visitors who are residing in the residential permit parking area. Such temporary residential parking permits shall have all of the rights and privileges of a regular permit. A temporary parking permit shall be valid for no more than fourteen (14) days from the date of issuance. No resident of a residential permit parking area shall be issued more than two

It is important to require proof of residency or ownership to prevent fraud.

In most cases, the number of permits will need to be limited.
temporary parking permits at any one time. A temporary residential parking permit issued to a vehicle providing services or to vehicles owned by temporary visitors shall be considered to be a temporary permit issued to the resident of the property where the services are provided or the temporary visitors are residing.

(g) A visitor parking permit is a permit which is not affixed or assigned to an identified vehicle and which may be used on resident or nonresident vehicles as the user may need. The City Council may, by resolution, authorize the issuance of visitor parking permits in any residential permit parking area. When authorized, visitor parking permits may be issued under the following conditions:

(1) The permit is issued to a person who qualifies under Section 86.2008(b).

(2) The applicant for a visitor parking permit has not reached the limits, if any, set by the Council pursuant to Section 86.2008(d).

(3) Only one visitor parking permit shall be issued to any resident or dwelling unit within the permit parking area.

(4) Such other conditions and restrictions that the City Council by resolution imposes or that the City Manager deems appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posting of Residential Permit Parking Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upon the adoption by the City Council of a resolution designating a residential permit parking area, the City Manager pursuant to Section 82.01 of this Code shall cause appropriate signs to be erected in the area indicating prominently thereon the area prohibition or time limitation, period of the day for its application, and conditions under which permit parking shall be exempt therefrom.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display of Permits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits shall be displayed in a manner determined by the Chief of Police.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Permit Parking Exceptions |
### San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2011

A resident motor vehicle or transient motor vehicle on which is displayed a valid parking permit as provided for herein shall be permitted to stand or be parked in the residential permit parking area for which the permit has been issued without being limited by time restrictions or area prohibitions established pursuant to this Division. Said resident motor vehicle or transient motor vehicle shall not be exempt from parking restrictions or prohibitions established pursuant to authority other than this Division. All other motor vehicles other than vehicles specified in Section 81.06 of this Code and vehicles where the operator or the passenger being transported by said vehicle is physically disabled and the vehicle displays a license issued under the provisions of Section 9105 or Section 22511.5 of the California Vehicle Code, parked within a residential permit parking area shall be subject to the time restrictions or area prohibitions adopted as provided in this Division as well as the penalties provided for herein.

### Application for and Duration of Permit


Each parking permit issued by the City Manager or designee shall be valid for not more than one year from date of issuance. Permits shall expire on the last day of the anniversary month of the formation of the area for which it was issued. Permits may be renewed during the anniversary month of the area in such manner as may be required by the City Manager. Each application or reapplication for a parking permit shall contain information sufficient to identify the applicant, his residence address or address of real property owned or leased within a residential permit parking area, and the license number of the motor vehicle for which application is made, and such other information that may be deemed relevant by the City Manager.

### Permit Fees
San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2013

(a) The fees for a residential permit parking shall be set by the Council based upon the recommendation of the City Manager. The City Manager shall from time to time recommend such fees to the Council that reflect an amount to equal but not to exceed the cost of the administration of the program.

(b) A copy of the fee schedule shall be filed in the rate book of fees on file in the office of the City Clerk.

**Penalty Provisions**


(a) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Division unless expressly provided to the contrary herein, for any person to stand or park a motor vehicle for a period exceeding the time limitation or in violation of the area prohibition established pursuant hereto. Said violation shall be an infraction punishable in accordance with the provisions of Section 12.0201 of this Code.

(b) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Division for a person to falsely represent himself as eligible for a parking permit or to furnish false information in an application therefor.

(c) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Division for a person holding a valid parking permit issued pursuant hereto to permit the use or display of such permit on a motor vehicle other than that for which the permit is issued. Such conduct shall constitute an unlawful act and violation of this Division both by the person holding the valid parking permit and the person who so uses or displays the permit on a motor vehicle other than that for which it is issued.

(d) It shall be unlawful and a violation of this Division for a person to copy, produce or otherwise bring into existence a facsimile or counterfeit parking permit or permits without written authorization from the City Manager or designee. It shall further be unlawful and a violation of this Division for a person to transfer the beneficial ownership of or a continuous right to use a visitor parking permit or to knowingly use or display a facsimile or counterfeit parking permit in order to evade area prohibitions or time limitations on parking applicable in a residential permit parking area.
area. A violation of this subsection shall be a misdemeanor punishable in accordance with the provisions of Section 12.0201 of this Code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revocation of Permit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Municipal Code: 86.2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARKING EASEMENT AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

Preamble and Recitals

This Agreement is entered into on _______________2014 by and between Church of the Ascension, hereafter referred to as "Church," and Congregation Beth David, a California Non-Profit Religious Corporation, hereafter referred to as "Synagogue." Together, Church and Synagogue may be identified as the "Parties" herein.

A. Whereas, Church is the owner of certain real property situated in the City of Saratoga, Santa Clara County, California (hereafter referred to as "Parcel 1"), commonly known as 12033 Miller Rd., Saratoga, CA 95070, APN: __________ and more particularly described in Exhibit A, which is attached to this Agreement and hereby incorporated by reference.

B. Whereas, Synagogue is the owner of certain real property situated in City of Saratoga, Santa Clara County, California (hereafter referred to as the "Parcel 2"), commonly known as 19700 Prospect Rd., Saratoga, CA 95070, APN: 386-35-071 and 386-35-070 and more particularly described in Exhibit B, which is attached to this Agreement and hereby incorporated by reference.

C. Whereas, since the early 1970s, Church and Synagogue have shared parking and maintenance costs for those portions of Parcel 1 and Parcel 2 that are identified as a parking lot, as described by the parking diagram attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by reference.

This Agreement is intended to memorialize the long-standing agreement in writing.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual benefits bestowed by this Agreement, the Parties acknowledge that the above recitals are true and correct, and hereby agree to:

Grant of Easement

1. Church grants to Synagogue, and Synagogue grants to Church cross-easements, for parking on the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

Description of Easement

2. The easement granted in this Agreement is an easement for parking on the cross-hatched areas identified in the Parking Lot Diagram attached hereto as Exhibit C.

A. Synagogue grants to Church the right to park on Synagogue's parking lots at any time where Church's parking needs exceed the available spaces on Church's own lots, (for example, but not limited to: Christmas and Easter);
B. Church grants Synagogue the right to park on Church's parking lots at any time where Synagogue's parking needs exceed the available spaces on Synagogue's own lots, (for example, but not limited to the Jewish High Holy Days).

C. Church grants to Synagogue an easement for shared use of the middle section of the parking lot indicated on the cross-hatched areas set forth in Exhibit C, attached and incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

**Maintenance of Easement**

3. The Parties may establish and assign maintenance, insurance and other obligations to each other that may be mutually acceptable without an amendment of this Agreement.

**Indemnity**

4. Synagogue will indemnify and defend Church for any claims filed by a visitor to Synagogue who utilizes Church's parking areas and files a claim against Church. Church will indemnify and defend Synagogue for any claims filed by a visitor to Church who utilizes Synagogues parking areas and files a claim against Synagogue.

**Attorneys' Fees**

5. If any legal action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement is brought by either party to this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to receive from the other party, in addition to any other relief that may be granted, the reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the action or proceeding by the prevailing party.

**Entire Agreement**

6. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between Church and Synagogue relating to the above easement. Any prior agreements, promises, negotiations, or representations not expressly set forth in this Agreement are of no force and effect. Any amendment to this Agreement shall be of no force and effect unless it is in writing and signed by Church and Synagogue.

**Binding Effect**

7. This Agreement shall be binding on and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of Grantor and Grantee.

Executed on [date].

**CHURCH OF THE ASCENSION**

By: ___________________________ it's _____________________

Parking Easement and Maintenance Agreement
Page 2 of ___
Ver. 1
CONGREGATION BETH DAVID

By: ______________________, it's President

Notary Acknowledgment

Attachments:
Exhibit A, Legal Description for Church
Exhibit B, Legal Description for Synagogue
Exhibit C, Parking Diagram
Village of Oak Park, IL

LICENSE AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK
AND
HARRISON STREET BIBLE CHURCH
LOT 93

This License Agreement is made on the 1st day of June, 2013 by and between the Village of Oak Park, 123 Madison St, Oak Park, IL, a municipal corporation, and the Harrison Street Bible Church, 911 S. Taylor Ave, a not for profit religious corporation.

Whereas, the Village of Oak Park, as a Municipal Corporation, and the Harrison Street Bible Church as a duly organized Illinois Not For Profit Corporation engaged exclusively in charitable, religious, or educational activities, are both recognized as tax exempt under Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and

Whereas, Harrison Street Bible Church is the owner of a parking lot immediately adjacent to their church located at 911 S. Taylor Ave, Oak Park, IL.

Whereas, Village residents, employees and customers in the Harrison Street business district are in need of public parking; and

Whereas, the Village is willing and able to manage, regulate and enforce public parking on the Harrison Street Bible Church’s lot; and

Whereas, both parties understand that this Agreement is designed to encourage the common objectives of each party and to jointly serve the needs of the local community.

Therefore, the Church agrees to permit the Village to use its parking lot subject to the terms of this agreement.

SECTION 1: INCORPORATION OF RECITALS

The foregoing recitals shall be incorporated into this Agreement as if stated herein.

SECTION 2: TERM OF AGREEMENT

PIN: 16-17-304-906-0000
This agreement is for a period of three (3) years commencing on and including services provided on the 1st day of January, 2013 and ending on December 31, 2015.

SECTION 3: DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

The property which is the subject of this license agreement is the 90 foot by 90 foot paved parking lot on a parcel of property which is described as follows:

Legal Address: 911 S. Taylor Ave, Oak Park, IL 60304.

PIN: 16-17-304-006-0000

Legal Description of Parcel:
Lots 1 through 4, and the vacated right of way between Lots 1 through 5 and Lot 39 except for the north eight feet adjacent to Lot 5, in Block 4 of the Austin Park Subdivision in the East 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 17, Township 39 North, Range 13 East of the Third Principal Meridian in Cook County, IL.

Village Designation: Lot 93

The portion of the property subject to this agreement is depicted by a dark line on the diagram attached as Exhibit A.

SECTION 4: USE RESTRICTIONS

The Village will be entitled to use the parking lot for the limited purpose of providing public parking. The hours the lot will be available will be as described in this section. The Village will provide signs which accurately reflect the limited hours of public parking.

A. Vehicle Weight Restrictions

The Village will only permit motorcycles, motor vehicles of the First Division, and motor vehicles of the Second Division with a gross weight at maximum load of 8,000 lbs or less to park in the parking lot during Village permitted hours.

B. Daytime Parking
The Village shall provide for and regulate free daytime public parking in the lot on weekdays from 6:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.

C. **Overnight Parking**

The Village shall issue a maximum of 20 overnight parking permits for parking between the hours of 10:00 p.m. Saturday to 8:00 a.m. on Sunday, and every other day from 10:00 p.m. to 10:00 a.m.

D. **Church’s Exclusive Use**

The Church reserves for its exclusive use, at all times, the four parking spaces located at the southwest corner of the lot as depicted on the Attached Exhibit A. Although those spaces are not part of the licensed space, for ease of enforcement, the Village will issue the Church six parking permits to park in those spaces at no cost to the Church.

The Church reserves the lot for its exclusive use weekdays from 6 p.m. to 10 p.m. and on Sundays from 8:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m.

**Special Events**

The Church reserves the right to use the entire lot for special events up to 10 times a year. To exercise this right, the Church will notify the Village at least 14 days in advance of the date and hours of the special event to allow the Church to notify permit holders.

E. **Parking Meters**

The Village will not install parking meters in the lot unless specifically agreed to in writing by the Church.

**SECTION 5: LICENSE FEE**

The Village will pay an annual license fee to the Church in the amount of 50% of the Village’s net receipts. Net Receipts will be defined as annual gross receipts from quarterly parking permit sales and parking meter revenues, in the event meters are installed in the lot,
minus the labor and materials or actual billed costs attributable to cleaning, snow removal, tree trimming, maintenance, and electrical costs.

The Village will pay its annual license fee by March 15th annually. The fee will be calculated based on revenues and expenses incurred from January 1 to December 31, including for the year 2013, regardless of the date this agreement is entered into.

SECTION 6: LOT MAINTENANCE

The Village will maintain the lot in a clean and orderly condition. The Village will provide snow removal for the lot and fix or replace light poles contiguous to the lot if damaged. The Village will also maintain the surface of the lot, such as crack filling or line striping, as deemed necessary by the Village Engineer with approval of the Parking Services Director.

SECTION 7: UTILITIES

The Village will pay the Church $500 annually for the electrical costs associated with the parking lot lights due by March 15th annually. The Village and Church make no representation concerning the adequacy and sufficiency of the lighting. The Village, upon notice, will change light bulbs in the existing light fixtures.

SECTION 8: REAL ESTATE TAXES

In the event the property becomes subject to real estate taxes, those taxes shall be paid by the Village during the term of this License Agreement, provided, however that the parties agree to allow the Village to apply for any available real estate tax exemption.

If taxes are assessed for any period beyond the period of this License Agreement, the Village will pay only those taxes attributable to the period of this agreement. Taxes shall be prorated as follows: The number of calendar days the lease was in force in the tax year of termination, divided by the lesser of (a) three hundred sixty-five (365) days; or (b) the number of days from the beginning of the tax year until the effective date of any exemption from real estate
taxes granted to the Church. The Church and the Village agree to share any real estate tax
exemption information with each other.

The Village agrees that if real estate taxes are assessed against this parcel, at the
conclusion of this lease and any subsequent renewals, it will pay the church the reasonable
amount of any attorneys fees it incurs to remove the taxable status, up to $500.

SECTION 9: INDEMNITY AND HOLD HARMLESS

The Village agrees to save, defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Church from any
claims, demands, lawsuits, or costs which the Church may incur arising out of the Village’s use
or operation of the premises during the term of this Agreement.

By agreeing to hold the Church harmless and provide this indemnification, the Village
does not waive its right to assert any defenses or immunities available to the Village under the
Illinois Local Government and Governmental Employees Tort Immunity Act.

The Village does not waive its right to limit its liability for injuries to its employees to
that provided for in the Workers Compensation Act.

SECTION 10: TERMINATION

Either party may terminate this Agreement without cause by providing the other party
with written notice at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of its intention to terminate the
Agreement.

SECTION 11: RESTORATION

At the expiration of this License, the Village will remove all signs and repair any damage
to the lot, normal wear and tear excepted.

SECTION 12: ASSIGNMENT
The Village will not assign its rights under this agreement to any other entity, except as to allow the public the right to parking permits issued in accordance with Village regulations. The Church may assign this agreement to any successor in interest.

SECTION 13: NOTICES

All notices required herein shall be made personally or by registered mail.

Notices to the Church shall be mailed to:

Reverend John R. Sutter, Pastor
Harrison Street Bible Church
911 S. Taylor Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304

Notices to the Village shall be mailed to:

Village Attorney
Village of Oak Park
123 Madison St
Oak Park, IL 60302

SECTION 14: MODIFICATION

This Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing, signed by authorized representatives of both parties.

HARRISON STREET BIBLE CHURCH

By: ____________________________

Its: ____________________________

Dated: __________________________

VILLAGE OF OAK PARK

Cara Pavlicek
Village Manager

Dated: __________________________